



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Eng. Math. Lett. 2017, 2017:3

ISSN: 2049-9337

SOME COMMON TRIPLED FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN TWO QUASI-PARTIAL b -METRIC SPACES

NEHA BHATIA

Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi-110007, India

Copyright © 2017 Neha Bhatia. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper some common tripled fixed-point theorems are proved for mappings defined on a set equipped with two quasi-partial b -metric spaces and some examples are provided to support the results.

Keywords: common tripled fixed point; tripled coincidence point; quasi-partial metric space; w -compatible mappings.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. Introduction

Matthews [16] in 1994 introduced the notion of partial metric space which is a generalization of usual metric space obtained by replacing the $d(x,x)=0$ by $d(x,x) \leq d(x,y)$ for all x,y in the definition of metric. He extended the Banach contraction principle from metric spaces to partial metric spaces. Bakhtin [6] introduced the concept of b -metric spaces which was further extended by Czerwick [8]. Later in the year 2013, Shukla [19] generalized both the concept of b -metric and partial metric spaces by introducing the partial b -metric spaces. Many authors ([3,4,5,13,18]) worked on this notion of partial metric spaces and obtained fixed point results for mappings satisfying different contractive conditions.

Received July 8, 2015

2. Preliminaries

In 2012, Karapinar *et al.* [14] introduced the concept of quasi-partial metric spaces. The definition of partial metric space is given as follows:

Definition 2.1. (Matthews, [16]) A partial metric on a nonempty set X is a function $p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$:

$$(P_1) \quad x = y \Leftrightarrow p(x, x) = p(x, y) = p(y, y),$$

$$(P_2) \quad p(x, x) \leq p(x, y),$$

$$(P_3) \quad p(x, y) = p(y, x),$$

$$(P_4) \quad p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y) - p(z, z).$$

A partial metric space is a pair (X, p) such that X is a non-empty set and p is a partial metric on X . For a partial metric p on X , the function $d_p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by

$$d_p(x, y) = 2p(x, y) - p(x, x) - p(y, y) \text{ is a metric on } X.$$

Definition 2.2. (Karapinar *et al.* [14]) A *quasi-partial metric* on non-empty set X is a function $q : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ which satisfies:

$$(QPM_1) \quad \text{If } q(x, x) = q(x, y) = q(y, y), \text{ then } x = y,$$

$$(QPM_2) \quad q(x, x) \leq q(x, y),$$

$$(QPM_3) \quad q(x, x) \leq q(y, x), \text{ and}$$

$$(QPM_4) \quad q(x, y) + q(z, z) \leq q(x, z) + q(z, y)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

A *quasi-partial metric space* is a pair (X, q) such that X is a non-empty set and q is a quasi-partial metric on X .

Let q be a quasi-partial metric on the set X . Then

$$d_q(x, y) = q(x, y) + q(y, x) - q(x, x) - q(y, y) \text{ is a metric on } X.$$

Lemma 2.1. (Karapinar *et. al* [14]) *Let (X, q) be a quasi-partial metric space. Let (X, p_q) be the corresponding partial metric space, and let (X, d_{p_q}) be the corresponding metric space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) (X, q) is complete,
- (2) (X, p_q) is complete,
- (3) (X, d_{p_q}) is complete.

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{p_q}(x, x_n) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow p_q(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_q(x, x_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p_q(x_n, x_m) \\ &\Leftrightarrow q(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q(x, x_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q(x_n, x_m) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q(x_n, x) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q(x_m, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.3. (Shukla [19]) A *partial b -metric* on a non-empty set X is a mapping $p_b : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for some real number $s \geq 1$ and for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- (P_{b_1}) $x = y$ if and only if $p_b(x, x) = p_b(x, y) = p_b(y, y)$,
- (P_{b_2}) $p_b(x, x) \leq p_b(x, y)$,
- (P_{b_3}) $p_b(x, y) = p_b(y, x)$,
- (P_{b_4}) $p_b(x, y) \leq s[p_b(x, z) + p_b(z, y)] - p_b(z, z)$.

A *partial b -metric space* is a pair (X, p_b) such that X is a non-empty set and p_b is a partial b -metric on X . The number s is called the coefficient of (X, p_b) .

For simplicity, We denote $X \times X \times \dots \times X$ by X^k where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and X is a non-empty set.

Definition 2.4. (Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [7]) Let X be a non-empty set. An element $(x, y) \in X^2$ is a *coupled fixed point* of the mapping

$$F : X^2 \rightarrow X \text{ if } F(x, y) = x \text{ and } F(y, x) = y.$$

Definition 2.5. (Lakshmikantham and Ćirić [15]) An element $(x, y) \in X^2$ is called

- (1) a *coupled coincidence point* of the mappings $F : X^2 \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ if $F(x, y) = gx$ and $F(y, x) = gy$; in this case (gx, gy) is called *coupled point of coincidence* of mappings F and g ;
- (2) a *common coupled fixed point* of mappings $F : X^2 \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ if $F(x, y) = gx = x$ and $F(y, x) = gy = y$.

Definition 2.6. (Samet and Vetro [18]) An element $(x, y, z) \in X^3$ is a *triple fixed point* of the mapping

$$F : X^3 \rightarrow X \text{ if } F(x, y, z) = x, F(y, z, x) = y \text{ and } F(z, x, y) = z.$$

Definition 2.7. (Aydi *et al.* [15]) An element $(x, y, z) \in X^3$ is called

- (1) a *triple coincidence point* of the mappings $F : X^3 \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ if $F(x, y, z) = gx$, $F(y, z, x) = gy$ and $F(z, x, y) = gz$; in this case (gx, gy, gz) is called *triple point of coincidence* of mappings F and g ;
- (2) a *common triple fixed point* of mappings $F : X^3 \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ if $F(x, y, z) = gx = x$, $F(y, z, x) = gy = y$ and $F(z, x, y) = gz = z$.

Definition 2.8. (Aydi *et al.* [1]) Let X be a non-empty set. The mappings $F : X^3 \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ are *w-compatible* if $gF(x, y, z) = F(gx, gy, gz)$ whenever $gx = F(x, y, z)$, $gy = F(y, z, x)$ and $gz = F(z, x, y)$.

Theorem 2.1. [9] Let q_1 and q_2 be two quasi partial metrics on X such that $q_2(x, y) \leq q_1(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, and let $F : X^3 \rightarrow X$, $g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. Suppose that there exists k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 , and k_5 in $[0, 1)$ with

$$k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + 2k_4 + k_5 < 1$$

such that the condition

$$\begin{aligned} & q_1(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) + q_1(F(y, z, x), F(v, w, u)) + q_1(F(z, x, y), F(w, u, v)) \\ & \leq k_1[q_2(gx, gu) + q_2(gy, gv)] + q_2(gz, gw) \\ & \quad + k_2[q_2(gx, F(x, y, z)) + q_2(gy, F(y, z, x)) + q_2(gz, F(z, x, y))] \\ & \quad + k_3[q_2(gu, F(u, v, w)) + q_2(gv, F(v, w, u)) + q_2(gw, F(w, u, v))] \\ & \quad + k_4[q_2(gx, F(u, v, w)) + q_2(gy, F(v, w, u)) + q_2(gz, F(w, u, v))] \\ & \quad + k_5[q_2(gu, F(x, y, z)) + q_2(gv, F(y, z, x)) + q_2(gw, F(z, x, y))] \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$. Also, suppose we have the following hypotheses:

- (1) $F(X^3) \subseteq g(X)$.
- (2) $g(X)$ is complete subspace of X with respect to the quasi-partial metric q_1 .

Then the mapping F and g have a tripled coincidence point (x, y, z) satisfying $gx = F(x, y, z) = F(y, z, x) = gy = F(z, x, y) = gz$. Moreover, if F and g are w -compatible, then F and g have a unique common tripled fixed point of the form (u, u, u) .

Recently, Gupta and Gautam [11] has introduced quasi-partial b -metric spaces which is the generalization of the concept of quasi-partial-metric spaces.

Definition 2.9. (Gupta and Gautam [11]) A quasi-partial b -metric on a non-empty set X is a mapping $qp_b : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for some real number $s \geq 1$ and for all $x, y, z \in X$:

$$(QP_{b_1}) \quad qp_b(x, x) = qp_b(x, y) = qp_b(y, y) \Rightarrow x = y,$$

$$(QP_{b_2}) \quad qp_b(x, x) \leq qp_b(x, y),$$

$$(QP_{b_3}) \quad qp_b(x, x) \leq qp_b(y, x),$$

$$(QP_{b_4}) \quad qp_b(x, y) \leq s[qp_b(x, z) + qp_b(z, y)] - qp_b(z, z).$$

A quasi-partial b -metric space is a pair (X, qp_b) such that X is a non-empty set and qp_b is a quasi-partial b -metric on X .

Let qp_b be a quasi-partial b -metric on the set X . Then

$$d_{qp_b}(x, y) = qp_b(x, y) + qp_b(y, x) - qp_b(x, x) - qp_b(y, y)$$

is a b -metric on X .

Lemma 2.2. (Gupta and Gautam [11]) Every quasi-partial metric space is a quasi-partial b -metric space. But the converse need not be true.

Lemma 2.3. (Gupta and Gautam [11]) Let (X, qp_b) be a quasi-partial b -metric space. Then the following hold:

$$(1) \text{ If } qp_b(x, y) = 0 \text{ then } x = y,$$

$$(2) \text{ If } x \neq y, \text{ then } qp_b(x, y) > 0 \text{ and } qp_b(y, x) > 0.$$

Definition 2.10. (Gupta and Gautam [11]) Let (X, qp_b) be a quasi-partial b -metric space. Then:

(1) A sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ converges to $x \in X$ if and only if

$$qp_b(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_b(x, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_b(x_n, x)$$

(2) A sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is called a *Cauchy sequence* if and only if

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} qp_b(x_n, x_m) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} qp_b(x_m, x_n) \quad \text{exist (and are finite).}$$

(3) The quasi partial b -metric space (X, qp_b) is said to be *complete* if every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ converges with respect to τ_{qp_b} to a point $x \in X$ such that

$$qp_b(x, x) = \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} qp_b(x_m, x_n) = \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} qp_b(x_n, x_m).$$

(4) A mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be *continuous* at $x_0 \in X$ if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f(B(x_0, \delta)) \subset B(f(x_0), \varepsilon)$.

Recently, Aydi and Abbas [2] obtained some tripled coincidence and fixed point theorems in partial metric space. Also, Shatanawi and Pitea [17] derived some common coupled fixed point theorems for a pair of mappings in quasi-partial metric space. Gu and Wang [9,10] obtained some results on coupled and tripled fixed-point theorems in two quasi-partial metric spaces. Very recently, Gupta and Gautam [12] discussed some coupled fixed point results on quasi-partial b -metric spaces. The aim of this paper is to explore some common tripled fixed-point theorems for mappings defined on a set equipped with two quasi-partial b -metric spaces.

3. Main results

In this section we prove our main theorem which gives conditions for existence and uniqueness of a tripled fixed point on quasi-partial b -metric spaces.

Theorem 3.1. *Let qp_{b_1} and qp_{b_2} be two quasi-partial b -metrics on X such that $qp_{b_2}(x, y) \leq qp_{b_1}(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X^3 \rightarrow X$, $g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. Suppose that there exist k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 , and k_5 in $[0, 1)$ with*

$$k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + 2sk_4 + k_5 < \frac{1}{s} \tag{3.1}$$

such that the condition

$$\begin{aligned}
 & qp_{b_1}(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) + qp_{b_1}(F(y, z, x), F(v, w, u)) + qp_{b_1}(F(z, x, y), F(w, u, v)) \\
 & \leq k_1[qp_{b_2}(gx, gu) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gv)] + qp_{b_2}(gz, gw) \\
 & \quad + k_2[qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_2}(gz, F(z, x, y))] \\
 & \quad + k_3[qp_{b_2}(gu, F(u, v, w)) + qp_{b_2}(gv, F(v, w, u)) + qp_{b_2}(gw, F(w, v, u))] \\
 & \quad + k_4[qp_{b_2}(gx, F(u, v, w)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(v, w, u)) + qp_{b_2}(gz, F(w, u, v))] \\
 & \quad + k_5[qp_{b_2}(gu, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_2}(gv, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_2}(gw, F(z, x, y))]
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

holds for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$. Also, suppose we have the following hypotheses:

- (1) $F(X^3) \subset g(X)$
- (2) $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X with respect to the quasi-partial b -metric qp_{b_1} .

Then the mappings F and g have a tripled coincidence point (x, y, z) satisfying $gx = F(x, y, z) = F(y, z, x) = gy = F(z, x, y) = gz$. Moreover, if F and g are w -compatible, then F and g have a unique common tripled fixed point of the form (u, u, u) .

Proof. Let $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$. Since $F(X^3) \subset g(X)$, we can choose $x_1, y_1, z_1 \in X$ such that $gx_1 = F(x_0, y_0, z_0)$, $gy_1 = F(y_0, z_0, x_0)$ and $gz_1 = F(z_0, x_0, y_0)$. Similarly, we can choose $x_2, y_2, z_2 \in X$ such that $gx_2 = F(x_1, y_1, z_1)$, $gy_2 = F(y_1, z_1, x_1)$ and $gz_2 = F(z_1, x_1, y_1)$.

Continuing in this manner we can construct three sequences $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ in X such that

$$gx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n, z_n), gy_{n+1} = F(y_n, z_n, x_n) \text{ and } gz_{n+1} = F(z_n, x_n, y_n) \quad \forall n \geq 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 & qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gz_n, gz_{n+1}) \\
 & = qp_{b_1}(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}), F(x_n, y_n, z_n)) \\
 & \quad + qp_{b_1}(F(y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), F(y_n, z_n, x_n)) \\
 & \quad + qp_{b_1}(F(z_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), F(z_n, x_n, y_n)).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.2), (QP_{b_4}) and (QP_{b_2}) that,

$$\begin{aligned}
& qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gz_n, gz_{n+1}) \\
& \leq (k_1 + k_2)[qp_{b_2}(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + qp_{b_2}(gy_{n-1}, gy_n) + qp_{b_2}(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)] \\
& \quad + k_3[qp_{b_2}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + qp_{b_2}(gz_n, gz_{n+1})] \\
& \quad + k_4[qp_{b_2}(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_2}(gy_{n-1}, gy_{n+1}) + qp_{b_2}(gz_{n-1}, gz_{n+1})] \\
& \quad + k_5[qp_{b_2}(gx_n, gx_n) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, gy_n) + qp_{b_2}(gz_n, gz_n)]
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq (k_1 + k_2)[qp_{b_2}(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + qp_{b_2}(gy_{n-1}, gy_n) + qp_{b_2}(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)] \\
& \quad + k_3[qp_{b_2}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + qp_{b_2}(gz_n, gz_{n+1})] \\
& \quad + k_4[s\{qp_{b_2}(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + qp_{b_2}(gx_n, gx_{n+1})\} - qp_{b_2}(gx_n, gx_n)] \\
& \quad + sk_4[\{qp_{b_2}(gy_{n-1}, gy_n) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, gy_{n+1})\} - qp_{b_2}(gy_n, gy_n)] \\
& \quad + sk_4[\{qp_{b_2}(gz_{n-1}, gz_n) + qp_{b_2}(gz_n, gz_{n+1})\} - qp_{b_2}(gz_n, gz_n)] \\
& \quad + k_5[qp_{b_2}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + qp_{b_2}(gz_n, gz_{n+1})] \\
& \leq (k_1 + k_2 + sk_4)[qp_{b_1}(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + qp_{b_1}(gy_{n-1}, gy_n) + qp_{b_1}(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)] \\
& \quad + (k_3 + sk_4 + k_5)[qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gz_n, gz_{n+1})],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gz_n, gz_{n+1}) \\
& \leq \frac{k_1 + k_2 + sk_4}{1 - k_3 - sk_4 - k_5} [qp_{b_1}(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + qp_{b_1}(gy_{n-1}, gy_n) + qp_{b_1}(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)].
\end{aligned}$$

Put $k = \frac{k_1 + k_2 + sk_4}{1 - k_3 - sk_4 - k_5}$. Clearly, $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{s} < 1$. By repetition of inequality (3.4) n times we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gz_n, gz_{n+1}) \\
& \leq k^n [qp_{b_1}(gx_0, gx_1) + qp_{b_1}(gy_0, gy_1) + qp_{b_1}(gz_0, gz_1)].
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we shall prove that $\{gx_n\}$, $\{gy_n\}$ and $\{gz_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in $g(X)$. For each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m > n$, from (QP_{b_4}) and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_m) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_m) + qp_{b_1}(gz_n, gz_m) \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} s^{m-i} \cdot k^i [qp_{b_1}(gx_0, gx_1) + qp_{b_1}(gy_0, gy_1) + qp_{b_1}(gz_0, gz_1)] \\
 & = \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \left(\frac{k}{s}\right)^i s^m [qp_{b_1}(gx_0, gx_1) + qp_{b_1}(gy_0, gy_1) + qp_{b_1}(gz_0, gz_1)] \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{s}\right)^i s^m [qp_{b_1}(gx_0, gx_1) + qp_{b_1}(gy_0, gy_1) + qp_{b_1}(gz_0, gz_1)] \\
 & = \frac{\left(\frac{k}{s}\right)^n}{\left(1 - \frac{k}{s}\right)} \cdot s^m [qp_{b_1}(gx_0, gx_1) + qp_{b_1}(gy_0, gy_1) + qp_{b_1}(gz_0, gz_1)].
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.6) and keeping m fixed, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_m) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_m) + qp_{b_1}(gz_n, gz_m)] \leq 0.$$

But

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_m) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_m) + qp_{b_1}(gz_n, gz_m)] \geq 0.$$

This gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_m)] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_m)] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [qp_{b_1}(gz_n, gz_m)] = 0.$$

Now taking limit as $m \rightarrow +\infty$, one has

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_m) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_m) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gz_n, gz_m) = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx_m, gx_n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gz_m, gz_n) = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

So, $\{gx_n\}$, $\{gy_n\}$ and $\{gz_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in $(g(X), qp_{b_1})$. Since $(g(X), qp_{b_1})$ is complete, there exist $gx, gy, gz \in g(X)$ such that $\{gx_n\}$, $\{gy_n\}$ and $\{gz_n\}$ converges to gx, gy and gz

with respect to $\tau_{qp_{b_1}}$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} qp_{b_1}(gx, gx) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx, gx_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx) \\ &= \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx_m, gx_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_m), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} qp_{b_1}(gy, gy) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy, gy_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy) \\ &= \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy_m, gy_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_m) \text{ and} \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} qp_{b_1}(gz, gz) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gz, gz_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gz_n, gz) \\ &= \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gz_m, gz_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gz_n, gz_m). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Combining (3.7)-(3.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} qp_{b_1}(gx, gx) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx, gx_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx) \\ &= \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx_m, gx_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_m) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} qp_{b_1}(gy, gy) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy, gy_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy) \\ &= \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy_m, gy_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_m) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} qp_{b_1}(gz, gz) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gz, gz_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gz_n, gz) \\ &= \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gz_m, gz_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gz_n, gz_m) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

By QP_{b_4} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y, z)) &\leq s\{qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y, z))\} - qp_{b_1}(gx, gx) \\ &\leq s\{qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y, z))\} \\ &\leq s[qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, gx) + s\{qp_{b_1}(gx, gx_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y, z))\} - qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1})] \\ &\leq s[qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, gx)] + s^2[qp_{b_1}(gx, gx_{n+1})] \\ &\quad + s^2[qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y, z))]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequalities and using (3.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{s}qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y, z)) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y, z)) \\ &\leq sqp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y, z)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Similarly using (3.15), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{s}qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, z, x)) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy_{n+1}, F(y, z, x)) \\ &\leq sqp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, z, x)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{s}qp_{b_1}(gz, F(z, x, y)) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gz_{n+1}, F(z, x, y)) \\ &\leq sqp_{b_1}(gz, F(z, x, y)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Now, we prove that $F(x, y, z) = gx$, $F(y, z, x) = gy$ and $F(z, x, y) = gz$. In fact, it follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} &qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_1}(gy_{n+1}, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_1}(gz_{n+1}, F(z, x, y)) \\ &= qp_{b_1}(F(x_n, y_n, z_n), F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_1}(F(y_n, z_n, x_n), F(y, z, x)) \\ &\quad + qp_{b_1}(F(z_n, x_n, y_n), F(z, x, y)) \\ &\leq k_1[qp_{b_2}(gx_n, gx) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, gy) + qp_{b_2}(gz_n, gz)] \\ &\quad + k_2[qp_{b_2}(gx_n, F(x_n, y_n, z_n)) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, F(y_n, z_n, x_n)) + qp_{b_2}(gz_n, F(z_n, x_n, y_n))] \\ &\quad + k_3[qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_2}(gz, F(z, x, y))] \\ &\quad + k_4[qp_{b_2}(gx_n, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_2}(gz_n, F(z, x, y))] \\ &\quad + k_5[qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x_n, y_n, z_n)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y_n, z_n, x_n)) + qp_{b_2}(gz, F(z_n, x_n, y_n))] \\ &\leq k_1[qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy) + qp_{b_1}(gz_n, gz)] \\ &\quad + k_2[qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gz_n, gz_{n+1})] \\ &\quad + k_3[qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_1}(gz, F(z, x, y))] \\ &\quad + k_4[qp_{b_1}(gx_n, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_1}(gz_n, F(z, x, y))] \\ &\quad + k_5[qp_{b_1}(gx, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gz, gz_{n+1})]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, using (3.12)-(3.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_1}(gy_{n+1}, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_1}(gz_{n+1}, F(z, x, y))] \\
& \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{ [k_1(qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy) + qp_{b_1}(gz_n, gz))] \\
& \quad + k_2[qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gz_n, gz_{n+1})] \\
& \quad + k_3[qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_1}(gz, F(z, x, y))] \\
& \quad + k_4[qp_{b_1}(gx_n, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_1}(gz_n, F(z, x, y))] \\
& \quad + k_5[qp_{b_1}(gx, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gz, gz_{n+1})] \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_1}(gy_{n+1}, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_1}(gz_{n+1}, F(z, x, y))] \\
& \leq k_1[qp_{b_1}(gx, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy) + qp_{b_1}(gz, gz)] + k_2[qp_{b_1}(gx, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy) + qp_{b_1}(gz, gz)] \\
& \quad + k_3[qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_1}(gz, F(z, x, y))] \\
& \quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_4[qp_{b_1}(gx_n, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_1}(gz_n, F(z, x, y))] \\
& \quad + k_5[qp_{b_1}(gx, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy) + qp_{b_1}(gz, gz)] \\
& = k_3[qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_1}(gz, F(z, x, y))] \\
& \quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_4[qp_{b_1}(gx_n, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_1}(gz_n, F(z, x, y))].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

By using (3.12)-(3.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_1}(gy_{n+1}, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_1}(gz_{n+1}, F(z, x, y))] \\
& \leq k_3[qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_1}(gz, F(z, x, y))] \\
& \quad + k_4 \cdot s [qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_1}(gz, F(z, x, y))] \\
& = (k_3 + sk_4)[qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_1}(gz, F(z, x, y))].
\end{aligned}$$

And also

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{s} [qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_1}(gz, F(z, x, y))] \\
& \leq (k_3 + sk_4) [qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_1}(gz, F(z, x, y))] \\
\Rightarrow & \left[\frac{1}{s} - k_3 - sk_4 \right] [qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_1}(gz, F(z, x, y))] \leq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Since $k_3 + sk_4 < \frac{1}{s}$. Thus it follows from (3.18) that

$$qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y, z)) = qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, z, x)) = qp_{b_1}(gz, F(z, x, y)) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we get $F(x, y, z) = gx$, $F(y, z, x) = gy$ and $F(z, x, y) = gz$. Hence, (gx, gy, gz) is a tripled point of coincidence of mappings F and g .

Next, we will show that the tripled point of coincidence is unique. Suppose that $(x', y', z') \in X^3$ with $F(x', y', z') = gx'$, $F(y', z', x') = gy'$ and $F(z', x', y') = gz'$.

Using (3.2), (3.14)-(3.16), and (QP_{b_3}) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& qp_{b_1}(gx, gx') + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy') + qp_{b_1}(gz, gz') \\
& = qp_{b_1}(F(x, y, z), F(x', y', z')) + qp_{b_1}(F(y, z, x), F(y', z', x')) \\
& \quad + qp_{b_1}(F(z, x, y), F(z', x', y')) \\
& \leq k_1 [qp_{b_2}(gx, gx') + qp_{b_2}(gy, gy') + qp_{b_2}(gz, gz')] \\
& \quad + k_2 [qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_2}(gz, F(z, x, y))] \\
& \quad + k_3 [qp_{b_2}(gx', F(x', y', z')) + qp_{b_2}(gy', F(y', z', x')) + qp_{b_2}(gz', F(z', x', y'))] \\
& \quad + k_4 [qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x', y', z')) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y', z', x')) + qp_{b_2}(gz, F(z', x', y'))] \\
& \quad + k_5 [qp_{b_2}(gx', F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_2}(gy', F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_2}(gz', F(z, x, y))] \\
& = k_1 [qp_{b_2}(gx, gx') + qp_{b_2}(gy, gy') + qp_{b_2}(gz, gz')] \\
& \quad + k_2 [qp_{b_2}(gx, gx) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gy) + qp_{b_2}(gz, gz)] \\
& \quad + k_3 [qp_{b_2}(gx', gx') + qp_{b_2}(gy', gy') + qp_{b_2}(gz', gz')]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + k_4[qp_{b_2}(gx, gx') + qp_{b_2}(gy, gy') + qp_{b_2}(gz, gz')] \\
& + k_5[qp_{b_2}(gx', gx) + qp_{b_2}(gy', gy) + qp_{b_2}(gz', gz)] \\
\leq & (k_1 + k_4)[qp_{b_1}(gx, gx') + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy') + qp_{b_1}(gz, gz')] \\
& + k_2[qp_{b_1}(gx, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy) + qp_{b_1}(gz, gz)] \\
& + k_3[qp_{b_1}(gx', gx') + qp_{b_1}(gy', gy') + qp_{b_1}(gz', gz')] \\
& + k_5[qp_{b_1}(gx', gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy', gy) + qp_{b_1}(gz', gz)] \\
\leq & (k_1 + k_3 + k_4)[qp_{b_1}(gx, gx') + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy') + qp_{b_1}(gz, gz')] \\
& + k_5[qp_{b_1}(gx', gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy', gy) + qp_{b_1}(gz', gz)].
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& qp_{b_1}(gx, gx') + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy') + qp_{b_1}(gz, gz') \\
& \leq \frac{k_5}{1 - k_1 - k_3 - k_4} [qp_{b_1}(gx', gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy', gy) + qp_{b_1}(gz', gz)].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& qp_{b_1}(gx', gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy', gy) + qp_{b_1}(gz', gz) \\
& \leq \frac{k_5}{1 - k_1 - k_3 - k_4} [qp_{b_1}(gx, gx') + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy') + qp_{b_1}(gz, gz')].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Substituting (3.20) into (3.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& qp_{b_1}(gx, gx') + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy') + qp_{b_1}(gz, gz') \\
& \leq \left(\frac{k_5}{1 - k_1 - k_3 - k_4} \right)^2 [qp_{b_1}(gx, gx') + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy') + qp_{b_1}(gz, gz')].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Since $\frac{k_5}{1 - k_1 - k_3 - k_4} < 1$, from (2.21), we must have

$$qp_{b_1}(gx, gx') = qp_{b_1}(gy, gy') = qp_{b_1}(gz, gz') = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we get $gx = gx'$, $gy = gy'$ and $gz = gz'$. This gives the uniqueness of the tripled point of coincidence of F and g , that is, (gx, gy, gz) .

Next, we will show that $gx = gy = gz$. In fact, from (3.2), (3.14)-(3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & qp_{b_1}(gx, gy) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gz) + qp_{b_1}(gz, gx) \\
 &= qp_{b_1}(F(x, y, z), F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_1}(F(y, z, x), F(z, x, y)) + qp_{b_1}(F(z, x, y), F(x, y, z)) \\
 &\leq k_1[qp_{b_2}(gx, gy) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gz)] + qp_{b_2}(gz, gx) \\
 &\quad + k_2[qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_2}(gz, F(z, x, y))] \\
 &\quad + k_3[qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_2}(gz, F(z, x, y)) + qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x, y, z))] \\
 &\quad + k_4[qp_{b_2}(gx, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(z, x, y)) + qp_{b_2}(gz, F(x, y, z))] \\
 &\quad + k_5[qp_{b_2}(gy, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_2}(gz, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_2}(gx, F(z, x, y))] \\
 &= k_1[qp_{b_2}(gx, gy) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gz)] + qp_{b_2}(gz, gx) \\
 &\quad + k_2[qp_{b_2}(gx, gx) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gy) + qp_{b_2}(gz, gz)] \\
 &\quad + k_3[qp_{b_2}(gy, gy) + qp_{b_2}(gz, gz) + qp_{b_2}(gx, gx)] \\
 &\quad + k_4[qp_{b_2}(gx, gy) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gz) + qp_{b_2}(gz, gx)] \\
 &\quad + k_5[qp_{b_2}(gy, gx) + qp_{b_2}(gz, gy) + qp_{b_2}(gx, gz)] \\
 &\leq k_1[qp_{b_1}(gx, gy) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gz) + qp_{b_1}(gz, gx)] \tag{3.22} \\
 &\quad + k_2[qp_{b_1}(gx, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy) + qp_{b_1}(gz, gz)] \\
 &\quad + k_3[qp_{b_1}(gy, gy) + qp_{b_1}(gz, gz) + qp_{b_1}(gx, gx)] \\
 &\quad + k_4[qp_{b_1}(gx, gy) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gz) + qp_{b_1}(gz, gx)] \\
 &\quad + k_5[qp_{b_1}(gy, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gz, gy) + qp_{b_1}(gx, gz)] \\
 &= (k_1 + k_4 + k_5)[qp_{b_1}(gx, gy) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gz) + qp_{b_1}(gz, gx)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $k_1 + k_4 + k_5 < 1$ from (3.22) we have

$$qp_{b_1}(gx, gy) = qp_{b_1}(gy, gz) = qp_{b_1}(gz, gx) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we get $gx = gy = gz$.

Finally, assume that g and F are w -compatible. Let $u = gx$, then we have $u = gx = F(x, y, z) = gy = F(y, z, x) = gz = F(z, x, y)$, so that

$$gu = ggx = g(F(x, y, z)) = F(gx, gy, gz) = F(u, u, u). \quad (3.23)$$

Consequently, (u, u, u) is a tripled coincidence point of F and g , and therefore (gu, gu, gu) is a tripled point of coincidence of F and g , and by its uniqueness, we get $gu = gx$. Thus, we obtain $F(u, u, u) = gu = u$. Therefore, (u, u, u) is the unique common tripled fixed point of F and g . This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.1. *Let qp_b be a quasi-partial b -metrics on X , $F : X^3 \rightarrow X$, $g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. Suppose that there exist k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 , and k_5 in $[0, 1)$ with*

$$k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + 2sk_4 + k_5 < \frac{1}{s} \quad (3.1.1)$$

such that the condition

$$\begin{aligned} & qp_b(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) + qp_b(F(y, z, x), F(v, w, u)) + qp_b(F(z, x, y), F(w, u, v)) \\ & \leq k_1[qp_b(gx, gu) + qp_b(gy, gv)] + qp_b(gz, gw) \\ & \quad + k_2[qp_b(gx, F(x, y, z)) + qp_b(gy, F(y, z, x)) + qp_b(gz, F(z, x, y))] \\ & \quad + k_3[qp_b(gu, F(u, v, w)) + qp_b(gv, F(v, w, u)) + qp_b(gw, F(w, v, u))] \\ & \quad + k_4[qp_b(gx, F(u, v, w)) + qp_b(gy, F(v, w, u)) + qp_b(gz, F(w, u, v))] \\ & \quad + k_5[qp_b(gu, F(x, y, z)) + qp_b(gv, F(y, z, x)) + qp_b(gw, F(z, x, y))] \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.2)$$

holds for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$. Also, suppose we have the following hypotheses:

- (1) $F(X^3) \subset g(X)$
- (2) $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X with respect to the quasi-partial b -metric qp_b .

Then the mappings F and g have a tripled coincidence point (x, y, z) satisfying $gx = F(x, y, z) = F(y, z, x) = gy = F(z, x, y) = gz$.

Moreover, if F and g are w -compatible, then F and g have a unique common tripled fixed point of the form (u, u, u) .

Corollary 3.2. *Let qp_{b_1} and qp_{b_2} be two quasi-partial b -metrics on X and $qp_{b_2}(x, y) \leq qp_{b_1}(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X^3 \rightarrow X$, $g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. Suppose that there exist $a_i \in [0, 1)$*

($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 15$) with

$$a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 + a_7 + a_8 + a_9 + 2s(a_{10} + a_{11} + a_{12}) + a_{13} + a_{14} + a_{15} < \frac{1}{s} \quad (3.2.1)$$

such that the condition

$$\begin{aligned} & qp_{b_1}(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) + qp_{b_1}(F(y, z, x), F(v, w, u)) + qp_{b_1}(F(z, x, y), F(w, u, v)) \\ & \leq a_1 qp_{b_2}(gx, gu) + a_2 qp_{b_2}(gy, gv) + a_3 qp_{b_2}(gz, gw) \\ & \quad + a_4 qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x, y, z)) + a_5 qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y, z, x)) + a_6 qp_{b_2}(gz, F(z, x, y)) \\ & \quad + a_7 qp_{b_2}(gu, F(u, v, w)) + a_8 qp_{b_2}(gv, F(v, w, u)) + a_9 qp_{b_2}(gw, F(w, u, v)) \\ & \quad + a_{10} qp_{b_2}(gx, F(u, v, w)) + a_{11} qp_{b_2}(gy, F(v, w, u)) + a_{12} qp_{b_2}(gz, F(w, u, v)) \\ & \quad + a_{13} qp_{b_2}(gu, F(x, y)) + a_{14} qp_{b_2}(gv, F(y, z, x)) + a_{15} qp_{b_2}(gw, F(z, y, x)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.2)$$

holds for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$. Also suppose we have the following hypotheses:

- (1) $F(X^3) \subseteq g(X)$
- (2) $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X with respect to the quasi-partial b -metric qp_{b_1} .

Then the mappings F and g have a tripled coincidence point (x, y, z) satisfying $gx = F(x, y, z) = F(y, z, x) = gy = F(z, x, y) = gz$.

Moreover, if F and g are w -compatible, then F and g have a unique common tripled fixed point of the form (u, u, u) .

Proof. Given $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$, it follows from (3.2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & qp_{b_1}(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \\ & \leq a_1 qp_{b_2}(gx, gu) + a_2 qp_{b_2}(gy, gv) + a_3 qp_{b_2}(gz, gw) \\ & \quad + a_4 qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x, y, z)) + a_5 qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y, z, x)) + a_6 qp_{b_2}(gz, F(z, x, y)) \\ & \quad + a_7 qp_{b_2}(gu, F(u, v, w)) + a_8 qp_{b_2}(gv, F(v, w, u)) + a_9 qp_{b_2}(gw, F(w, u, v)) \\ & \quad + a_{10} qp_{b_2}(gx, F(u, v, w)) + a_{11} qp_{b_2}(gy, F(v, w, u)) + a_{12} qp_{b_2}(gz, F(w, u, v)) \\ & \quad + a_{13} qp_{b_2}(gu, F(x, y)) + a_{14} qp_{b_2}(gv, F(y, z, x)) + a_{15} qp_{b_2}(gw, F(z, y, x)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.3)$$

holds for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$. Also suppose we have the following hypotheses: and

$$\begin{aligned}
& qp_{b_1}(F(y, z, x), F(v, w, u)) \\
& \leq a_1 qp_{b_2}(gy, gv) + a_2 qp_{b_2}(gz, gw) + a_3 qp_{b_2}(gx, gu) \\
& \quad + a_4 qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y, z, x)) + a_5 qp_{b_2}(gz, F(z, x, y)) + a_6 qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x, y, z)) \\
& \quad + a_7 qp_{b_2}(gv, F(v, w, u)) + a_8 qp_{b_2}(gw, F(w, u, v)) + a_9 qp_{b_2}(gu, F(u, v, w)) \\
& \quad + a_{10} qp_{b_2}(gy, F(v, w, u)) + a_{11} qp_{b_2}(gz, F(w, u, v)) + a_{12} qp_{b_2}(gx, F(u, v, w)) \\
& \quad + a_{13} qp_{b_2}(gv, F(y, z, x)) + a_{14} qp_{b_2}(gw, F(z, y, x)) + a_{15} qp_{b_2}(gu, F(x, y))
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.4}$$

holds for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$. Also suppose we have the following hypotheses:

$$\begin{aligned}
& qp_{b_1}(F(z, x, y), F(w, u, v)) \\
& \leq a_1 qp_{b_2}(gz, gw) + a_2 qp_{b_2}(gx, gu) + a_3 qp_{b_2}(gy, gv) \\
& \quad + a_6 qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y, z, x)) + a_4 qp_{b_2}(gz, F(z, x, y)) + a_5 qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x, y, z)) \\
& \quad + a_7 qp_{b_2}(gw, F(w, u, v)) + a_8 qp_{b_2}(gu, F(u, v, w)) + a_9 qp_{b_2}(gv, F(v, w, u)) \\
& \quad + a_{10} qp_{b_2}(gz, F(w, u, v)) + a_{11} qp_{b_2}(gx, F(u, v, w)) + a_{12} qp_{b_2}(gy, F(v, w, u)) \\
& \quad + a_{13} qp_{b_2}(gw, F(z, y, x)) + a_{14} qp_{b_2}(gu, F(x, y)) + a_{15} qp_{b_2}(gv, F(y, z, x))
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.5}$$

holds for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$. Adding inequalities (3.2.3) and (3.2.4) to inequality (3.2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& qp_{b_1}(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) + qp_{b_1}(F(y, z, x), F(v, w, u)) + qp_{b_1}(F(z, x, y), F(w, u, v)) \\
& \leq (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)[qp_{b_2}(gx, gu) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gv) + qp_{b_2}(gz, gw)] \\
& \quad + (a_4 + a_5 + a_6)[qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_2}(gz, F(z, x, y))] \\
& \quad + (a_7 + a_8 + a_9)[qp_{b_2}(gu, F(u, v, w)) + qp_{b_2}(gv, F(v, w, u)) + qp_{b_2}(gw, F(w, u, v))] \\
& \quad + (a_{10} + a_{11} + a_{12})[qp_{b_2}(gx, F(u, v, w)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(v, w, u)) + qp_{b_2}(gz, F(w, u, v))] \\
& \quad + (a_{13} + a_{14} + a_{15})[qp_{b_2}(gu, F(x, y, z)) + qp_{b_2}(gv, F(y, z, x)) + qp_{b_2}(gw, F(z, x, y))].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, letting $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = k_1$, $a_4 + a_5 + a_6 = k_2$, $a_7 + a_8 + a_9 = k_3$, $a_{10} + a_{11} + a_{12} = k_4$, $a_{13} + a_{14} + a_{15} = k_5$, the result follows from Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.3. *Let qp_{b_1} and qp_{b_2} be two quasi-partial b -metrics such that $qp_{b_2}(x, y) \leq qp_{b_1}(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X^3 \rightarrow X$, $g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. Suppose that there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that the condition*

$$\begin{aligned} & qp_{b_1}(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) + qp_{b_1}(F(y, z, x), F(v, w, u)) + qp_{b_1}(F(z, x, y), F(w, u, v)) \\ & \leq k[qp_{b_2}(gx, gu) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gv) + qp_{b_2}(gz, gw)] \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$. Also, suppose we have the following hypotheses:

- (1) $F(X^3) \subseteq g(X)$
- (2) $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X with respect to the quasi-partial b -metric qp_{b_1} .

Then the mappings F and g have a tripled coincidence point (x, y, z) satisfying $gx = F(x, y, z) = F(y, z, x) = gy = F(z, x, y) = gz$.

Moreover, if F and g are w -compatible, then F and g have a unique common tripled fixed point of the form (u, u, u) .

Proof. By putting $k_1 = k$ and $k_2 = k_3 = k_4 = k_5 = 0$ in Theorem 3.1 we get the result.

Corollary 3.4. *Let qp_{b_1} and qp_{b_2} be two quasi-partial b -metrics on X such that $qp_{b_2}(x, y) \leq qp_{b_1}(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X^3 \rightarrow X$, $g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. Suppose that there exists $k \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2s}\right)$ such that the condition*

$$\begin{aligned} & qp_{b_1}(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) + qp_{b_1}(F(y, z, x), F(v, w, u)) + qp_{b_1}(F(z, x, y), F(w, u, v)) \\ & \leq k[qp_{b_2}(gx, F(u, v, w)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(v, w, u)) + qp_{b_2}(gz, F(w, u, v))] \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

holds for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$. Also, suppose we have the following hypotheses:

- (1) $F(X^3) \subseteq g(X)$
- (2) $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X with respect to the quasi-partial b -metric qp_{b_1} .

Then the mappings F and g have a tripled coincidence point (x, y) satisfying $gx = F(x, y, z) = F(y, z, x) = gy = F(z, x, y) = gz$.

Moreover, if F and g are w -compatible, then F and g have a unique common tripled fixed point of the form (u, u, u) .

Proof. By putting $k_4 = k$ and $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = k_5 = 0$ in Theorem 3.1 we get the desired result.

Example 3.1. Let $X = [0, 1]$ and two quasi-partial b -metrics qp_{b_1} and qp_{b_2} on X be given as

$$qp_{b_1}(x, y) = |x - y| + x \quad \text{and} \quad qp_{b_2}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(|x - y| + x)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Also, define $F : X^3 \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ as $F(x, y, z) = \frac{x + y + z}{36}$ and $g(x) = \frac{x}{2}$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then

- (1) (X, qp_{b_1}) is a complete quasi-partial b -metric space.
- (2) $F(X^3) \subseteq g(X)$
- (3) F and g is w -compatible.
- (4) For any $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & qp_{b_1}(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) + qp_{b_1}(F(y, z, x), F(v, w, u)) + qp_{b_1}(F(z, x, y), F(w, u, v)) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{3}(qp_{b_2}(gx, gu) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gv) + qp_{b_2}(gz, gw)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof of (i), (ii) and (iii) are clear. Next, we prove (iv). For $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & qp_{b_1}(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) + qp_{b_1}(F(y, z, x), F(v, w, u)) + qp_{b_1}(F(z, x, y), F(w, u, v)) \\ & = qp_{b_1}\left(\frac{x + y + z}{36}, \frac{u + v}{36}\right) + qp_{b_1}\left(\frac{y + z + x}{36}, \frac{v + w + u}{36}\right) + qp_{b_1}\left(\frac{z + x + y}{36}, \frac{w + u + v}{36}\right) \\ & = \left|\frac{x + y + z}{36} - \frac{u + v + w}{36}\right| + \left|\frac{y + z + x}{36} - \frac{v + w + u}{36}\right| \\ & + \left|\frac{z + x + y}{36} - \frac{w + u + v}{36}\right| + \frac{3(x + y + z)}{36} \\ & = \frac{1}{12} [|(x + y + z) - (u + v + w)| + (x + y + z)] \\ & = \frac{1}{12} [|x - u| + |y - v| + |z - w| + (x + y + z)] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{12} [|x - u| + |y - v| + |z - w| + (x + y + z)] \\ & = \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{1}{4}|x - u| + \frac{1}{4}|y - v| + \frac{1}{4}|z - w| + \frac{x}{4} + \frac{y}{4} + \frac{z}{4} \right] \\ & = \frac{1}{3}(qp_{b_2}\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{u}{2}\right) + qp_{b_2}\left(\frac{y}{2}, \frac{v}{2}\right) + qp_{b_2}\left(\frac{z}{2}, \frac{w}{2}\right)) \\ & = \frac{1}{3}(qp_{b_2}(gx, gu) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gv) + qp_{b_2}(gz, gw)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, F and g satisfy all the hypotheses of Corollary 2.4. So, F and g have a unique common tripled fixed point. Here $(0,0,0)$ is the unique common tripled fixed point of F and g .

Example 3.2. Let $X = [0, 1]$ and two quasi-partial b -metrics qp_{b_1} and qp_{b_2} on X be given as

$$qp_{b_1}(x, y) = qp_{b_2}(x, y) = |x - y| + x$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Also, define $F : X^3 \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ as $F(x, y) = \frac{x+y+z}{3^nm}$ and $g(x) = \frac{x}{m}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

(1) (X, qp_{b_1}) is a complete quasi-partial b -metric space.

(2) $F(X^3) \subseteq g(X)$

(3) F and g is w -compatible.

(4) For any $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & qp_{b_1}(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) + qp_{b_1}(F(y, z, x), F(v, w, u)) + qp_{b_1}(F(z, x, y), F(w, u, v)) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{3^{n-1}}(qp_{b_2}(gx, gu) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gv) + qp_{b_2}(gz, gz)). \end{aligned}$$

Conflict of Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Aydi and M. Abbas, Tripled coincidence and fixed point results in partial metric spaces, Appl. Gen. Topol. 13 (2012), 193-206.
- [2] H. Aydi, M. Abbas, W. Sintunavarat, P. Kumam, Tripled fixed point of W -compatible mappings in abstract metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-134
- [3] T. Abdeljawad, E. Karapinar and K. Tas, A generalized contraction principle with control functions on partial metric spaces, Comput. Math. Appl. 63 (2012), 716–719.
- [4] I. Altun and Ö. Acar, Fixed point theorems for weak contractions in the sense of Berinde on partial metric spaces, Topo. Appl. 159 (2012) 2642–2648.
- [5] H. Aydi, Some fixed point results in ordered partial metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 4 (2011) no. 2, 1–12.
- [6] I.A. Bakhtin, The contraction principle in quasimetric spaces, It. Funct. Anal. 30 (1989), 26–37.
- [7] T.G. Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, Nonlinear Anal. 65 (2006), 1379–1393.

- [8] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces, *Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav.* 1 (1993), 5–11.
- [9] F. Gu, Some common tripled fixed point results in two quasi-partial metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2014 (2014) Article ID 71.
- [10] F. Gu and L. Wang, Some coupled fixed-point theorems in two quasi-partial metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* doi: 10.1186/1687-1812-2014-19.
- [11] A. Gupta and P. Gautam, Quasi-partial b -metric spaces and some related fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* doi: 10.1186/s13663-015-0260-2.
- [12] A. Gupta and P. Gautam, Some coupled fixed point theorems on quasi-Partial b -metric spaces, *Int. J. Math. Sci.* 9 (2015), 293-306.
- [13] E. Karapinar and I. Erhan, Fixed point theorems for operators on partial metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24 (2011), 1894–1899.
- [14] E. Karapinar, I. Erhan and A. Öztürk, Fixed point theorems on quasi-partial metric spaces, *Math. Comput. Model.* 57 (2013), 2442–2448.
- [15] V. Lakshmikantham and L. Ćirić, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 70 (2009), 4341–4349.
- [16] S.G. Matthews, Partial metric topology, *General Topology and its Applications*, *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 728 (1994), 183–197.
- [17] W. Shatanawi and A. Pitea, Some coupled fixed point theorems in quasi-partial metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013 (2013), Article ID 153.
- [18] B. Samet and C. Vetro, Coupled fixed point, f -invariant set and fixed point of N -order, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* 1 (2010), 46-56.
- [19] Satish Shukla, Partial b -metric spaces and fixed point theorems, *Mediterranean J. Math* 11 (2014), 703-711.