



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Adv. Inequal. Appl. 2018, 2018:13

<https://doi.org/10.28919/aia/3777>

ISSN: 2050-7461

A DISCUSSION ON A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM ON SEMICOMPATIBLE MAPPINGS

RAVI S.^{1,*} AND V. SRINIVAS²

¹Department of Mathematics, University Post Graduate College, Secunderabad

Osmania University, Hyderabad-500003(Telangana), India

²Department of Mathematics, University College of Science, Saifabad

Osmania University, Hyderabad-500004(Telangana), India

Copyright © 2018 Ravi and Srinivas. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract: In this paper, we prove a common fixed point theorem which is a generalization of Bijendra Singh and M.S. Chauhan using some weaker conditions namely semi compatible and associated sequence instead of compatibility and completeness of the metric space. Also, we give suitable example to validate our theorem.

Keywords: fixed point; self-maps; semicompatible mappings and associated sequence.

AMS (2010) Mathematics Classification: 54H25, 47H10.

1. Introduction

A contraction mapping defined on complete metric space is having unique fixed point, this is known as Banach contraction principle and which is first ever result in fixed point theory. This result was further generalized and extended in various ways by many authors. S.Sessa[8] defined weak commutativity and proved common fixed point theorems for weakly commuting maps. Afterwards G.Jungck[1] introduced the concept of compatible mappings which is weaker than weakly commuting mappings. Thereafter Jungck and Rhoades [4] defined weaker class of maps known as weakly compatible maps.

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: ravisriramula@gmail.com

Received June 25, 2018

The concept of semi compatible mappings was introduced by Y.J.Cho, B.K. Sharma and D.R.Sharma [6]. In this paper we prove a common fixed point theorem for four self maps using semicompatible mappings.

2. Definitions and Preliminaries

2.1 Definition [3]. A and S are two self maps of a metric space (X,d) are said to be *compatible mappings* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ASx_n, SAx_n) = 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t \text{ for some } t \in X.$$

2.2 Example. Let (X,d) be a metric space where $X = [0, 2]$ and $d(x, y) = |x - y|$. We define self maps A and S as

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ x & \text{if } x \in (0, 1] \\ \frac{x}{2} & \text{if } x \in (1, 2] \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad S(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \\ \frac{x+1}{3} & \text{if } x \in (1, 2] \end{cases}$$

Let us consider a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_n = 2 - \frac{1}{n}$ for $n \geq 1$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = 1$.

Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n = 1$. Hence, the pair (A,S) is compatible.

2.3 Definition [6]. A and S are two self maps of a metric space (X,d) are said to be *semicompatible* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ASx_n, St) = 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = t \text{ for some } t \in X.$$

2.4 Example. Let $X = [0, 2]$ with the usual metric. Define A and S by

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ x & \text{if } 1 \leq x \leq 2 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad S(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 1 \\ \frac{x+1}{3} & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Consider a sequence $\{x_n\}$ as $x_n = 2 - \frac{1}{n}$, for $n \geq 1$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = 1. \text{ Also } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = 1 \text{ and } S(1) = 1 \text{ implies } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = S(1).$$

Hence (A,S) is semi compatible.

Again $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n = \frac{2}{3}$ but $A(1) = 1$ gives $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n \neq A(1)$. Hence (S,A) is not semi compatible.

Further the mappings A and S are not compatible.

2.5 Remark. Semi compatibility of the pair (A,S) does not imply the semi compatibility of the pair (S,A) and semi compatible mappings need not be compatible.

Bijenrda Singh and M.S.Chauhan[5] proved the following theorem.

2.6 Theorem. Let A,B,S and T be self mappings from a complete metric space (X,d) into itself satisfying the following conditions

$$(2.6.1) \quad A(X) \subseteq T(X) \text{ and } B(X) \subseteq S(X)$$

$$(2.6.2) \quad \text{one of } A,B,S \text{ and } T \text{ is continuous}$$

$$(2.6.3) \quad [d(Ax, By)]^2 \leq k_1[d(Ax, Sx)d(By, Ty) + d(By, Sx)d(Ax, Ty)] \\ + k_2[d(Ax, Sx)d(Ax, Ty) + d(By, Ty)d(By, Sx)]$$

$$\text{where } 0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1, k_1, k_2 \geq 0$$

$$(2.6.4) \quad \text{the pairs } (A,S) \text{ and } (B,T) \text{ are compatible on } X .$$

Further if X is a complete metric space then A,B,S and T have a unique common fixed point in X . Now we generalize the above theorem using semi compatible mappings and an associated sequence.

2.7 Associated sequence [7]: Suppose A, B, S and T are four self maps of a metric space (X,d) satisfying the condition(2.6.1),then for an arbitrary $x_0 \in X$ such that $Ax_0 \in A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ gives $Ax_0 = Tx_1$ for some $x_1 \in X$. For this point $x_1 \in X$, $Bx_1 \in B(X) \subseteq S(X)$, there exist a point x_2 in X such that $Bx_1 = Sx_2$. Again $Ax_2 \in A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ gives $Ax_2 = Tx_3$ for some $x_3 \in X$. Now $Bx_3 \in B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ gives $Bx_3 = Sx_4$ and so on. Proceeding in this similar manner, we can define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $y_{2n} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$ and $y_{2n+1} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2}$ for $n \geq 0$. We shall call this sequence as an ‘‘Associated sequence’’ connected to the four self maps A, B, S and T .

Now we prove a lemma with an example which plays an important role in our main theorem.

2.8 Lemma: Suppose A, B, S and T are self maps of a complete metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying the conditions (2.6.1) and (2.6.3),then the associated sequence $\{y_n\}$ relative to four self maps given in (2.7) is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Proof: Using the conditions (2.6.1), (2.6.3) and from the definition of associated sequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})]^2 &= [d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1})]^2 \\ &\leq k_1 [d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) d(Bx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) + d(Bx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n}) d(Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1})] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) d(Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}) + d(Bx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) d(Bx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n})] \\ &= k_1 [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})] + k_2 [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1})] \end{aligned}$$

this implies

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) &\leq k_1 d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + k_2 [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})] \\ d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) &\leq \lambda d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \lambda = \frac{k_1 + k_2}{1 - k_2} < 1.$$

Now for every integer $m > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_{n+m}) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{n+m-1}, y_{n+m}) \\ &\leq \lambda^n d(y_0, y_1) + \lambda^{n+1} d(y_0, y_1) + \dots + \lambda^{n+m-1} d(y_0, y_1) \\ &\leq (\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1} + \dots + \lambda^{n+m-1}) d(y_0, y_1) \\ &\leq \lambda^n (1 + \lambda + \lambda^2 + \dots + \lambda^{m-1}) d(y_0, y_1) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda < 1$, $\lambda^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so that $d(y_n, y_{n+m}) \rightarrow 0$. This shows that the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and since X is a complete metric space, it converges to some point, say $z \in X$.

The converse of the Lemma is not true, that is A, B, S and T are self maps of a metric space (X, d) satisfying the (2.6.1) and (2.6.3), though if for any $x_0 \in X$ and for the associated sequence

$Ax_0, Bx_1, Ax_2, Bx_3, \dots, Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, \dots$ converges, the metric space (X, d) need not be complete.

For this we give an example.

2.9 Example: Let $X = (0, 1)$ with $d(x, y) = |x - y|$. Define self maps of A, B, S and T of X by

$$A(x) = B(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } 0 < x < \frac{1}{2} \\ x & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x < 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad S(x) = T(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - x & \text{if } 0 < x < \frac{1}{2} \\ x & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x < 1 \end{cases}$$

Then $A(X) = B(X) = \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ and $S(X) = T(X) = \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ showing the conditions $A(X) \subset T(X)$

and $B(X) \subset S(X)$. Also it is easy to show that the associated sequence

$Ax_0, Bx_1, Ax_2, Bx_3, \dots, Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, \dots$ converges to the point $\frac{1}{2}$, but X is not a complete metric space.

3. Main results

3.1 Theorem: Suppose A, B, S and T are self maps from a metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying the following conditions

$$A(X) \subseteq T(X) \text{ and } B(X) \subseteq S(X) \quad (3.1.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Ax, By)]^2 \leq k_1 [d(Ax, Sx)d(By, Ty) + d(By, Sx)d(Ax, Ty)] \\ + k_2 [d(Ax, Sx)d(Ax, Ty) + d(By, Ty)d(By, Sx)] \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.2)$$

for all x, y in X where $0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1, k_1, k_2 \geq 0$

$$S \text{ and } T \text{ are continuous} \quad (3.1.3)$$

$$\text{the pairs } (A, S) \text{ and } (B, T) \text{ are semi compatible mappings.} \quad (3.1.4)$$

Further if

for any $x_0 \in X$ the associated sequence relative to four self maps A, B, S and T such that the sequence $Ax_0, Bx_1, Ax_2, Bx_3, \dots, Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, \dots$ converges to $z \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (3.1.5)

then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: From the condition (3.1.5), we have

$$Ax_{2n} \rightarrow z, Tx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z, Bx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z \text{ and } Sx_{2n} \rightarrow z \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.1.6)$$

$$\text{Since the pair } (A, S) \text{ is semi compatible mapping, then } ASx_{2n} \rightarrow Sz \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.1.7)$$

$$\text{Suppose } S \text{ is continuous then } SSx_{2n} \rightarrow Sz \text{ and } SAx_{2n} \rightarrow Sz \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.1.8)$$

Put $x = Sx_{2n}, y = x_{2n+1}$ in the condition (3.1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [d(ASx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1})]^2 \leq k_1 [d(ASx_{2n}, SSx_{2n})d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, SSx_{2n})d(ASx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})] \\ + k_2 [d(ASx_{2n}, SSx_{2n})d(ASx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})d(Bx_{2n+1}, SSx_{2n})] \end{aligned}$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using the conditions (3.1.6), (3.1.7) and (3.1.8), we get

$$[d(Sz, z)]^2 \leq k_1[d(Sz, Sz)d(z, z) + d(z, Sz)d(Sz, z)] \\ + k_2[d(Sz, Sz)d(Sz, z) + d(z, Sz)d(z, z)]$$

this gives

$$[d(Sz, z)]^2 \leq k_1[d(Sz, z)]^2$$

and this implies

$$(1 - k_1)[d(Sz, z)]^2 \leq 0. \text{ Since the distance function can never be negative, we get } d(Sz, z)^2 = 0,$$

this gives $d(Sz, z) = 0$ and this implies $Sz = z$.

Therefore $Sz = z$.

Now put $x = z, y = x_{2n+1}$ in the condition (3.1.2), we have

$$[d(Az, Bx_{2n+1})]^2 \leq k_1[d(Az, Sz)d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, Sz)d(Az, Tx_{2n+1})] \\ + k_2[d(Az, Sz)d(Az, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})d(Bx_{2n+1}, Sz)]$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using the conditions (3.1.6) and $Sz = z$, we get

$$[d(Az, z)]^2 \leq k_1[d(Az, z)d(z, z) + d(z, z)d(Az, z)] \\ + k_2[d(Az, z)d(Az, z) + d(z, z)d(z, z)]$$

this gives

$$[d(Az, z)]^2 \leq k_2[d(Az, z)]^2 \text{ and this implies}$$

$[1 - k_2][d(Az, z)]^2 \leq 0$. Since $0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1$ and distance function can never be negative, we get $d(Az, z)^2 = 0$, this gives $d(Az, z) = 0$ implies $Az = z$.

Hence $Az = Sz = z$ --- (3.1.9), showing that z is a common fixed point of A and S .

Also since the pair (B, T) is semi compatible mapping, then $BTx_{2n} \rightarrow Tz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (3.1.10)

Suppose T is continuous then $TTx_{2n} \rightarrow Tz$ and $TBx_{2n} \rightarrow Tz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. (3.1.11)

Put $x = x_{2n}$ and $y = Tx_{2n}$ in the condition (3.1.2), we have

$$[d(Ax_{2n}, BTx_{2n})]^2 \leq k_1[d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(BTx_{2n}, TTx_{2n}) + d(BTx_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(Ax_{2n}, TTx_{2n})] \\ + k_2[d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(Ax_{2n}, TTx_{2n}) + d(BTx_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(BTx_{2n}, TTx_{2n})]$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using the conditions (3.1.10) and (3.1.11), we get

$$[d(z, Tz)]^2 \leq k_1[d(z, z)d(Tz, Tz) + d(Tz, z)d(z, Tz)] \\ + k_2[d(z, z)d(z, Tz) + d(Tz, z)d(Tz, Tz)]$$

this gives

$[d(z, Tz)]^2 \leq k_1[d(Tz, z)]^2$ and this implies

$(1 - k_1)[d(z, Tz)]^2 \leq 0$. Since $0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1$ and distance function can never be negative, we get $d(z, Tz)^2 = 0$, this gives $d(z, Tz) = 0$ and this implies $Tz = z$.

Now put $x = z, y = z$ in the condition (3.1.2), we have

$$[d(Az, Bz)]^2 \leq k_1[d(Az, Sz)d(Bz, Tz) + d(Bz, Sz)d(Az, Tz)] \\ + k_2[d(Az, Sz)d(Az, Tz) + d(Bz, Tz)d(Bz, Sz)]$$

Using the conditions $Az = Sz = z$ and $Tz = z$, we have

$$[d(z, Bz)]^2 \leq k_1[d(z, z)d(Bz, Bz) + d(Bz, z)d(z, Bz)] \\ + k_2[d(z, z)d(z, Bz) + d(Bz, Bz)d(Bz, z)]$$

this gives

$$[d(z, Bz)]^2 \leq k_1[d(Bz, z)]^2 \text{ and this implies}$$

$(1 - k_1)[d(z, Bz)]^2 \leq 0$. Since $0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1$ and distance function can never be negative, we get $d(z, Bz)^2 = 0$, this gives $d(Bz, z) = 0$ implies $Bz = z$.

Hence $Bz = Tz = z \dots$ (3.1.12), showing that z is a common fixed point of B and T .

From the conditions (3.1.9) and (3.1.12), we have $Az = Sz = Bz = Tz = z$.

Since $Bz = Tz = Az = Sz = z$, we get z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

3.2 Conclusion: From the example (2.6), we prove that the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are semicompatible and S and T are continuous.

For this, take a sequence $x_n = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}$, for $n \geq 1$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \frac{1}{2} = t \text{ (Say), then } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = S(t) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} BTx_n = T(t) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ so that the}$$

pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are semicompatible mappings. Further the condition (3.1.2) holds for the values of $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$, satisfying the condition $0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1$. It can be seen that X is not a complete metric space and it can be easily verified that the associated sequence

$Ax_0, Bx_1, Ax_2, Bx_3, \dots, Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, \dots$ converges to the point $\frac{1}{2}$ which is a common fixed point of $A,$

B, S and T . We observe that $\frac{1}{2}$ is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and fixed points, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 9(1986), 771-778.
- [2] R.P.Pant, A common fixed point theorem under a new condition, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 30(2) (1999), 147-152.
- [3] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 11(1988), 285-288.
- [4] G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 29(3) (1998), 227-238.
- [5] B. Singh and S. Chauhan, On common fixed points of four mappings, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, 88(1998), 301-308.
- [6] Cho, Y.J., Sharma, B.K. and Sahu, D.R, Semi compatibility and fixed points, *Math. Japonica*, 42(1995), 91-98.
- [7] S. Sessa, On weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations, *Publ. Inst. Math.*, 32(46) (1980), 149-153.
- [8] A S Saluja, Mukesh Kumar Jain and Pankaj kumar Jhade, weak semi compatibility and fixed point theorems, *Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst.*, 2(2002), 205-217.
- [9] Ravi Sriramula and V.Srinivas, Extraction of a fixed point theorem using semi compatible mappings in metric space, *Indian J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 13(2) (2017), 433-443.
- [10] Popa, V, A general common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings in compact metric spaces, *Turk. J. Math.*, 25(2001), 465-474.
- [11] Bijender Singh and Shishir Jain, semi-compatibility, compatibility and fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space, *J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.*, 18 (2005), 1-23.