



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Commun. Math. Biol. Neurosci. 2016, 2016:22

ISSN: 2052-2541

POSITIVE PERIODIC SOLUTION OF A DISCRETE COMMENSAL SYMBIOSIS MODEL WITH HOLLING II FUNCTIONAL RESPONSE

TINGTING LI*, QIAOXIA LIN, JINHUANG CHEN

College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, P. R. China

Copyright © 2016 Li, Lin and Chen. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. Sufficient conditions are obtained for the existence of positive periodic solution of the following discrete commensal symbiosis model with Holling II functional response

$$\begin{aligned}x_1(k+1) &= x_1(k) \exp \left\{ a_1(k) - b_1(k)x_1(k) + \frac{c_1(k)x_2(k)}{e_1(k) + f_1(k)x_2(k)} \right\}, \\x_2(k+1) &= x_2(k) \exp \{ a_2(k) - b_2(k)x_2(k) \},\end{aligned}$$

where $\{b_i(k)\}, i = 1, 2, \{c_1(k)\}, \{e_1(k)\}, \{f_1(k)\}$ are all positive ω -periodic sequences, ω is a fixed positive integer, $\{a_i(k)\}$ are ω -periodic sequences, which satisfies $\bar{a}_i = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} a_i(k) > 0, i = 1, 2$. The results obtained in this paper generalized the main results of Xiangdong Xie, Zhanshuai Miao, Yalong Xue (Commun. Math. Biol. Neurosci. 2015 (2015), Article ID 2).

Keywords: commensal symbiosis model; positive periodic solution; functional response.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 34C25, 92D25, 34D20, 34D40.

1. Introduction

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: n150320014@fzu.edu.cn

Received May 23, 2016

In the past decade, numerous works on the mutualism model has been published([1-14]) and many excellent works concerned with the persistence, existence of positive periodic solution, and stability of the system were obtained. However, only recently did scholars paid attention to the commensal symbiosis model([17-23]), a model which describe a relationship which is only favorable to the one side and have no influence to the other side.

Sun and Wei[15] first time proposed a intraspecific commensal model:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dt} &= r_1x\left(\frac{k_1 - x + ay}{k_1}\right), \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= r_2y\left(\frac{k_2 - y}{k_2}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

They investigated the local stability of all equilibrium points.

Stimulated by the works of Sun and Wei[15] and Fan and Wang[16], Xie et al. [17] proposed the following discrete commensal symbiosis model

$$\begin{aligned}x_1(k+1) &= x_1(k) \exp \{a_1(k) - b_1(k)x_1(k) + c_1(k)x_2(k)\}, \\ x_2(k+1) &= x_2(k) \exp \{a_2(k) - b_2(k)x_2(k)\},\end{aligned}\tag{1.2}$$

where $\{b_i(k)\}, i = 1, 2, \{c_1(k)\}$ are all positive ω -periodic sequences, ω is a fixed positive integer, $\{a_i(k)\}$ are ω -periodic sequences, which satisfies $\bar{a}_i = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} a_i(k) > 0, i = 1, 2$. By applying the coincidence degree theory, they showed that the system (1.2) admits at least one positive ω -periodic solution.

In system (1.1) and (1.2), the authors made the assumption that the influence of the second species to the first one is linearize. Generally speaking, a suitable relationship between two species should be a nonlinear one. Already, in predator-prey system, Holling type functional response has been widely used to describe the relationship between two species ([24-29]). Now, by adapting the Holling II functional response to system (1.2), we propose the following two species discrete commensal symbiosis model

$$\begin{aligned}x_1(k+1) &= x_1(k) \exp \left\{ a_1(k) - b_1(k)x_1(k) + \frac{c_1(k)x_2(k)}{e_1(k) + f_1(k)x_2(k)} \right\}, \\ x_2(k+1) &= x_2(k) \exp \{a_2(k) - b_2(k)x_2(k)\},\end{aligned}\tag{1.3}$$

where $\{b_i(k)\}, i = 1, 2, \{c_1(k)\}, \{e_1(k)\}, \{f_1(k)\}$ are all positive ω -periodic sequences, ω is a fixed positive integer, $\{a_i(k)\}$ are ω -periodic sequences, which satisfies $\bar{a}_i = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} a_i(k) > 0, i = 1, 2$. Here we assume that the coefficients of the system (1.3) are all periodic sequences, which have a common integer period. Such an assumption seems reasonable in view of seasonal factors, e.g., mating habits, availability of food, weather conditions, harvesting, and hunting, etc. The aim of this paper is to obtain a set of sufficient conditions which ensure the existence of positive periodic solution of system (1.3). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that such kind of commensal symbiosis model is proposed and studied.

2. Main results

In the proof of our existence theorem below, we will use the continuation theorem of Gaines and Mawhin([30]).

Lemma 2.1 (Continuation Theorem) *Let L be a Fredholm mapping of index zero and let N be L -compact on $\bar{\Omega}$. Suppose*

- (a). *For each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, every solution x of $Lx = \lambda Nx$ is such that $x \notin \partial\Omega$;*
- (b). *$QNx \neq 0$ for each $x \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker}L$ and*

$$\text{deg}\{JQN, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}L, 0\} \neq 0.$$

Then the equation $Lx = Nx$ has at least one solution lying in $\text{Dom}L \cap \bar{\Omega}$.

Let Z, Z^+, R and R^+ denote the sets of all integers, nonnegative integers, real unumbers, and nonnegative real numbers, respectively. For convenience, in the following discussion, we will use the notation below throughout this paper:

$$I_\omega = \{0, 1, \dots, \omega - 1\}, \bar{g} = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} g(k), g^u = \max_{k \in I_\omega} g(k), g^l = \min_{k \in I_\omega} g(k),$$

where $\{g(k)\}$ is an ω -periodic sequence of real numbers defined for $k \in Z$.

Lemma 2.2^[16] *Let $g : Z \rightarrow R$ be ω -periodic, i. e., $g(k + \omega) = g(k)$. Then for any fixed $k_1, k_2 \in I_\omega$,*

and any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has

$$g(k) \leq g(k_1) + \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |g(s+1) - g(s)|,$$

$$g(k) \geq g(k_2) - \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |g(s+1) - g(s)|.$$

We now reach the position to establish our main result.

Theorem 2.1 *System (1.3) admits at least one positive ω -periodic solution.*

Proof. Let

$$x_i(k) = \exp\{u_i(k)\}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

so that system (1.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(k+1) - u_1(k) &= a_1(k) - b_1(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} + \frac{c_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}}{e_1(k) + f_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}}, \\ u_2(k+1) - u_2(k) &= a_2(k) - b_2(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Define

$$l_2 = \left\{ y = \{y(k)\}, y(k) = (y_1(k), y_2(k))^T \in \mathbb{R}^2 \right\}.$$

For $a = (a_1, a_2)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2$, define $|a| = \max\{|a_1|, |a_2|\}$. Let $l^\omega \subset l_2$ denote the subspace of all ω sequences equipped with the usual normal form $\|y\| = \max_{k \in I_\omega} |y(k)|$. It is not difficult to show that l^ω is a finite-dimensional Banach space. Let

$$l_0^\omega = \{y = \{y(k)\} \in l^\omega : \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} y(k) = 0\}, \quad l_c^\omega = \{y = \{y(k)\} \in l^\omega : y(k) = h \in \mathbb{R}^2, k \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

then l_0^ω and l_c^ω are both closed linear subspace of l^ω , and

$$l^\omega = l_0^\omega \oplus l_c^\omega, \quad \dim l_c^\omega = 2.$$

Now let us define $X = Y = l^\omega$, $(Ly)(k) = y(k+1) - y(k)$. It is trivial to see that L is a bounded linear operator and

$$\text{Ker}L = l_c^\omega, \quad \text{Im}L = l_0^\omega, \quad \dim \text{Ker}L = 2 = \text{Codim} \text{Im}L.$$

Then it follows that L is a Fredholm mapping of index zero. Let

$$N(u_1, u_2)^T = (N_1, N_2)^T := N(u, k),$$

where

$$\begin{cases} N_1 &= a_1(k) - b_1(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} + \frac{c_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}}{e_1(k) + f_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}}, \\ N_2 &= a_2(k) - b_2(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}. \end{cases}$$

$$Px = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} x(s), x \in X, \quad Qy = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} y(s), y \in Y.$$

It is not difficult to show that P and Q are two continuous projectors such that

$$\text{Im}P = \text{Ker}L \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Im}L = \text{Ker}Q = \text{Im}(I - Q).$$

Furthermore, the generalized inverse (to L) $K_p: \text{Im}L \rightarrow \text{Ker}P \cap \text{Dom}L$ exists and is given by

$$K_p(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} z(s) - \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} (\omega - s)z(s).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} QNx &= \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} N(x, k), \\ K_p(I - Q)Nx &= \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} N(x, s) + \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} sN(x, s) - \left(\frac{k}{\omega} + \frac{\omega - 1}{2\omega} \right) \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} N(x, s). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, QN and $K_p(I - Q)N$ are continuous. Since X is a finite-dimensional Banach space, it is not difficult to show that $\overline{K_p(I - Q)N(\overline{\Omega})}$ is compact for any open bounded set $\Omega \subset X$. Moreover, $QN(\overline{\Omega})$ is bounded. Thus, N is L -compact on any open bounded set $\Omega \subset X$. The isomorphism J of $\text{Im}Q$ onto $\text{Ker}L$ can be the identity mapping, since $\text{Im}Q = \text{Ker}L$.

Now we are at the point to search for an appropriate open, bounded subset Ω in X for the application of the continuation theorem. Corresponding to the operator equation $Lx = \lambda Nx, \lambda \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(k+1) - u_1(k) &= \lambda \left[a_1(k) - b_1(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} + \frac{c_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}}{e_1(k) + f_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}} \right], \\ u_2(k+1) - u_2(k) &= \lambda [a_2(k) - b_2(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Suppose that $y = (y_1(k), y_2(k))^T \in X$ is an arbitrary solution of system (2.2) for a certain $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Summing on both sides of (2.2) from 0 to $\omega - 1$ with respect to k , we reach

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[a_1(k) - b_1(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} + \frac{c_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}}{e_1(k) + f_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}} \right] &= 0, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} [a_2(k) - b_2(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} b_1(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} = \bar{a}_1 \omega + \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \frac{c_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}}{e_1(k) + f_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} b_2(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\} = \bar{a}_2 \omega. \quad (2.4)$$

From (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} |u_1(k+1) - u_1(k)| \\ &= \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left| a_1(k) - b_1(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} + \frac{c_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}}{e_1(k) + f_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} |a_1(k)| + \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left(b_1(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} + \frac{c_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}}{e_1(k) + f_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} |a_1(k)| + \bar{a}_1 \omega + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \frac{c_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}}{e_1(k) + f_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}} \\ &= (\bar{A}_1 + \bar{a}_1) \omega + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \frac{c_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}}{e_1(k) + f_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}} \quad (2.5) \\ &\leq (\bar{A}_1 + \bar{a}_1) \omega + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \frac{c_1(k)}{f_1(k)} \\ &= (\bar{A}_1 + \bar{a}_1) \omega + 2 \overline{\left(\frac{c_1}{f_1} \right)} \omega, \\ & \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} |u_2(k+1) - u_2(k)| \\ &= \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} |a_2(k) - b_2(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}| \\ &\leq (\bar{A}_2 + \bar{a}_2) \omega. \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{A}_1 = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} |a_1(k)|$, $\bar{A}_2 = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} |a_2(k)|$, $\overline{\left(\frac{c_1}{f_1} \right)} = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \frac{c_1(k)}{f_1(k)}$.

Since $\{u(k)\} = \{(u_1(k), u_2(k))^T\} \in X$, there exist $\eta_i, \delta_i, i = 1, 2$ such that

$$u_i(\eta_i) = \min_{k \in I_\omega} u_i(k), \quad u_i(\delta_i) = \max_{k \in I_\omega} u_i(k). \quad (2.6)$$

By (2.4), one could easily obtain

$$u_2(\eta_2) \leq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_2}{b_2}, \quad u_2(\delta_2) \geq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_2}{b_2}. \quad (2.7)$$

Similarly to the analysis of (2.7)-(2.11) in [17], by using (2.5) and (2.7), we could obtain

$$u_2(k) \leq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_2}{\bar{b}_2} + (\bar{A}_2 + \bar{a}_2)\omega, \quad u_2(k) \geq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_2}{\bar{b}_2} - (\bar{A}_2 + \bar{a}_2)\omega, \quad (2.8)$$

$$|u_2(k)| \leq \max \left\{ \left| \ln \frac{\bar{a}_2}{\bar{b}_2} + (\bar{A}_2 + \bar{a}_2)\omega \right|, \left| \ln \frac{\bar{a}_2}{\bar{b}_2} - (\bar{A}_2 + \bar{a}_2)\omega \right| \right\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H_2. \quad (2.9)$$

It follows from (2.3) that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} b_1(k) \exp\{u_1(\eta_1)\} \leq \bar{a}_1\omega + \overline{\left(\frac{c_1}{f_1}\right)}\omega,$$

and so,

$$u_1(\eta_1) \leq \ln \frac{\Delta_1}{\bar{b}_1}, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\Delta_1 = \bar{a}_1 + \overline{\left(\frac{c_1}{f_1}\right)}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2, (2.5) and (2.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(k) &\leq u_1(\eta_1) + \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} |u_1(k+1) - u_1(k)| \\ &\leq \ln \frac{\Delta_1}{\bar{b}_1} + (\bar{A}_1 + \bar{a}_1)\omega + 2\overline{\left(\frac{c_1}{f_1}\right)}\omega \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

It follows from (2.3) and (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} b_1(k) \exp\{u_1(\delta_1)\} &= \bar{a}_1\omega + \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \frac{c_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}}{e_1(k) + f_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}} \\ &\geq \bar{a}_1\omega + \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \frac{c_1(k) \exp\{\ln \frac{\bar{a}_2}{\bar{b}_2} - (\bar{A}_2 + \bar{a}_2)\omega\}}{e_1(k) + f_1(k) \exp\{\ln \frac{\bar{a}_2}{\bar{b}_2} - (\bar{A}_2 + \bar{a}_2)\omega\}} \\ &\geq \bar{a}_1\omega, \end{aligned}$$

and so,

$$u_1(\delta_1) \geq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_1}{\bar{b}_1}, \quad (2.12)$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2, (2.6) and (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(k) &\geq u_1(\delta_1) - \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} |u_1(k+1) - u_1(k)| \\ &\geq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_1}{\bar{b}_1} - (\bar{A}_1 + \bar{a}_1)\omega - 2\overline{\left(\frac{c_1}{f_1}\right)}\omega \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

It follows from (2.11) and (2.13) that

$$|u_1(k)| \leq \max \left\{ |M_1|, |M_2| \right\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H_1. \quad (2.14)$$

Clearly, H_1 and H_2 are independent on the choice of λ . Obviously, the system of algebraic equations

$$\bar{a}_1 - \bar{b}_1 x_1 + \frac{\bar{c}_1 x_2}{\bar{e}_1 + \bar{f}_1 x_2} = 0, \quad \bar{a}_2 - \bar{b}_2 x_2 = 0 \quad (2.15)$$

has a unique positive solution $(x_1^*, x_2^*) \in R_2^+$, where

$$x_1^* = \frac{\bar{a}_1 + \Delta_3}{\bar{b}_1}, \quad x_2^* = \frac{\bar{a}_2}{\bar{b}_2}.$$

where $\Delta_3 = \frac{\bar{c}_1 x_2^*}{\bar{e}_1 + \bar{f}_1 x_2^*}$.

Let $H = H_1 + H_2 + H_3$, where $H_3 > 0$ is taken sufficiently enough large such that

$$\|(\ln\{x_1^*\}, \ln\{x_2^*\})^T\| = |\ln\{x_1^*\}| + |\ln\{x_2^*\}| < H_3.$$

Let $H = H_1 + H_2 + H_3$, and define

$$\Omega = \{u(t) = (u_1(k), u_2(k))^T \in X : \|u\| < H\}.$$

It is clear that Ω verifies requirement (a) in Lemma 2.1. When $u \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker}L = \partial\Omega \cap R^2$, u is constant vector in R^2 with $\|u\| = B$. Then

$$QN u = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a}_1 - \bar{b}_1 \exp\{u_1\} + \frac{\bar{c}_1 \exp\{u_2\}}{\bar{e}_1 + \bar{f}_1 \exp\{u_2\}} \\ \bar{a}_2 - \bar{b}_2 \exp\{u_2\} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Moreover, direct calculation shows that

$$\text{deg}\{JQN, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}L, 0\} = \text{sgn}\left(\bar{b}_1 \bar{b}_2 \exp\{x_1^*\} \exp\{x_2^*\}\right) = 1 \neq 0.$$

where $\text{deg}(\cdot)$ is the Brouwer degree and the J is the identity mapping since $\text{Im}Q = \text{Ker}L$.

By now we have proved that Ω verifies all the requirements in Lemma 2.1. Hence (2.1) has at least one solution $(u_1^*(k), u_2^*(k))^T$ in $\text{Dom}L \cap \bar{\Omega}$. And so, system (1.3) admits a positive periodic solution $(x_1^*(k), x_2^*(k))^T$, where $x_i^*(k) = \exp\{u_i^*(k)\}$, $i = 1, 2$. This completes the proof of the claim. \square

By applying the analysis technique of this paper, one could easily establish sufficient conditions for the following two species discrete commensal symbiosis model with Holling type

functional response

$$\begin{aligned}x_1(k+1) &= x_1(k) \exp \left\{ a_1(k) - b_1(k)x_1(k) + \frac{c_1(k)(x_2(k))^p}{e_1(k) + f_1(k)(x_2(k))^p} \right\}, \\x_2(k+1) &= x_2(k) \exp \{ a_2(k) - b_2(k)x_2(k) \},\end{aligned}\tag{2.16}$$

where $\{b_i(k)\}, i = 1, 2, \{c_1(k)\}, \{e_1(k)\}, \{f_1(k)\}$ are all positive ω -periodic sequences, ω is a fixed positive integer, $\{a_i(k)\}$ are ω -periodic sequences, which satisfies $\bar{a}_i = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} a_i(k) > 0, i = 1, 2$. p is positive constant.

Concerned with the existence of positive periodic solution of the system (2.16), we have

Theorem 2.2 *System (2.16) admits at least one positive ω -periodic solution.*

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgements

The research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (2015J01012, 2015J01019, 2015J05006) and the Scientific Research Foundation of Fuzhou University (XRC-1438).

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Yang, Z. S. Miao, F. D. Chen, X. D. Xie, Influence of single feedback control variable on an autonomous Holling-II type cooperative system, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 435(1) (2016), 874-888.
- [2] F. D. Chen, X. D. Xie, X. F. Chen, Dynamic behaviors of a stage-structured cooperation model, *Communications in Mathematical Biology and Neuroscience*, 2015 (2015), Article ID 4.
- [3] K. Yang, X. D. Xie, F. D. Chen, Global stability of a discrete mutualism model, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2014 (2014), Article ID 709124, 7 pages.
- [4] F. D. Chen, M. S. You, Permanence for an integrodifferential model of mutualism, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 186(1) (2007),30-34.
- [5] L. J. Chen, X. D. Xie, Feedback control variables have no influence on the permanence of a discrete N-species cooperation system, *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, Volume 2009, Article ID 306425, 10 pages.
- [6] F. D. Chen, Permanence for the discrete mutualism model with time delays, *Math. Comput. Modelling* 47 (2008), 431-435.

- [7] F. D. Chen, J. H. Yang, L. J. Chen, X. D. Xie, On a mutualism model with feedback controls, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 214 (2009), 581-587.
- [8] L. J. Chen, L. J. Chen, Z. Li, Permanence of a delayed discrete mutualism model with feedback controls, *Math. Comput. Modelling* 50 (2009), 1083-1089.
- [9] L. J. Chen, X. D. Xie, Permanence of an n -species cooperation system with continuous time delays and feedback controls, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* 12 (2001), 34-38.
- [10] Y. K. Li, T. Zhang, Permanence of a discrete N -species cooperation system with time-varying delays and feedback controls, *Math. Comput. Modelling* 53 (2011), 1320-1330.
- [11] X. D. Xie, F. D. Chen, Y. L. Xue, Note on the stability property of a cooperative system incorporating harvesting, *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, 2014 (2014), Article ID 327823, 5 pages.
- [12] X. D. Xie, F. D. Chen, K. Yang and Y. L. Xue, Global attractivity of an integrodifferential model of mutualism, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2014 (2014), Article ID 928726, 6 pages.
- [13] K. Gopalsamy, X. Z. He, Persistence, attractivity, and delay in facultative mutualism, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 215 (1997), 154-173.
- [14] X. P. Li, W. S. Yang, Permanence of a discrete model of mutualism with infinite deviating arguments, *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, 2010 (2010), Article ID 931798, 7 pages.
- [15] G. C. Sun, W. L. Wei, The qualitative analysis of commensal symbiosis model of two populations, *Mathematical Theory and Application*, 23(3)(2003) 64-68.
- [16] M. Fan, K. Wang, Periodic solutions of a discrete time nonautonomous ratio-dependent predator-prey system, *Math. Comput. Modell.* 35 (9-10) (2002) 951-961.
- [17] X. D. Xie, Z. S. Miao, Y. L. Xue, Positive periodic solution of a discrete Lotka-Volterra commensal symbiosis model *Communications in Mathematical Biology and Neuroscience*, 2015 (2015), Article ID 2.
- [18] Z. S. Miao, X. D. Xie, L. Q. Pu, Dynamic behaviors of a periodic Lotka-Volterra commensal symbiosis model with impulsive, *Communications in Mathematical Biology and Neuroscience*, 2015 (2015), Article ID 3.
- [19] Y. L. Xue, X. D. Xie, F. D. Chen, R. Y. Han, Almost periodic solution of a discrete commensalism system, *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, 2015 (2015), Article ID 295483, 11 pages.
- [20] F. D. Chen, L. Q. Pu, L. Y. Yang, Positive periodic solution of a discrete obligate Lotka-Volterra model *Communications in Mathematical Biology and Neuroscience*, 2015 (2015), Article ID 14.
- [21] F. D. Chen, C. T. Lin, L. Y. Yang, On a discrete obligate Lotka-Volterra model with one party can not survive independently, *Journal of Shengyang University(Natural Science)*, 27(4) (2015), 336-339.
- [22] L. Y. Yang, R. Y. Han, Y. L. Xue and F. D. Chen, On a nonautonomous obligate Lotka-Volterra model, *Journal of Shanming University*, 31(6) (2014), 15-18.
- [23] R. Y. Han, F. D. Chen, Global stability of a commensal symbiosis model with feedback controls, *Communications in Mathematical Biology and Neuroscience*, 2015 (2015), Article ID 15.

- [24] L. J. Chen, F. D. Chen and L. J. Chen, Qualitative analysis of a predator-prey model with Holling type II functional response incorporating a constant prey refuge, *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, 11(1) (2010), 246-252.
- [25] F. D. Chen, J. L. Shi, On a delayed nonautonomous ratio-dependent predator-prey model with Holling type functional response and diffusion, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 192(2) (2007), 358-369.
- [26] Y. M. Wu, F. D. Chen, W. L. Chen and Y. H. Lin, Dynamic behaviors of a nonautonomous discrete predator-prey system incorporating a prey refuge and Holling type II functional response, *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, 2012 (2012), Article ID 508962, 14 pages.
- [27] L. Y. Yang, X. D. Xie, C. Q. Wu, Periodic solution of a periodic predator-prey-mutualist system, *Communications in Mathematical Biology and Neuroscience*, 2015 (2015), Article ID 7.
- [28] F. D. Chen, Permanence of periodic Holling type predator-prey system with stage structure for prey, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 182(2) (2006), 1849-1860.
- [29] L. Y. Yang, X. D. Xie, F. D. Chen, Dynamic behaviors of a discrete periodic predator-prey-mutualist system, *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, 2015 (2015), Article ID 247269, 11 pages.
- [30] R. E. Gaines, J. L. Mawhin, "Coincidence Degree and Nonlinear Differential Equations", Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977