



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Adv. Inequal. Appl. 2026, 2026:2

<https://doi.org/10.28919/aia/9730>

ISSN: 2050-7461

NOVEL STEFFENSEN-TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR DELTA-INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS IN THE TIME-SCALE FRAMEWORK

LABEEB AHMAD^{1,*}, SHAMA FIRDOUS¹, HAMNA YOUNAS²

¹Department of Mathematics, Government College University, Katchery Road, Lahore 54000, Pakistan

²Department of Mathematics, Concordia College, Kasur 55050, Pakistan

Copyright © 2026 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. By employing delta integrals, we establish new Steffensen-type dynamic inequalities on arbitrary time scales, thereby extending and unifying several known results of Steffensen-type inequalities within a broader and more flexible analytical framework.

Keywords: Steffensen's inequality; dynamic inequality; delta integral; time scales.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 26D10, 26D15, 26D20, 34A40, 34N05.

1. INTRODUCTION

The well-known Steffensen's inequality [17] is written as:

Let f and g be integrable functions on $[a, b]$ such that f is nonincreasing and for every $y \in [a, b]$, $0 \leq g(y) \leq 1$. Then the following inequality

$$\int_{b-\lambda}^b f(y) dy \leq \int_a^b f(y) g(y) dy \leq \int_a^{a+\lambda} f(y) dy \quad (1.1)$$

holds, where $\lambda = \int_a^b g(y) dy$. A point to be noted if f is nondecreasing then the inequality (1.1) is reversed. In [13, 14] a comprehensive survey on steffensen's integral inequality can be found.

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: drlabeebahmad@gmail.com

Received December 04, 2025

Anderson, in [4], gave the the time scales version of Steffensen's integral inequality as follows:

$$\int_{b-\lambda}^b f(t) \nabla t \leq \int_a^b f(t) g(t) \nabla t \leq \int_a^{a+\lambda} f(t) \nabla t, \quad (1.2)$$

where f is of one sign and nonincreasing, $0 \leq g \leq 1$ for every $t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$, $\lambda = \int_a^b g(t) \nabla t$, and $b - \lambda, a + \lambda \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$. We get delta version of Steffensen's integral inequality by replacing Δ in place of ∇ in (1.2).

$$\int_{b-\lambda}^b f(t) \Delta t \leq \int_a^b f(t) g(t) \Delta t \leq \int_a^{a+\lambda} f(t) \Delta t, \quad (1.3)$$

where f is of one sign and nonincreasing, $0 \leq g(t) \leq 1$ for every $t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$, $\lambda = \int_a^b g(t) \Delta t$, and $b - \lambda, a + \lambda \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$.

The theory of time scales was first developed by Steffen Hilger in 1988 in his Ph.D. thesis [11], marking a significant milestone in the history of mathematical analysis. This theory aimed to unify discrete and continuous analysis (see [12]). Since then, it has attracted considerable attention. The works by Bohner and Peterson [5, 6] have made substantial contributions to the study of time scales, offering a comprehensive approach to time scales calculus and resolving numerous related problems.

By seeing the past history, there are many reasonable number of dynamic inequalities on time scales are studied by many researchers. In [1, 7, 8], Authors investigate and proved some Steffensen type inequalities using diamond- α integral. In [2, 3], Authors proved different kind of inequalities on time scales.

2. TIME SCALES ESSENTIALS

A time scales $\mathbb{T}$ is an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of a real numbers $\mathbb{R}$. The set of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ and set of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ are two well-known examples of time scales. If $\mathbb{T}$ has left-scattered maximum $\mathcal{M}_l$ then $\mathbb{T}^\kappa := \mathbb{T} - \{\mathcal{M}_l\}$; otherwise, $\mathbb{T}^\kappa = \mathbb{T}$.

Let a function $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$, $f^\Delta(t) \in \mathbb{R}$, is said to be the delta derivative of f at t , if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a neighborhood $\mathcal{V}_l$ of t such that, for all $s \in \mathcal{V}_l$, we have

$$|[f(\sigma(t)) - f(s)] - f^\Delta(t)[\sigma(t) - s]| \leq \varepsilon |\sigma(t) - s|$$

Theorem 2.1. [18] Assume $\psi, \phi : \text{are differentiable at } \varpi \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$,

(1) The sum $\psi + \phi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are also differentiable at ϖ with

$$(\psi + \phi)^\Delta(\varpi) = \psi^\Delta(\varpi) + \phi^\Delta(\varpi). \quad (2.1)$$

(2) For any constant k and $k\psi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable function at ϖ with

$$(k\psi)^\Delta(\varpi) = k\psi^\Delta(\varpi). \quad (2.2)$$

(3) The product $\psi\phi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable function at ϖ with

$$(\psi\phi)^\Delta = \psi^\Delta(\varpi)\phi(\varpi) + \psi(\sigma(\varpi))\phi^\Delta(\varpi). \quad (2.3)$$

(4) If $\psi(\varpi)\psi(\sigma(\varpi)) \neq 0$, then $\frac{1}{\psi}$ is differentiable function at ϖ with

$$\left(\frac{1}{\psi}\right)^\Delta(\varpi) = -\frac{\psi^\Delta(\varpi)}{\psi(\varpi)\psi(\sigma(\varpi))}. \quad (2.4)$$

(5) If $\phi(\varpi)\phi(\sigma(\varpi)) \neq 0$, then $\frac{\psi}{\phi}$ is differentiable at ϖ and

$$\left(\frac{\psi}{\phi}\right)^\Delta(\varpi) = \frac{\psi^\Delta(\varpi)\phi(\varpi) - \psi(\varpi)\phi^\Delta(\varpi)}{\phi(\varpi)\phi(\sigma(\varpi))}. \quad (2.5)$$

For example, $[(\varpi)^2]^\Delta = \varpi + \sigma(\varpi)$ and $(\frac{1}{\varpi})^\Delta = -\frac{1}{\varpi\sigma(\varpi)}$.

Proposition 2.2. [10] Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{T}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and f, g be continuous functions on $I_{\mathbb{T}}$, then

$$(1) \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (f(\varpi) + g(\varpi)) \Delta \varpi = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(\varpi) \Delta \varpi + \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g(\varpi) \Delta \varpi$$

$$(2) \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (\beta f(\varpi)) \Delta \varpi = \beta \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(\varpi) \Delta \varpi$$

$$(3) \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(\varpi) \Delta \varpi = -\int_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(\varpi) \Delta \varpi$$

$$(4) \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(\varpi) \Delta \varpi = \int_{\alpha}^{\gamma} f(\varpi) \Delta \varpi + \int_{\gamma}^{\beta} f(\varpi) \Delta \varpi$$

$$(5) \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha} f(\varpi) \Delta \varpi = 0.$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

This section is dedicated to stating and proving the main results.

(1) $\mathcal{A}_1 = a, b \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}$ with $a < b$.

(2) $\mathcal{A}_2 = f_1, f_2, f_3 : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are delta integrable functions on $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$.

(3) $\mathcal{A}_3 = \mathcal{Q}$ is of one sign and decreasing and $0 \leq \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \leq \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{W})$ on $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$.

(4) $\mathcal{A}_4 = f_4 : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is delta integrable function on $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ hold. Assume a sub-interval $[u, v]_{\mathbb{T}} \subseteq [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ with the condition $\int_u^v f_3(t) \Delta t = \int_a^b f_2(t) \Delta t$.*

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_u^v \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &= \int_a^u \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &+ \int_u^v [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v)] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_v^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_u^v \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &= \int_a^u \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &+ \int_u^v [\mathcal{Q}(u) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_v^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. By straightforward calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_u^v \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &= \int_u^v \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_a^u \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_u^v \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_v^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &= \int_u^v \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_a^u \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_v^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &= \int_u^v [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_u^v \mathcal{Q}(v) [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &+ \int_u^v \mathcal{Q}(v) [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &- \int_a^u \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_a^u \mathcal{Q}(v) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_a^u \mathcal{Q}(v) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &- \int_v^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_v^b \mathcal{Q}(v) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_v^b \mathcal{Q}(v) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &= \int_u^v [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v)] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_u^v \mathcal{Q}(v) [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &+ \int_a^u [\mathcal{Q}(v) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_a^u \mathcal{Q}(v) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &+ \int_v^b [\mathcal{Q}(v) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_v^b \mathcal{Q}(v) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &= \int_a^u [\mathcal{Q}(v) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_u^v [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_v^b [\mathcal{Q}(v) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
& + \mathcal{Q}(v) \left(\int_u^v [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_a^u \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_v^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \right) \\
= & \int_a^u [\mathcal{Q}(v) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_u^v [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
& + \int_v^b [\mathcal{Q}(v) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
& + \mathcal{Q}(v) \left(- \int_a^u \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_u^v \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_v^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_u^v \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \right) \\
= & \int_a^u [\mathcal{Q}(v) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_u^v [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
& + \int_v^b [\mathcal{Q}(v) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \mathcal{Q}(v) \left(- \int_a^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_u^v \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

By applying the assumption $\int_u^v \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} = \int_a^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W}$ in above equation we have

$$\begin{aligned}
= & \int_a^u [\mathcal{Q}(v) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_u^v [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
& + \int_v^b [\mathcal{Q}(v) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W}
\end{aligned}$$

which proves identity (3.1).

To prove the identity (3.2) again take equation (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
= & \int_u^v \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_a^u \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_v^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
= & \int_u^v [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_u^v \mathcal{Q}(u) [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
& + \int_u^v \mathcal{Q}(u) [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
& - \int_a^u \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_a^u \mathcal{Q}(u) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_a^u \mathcal{Q}(u) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
& - \int_v^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_v^b \mathcal{Q}(u) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_v^b \mathcal{Q}(u) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
= & \int_u^v [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u)] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_u^v \mathcal{Q}(u) [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
& + \int_a^u [\mathcal{Q}(u) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_a^u \mathcal{Q}(u) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
& + \int_v^b [\mathcal{Q}(u) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_v^b \mathcal{Q}(u) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
= & \int_a^u [\mathcal{Q}(u) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_u^v [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u)] \Delta \mathcal{W}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_v^b [\mathcal{Q}(u) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
& + \mathcal{Q}(u) \left(\int_u^v [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_a^u \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_v^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \right) \\
& = \int_a^u [\mathcal{Q}(u) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_u^v [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
& + \int_v^b [\mathcal{Q}(u) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
& + \mathcal{Q}(u) \left(- \int_a^u \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_u^v \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_v^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_u^v \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \right) \\
& = \int_a^u [\mathcal{Q}(u) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_u^v [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
& + \int_v^b [\mathcal{Q}(u) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \mathcal{Q}(u) \left(- \int_a^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_u^v \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Using assumption $\int_u^v \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} = \int_a^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W}$ in above equation.

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \int_a^u [\mathcal{Q}(u) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_u^v [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
& + \int_v^b [\mathcal{Q}(u) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W}
\end{aligned}$$

The identity (3.2) is thus completely proved.

Remark 3.2. By setting $u \rightarrow a, v \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ in (3.1) and $u \rightarrow \ell, v \rightarrow b$ in (3.2) respectively, we get the same result when $\alpha = 1$ in [15, Lemma 3.3].

Theorem 3.3. Assume $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3$ hold. Further suppose that $\int_a^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} = \int_{u_j}^{v_j} \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W}$ where $[u_j, v_j]_{\mathbb{T}} \subseteq [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $v_1 \leq v_2$.

Then

$$\int_{u_2}^{v_2} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - s(b, u_2, v_2) \leq \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \leq \int_{u_1}^{v_1} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + S(a, u_1, v_1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
S(a, u_1, v_1) &= \int_a^{u_1} [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_1)] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0 \\
s(b, u_2, v_2) &= \int_{v_2}^b [\mathcal{Q}(u_2) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By setting $u = u_1$ and $v = v_1$ in identity (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{u_1}^{v_1} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &= \int_a^{u_1} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &+ \int_{u_1}^{v_1} [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_1)] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_{v_1}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}$ is decreasing on intervals $[u_1, v_1], [v_1, b]$ and from inequality $0 \leq \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \leq \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W})$ we conclude that

$$\int_{v_1}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{u_1}^{v_1} [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_1)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0$$

which implies that

$$\int_{u_1}^{v_1} [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_1)] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_{v_1}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0$$

Therefore equation (3.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{u_1}^{v_1} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_a^{u_1} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_1)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0 \\ & \int_{u_1}^{v_1} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + S(a, u_1, v_1) \geq \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$S(a, u_1, v_1) = \int_a^{u_1} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_1)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0.$$

Now by setting $u = u_2$ and $v = v_2$ in identity (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^{v_2} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_{u_2}^{v_2} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &= \int_a^{u_2} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_2)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &+ \int_{u_2}^{v_2} [\mathcal{Q}(u_2) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_{v_2}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_2)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

By the assumption on intervals $[a, u_2], [u_2, v_2]$ the function $\mathcal{Q}$ is decreasing and $\mathcal{Q} \leq 0$ and also from inequality $0 \leq \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \leq \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W})$ we have

$$\int_a^{u_2} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_2)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{u_2}^{v_2} [\mathcal{Q}(u_2) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0$$

which implies that

$$\int_a^{u_2} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})[\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_2)]\Delta\mathcal{W} + \int_{u_2}^{v_2} [\mathcal{Q}(u_2) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})][\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})]\Delta\mathcal{W} \geq 0$$

So equation (3.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})\Delta\mathcal{W} - \int_{u_2}^{v_2} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})\Delta\mathcal{W} &\geq \int_{v_2}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})[\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_2)]\Delta\mathcal{W} \\ \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})\Delta\mathcal{W} &\geq \int_{u_2}^{v_2} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})\Delta\mathcal{W} - s(b, u_2, v_2) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$s(b, u_2, v_2) = \int_{v_2}^b [\mathcal{Q}(u_2) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})]\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})\Delta\mathcal{W} \geq 0.$$

By the combination of the inequalities (3.5) and (3.7) the proof of inequality (3.4) is completed.

Remark 3.4. By setting $u_1 \rightarrow \alpha, u_2 \rightarrow \beta - \lambda, v_1 \rightarrow \alpha + \lambda, v_2 \rightarrow \beta$ and $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) = 1$, the inequality (3.4) reduces to inequality (1.1).

Theorem 3.5. Assume $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3$ hold. Further let $\int_a^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})\Delta\mathcal{W} = \int_{u_j}^{v_j} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})\Delta\mathcal{W}$ where $[u_j, v_j]_{\mathbb{T}} \subseteq [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$ and $v_1 \leq v_2 \leq v_3$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=2}^3 \int_{u_j}^{v_j} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})\Delta\mathcal{W} - y(b, u_2, v_2, u_3, v_3) \\ &\leq 2 \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})\Delta\mathcal{W} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{u_j}^{v_j} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})\Delta\mathcal{W} + Y(a, u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2) \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$y(b, u_2, v_2, u_3, v_3) = \sum_{j=2}^3 \int_{v_j}^b [\mathcal{Q}(u_j) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})]\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})\Delta\mathcal{W} \geq 0$$

$$Y(a, u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_a^{u_j} [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_j)]\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})\Delta\mathcal{W} \geq 0$$

Proof. By setting $u = u_j$ and $v = v_j$ for $j=1, 2$ in identity (3.1), respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{u_1}^{v_1} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})\Delta\mathcal{W} - \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})\Delta\mathcal{W} \\ &= \int_a^{u_1} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})[\mathcal{Q}(v_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})]\Delta\mathcal{W} \\ &+ \int_{u_1}^{v_1} [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})][\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_1)]\Delta\mathcal{W} + \int_{v_1}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})[\mathcal{Q}(v_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})]\Delta\mathcal{W} \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{u_2}^{v_2} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
 &= \int_a^{u_2} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_2) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
 &+ \int_{u_2}^{v_2} [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_2)] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_{v_2}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_2) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Addition of equations (3.9) and (3.10) yields.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{u_j}^{v_j} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - 2 \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_a^{u_j} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_j) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
 &+ \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{u_j}^{v_j} [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_j)] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{v_j}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_j) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

From inequality $0 \leq \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \leq \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W})$ and by the assumption $\mathcal{Q}$ is decreasing on intervals $[u_1, v_1], [u_2, v_2], [v_1, b], [v_2, b]$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{u_j}^{v_j} [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_j)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0 \\
 \text{and} \quad & \int_{v_j}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_j) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 \left[\int_{u_j}^{v_j} [\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_j)] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_{v_j}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_j) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \right] \geq 0 \tag{3.12}$$

Equation (3.11) becomes together with (3.12).

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{u_j}^{v_j} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - 2 \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_a^{u_j} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_j) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
 & \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{u_j}^{v_j} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + Y(a, u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2) \geq 2 \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$Y(a, u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_a^{u_j} [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_j)] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0.$$

Now by replacing $u = u_j$ and $v = v_j$ for $j=2,3$ in identity (3.2), respectively.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_{u_2}^{v_2} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
&= \int_a^{u_2} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_2)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
&+ \int_{u_2}^{v_2} [\mathcal{Q}(u_2) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(u_2)] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_{v_2}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_2)] \Delta \mathcal{W}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_{u_3}^{v_3} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
&= \int_a^{u_3} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_3)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
&+ \int_{u_3}^{v_3} [\mathcal{Q}(u_3) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(u_3)] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_{v_3}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_3)] \Delta \mathcal{W}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Addition of equations (3.14) and (3.15) yields.

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \sum_{j=2}^3 \int_{u_j}^{v_j} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
&= \sum_{j=2}^3 \int_a^{u_j} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_j)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
&+ \sum_{j=2}^3 \int_{u_j}^{v_j} [\mathcal{Q}(u_j) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(u_j)] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \sum_{j=2}^3 \int_{v_j}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_j)] \Delta \mathcal{W}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

In the view of assumption $\mathcal{Q}$ is decreasing on intervals $[a, u_2], [a, u_3], [u_2, v_2], [u_3, v_3]$ and $\mathcal{Q} \leq 0$ and also from inequality $0 \leq \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \leq \mathfrak{I}(u_j)$. we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_a^{u_j} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_j)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0 \\
& \text{and} \quad \int_{u_j}^{v_j} [\mathcal{Q}(u_j) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(u_j)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } j = 2, 3
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\sum_{j=2}^3 \left[\int_a^{u_j} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_j)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0 + \int_{u_j}^{v_j} [\mathcal{Q}(u_j) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(u_j)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \right] \geq 0 \tag{3.17}$$

Therefore equation (3.16) becomes, together with equation (3.17).

$$\begin{aligned}
 2 \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \sum_{j=2}^3 \int_{u_j}^{v_j} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} &\geq \sum_{j=2}^3 \int_{v_j}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_j)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
 2 \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} &\geq \sum_{j=2}^3 \int_{u_j}^{v_j} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - y(b, u_2, v_2, u_3, v_3)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where

$$y(b, u_2, v_2, u_3, v_3) = \sum_{j=2}^3 \int_{v_j}^b [\mathcal{Q}(u_j) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0$$

Combining the inequalities (3.13) and (3.18) we have the required result(3.8).

Theorem 3.6. Assume $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3$ hold. Further let $\int_a^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} = \int_{u_j}^{v_j} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W}$ where $[u_j, v_j]_{\mathbb{T}} \subseteq [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ and also $v_1 \leq v_2 \leq v_3 \dots \leq v_n$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{j=2}^n \int_{u_j}^{v_j} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - r(u_n, v_n) \\
 &\leq (n-1) \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{u_j}^{v_j} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + R(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 r(u_n, v_n) &= \int_{v_j}^b [\mathcal{Q}(u_j) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0 \\
 R(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) &= \int_a^{u_j} [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_j)] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By replacing $u = u_j$ and $v = v_j$ for $j=1, 2, \dots, n-1$ in identity (3.1), respectively.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{u_1}^{v_1} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
 &= \int_a^{u_1} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
 &+ \int_{u_1}^{v_1} [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_1)] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_{v_1}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{u_2}^{v_2} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
&= \int_a^{u_2} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_2) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
&+ \int_{u_2}^{v_2} [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_2)] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_{v_2}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_2) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{u_{n-1}}^{v_{n-1}} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
&= \int_a^{u_{n-1}} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_{n-1}) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
&+ \int_{u_{n-1}}^{v_{n-1}} [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_{n-1})] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_{v_{n-1}}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_{n-1}) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Addition of all above equations we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{u_j}^{v_j} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - (n-1) \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_a^{u_j} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_j) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{u_j}^{v_j} [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_j)] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{v_j}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_j) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

From inequality $0 \leq \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \leq \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})$ and by our assumption, $\mathcal{Q}$ is decreasing on intervals

$[u_1, v_1], [u_2, v_2], \dots, [u_n, v_n]$. we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{u_j}^{v_j} [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_j)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0 \\
& \text{and} \quad \int_{v_j}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_j) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[\int_{u_j}^{v_j} [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_j)] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_{v_j}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(v_j) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \right] \geq 0 \tag{3.24}$$

Finally Equation (3.23) becomes, together with equation (3.24).

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{u_j}^{v_j} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + R(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) \geq (n-1) \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \quad (3.25)$$

where

$$R(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) = \int_a^{u_j} [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_j)] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0$$

Now by setting $u = u_j$ and $v = v_j$ for $j=2,3,\dots,n$ in identity (3.2), respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_{u_2}^{v_2} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &= \int_a^{u_2} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_2)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &+ \int_{u_2}^{v_2} [\mathcal{Q}(u_2) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_{v_2}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_2)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_{u_3}^{v_3} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &= \int_a^{u_3} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_3)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &+ \int_{u_3}^{v_3} [\mathcal{Q}(u_3) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_{v_3}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_3)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_{u_n}^{v_n} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &= \int_a^{u_n} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_n)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &+ \int_{u_n}^{v_n} [\mathcal{Q}(u_n) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_{v_n}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_n)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

Addition of all above equations yields.

$$\begin{aligned} & (n-1) \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \sum_{j=2}^n \int_{u_j}^{v_j} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^n \int_a^{u_j} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_j)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^n \int_{u_j}^{v_j} [\mathcal{Q}(u_j) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \sum_{j=2}^n \int_{v_j}^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_j)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

By our assumption, $\mathcal{Q}$ is decreasing on intervals $[a, u_2]$, $[a, u_3]$, ..., $[a, u_n]$ and from inequality $0 \leq \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \leq \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{W})$. we conclude that,

$$\int_a^{u_j} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_j)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0$$

and $\int_{u_j}^{v_j} [\mathcal{Q}(u_j) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } j = 2, 3, \dots, n$

So

$$\sum_{j=2}^n \left[\int_a^{u_j} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_j)] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_{u_j}^{v_j} [\mathcal{Q}(u_j) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \right] \geq 0 \quad (3.30)$$

Finally Equation (3.29) becomes, together with equation (3.30).

$$(n-1) \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq \sum_{j=2}^n \int_{u_j}^{v_j} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - r(u_n, v_n) \quad (3.31)$$

where

$$r(u_n, v_n) = \int_{v_j}^b [\mathcal{Q}(u_j) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \geq 0$$

which yields the conclusion of the theorem 3.6 by combining inequalities (3.25) and (3.31).

Theorem 3.7. Suppose $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_4$ hold. with $\mathcal{Q}$ is of one sign and decreasing and

$$0 \leq \mathfrak{N}(\mathcal{W}) \leq \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \leq \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{N}(\mathcal{W}) \quad \text{on } [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad \text{Further suppose } \int_a^b \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} = \int_{u_j}^{v_j} \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W}$$

where $[u_j, v_j]_{\mathbb{T}} \subseteq [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $v_1 \leq v_2$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{u_2}^{v_2} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_a^{v_2} |[\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_2)] \mathfrak{N}(\mathcal{W})| \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_{v_2}^b [\mathcal{Q}(u_2) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ & \leq \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ & \leq \int_{u_1}^{v_1} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_a^{u_1} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_1)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\ & \quad - \int_{u_1}^b |[\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_1)] \mathfrak{N}(\mathcal{W})| \Delta \mathcal{W} \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

Proof. By the assumption that function $\mathcal{Q}$ one sign and nonincreasing function on the interval $[v_1, b]$ and $0 \leq \mathfrak{N}(\mathcal{W}) \leq \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \leq \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{N}(\mathcal{W})$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{u_1}^{v_1} |\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_1)| [\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_{v_1}^b |\mathcal{Q}(v_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})| \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
 & \geq \int_{u_1}^{v_1} |\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_1)| \mathfrak{H}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_{v_1}^b |\mathcal{Q}(v_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})| \mathfrak{H}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
 & = \int_{u_1}^b |[\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_1)]| \mathfrak{H}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Also since $\mathcal{Q}$ one sign and nonincreasing function on intervals $[a, u_2]$ and $[u_2, v_2]$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_a^{u_2} |\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_2)| \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_{u_2}^{v_2} |\mathcal{Q}(u_2) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})| [\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
 & \geq \int_a^{u_2} |\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_2)| \mathfrak{H}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_{u_2}^{v_2} |\mathcal{Q}(u_2) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})| \mathfrak{H}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
 & = \int_a^{v_2} |\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_2)| \mathfrak{H}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

using inequality (3.33) equation (3.4) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{u_1}^{v_1} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_a^{u_1} [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_1)] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
 & \geq \int_{u_1}^b |[\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_1)]| \mathfrak{H}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

and using inequality (3.34) equation (3.6) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_{u_2}^{v_2} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_{u_2}^{v_2} [\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W})] [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_2)] \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
 & \geq \int_a^{v_2} |[\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_2)]| \mathfrak{H}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

By the combination of the inequalities (3.35) and (3.36) the inequality (3.32) is proved.

Remark 3.8. Inequality (3.32) reduces to inequality (3.4), when $\mathfrak{H}(\mathcal{W}) = 0$.

Remark 3.9. A special case of inequality (3.32) can be found, if we put $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{J}$ and $\mathfrak{J} = 1$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{u_2}^{v_2} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} - \int_{v_2}^b [\mathcal{Q}(u_2) - \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W})] \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \mathfrak{J} \int_a^{v_2} |[\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(u_2)]| \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
 & \leq \int_a^b \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} \\
 & \leq \int_{u_1}^{v_1} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) \Delta \mathcal{W} + \int_a^{u_1} \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{W}) [\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_1)] \Delta \mathcal{W} - \mathfrak{J} \int_{u_1}^b |[\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}) - \mathcal{Q}(v_1)]| \Delta \mathcal{W}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $0 \leq \mathfrak{I} \leq \mathfrak{I}(\mathscr{W}) \leq 1 - \mathfrak{I}$ for all $\mathscr{W} \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Abdeldaim, A. A. El-Deeb, P. Agarwal, H. A. El-Sennary, On some dynamic inequalities of Steffensen type on time scales, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 41 (2018), 4737–4753.
- [2] R. Agarwal, M. Bohner and A. Peterson, Inequalities on time scales: A survey, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 4 (2001), 535–557.
- [3] R. Agarwal, M. Bohner and A. Peterson, Inequalities on time scales: a survey, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 4 (2001), 535–557.
- [4] D. R. Anderson, Time-scale integral inequalities, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* 6 (2005), art. 66, 1–15.
- [5] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic equations on time scales: an introduction with applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, (2001).
- [6] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Advances in dynamic equations on time scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston, (2003).
- [7] A. A. El-Deeb, H. A. El-Sennary and Zareen A. Khan, Some Steffensen-type dynamic inequalities on time scales, *Adv. Differ. Equ.* (2019), 246.
- [8] A. A. El-Deeb, H. Xu, A. Abdeldaim and G. Wang, Some dynamic inequalities on time scales and their applications, *Adv. Differ. Equ.* (2019), 130.
- [9] J. C. Evard and H. Gauchman, Steffensen type inequalities over general measure spaces, *Analysis* 17 (1997), 301–322.
- [10] G. S. Guseinov, Integration on time scales, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 285 (2003), 107–127.
- [11] S. Hilger, *Ein Maßkettenkalkül mit Anwendung auf Zentrumsmannigfaltigkeiten*, Ph.D. Thesis, Universität Würzburg, (1988).
- [12] S. Hilger, Analysis on measure chains—a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus, *Results Math.* 18 (1990), 18–56.
- [13] D. S. Mitrinovic, The Steffensen inequality, *Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz.* No. 247-273 (1969), 1–14.
- [14] D. S. Mitrinovic, J. E. Pecaric and A. M. Fink, *Classical and new inequalities in analysis*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, (1993).
- [15] U. M. Ozkan and H. Yildirim, Steffensen’s integral inequality on time scales, *J. Inequal. Appl.* (2007), 1–10.
- [16] Q. Sheng, M. Fadag, J. Henderson and J. M. Davis, An exploration of dynamic derivatives on time scales and their applications, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* 7 (2006), 395–413.

- [17] J. F. Steffensen, On certain inequalities between mean values, and their applications to actuarial problems, Skand. Aktuarietidskr. (1918), 82–97.
- [18] F. H. Wong, C. C. Yeh and W. C. Lian, An extension of Jensen's inequality on time scales, Adv. Dynam. Syst. Appl. 1 (2006), 113–120.