



Available online at <http://scik.org>
Adv. Inequal. Appl. 2021, 2021:3
<https://doi.org/10.28919/aia/5735>
ISSN: 2050-7461

SHARP CONTRA-HARMONIC MEAN BOUNDS FOR THE SÁNDOR-YANG MEANS

HUI ZUO XU^{1,*}, WEI MAO QIAN², SHAO YUN LI³

¹School of Mathematics and Engineering Information, Wenzhou University of Technology, Wenzhou, 325000, China

²School of Continuing Education, Huzhou Broadcast and TV University (Huzhou Vocational & Technical College), Huzhou, 313000, China

³Teachers Teaching Development Center, Wenzhou Broadcast and TV University, Wenzhou, 325013, China

Copyright © 2021 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper, we present the best possible two Sándor-Yang means bounds by the one-parameter contra-harmonic mean. As applications, we find new bounds for the second Seiffert and Neuman-Sándor means.

Keywords: Sándor-Yang mean; contra-harmonic mean; one-parameter mean

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 33E05, 26E60.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $p \in [0, 1]$, $x, y > 0$ with $x \neq y$ and $M(x, y)$ be a one-parameter symmetric bivariate mean. Then the one-parameter mean $M(x, y; p)$, arithmetic mean $A(x, y)$, quadratic mean $Q(x, y)$, contra-harmonic mean $C(x, y)$, Neuman-Sándor mean $NS(x, y)$ and second Seiffert mean $T(x, y)$

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: huizuoxu@163.com

Received March 19, 2021

are respectively defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} M(x, y; p) &= M[px + (1-p)y, py + (1-p)x] \\ A(x, y) &= \frac{x+y}{2}, Q(x, y) = \sqrt{\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}}, C(x, y) = \frac{x^2+y^2}{x+y}, \\ NS(x, y) &= \frac{x-y}{2\sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{x-y}{x+y}\right)}, T(x, y) = \frac{x-y}{2\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{x-y}{x+y}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that inequalities

$$(1.2) \quad A(x, y) < NS(x, y) < T(x, y) < Q(x, y) < C(x, y)$$

hold for all $x, y > 0$ with $x \neq y$, and the one-parameter mean $M(x, y; p)$ is continuous and strictly increasing with respect to $p \in [0, 1]$ for fixed $x, y > 0$ with $x \neq y$.

In[1], Yang introduced the Sándor-Yang mean $R_{AQ}(x, y)$ and $R_{QA}(x, y)$ as follows:

$$(1.3) \quad R_{AQ}(x, y) = Q(x, y) e^{A(x, y)/T(x, y) - 1},$$

$$(1.4) \quad R_{QA}(x, y) = A(x, y) e^{Q(x, y)/NS(x, y) - 1}.$$

Recently, the bivariate means bounds and inequalities have been attracted attention of many scholars. In particular, many remarkable inequalities involving the Sándor-Yang mean can be found in the literature[4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11].

Neuman[2] proved that the inequalities

$$(1.5) \quad A(x, y) < R_{AQ}(x, y) < R_{QA}(x, y) < Q(x, y)$$

for all $x, y > 0$ with $x \neq y$.

Xu and Qian[3] found that $p_1 \leq 1/2 + \sqrt{2e^{\pi/2-2} - 1}/2, q_1 \geq 1/2 + \sqrt{3}/6$, $p_2 \leq 1/2 + \sqrt{(3+2\sqrt{2})^{\sqrt{2}} - e^2}/(2e)$ and $q_2 \geq 1/2 + \sqrt{6}/6$ are the best possible constants such that the double inequalities

$$Q(x, y; p_1) < R_{AQ}(x, y) < Q(x, y; q_1), Q(x, y; p_2) < R_{QA}(x, y) < Q(x, y; q_2)$$

for all $x, y > 0$ with $x \neq y$.

From (1.1), (1.2) and (1.5) we clearly see that the function $r \mapsto C(x, y; r)$ is strictly increasing on $[1/2, 1]$ and

$$(1.6) \quad C(x, y; 1/2) = A(x, y) < R_{AQ}(x, y) < R_{QA}(x, y) < C(x, y) = C(x, y; 1)$$

for all $x, y > 0$ with $x \neq y$.

Motivated by inequalities (1.6), it is natural to ask “what are the best possible parameters $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in [1/2, 1]$ such that the double inequalities

$$C(x, y; \lambda_1) < R_{AQ}(x, y) < C(x, y; \mu_1), C(x, y; \lambda_2) < R_{QA}(x, y) < C(x, y; \mu_2)$$

for all $x, y > 0$ with $x \neq y$?” the main purpose of this paper is to answer this question.

2. LEMMAS

In order to prove the desired theorems we need following eight Lemmas, which we present in this section.

Lemmas 2.1. (See [12, Theorem 1.25]) For $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$, let $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on (a, b) , and $g'(x) \neq 0$ on (a, b) . If $f'(x)/g'(x)$ is increasing (decreasing) on (a, b) , then so are $\frac{f(x)-f(a)}{g(x)-g(a)}$ and $\frac{f(x)-f(b)}{g(x)-g(b)}$. If $f'(x)/g'(x)$ is strictly monotone, then the monotonicity in the conclusion is also strict.

Lemmas 2.2. (See [13, Lemma 1.1]) Suppose that the power series $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ and $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n$ have the radius of convergence $r > 0$ and $a_n, b_n > 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. If the sequence $\{a_n/b_n\}$ is (strictly) increasing (decreasing) for all $n \geq 0$, then the function $f(x)/g(x)$ is also (strictly) increasing (decreasing) on $(0, r)$.

Lemmas 2.3. The function

$$f(t) = e^{t \cot(t) - 1}$$

is strictly decreasing from $(0, \pi/4)$ onto $(e^{\pi/4-1}, 1)$.

Proof Simple computations yields

$$(2.1) \quad f(0) = 1, f\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) = e^{\pi/4-1}$$

$$\log f(t) = t \cot(t) - 1,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} = \frac{t}{\sin^2(t)} \left[\frac{\sin(2t)}{2t} - 1 \right]$$

Since the function $t \mapsto \sin(t)/t$ is strictly decreasing from $(0, \pi/2)$ onto $(2/\pi, 1)$, hence (2.2) lead to the conclusion that

$$(2.3) \quad f'(t) < 0$$

for $t \in (0, \pi/4)$.

Therefore, Lemma 2.3 follows easily from (2.1) and (2.3).

Lemmas 2.4. The function

$$g(t) = \operatorname{sech}(t) e^{t \coth(t) - 1}$$

is strictly decreasing from $(0, \log(1 + \sqrt{2}))$ onto $\left((1 + \sqrt{2})^{\sqrt{2}} / (2e), 1 \right)$.

Proof Straightforward computations yields

$$(2.4) \quad g(0^+) = 1, g(\log(1 + \sqrt{2})) = \frac{(1 + \sqrt{2})^{\sqrt{2}}}{\sqrt{2}e},$$

$$\log g(t) = t \coth(t) - \log[\cosh(t)] - 1,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{g'(t)}{g(t)} = \frac{t}{\sinh^2(t)} \left[\frac{\tanh(t)}{t} - 1 \right]$$

It is not difficult to verify that the function $t \mapsto \tanh(t)/t$ is strictly decreasing from $(0, \log(1 + \sqrt{2}))$ onto $(\sqrt{2}/(2 \log(1 + \sqrt{2})), 1)$, hence equation (2.5) lead to the conclusion that

$$(2.6) \quad g'(t) < 0$$

for $t \in (0, \log(1 + \sqrt{2}))$.

Therefore, part (2) follows easily from (2.4) and (2.6).

Lemmas 2.5. The function

$$h(t) = \frac{\tan(t) - t}{2 \sin(t) \tan^2(t)}$$

is strictly decreasing from $(0, \pi/4)$ onto $(\sqrt{2}(1 - \pi/4)/2, 1/6)$.

Proof Let $h_1(x) = \tan(t) - t$ and $h_2(x) = 2 \sin(t) \tan^2(t)$. Then elaborated computations lead to

$$(2.7) \quad h(x) = \frac{h_1(x)}{h_2(x)} = \frac{h_1(x) - h_1(0)}{h_2(x) - h_2(0)},$$

$$\frac{h'_1(x)}{h'_2(x)} = \frac{\cos(t)}{2[2 + \cos^2(t)]},$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \left[\frac{h'_1(x)}{h'_2(x)} \right]' = -\frac{\sin(t) [1 + \sin^2(t)]}{2[2 + \cos^2(t)]^2} < 0$$

for $(0, \pi/4)$.

It follows from (2.8) imply that the function $h'_1(x)/h'_2(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \pi/4)$.

Note that

$$(2.9) \quad h(0^+) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{h'_1(x)}{h'_2(x)} = \frac{1}{6}, h\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\pi}{4}\right).$$

Therefore, Lemma 2.5 follows easily from (2.7), (2.9) and Lemma 2.1 together with the monotonicity of $h'_1(x)/h'_2(x)$.

Lemmas 2.6. The function

$$k(t) = \frac{\sinh(2t) - 2t}{\sinh(3t) - 3 \sinh(t)}$$

is strictly decreasing from $(0, \log(1 + \sqrt{2}))$ onto $((\sqrt{2} - \log(1 + \sqrt{2}))/2, 1/3)$.

Proof Making use of power series expansion we get

$$(2.10) \quad k(t) = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} t^{2n+1} - 2t}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{3^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} t^{2n+1} - 3 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)!} t^{2n+1}} = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2n+3}}{(2n+3)!} t^{2n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{3^{2n+3} - 3}{(2n+3)!} t^{2n}}$$

Let

$$(2.11) \quad a_n = \frac{2^{2n+3}}{(2n+3)!}, b_n = \frac{3^{2n+3} - 3}{(2n+3)!}.$$

Then

$$(2.12) \quad a_n > 0, b_n > 0,$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{a_{n+1}}{b_{n+1}} - \frac{a_n}{b_n} = -\frac{2^{2n+3} (5 \times 3^{2n+1} + 1)}{(3^{2n+2} - 1)(3^{2n+4} - 1)} < 0$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

Note that

$$(2.14) \quad k(0^+) = \frac{a_0}{b_0} = \frac{1}{3}, k \left[\log \left(1 + \sqrt{2} \right) \right] = \frac{\sqrt{2} - \log \left(1 + \sqrt{2} \right)}{2}.$$

Therefore, Lemma 2.6 follows from Lemma 2.2 and (2.10)-(2.14).

Lemmas 2.7. The function

$$F(t) = \frac{\sec(t) e^{t \cot(t)-1} - 1}{\tan^2(t)}$$

is strictly decreasing from $(0, \pi/4)$ onto $(\sqrt{2}e^{\pi/4-1}, 1/6)$.

Proof Let $F_1(t) = \sec(t) e^{t \cot(t)-1} - 1$ and $F_2(t) = \tan^2(t)$. Then simple computations lead to

$$(2.15) \quad F(t) = \frac{F_1(t)}{F_2(t)} = \frac{F_1(t) - F_1(0^+)}{F_2(t) - F_2(0)},$$

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{F'_1(t)}{F'_2(t)} &= e^{t \cot(t)-1} \frac{\cos(t) [\sin(t) - t \cos(t)]}{2 \sin^3(t)} \\ &= e^{t \cot(t)-1} \frac{\tan(t) - t}{2 \sin(t) \tan^2(t)} = f(t) h(t) \end{aligned}$$

where the functions $f(t)$ and $h(t)$ are defined as in Lemma 2.3 and 2.5, respectively.

Note that

$$(2.17) \quad F(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F'_1(t)}{F'_2(t)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(t) \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} h(t) = \frac{1}{6},$$

and

$$(2.18) \quad F\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) = \sqrt{2}e^{\pi/4-1} - 1.$$

Therefore, Lemma 2.7 follows from Lemma 2.1, 2.3 and 2.5 together with (2.15)-(2.18).

Lemmas 2.8. The function

$$G(t) = \frac{e^{t \coth(t)-1} - 1}{\sinh^2(t)}$$

is strictly decreasing from $\left(0, \log\left(1 + \sqrt{2}\right)\right)$ onto $\left(\left(1 + \sqrt{2}\right)^{\sqrt{2}}/e - 1, 1/3\right)$.

Proof Let $G_1(t) = e^{t \coth(t)} - 1$ and $G_2(t) = \sinh^2(t)$. Then elaborated computations lead to

$$(2.19) \quad G(t) = \frac{G_1(t)}{G_2(t)} = \frac{G_1(t) - G_1(0^+)}{G_2(t) - G_2(0)},$$

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{G'_1(t)}{G'_2(t)} &= e^{t \coth(t)-1} \frac{\sinh(t) \cosh(t) - t}{2 \sinh^3(t) \cosh(t)} \\ &= \operatorname{sech}(t) e^{t \coth(t)-1} \frac{\sinh(2t) - 2t}{\sinh(3t) - 3 \sinh(t)} = g(t) k(t) \end{aligned}$$

where the functions $g(t)$ and $k(t)$ are defined as in Lemma 2.4 and 2.6, respectively.

Note that

$$(2.21) \quad G(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{G'_1(t)}{G'_2(t)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} g(t) \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} k(t) = \frac{1}{3},$$

and

$$(2.22) \quad G\left[\log\left(1 + \sqrt{2}\right)\right] = \left(1 + \sqrt{2}\right)^{\sqrt{2}}/e.$$

Therefore, Lemma 2.8 follows from Lemma 2.1, 2.4 and 2.6 together with (2.19)-(2.22).

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. Let $\lambda_1, \mu_1 \in [1/2, 1]$. Then the double inequality

$$C(x, y; \lambda_1) < R_{AQ}(a, b) < C(x, y; \mu_1)$$

holds for all $x, y > 0$ with $x \neq y$ if and only if $\lambda_1 \leq 1/2 + \sqrt{\sqrt{2}e^{\pi/4} - 1}/2 = 0.6878 \dots$ and $\mu_1 \geq 1/2 + \sqrt{6}/12 = 0.7041 \dots$.

Proof Since $R_{AQ}(x, y)$ and $C(x, y)$ are symmetric and homogenous of degree 1, we assume that $x > y > 0$. Let $v = (x - y)/(x + y) \in (0, 1)$, $t = \tan^{-1}(v) \in (0, \pi/4)$ and $p \in [1/2, 1]$. Then

from (1.1) and (1.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.1) \quad C(x, y; p) - R_{AQ}(x, y) &= C[px + (1-p)y, py + (1-p)x] - R_{AQ}(x, y) \\
&= A(x, y) \left[1 + (2p-1)^2 v^2 \right] - A(x, y) \sqrt{1+v^2} e^{\frac{\tan^{-1}(v)}{v} - 1} \\
&= A(x, y) \tan^2(t) \left[(2p-1)^2 - \frac{\sec(t) e^{t \cot(t) - 1} - 1}{\tan^2(t)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.1 follows easily from (3.1) and Lemma 2.7.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\lambda_2, \mu_2 \in [1/2, 1]$. Then the double inequality

$$C(x, y; \lambda_2) < R_{QA}(a, b) < C(x, y; \mu_2)$$

holds for all $x, y > 0$ with $x \neq y$ if and only if $\lambda_2 \leq 1/2 + \sqrt{\left(1 + \sqrt{2}\right)^{\sqrt{2}}/e - 1/2}$ and $\mu_2 \geq 1/2 + \sqrt{3}/6 = 0.7886\dots$.

Proof Since $R_{QA}(x, y)$ and $C(x, y)$ are symmetric and homogenous of degree 1, we assume that $x > y > 0$. Let $v = (x - y)/(x + y) \in (0, 1)$, $t = \sinh^{-1}(v) \in \left(0, \log\left(1 + \sqrt{2}\right)\right)$ and $q \in [1/2, 1]$. Then from (1.4) one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.2) \quad C(x, y; q) - R_{QA}(x, y) &= C[qx + (1-q)y, qy + (1-q)x] - R_{QA}(x, y) \\
&= A(x, y) \left[1 + (2q-1)^2 v^2 \right] - A(x, y) e^{\frac{\sqrt{1+v^2} \sinh^{-1}(v)}{v} - 1} \\
&= A(x, y) \sinh^2(t) \left[(2q-1)^2 - \frac{e^{t \coth(t) - 1} - 1}{\sinh^2(t)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.2 follows easily from (3.2) and Lemma 2.8.

As an application, then from Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 we get the following Corollary 3.3 immediately.

Corollary 3.3. Let

$$\alpha(x, y; \theta) = \log C(x, y; \theta) - \log Q(x, y) + 1,$$

$$\beta(x, y; \theta) = \log C(x, y; \theta) - \log A(x, y) + 1,$$

The double inequalities

$$\frac{A(x, y)}{\alpha(x, y; \mu_1)} < T(x, y) < \frac{A(x, y)}{\alpha(x, y; \lambda_1)},$$

$$\frac{Q(x,y)}{\beta(x,y;\mu_2)} < NS(x,y) < \frac{Q(x,y)}{\beta(x,y;\lambda_2)}$$

hold for all $x, y > 0$ with $x \neq y, \lambda_1 = 1/2 + \sqrt{\sqrt{2}e^{\pi/4} - 1/2}$ and $\mu_1 = 1/2 + \sqrt{6}/12, \lambda_2 = 1/2 + \sqrt{(1 + \sqrt{2})^{\sqrt{2}}/e - 1/2}$ and $\mu_2 = 1/2 + \sqrt{3}/6$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11971142) and the Key Project of the Scientific Research of Zhejiang Open University in 2019 (Grant no. XKT- 19Z02) and the Natural Science Foundation of the Department of Education of Zhejiang Province in 2020(Grant no Y202043179) and the “312 project of talents cultivation” of Zhejiang Open University (Grant No.7).

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z.H. Yang, Three families of two-parameter means constructed by trigonometric functions, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2013 (2013), 541.
- [2] E. Neuman, On a new family of bivariate means, *J. Math. Inequal.* 11 (2017), 673-681.
- [3] H.Z. Xu, W.M. Qian, Sharp bounds for Sándor-Yang means in terms of quadratic mean, *J. Math. Inequal.* 12 (2018), 1149-1158.
- [4] T.H. Zhao, W.M. Qian, Y.Q. Song, Optimal bounds for two Sándor-type means in terms of power means, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2016 (2016), 64.
- [5] H.Z. Xu, Sharp bounds for Sándor-Yang means in terms of some bivariate means, *J. East China Normal Univ. (Nat. Sci.)*, 4 (2017), 41-50 (in Chinese).
- [6] F. Zhang, Y.Y. Yang, W.M. Qian, Sharp bounds for Sándor-Yang means in terms of the convex combination of classical bivariate means(Chinese), *J. Zhejiang Univ. (Sci. Ed.)*, 45 (2018), 665-672.
- [7] J.L. Wang, H.Z. Xu, W.M. Qian, Sharp bounds for Sándor-Yang means in terms of Lehmer means, *Advances in Inequalities and Applications*, *Adv. Inequal. Appl.* 2018 (2018), 2.
- [8] Z.H. Yang, Y.M. Chu, Optimal evaluations for the Sándor-Yang mean by power mean, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 19 (2016), 1031-1038.
- [9] T.H. Zhao, W.M. Qian, Y.Q. Song, Optimal bounds for two Sándor-type Means in terms of power means, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2016 (2016), 64.

- [10] Y.Y. Yang, W.M. Qian, Two optimal inequalities related to the Sándor-Yang type mean and one-parameter mean, *Commun. Math. Res.* 32 (2016), 352-358 (in Chinese).
- [11] X.-H. He, W.-M. Qian, H.-Z. Xu, Y.-M. Chu, Sharp power mean bounds for two Sandor-Yang means, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat., Ser. A Mat.* 113 (2019), 2627-2638.
- [12] G.D. Anderson, M.K. Vamanamurthy, M.K. Vuorinen, *Conformal Invariants, Inequalities, and Quasiconformal Maps*, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, JohnWiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1997.
- [13] S. Simic, M. Vuorinen, Landen inequalities for zero-balanced hyper-geometric function, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2012 (2012), 932061.