



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Commun. Math. Biol. Neurosci. 2015, 2015:9

ISSN: 2052-2541

## PERMANENCE OF A GILPIN-AYALA COMPETITION SYSTEM WITH INFINITE DELAYS AND SINGLE FEEDBACK CONTROL

DANHONG WANG

Department of Mathematics, Minjiang University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350108, China

Copyright © 2015 Danhong Wang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract.** In this paper, a two species Gilpin-Ayala competition system with infinite delays and single feedback control variable is studied. The sufficient conditions for permanence are obtained. Our result shows that by choosing suitable feedback control variable, the extinct species in original system could become permanent.

**Keywords:** Permanence; Gilpin-Ayala competition system; Infinite delay; Feedback control.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 34D23, 34D20, 92D25.

### 1. Introduction

Traditional Lotka-Volterra competition system can be expressed as follows

$$x_i'(t) = x_i(t)[b_i(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t)x_j(t)], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (1)$$

As we well know, system (1) has been studied extensively, many excellent results of system (1) are obtained; see [1-6,10,13-14] and the references therein. In 1973, Ayala et al. proposed the

---

\*Corresponding author

E-mail address: [dingdongwdh@126.com](mailto:dingdongwdh@126.com)

Received October 15, 2014

following competition system by experiment.

$$\begin{aligned}x_1'(t) &= r_1x_1(t)\left[1 - \left(\frac{x_1(t)}{k_1}\right)\theta_1 - \alpha_{12}\frac{x_2(t)}{k_1}\right], \\x_2'(t) &= r_2x_2(t)\left[1 - \alpha_{21}\frac{x_1(t)}{k_2} - \left(\frac{x_2(t)}{k_2}\right)\theta_2\right].\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

Gilpin-Ayala competition system also has been studied from different aspects by many researchers; see [7-12,15-16] and the references therein. Chen [9] proposed the following system

$$\begin{aligned}x_1'(t) &= r_1x_1(t)\left[1 - \left(\frac{x_1(t)}{k_1}\right)\theta_1 - \alpha_{12}\int_{-\infty}^t\frac{x_2(s)}{k_1}dh_2(t-s)\right], \\x_2'(t) &= r_2x_2(t)\left[1 - \alpha_{21}\int_{-\infty}^t\frac{x_1(s)}{k_2}dh_1(t-s) - \left(\frac{x_2(t)}{k_2}\right)\theta_2\right].\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

and systematically discussed the stability of system (3). Wang [15] studied system (3). We assumed the following inequalities

$$(H_1) : k_1 > \alpha_{12}k_2, k_2 \leq \alpha_{21}k_1; \theta_1 \geq 1, \theta_2 \leq 1;$$

hold, and proved the extinction of the system, that is, for any solution  $(x_1(t), x_2(t))^T$  of system (3), one has  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_2(t) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_1(t) = k_1$ .

On the other hand, ecosystem in the real world are continuously disturbed by unpredictable forces which can result in changes in the biological parameters such as survival rates. In the language of control variables, we call the disturbance functions as control variables. In some cases, one may choose a single control strategy, and such a strategy has influence on both species. For instance, in the medical system, when we take chemotherapeutic drugs for cancer patients, cancer cells will decrease rapidly, but at the same time, drugs do harm to normal cells and body's immune function; see [17] and the references therein.

Above analysis motivated us to propose the following two species competitive system with single feedback control variable

$$\begin{aligned}x_1'(t) &= r_1x_1(t)\left(1 - \left(\frac{x_1(t)}{k_1}\right)\theta_1 - \alpha_{12}\int_{-\infty}^t\frac{x_2(s)}{k_1}dh_2(t-s) - c_1\int_{-\infty}^t u(s)dk_1(t-s)\right), \\x_2'(t) &= r_2x_2(t)\left(1 - \alpha_{21}\int_{-\infty}^t\frac{x_1(s)}{k_2}dh_1(t-s) - \left(\frac{x_2(t)}{k_2}\right)\theta_2 + c_2\int_{-\infty}^t u(s)dk_2(t-s)\right), \\u'(t) &= f - eu(t) + d_1\int_{-\infty}^t x_1(s)dh_3(t-s) - d_2\int_{-\infty}^t x_2(s)dh_4(t-s).\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

here the coefficients  $r_i, k_i, \theta_i, \alpha_{ij}, c_i, d_i, f, e, (i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j)$  are all positive constants. The delay kernels:  $h_i(s) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow R, (i = 1, 2, 3, 4), k_i(s) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow R, (i = 1, 2)$  are non-increasing

functions of bounded variation such that

$$\int_0^\infty dh_i(s) = -1 \text{ and } \int_0^\infty dk_i(s) = -1.$$

we shall consider system (4) together with the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} x_i(s) &= \phi_i(s) > 0, s \in (-\infty, 0], i = 1, 2, \\ u(s) &= \psi(s) > 0, s \in (-\infty, 0]. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

here  $\phi_i, \psi \in BC^+ = \{ \phi \in (C(-\infty, 0], [0, \infty)) : \phi(0) > 0 \text{ and } \phi \text{ is bounded} \}, (i = 1, 2)$ .

Now, we further assume the coefficients of the system (4) satisfy the inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} (H_2) : 1 - \frac{\alpha_{12}k_2}{k_1} \left(1 + \frac{c_2(f + d_1k_1)}{e}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_2}} &> c_1 \left(\frac{f + d_1k_1}{e}\right); \\ \frac{c_2}{e} \left(f - d_2k_2 \left(1 + \frac{c_2(f + d_1k_1)}{e}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_2}}\right) &> \frac{\alpha_{21}k_1}{k_2} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that by the fundamental theory of functional differential equations [18], system (4) has a unique solution  $(x_1(t), x_2(t), u(t))^T$  satisfying the initial condition (5). We can easily prove  $x_i(t) > 0, i = 1, 2, u(t) > 0$  in maximal interval of existence of the solution. In this paper, the solution of system (4) satisfying the initial conditions (5) is said to be positive.

The aim of this paper is, by further developing the analysis technique of Francisco Montes de Oca and Miguel Vivas and using the differential inequality theory, to obtain a set of sufficient conditions to ensure the permanence of the system (4). Our result will show that (5),  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  will ensure the permanence of the system (4). This means that for system (3), feedback control is an effective method to avoid the extinction of the species.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we shall state several lemmas which will be useful in the proving of main results.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $x : R \rightarrow R$  be a bounded nonnegative continuous function, and let  $h : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow R$  be a non-increasing function of bounded variation such that  $\int_0^\infty dh(s) = -1$ , then we have*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t x(t) dh(t-s) \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t x(t) dh(t-s) \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t).$$

**Proof.** Lemma 2.1 can be regarded as a generalized version of Lemma 3 of Montes de Oca and Zeeman [4] and can be proved in a similar way.

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $a > 0, b > 0$  and  $x' \geq x(b - ax^\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha$  is a positive constant, when  $t \geq 0, x(0) > 0$ , we have  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) \geq (\frac{b}{a})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ ; If  $a > 0, b > 0$  and  $x' \leq x(b - ax^\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha$  is a positive constant, when  $t \geq 0, x(0) > 0$ , we have  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) \leq (\frac{b}{a})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $a > 0, b > 0$  and  $x' \geq b - ax$ , when  $t \geq 0, x(0) > 0$ , we have  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) \geq \frac{b}{a}$ ; If  $a > 0, b > 0$  and  $x' \leq b - ax$ , when  $t \geq 0, x(0) > 0$ , we have  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) \leq \frac{b}{a}$ .*

Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 are direct corollary of Lemma 2.2 of Chen [10].

### 3. Permanence

In this section, we discuss the permanence of system (4).

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(x_1(t), x_2(t), u(t))^T$  is any solution of (4) and (5). Assume that  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  hold. Then system (4) is permanent.*

**Proof.** Let  $(x_1(t), x_2(t), u(t))^T$  be any solution of (4) and (5). From the first equation of system (4) it follows that

$$x_1'(t) \leq r_1 x_1(t) \left(1 - \left(\frac{x_1(t)}{k_1}\right)^{\theta_1}\right). \quad (6)$$

Thus, as a direct corollary of Lemma 2.2, one has

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_1(t) \leq k_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{x}_1. \quad (7)$$

From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t x_1(t) dh_3(t-s) \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_1(t) \leq \bar{x}_1.$$

For any small positive constant  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it follows from (7) that there exists a  $T_1 > 0$  such that for  $t > T_1$ ,

$$\int_{-\infty}^t x_1(t) dh_3(t-s) \leq \bar{x}_1 + \varepsilon. \quad (8)$$

(8) together with the third equation of system (4) leads to

$$u'(t) \leq f - eu(t) + d_1(\bar{x}_1 + \varepsilon). \quad (9)$$

From Lemma 2.3, one has

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(t) \leq \frac{f + d_1(\bar{x}_1 + \varepsilon)}{e}.$$

Setting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in above inequality leads to

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(t) \leq \frac{f + d_1\bar{x}_1}{e} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{u}. \quad (10)$$

From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t u(t) dk_2(t-s) \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(t) \leq \bar{u}. \quad (11)$$

For above  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it follows from (11) that there exists a  $T_2 > T_1 > 0$  such that for  $t > T_2$ ,

$$\int_{-\infty}^t u(t) dk_2(t-s) u \leq \bar{u} + \varepsilon. \quad (12)$$

(12) together with the second equation of system (1.4) leads to

$$x_2'(t) \leq r_2 x_2(t) \left( 1 - \left( \frac{x_2(t)}{k_2} \right)^{\theta_2} + c_2(\bar{u} + \varepsilon) \right). \quad (13)$$

From Lemma 2.2, one has

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_2(t) \leq (1 + c_2(\bar{u} + \varepsilon))^{\frac{1}{\theta_2}} \cdot k_2.$$

Setting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in above inequality leads to

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_2(t) \leq (1 + c_2\bar{u})^{\frac{1}{\theta_2}} \cdot k_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{x}_2. \quad (14)$$

From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t x_2(s) dh_2(t-s) &\leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_2(t) \leq \bar{x}_2, \\ \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t x_2(s) dh_4(t-s) &\leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_2(t) \leq \bar{x}_2, \\ \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t u(t) dk_1(t-s) &\leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(t) \leq \bar{u}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

For any small positive constant  $\varepsilon > 0$ , from  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$ , without loss of generality, we may choose  $\varepsilon$  small enough such that

$$1 - \frac{\alpha_{12}}{k_1} \left( \left( 1 + \frac{c_2(f + d_1 k_1)}{e} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_2}} \cdot k_2 + \varepsilon \right) > c_1 \left( \frac{f + d_1 k_1}{e} + \varepsilon \right),$$

$$f - (d_2((1 + \frac{c_2(f + d_1 k_1)}{e})^{\frac{1}{\theta_2}} \cdot k_2 + \varepsilon)) > 0.$$

hold together. That is, we can take  $\varepsilon$  small enough such that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{\alpha_{12}}{k_1}(\bar{x}_2 + \varepsilon) - c_1(\bar{u} + \varepsilon) &> 0, \\ f - d_2(\bar{x}_2 + \varepsilon) &> 0. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

hold together. For above  $\varepsilon > 0$ , from (15) it follows that there exists a  $T_3 > T_2 > T_1 > 0$  such that for  $t > T_3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^t x_2(s) dh_2(t-s) &\leq \bar{x}_2 + \varepsilon, \\ \int_{-\infty}^t x_2(s) dh_4(t-s) &\leq \bar{x}_2 + \varepsilon, \\ \int_{-\infty}^t u(t) dk_1(t-s) &\leq \bar{u} + \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

(17) together with the first and third equation of system (4) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} x_1'(t) &\geq r_1 x_1(t) \left(1 - \left(\frac{x_1(t)}{k_1}\right)^{\theta_1} - \frac{\alpha_{12}}{k_1}(\bar{x}_2 + \varepsilon) - c_1(\bar{u} + \varepsilon)\right), \\ u'(t) &\geq f - eu(t) - d_2(\bar{x}_2 + \varepsilon). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

By applying Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 to (18), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_1(t) &\geq \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{12}}{k_1}(\bar{x}_2 + \varepsilon) - c_1(\bar{u} + \varepsilon)\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_1}} \cdot k_1, \\ \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(t) &\geq \frac{f - d_2(\bar{x}_2 + \varepsilon)}{e}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Setting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in above inequalities leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_1(t) &\geq \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{12}}{k_1} \bar{x}_2 - c_1 \bar{u}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_1}} \cdot k_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underline{x}_1, \\ \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(t) &\geq \frac{f - d_2 \bar{x}_2}{e} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underline{u}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t x_1(s) dh_1(t-s) &\leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_1(t) \leq \bar{x}_1, \\ \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t u(t) dk_2(t-s) &\geq \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(t) \geq \underline{u}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

For any small positive constant  $\varepsilon > 0$ , from  $(H_1), (H_2)$ , without loss of generality, we may choose  $\varepsilon$  small enough such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(f - d_2 k_2((1 + \frac{c_2(f + d_1 k_1)}{e})^{\frac{1}{\theta_2}}))}{e} &> 0, \\ 1 - \frac{\alpha_{21}(k_1 + \varepsilon)}{k_2} + c_2 \left( \frac{(f - d_2 k_2((1 + \frac{c_2(f + d_1 k_1)}{e})^{\frac{1}{\theta_2}}))}{e} - \varepsilon \right) &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

hold together. That is, we can take  $\varepsilon$  small enough such that

$$\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}\underline{u},$$

$$1 - \frac{\alpha_{21}(\bar{x}_1 + \varepsilon)}{k_2} + c_2(\underline{u} - \varepsilon) > 0.$$

hold together. For above  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it follows from (21) that there exists a  $T_4 > T_3 > T_2 > T_1 > 0$  such that for  $t > T_4$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^t x_1(s) dh_1(t-s) &\leq (\bar{x}_1 + \varepsilon), \\ \int_{-\infty}^t u(t) dk_2(t-s) &\geq (\underline{u} - \varepsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

(22) together with the second equation of system (1.4) leads to

$$x_2'(t) \geq r_2 x_2(t) \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha_{21}}{k_2} (\bar{x}_1 + \varepsilon) + c_2(\underline{u} - \varepsilon) - \left( \frac{x_2(t)}{k_2} \right)^{\theta_2} \right). \quad (23)$$

Again, by applying Lemma 2.2 to (23), one obtains

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_2(t) \geq \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha_{21}(\bar{x}_1 + \varepsilon)}{k_2} + c_2(\underline{u} - \varepsilon) \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_2}} \cdot k_2 > 0.$$

Setting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in above inequality leads to

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_2(t) \geq \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha_{21}\bar{x}_1}{k_2} + c_2\underline{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_2}} \cdot k_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underline{x}_2. \quad (24)$$

Now, let  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \min\{\underline{x}_i, \underline{u}, i = 1, 2\}$ ,  $\beta = 2 \max\{\bar{x}_i, \bar{u}, i = 1, 2\}$ , then  $\alpha, \beta$  is independent of any positive solution of system (4), also from (7), (10), (14) and (24) it immediately follows that

$$0 < \alpha \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(t) \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(t) \leq \beta < +\infty,$$

$$0 < \alpha \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(t) \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(t) \leq \beta < +\infty.$$

Above inequalities shows that system (4) is permanent. The proof of the theorem is complete.

### Conflict of Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests.

### Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (2012J05007).

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ahmad, Extinction of species in nonautonomous Lotka-Volterra systems, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 127 (1999), 2905-2910.
- [2] S. Ahmad, A.C. Lazer, Average conditions for global asymptotic stability in a nonautonomous Lotka-Volterra systems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 40 (2000), 37-49.
- [3] S. Ahmad, I.M. Stamova, Partial persistence and extinction in N-dimensional competitive systems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 60 (2005), 821-836.
- [4] F. Montes de Oca, M.L. Zeeman, Extinction in nonautonomous competitive Lotka-Volterra systems, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 124 (1996), 3677-3687.
- [5] Z.D. Teng, On the nonautonomous Lotka-Volterra N-species competing systems, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 114 (2000), 175-185.
- [6] F.D. Chen, Persistence and periodic orbits for two-species non-autonomous diffusion Lotka-Volterra models, *Appl. Math. J. Chin. Univ. Ser. B* 19 (2004), 359-366.
- [7] F.D. Chen, Some new results on the permanence and extinction of nonautonomous Gilpin-Ayala type competition model with delays, *Nonlinear Anal.* 7 (2006), 1205-1222.
- [8] F.D. Chen, Average conditions for permanence and extinction in nonautonomous Gilpin-Ayala competition model, *Nonlinear Anal.* 7 (2006), 895-915.
- [9] F.D. Chen, Y.M. Chen, S.J. Guo, Z. Li, Global attractivity of a two species Gilpin-Ayala integro-differential competition system with infinite delays, *Diff. Equ. Dyn. Syst.* 18 (2010), 303-315.
- [10] F.D. Chen, On a nonlinear non-autonomous predator-prey model with diffusion and distributed delay, *Comput. Appl. Math.* 80 (2005), 33-49.
- [11] F.D. Chen, Z. Li, Y.J. Huang, Note on the permanence of a competitive system with infinite delay and feedback controls, *Nonlinear Anal.* 8 (2007), 680-687.
- [12] X.X. Liao, J. Li, Stability in Gilpin-Ayala competition models with diffusion, *Nonlinear Anal.* 28 (1997), 751-758.
- [13] J.D. Zhao, J.F. Jiang, Average conditions for permanence and extinction in nonautonomous Lotka-Volterra system, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 299 (2004), 663-675.
- [14] J.D. Zhao, J.F. Jiang, A.C.Lazer, The permanence and global attractivity in a nonautonomous Lotka-Volterra systems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 5 (2004), 265-276.
- [15] D.H. Wang, J.Y. Xu, H.N. Wang, Extinction in a Generalized Gilpin-Ayala competition system, *J. FuZhou Univ.* 41 (2013), 967-971. (in Chinese)
- [16] D.H. Wang, Permanence of a nonlinear n-species Lotka-Volterra competition system with infinite delays, *J. MinJing Univ.* 34 (2013), 9-13.(in Chinese)



- [17] F.X. Yao, H. Zheng, H.Y. Li, C. Qin, Effect of chemotherapy on cell immunofunction in patients of lung cancer, *Chinese Journal of Clinical Oncology and Rehabilitation* 8 (2001), 213-218.(in chinese)
- [18] J.K. Hale, *Theory of Functional Differential Equations*, Springer, HeideIberg, 1977.