Available online at http://scik.org

Adv. Inequal. Appl. 2019, 2019:6

https://doi.org/10.28919/aia/4010

ISSN: 2050-7461

COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM IN DISLOCATED METRIC SPACE

B. MALLESH^{1,*} AND V. SRINIVAS²

¹Department of Mathematics, Nizam College (A),

Osmania University, Hyderabad-500001, Telangana, India

²Department of Mathematics, University College of Science,

Saifabad, Osmania University, Hyderabad-500028, Telangana, India

Copyright © 2019 the authors. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits

unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to prove a unique common fixed point theorem in dislocated metric space using

the concept of weakly compatible mappings and E.A property.

Keywords: Dislocated metric space, E.A property, weakly compatible mappings, unique common fixed point.

AMS Mathematics subject classification (2010): 54H25, 47H10.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of dislocated metric space introduced by P. Hitzler and A.K Seda in 2000. Since then

many others proved some useful fixed point results for dislocated metric space. G. Jungck and

B.E.Rhoads initiated the concept of weakly compatible. The purpose of this paper is to prove a

common fixed point for four self maps with the concept of weakly compatible maps and

E.A property.

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: malleshoumaths@gmail.com

2. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1: Let X be a nonempty set. A function $d: X \times X \to [0 \infty)$ satisfying the following properties

$$(A_1) \quad d(x, y) = d(y, x)$$

$$(A_2) d(x,y) = d(y,x) = 0 \text{ then } x = y.$$

$$(A_3)$$
 $d(x,y) \le d(x,z) + d(z,y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called dislocated or d-metric on X.

Definition 2.2: Let (X,G) is a **d**-metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said be convergent if there is $x_0 \in X$ such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a natural number m such that $d\left(x_{n_s}x_{0_{s_s}}\right) < \varepsilon$ for all $n \ge m$.

Definition 2.3: Let (X,G) is a d-metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said be Cauchy sequence for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a natural number n_0 such that $d\left(x_{m_n}x_{n_{n_n}}\right) < \varepsilon$ for all $m,n \ge n_0$.

Definition 2.4: A d-metric space (X, d) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in it is convergent in X with respect to d.

Definition 2.5: Suppose A and S are self maps of a d-metric space (X, d). The pair (A, S) is said to be commute if ASx = SAx for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.6: Suppose A and S are self maps of a d-metric space (X, d). The pair (A, S) is said to be weakly compatible pair if A and S are commute at their coincidence point. That is ASx = SAx whenever Ax = Sx for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.7: Two self maps A and S of a d-metric space (X,d) we say that A and S satisfy the property (E.A) if there exists a sequencer $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = z$ for some $z\in X$.

In [5], Panthi proved the following Theorem.

2.8. Theorem: Let (X, d) be complete dislocated metric space. Let A, B, S and T be maps from X into itself satisfying following conditions

$$(2.8.1)$$
 $T(X) \subseteq A(X)$ and $S(X) \subseteq B(X)$

$$(2.8.2) d(Tx, Sy) \le \alpha [d(Bx, Tx) + d(Ay, Sy)] + \beta d(Bx, Ay) + \gamma d(Bx, Sy) + \delta d(Tx, Ay)$$
where for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \ge 0$ and $0 \le 2\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta < 1$

$$(2.8.3)$$
 (T,B) and (S,A) are compatible

(2.8.4) one of A, B, S and T are continuous.

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

We now generalize Theorem 2.8 as follows

3. MAIN RESULT

3.1Theorem: Let (X, d) be dislocated metric space. Let A, B, S and T be maps from X into itself satisfying following conditions

$$(3.1.1) T(X) \subseteq A(X)$$
 and $S(X) \subseteq B(X)$

(3.1.2) the pair (S,A) (or) (T,B) satisfy E.A property

$$(3.1.3) d(Tx,Sy) \le \alpha [d(Bx,Tx) + d(Ay,Sy)] + \beta d(Bx,Ay) + \gamma d(Bx,Sy) + \delta d(Tx,Ay)$$
where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \ge 0$ and $0 \le 2\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta < 1$

(3.1.4) the pairs (T,B) and (S,A) are weakly compatible

(3.1.5) B(X) or A(X) is closed

then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Suppose the pair (S,A) is satisfy E.A property then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in

X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

Since $S(X) \subseteq B(X)$ so there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $Sx_n = By_n = z$ as $n \to \infty$.

Now we shall show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n == z$

Put $x = y_n$ and $y = x_n$ in the inequality (3.1.3), we get

$$d(Ty_n, Sx_n) \le \alpha [d(By_n, Ty_n) + d(Ax_n, Sx_n)] + \beta d(By_n, Ax_n)$$
$$+ \gamma d(By_n, Sx_n) + \delta d(Ty_n, Ax_n)$$

$$d(Ty_n, Sx_n) \le (\alpha + \delta)[d(Sx_n, Ty_n)]$$

$$d(Ty_n, z) \le (\alpha + \delta)[d(z, Ty_n) \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

implies $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n == z$

Let $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n == z$ then the sequences $Ty_n Sx_n By_n$ and Ay_n are converges to z as $n\to\infty$

Suppose B(X) is closed subspace of X then z = Bu for some $u \in X$

Now we show that Tu = z

Put x = u and $y = x_n$ in the equality (3.1.3), we get

$$d(Tu,Sx_n) \le \alpha[d(Bu,Tu) + d(Ax_n,Sx_n)] + \beta d(Bu,Ax_n) + \gamma d(Bu,Sx_n) + \delta d(Tu,Ax_n)$$

$$d(Tu,z) \le (\alpha + \delta)[d(z,Tu) \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

$$[1 - (\alpha + \delta)]d(Tu,z) \le 0$$
 implies $Tu = z$ this implies $Tu = Bu = z$

Since $T(X) \subseteq A(X)$ so there exists a point v in X such that Tu = Av = z

Now we claim that Sv = z.

Put x = u, y = v in the inequality (3.1.3), we get

$$d(Tu,Sv) \leq \alpha[d(Bu,Tu) + d(Av,Sv)] + \beta d(Bu,Av) + \gamma d(Bu,Sv) + \delta d(Tu,Av)$$

$$d(z,Sv) \leq \alpha[d(z,z) + d(z,Sv)] + \beta d(z,Sv) + \gamma d(z,Sv) + \delta d(z,z)$$

$$[1 - \alpha - \beta - \gamma]d(z, Sv) \le 0$$
 implies $z = Sv$

Therefore Av = Sv = z

Since the pair (T,B) is weakly compatible therefore TBu = BTu implies Tz = Bz.

Now we show that Tz = z

Put
$$x = z$$
, $y = v$

Put x = z and y = v in the inequality (3.1.3), we get

$$d(Tz,Sv) \le \alpha[d(Bz,Tz) + d(Av,Sv)] + \beta d(Bz,Av) + \gamma d(Bz,Sv) + \delta d(Tz,Av)$$

$$d(Tz,z) \le \alpha [d(Tz,Tz) + d(z,z)] + \beta d(Tz,z) + \gamma d(Tz,z) + \delta d(Tz,z)$$

$$[1 - \beta - \gamma - \delta]d(Tz, z) \le 0$$
 implies $Tz = z$ therefore $Tz = Bz = z$

The weakly compatibility of (S,A) implies SAv = ASv implies Sz = Az

Now we shell show that Sz = z

Put x = z, y = z in inequality (3.1.3), we get

$$d(Tz,Sz) \leq \alpha[d(Bz,Tz) + d(Az,Sz)] + \beta d(Bz,Az) + \gamma d(Bz,Sz) + \delta d(Tz,Az)$$

this implies

$$d(z,Sz) \leq \alpha[d(z,z) + d(Sz,Sz)] + \beta d(z,Sz) + \gamma d(z,Sz) + \delta d(z,Sz)$$

this gives

$$[1 - \beta - \gamma - \delta]d(z, Sz) \le 0$$
 implies $z = Sz$

Therefore Az = Bz = Tz = Sz = z hence z is common fixed point of mappings A, B, S and T.

Now we prove the Uniqueness.

Let z^* be another common fixed point of mappings A,B,S and T then $Az^* = Bz^* = Tz^* = Sz^*$

Put x = z and $y = z^*$ in the inequality (3.1.3), we get

$$d(Tz,Sz^*) \le \alpha[d(Bz,Tz) + d(Az^*,Sz^*)] + \beta d(Bz,Az^*) + \gamma d(Bz,Sz^*) + \delta d(Tz,Az^*)$$

implies

$$d(z,z^*) \le \alpha[d(z,z) + d(z^*,z^*)] + \beta d(z,z^*) + \gamma d(z,z^*) + \delta d(z,z^*)$$

and this gives

$$[1 - \beta - \gamma - \delta]d(z, z^*) \le 0$$
 implies $z = z^*$

Hence z is unique common fixed point of mappings A, B, S and T.

Our main Theorem 3.1 can be validating by using following example.

3.2 Example: Let X = [-1,1] be dislocated metric space d(x,y) = |x-y|. Define self maps A,B,S and T of X defined as

$$A(x) = \frac{x(x-1)}{2} \text{ if } -1 \le x \le 1 \text{ , } \quad \text{Bx} = \begin{cases} x^2 & \text{if } -1 \le x \le 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } 0 < x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

$$Sx = \begin{cases} -x & \text{if } -1 \le x \le 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } 0 \le x \le 1 \end{cases} \text{ and } Tx = \begin{cases} -x & \text{if } -1 \le x \le 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } 0 \le x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

Clearly
$$A(X) = B(X) = S(X) = T(X) = [0,1]$$
 so that $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$, and $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$,

Now consider the sequence $\{x_n\}$ where $x_n = \left\{\frac{1}{n}\right\}$ for $n \ge 1$.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} A\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} - 1\right) = 0 , \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} S\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = 0$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} Bx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} B\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = 0$$

Therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = 0$ and this implies that the pair (S,A) satisfies E.A property.

and also we observe that BT(0) = TB(0) when B(0) = T(0) and AS(0) = SA(0) when A(0) = S(0).

Hence the pairs (A,S) and (B,T) are weakly compatible.

3.3 Conclusion: From the example 3.2, Clearly the pairs (B,T) and (A,S) are weakly compatible and the pair (S,A) satisfy E.A. Property. Also none of the mappings are continuous and the rational inequality holds for the values $0 \le 2\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta < 1$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \ge 0$. Clearly **0** is the unique common fixed point of A,B,S and T.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jungck G. Rhodes B E, fixed points for set valued functions without continuity. Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math. 29 (3) (1998), 227-238.
- [2] P. Hitzler and A.K. Seda, Dislocated topologies, J. Electronic engineering, 51 (12/S), 3-7, 2000.
- [3] F.M. Zeyada, G.H. Hassan and M.A. Ahmed, A generalization of a fixed point theorem due to Hitz; er and Seda in dislocated quasi-metric spaces, The Arabian J. for Sci. and Eng., 31(1A), 111-114, 2005.
- [4] C.T. Aage and J.N. Salunke, The results on fixed points in dislocated and dislocated quasi-metric spaces, Appl. Math. Sci., 2(59), 2941-2948, 2008.
- [5] Panthi D, Common fixed point theorem for Compatible mappings in dislocated metric space, International journal of mathematical analysis, 9, 2235-2242, 2015
- [6] Ravi S., V. Srinivas, A discussion on a common fixed point theorem on semicompatible mappings, Adv. Inequal. Appl. 2018 (2018), Article ID 13.