



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Eng. Math. Lett. 2016, 2016:2

ISSN: 2049-9337

THE FAMILIES OF SOFT L -TOPOLOGIES AND SOFT CLOSURE OPERATORS

YONG CHAN KIM

Department of Mathematics, Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung 210-702, Korea

Copyright © 2016 Young Chan Kim. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper, we study the notions of soft closure operators in complete residuated lattices. We investigate the relations among soft cotopology and soft closure operators. We give their examples.

Keywords: complete residuated lattices; soft closure operators; soft topologies; soft cotopologies.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 54A40, 03E72, 03G10, 06A15.

1. Introduction

Molodtsov [15] introduced the soft set as a mathematical tool for dealing information as the uncertainty of data in engineering, physics, computer sciences and many other diverse field. Presently, the soft set theory is making progress rapidly [1,4,11-15,22]. Pawlak's rough set [16,17] can be viewed as a special case of soft rough sets [4]. The topological structures of soft sets have been developed by many researchers [3,8,9,19,20,24,25]. Hájek [5] introduced a complete residuated lattice which is an algebraic structure for many valued logic. It is an important mathematical tool for algebraic structure of fuzzy contexts [6,8,21].

Kim [16,17] introduced a fuzzy soft $F : A \rightarrow L^U$ as an extension as the soft $F : A \rightarrow P(U)$ where L is a complete residuated lattice. Kim [16,17] introduced the soft topological structures in complete residuated lattices.

In this paper, we study the notions of soft closure operators in complete residuated lattices. We investigate the relations among soft cotopology and soft closure operators. We give their examples.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [2,5,6,21] An algebra $(L, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is called a complete residuated lattice if it satisfies the following conditions:

(C1) $L = (L, \leq, \vee, \wedge, 1, 0)$ is a complete lattice with the greatest element 1 and the least element 0;

(C2) $(L, \odot, 1)$ is a commutative monoid;

(C3) $x \odot y \leq z$ iff $x \leq y \rightarrow z$ for $x, y, z \in L$.

In this paper, we assume that $(L, \leq, \odot, \rightarrow)$ is a complete residuated lattice.

Lemma 2.2. [2,5,6,21] For each $x, y, z, x_i, y_i, w \in L$, we have the following properties.

- (1) $1 \rightarrow x = x, 0 \odot x = 0,$
- (2) If $y \leq z$, then $x \odot y \leq x \odot z, x \rightarrow y \leq x \rightarrow z$ and $z \rightarrow x \leq y \rightarrow x,$
- (3) $x \odot y \leq x \wedge y \leq x \vee y,$
- (4) $x \odot (\bigvee_i y_i) = \bigvee_i (x \odot y_i),$
- (5) $x \rightarrow (\bigwedge_i y_i) = \bigwedge_i (x \rightarrow y_i),$
- (6) $(\bigvee_i x_i) \rightarrow y = \bigwedge_i (x_i \rightarrow y),$
- (7) $x \rightarrow (\bigvee_i y_i) \geq \bigvee_i (x \rightarrow y_i),$
- (8) $(\bigwedge_i x_i) \rightarrow y \geq \bigvee_i (x_i \rightarrow y),$
- (9) $(x \odot y) \rightarrow z = x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z),$
- (10) $x \odot (x \rightarrow y) \leq y$ and $x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z),$
- (11) $(x \rightarrow y) \odot (z \rightarrow w) \leq (x \odot z) \rightarrow (y \odot w),$
- (12) $x \rightarrow y \leq (x \odot z) \rightarrow (y \odot z)$ and $(x \rightarrow y) \odot (y \rightarrow z) \leq x \rightarrow z.$

Definition 2.3. [8,9] Let X be an initial universe of objects and E the set of parameters (attributes) in X . A pair (F, A) is called a *fuzzy soft set* over X , where $A \subset E$ and $F : A \rightarrow L^X$ is a mapping. We denote $S(X, A)$ as the family of all fuzzy soft sets under the parameter A .

Definition 2.4. [8,9] Let (F, A) and (G, A) be two fuzzy soft sets over a common universe X .

(1) (F, A) is a fuzzy soft subset of (G, A) , denoted by $(F, A) \leq (G, A)$ if $F(a) \leq G(a)$, for each $a \in A$.

(2) $(F, A) \wedge (G, A) = (F \wedge G, A)$ if $(F \wedge G)(a) = F(a) \wedge G(a)$ for each $a \in A$.

(3) $(F, A) \vee (G, A) = (F \vee G, A)$ if $(F \vee G)(a) = F(a) \vee G(a)$ for each $a \in A$.

(4) $(F, A) \odot (G, A) = (F \odot G, A)$ if $(F \odot G)(a) = F(a) \odot G(a)$ for each $a \in A$.

(6) $\alpha \odot (F, A) = (\alpha \odot F, A)$ for each $\alpha \in L$.

Definition 2.5. [8,9] A map $\tau \subset S(X, A)$ is called a soft topology on X if it satisfies the following conditions.

(ST1) $(0_X, A), (1_X, A) \in \tau$, where $0_X(a)(x) = 0, 1_X(a)(x) = 1$ for all $a \in A, x \in X$,

(ST2) If $(F, A), (G, A) \in \tau$, then $(F, A) \odot (G, A) \in \tau$,

(T) If $(F_i, A) \in \tau$ for each $i \in I, \bigvee_{i \in I} (F_i, A) \in \tau$.

A map $\tau \subset S(X, A)$ is called a soft cotopology on X if it satisfies (ST1), (ST2) and

(CT) If $(F_i, A) \in \tau$ for each $i \in I, \bigwedge_{i \in I} (F_i, A) \in \tau$.

The triple (X, A, τ) is called a soft topological (resp. cotopological) space.

Let (X, A, τ_1) and (X, A, τ_2) be soft topological spaces. Then τ_1 is finer than τ_2 if $(F, A) \in \tau_1$, for all $(F, A) \in \tau_2$.

Definition 2.6. [8,9] Let $S(X, A)$ and $S(Y, B)$ be the families of all fuzzy soft sets over X and Y , respectively. The mapping $f_\phi : S(X, A) \rightarrow S(Y, B)$ is a soft mapping where $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ are mappings.

(1) The image of $(F, A) \in S(X, A)$ under the mapping f_ϕ is denoted by $f_\phi((F, A)) = (f_\phi(F), B)$ where

$$f_\phi(F)(b)(y) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{a \in \phi^{-1}(\{b\})} f^\rightarrow(F(a))(y), & \text{if } \phi^{-1}(\{b\}) \neq \emptyset, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) The inverse image of $(G, B) \in S(Y, B)$ under the mapping f_ϕ is denoted by $f_\phi^{-1}((G, B)) = (f_\phi^{-1}(G), A)$ where

$$f_\phi^{-1}(G)(a)(x) = f^\leftarrow(G(\phi(a)))(x), \forall a \in A, x \in X.$$

(3) The soft mapping $f_\phi : S(X, A) \rightarrow S(Y, B)$ is called injective (resp. surjective, bijective) if f and ϕ are both injective (resp. surjective, bijective).

Lemma 2.7. [8,9] *Let $f_\phi : S(X, A) \rightarrow S(Y, B)$ be a soft mapping. Then we have the following properties. For $(F, A), (F_i, A) \in S(X, A)$ and $(G, B), (G_i, B) \in S(Y, B)$,*

- (1) $(G, B) \geq f_\phi(f_\phi^{-1}((G, B)))$ with equality if f is surjective,
- (2) $(F, A) \leq f_\phi^{-1}(f_\phi((F, A)))$ with equality if f is injective,
- (3) $f_\phi^{-1}(\bigvee_{i \in I} (G_i, B)) = \bigvee_{i \in I} f_\phi^{-1}((G_i, B))$,
- (4) $f_\phi^{-1}(\bigwedge_{i \in I} (G_i, B)) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} f_\phi^{-1}((G_i, B))$,
- (5) $f_\phi(\bigvee_{i \in I} (F_i, A)) = \bigvee_{i \in I} f_\phi((F_i, A))$,
- (6) $f_\phi(\bigwedge_{i \in I} (F_i, A)) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in I} f_\phi((F_i, A))$ with equality if f is injective,
- (7) $f_\phi^{-1}((G_1, B) \odot (G_2, B)) = f_\phi^{-1}((G_1, B)) \odot f_\phi^{-1}((G_2, B))$,
- (8) $f_\phi((F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A)) \leq f_\phi((F_1, A)) \odot f_\phi((F_2, A))$ with equality if f is injective.

3. The families of soft L -topologies and soft closure operators

Definition 3.1. A mapping $cl : S(X, A) \rightarrow S(X, A)$ is called a soft closure operator if it satisfies the following conditions;

- (SC1) $cl(0_{X, A}) = (0_{X, A})$,
- (SC2) $cl(F, A) \geq (F, A)$,
- (SC3) If $(F, A) \leq (G, A)$, then $cl(F, A) \leq cl(G, A)$,
- (SC4) $cl(cl(F, A)) = (F, A)$,
- (SC5) $cl((F, A) \odot (G, A)) \leq cl(F, A) \odot cl(G, A)$.

The pair (X, A, cl) is called a soft closure space. Let (X, A, cl^1) and (X, A, cl^2) be soft closure spaces. Then cl^1 is finer than cl^2 if $cl^1 \leq cl^2$.

Let (X, A, cl_X) and (Y, B, cl_Y) be soft closure spaces and $f_\phi : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ be a map. Then f_ϕ is called a soft closure map if, for each $(F, A) \in S(X, A)$,

$$cl_Y(f_\phi(F, A)) \geq f_\phi(cl_X(F, A)).$$

Remark 3.2. [21] If (L, \odot) is a continuous t-norm, then $a \odot \bigwedge_{i \in I} b_i = \bigwedge_{i \in I} (a \odot b_i)$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $a \odot \bigwedge_{i \in I} b_i = \bigwedge_{i \in I} (a \odot b_i)$ for $a, b_i \in L$ and cl^1 and cl^2 be soft closure operators on $S(X, A)$. Then we have the following properties.*

(1) *Define a map $cl^1 \oplus cl^2 : S(X, A) \rightarrow S(X, A)$ by*

$$(cl^1 \oplus cl^2)((G, A)) = \bigwedge \{cl^1((G_1, A)) \odot cl^2((G_2, A)) \mid (G, A) \leq (G_1, A) \odot (G_2, A)\}.$$

Then $cl^1 \oplus cl^2$ is the coarsest soft closure operator on $S(X, A)$ which is finer than cl^1 and cl^2 .

(2) *Define $\tau_{cl^i} = \{(F, A) \in S(X, A) \mid (F, A) = cl^i(F, A)\}$. Then τ_{cl^i} is a soft cotopology on (X, A) ,*

(3) *$\tau_{cl^1} \oplus \tau_{cl^2} = \{(F, A) \in S(X, A) \mid (F, A) = (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A), (F_i, A) \in \tau_{cl^i}\}$ is a soft cotopology on (X, A) .*

(4) *$\tau_{cl^1 \oplus cl^2} = \tau_{cl^1} \oplus \tau_{cl^2}$.*

Proof. (1) (SC1)

$$\begin{aligned} (cl^1 \oplus cl^2)((0_X, A)) &\leq \{cl^1((0_X, A)) \odot cl^2((0_X, A)) \mid (0_X, A) \leq (0_X, A) \odot (0_X, A)\} \\ &= (0_X, A). \end{aligned}$$

(SC2) and (SC3) are clearly true.

(SC4)

$$\begin{aligned} (cl^1 \oplus cl^2)((F, A)) &= \bigwedge \{cl^1((F_1, A)) \odot cl^2((F_2, A)) \mid (F, A) \leq (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A)\} \\ &\geq \bigwedge \{cl^1(cl^1((F_1, A))) \odot cl^2(cl^2((F_2, A))) \mid (cl^1 \oplus cl^2)((F, A)) \leq \\ &\quad (cl^1 \oplus cl^2)((F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A))\} \\ &\geq \bigwedge \{cl^1(cl^1((F_1, A))) \odot cl^2(cl^2((F_2, A))) \mid (cl^1 \oplus cl^2)((F, A)) \leq \\ &\quad cl^1((F_1, A)) \odot cl^2((F_2, A))\} \\ &\geq (cl^1 \oplus cl^2)((cl^1 \oplus cl^2)((F, A))). \end{aligned}$$

(SC5)

$$\begin{aligned}
& (cl^1 \oplus cl^2)((F, A)) \odot (cl^1 \oplus cl^2)((G, A)) \\
&= \wedge \{cl^1((F_1, A)) \odot cl^2((F_2, A)) \mid (F, A) \leq (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A)\} \\
&\odot \wedge \{cl^1((G_1, A)) \odot cl^2((G_2, A)) \mid (G, A) \leq (G_1, A) \odot (G_2, A)\} \\
&\geq \wedge \{cl^1((F_1, A)) \odot cl^1((G_1, A)) \odot cl^2((F_2, A)) \odot cl^2((G_2, A)) \\
&\quad \mid (F, A) \odot (G, A) \leq ((F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A)) \odot ((G_1, A) \odot (G_2, A))\} \\
&\geq \wedge \{cl^1((F_1, A) \odot (G_1, A)) \odot cl^2((F_2, A) \odot (G_2, A)) \\
&\quad \mid (F, A) \odot (G, A) \leq ((F_1, A) \odot (G_1, A)) \odot ((F_2, A) \odot (G_2, A))\} \\
&\geq \wedge \{cl^1((H, A)) \odot cl^2((K, A)) \mid (F, A) \odot (G, A) \leq (H, A) \odot (K, A)\} \\
&= (cl^1 \oplus cl^2)((F, A) \odot (G, A)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $cl^1 \oplus cl^2$ is a soft closure operator on $S(X, A)$.

For $(G, A) = (G, A) \odot (1_X, A)$, $(cl^1 \oplus cl^2)((G, A)) \leq cl^1((G, A)) \odot cl^2((1_X, A)) = cl^1((G, A))$ and $(cl^1 \oplus cl^2)((G, A)) \leq cl^2((G, A))$. If $cl \leq cl^i$ for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (cl^1 \oplus cl^2)((F, A)) = \wedge \{cl^1((F_1, A)) \odot cl^2((F_2, A)) \mid (F, A) \leq (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A)\} \\
&\geq \wedge \{cl((F_1, A)) \odot cl((F_2, A)) \mid (F, A) \leq (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A)\} \\
&\geq \wedge \{cl((F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A)) \mid (F, A) \leq (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A)\} \\
&\geq cl((F, A)).
\end{aligned}$$

So, $cl^1 \oplus cl^2$ is the coarsest soft closure operator on $S(X, A)$ which is finer than cl^1 and cl^2 .

(2) (ST1) is easily proved from $cl^i((0_X, A)) = (0_X, A)$ and $cl^i((1_X, A)) = (1_X, A)$.

(ST2) If $(F, A), (G, A) \in \tau_{cl^i}$, i.e. $cl^i((F, A)) = (F, A)$ and $cl^i((G, A)) = (G, A)$, $cl^i((F, A) \odot (G, A)) \leq cl^i((F, A)) \odot cl^i((G, A)) \leq (F, A) \odot (G, A)$. $(F, A) \odot (G, A) \in \tau_{cl^i}$

(CT) If $(F_j, A) \in \tau_{cl^i}$ for $j \in J$, i.e. $cl^i((F_j, A)) = (F_j, A)$, $cl^i(\bigwedge_{j \in J} (F_j, A)) \leq \bigwedge_{j \in J} cl^i((F_j, A)) = \bigwedge_{j \in J} (F_j, A)$. Hence $\bigwedge_{j \in J} (F_j, A) \in \tau_{cl^i}$.

(3) (ST1) Since $(0_X, A) = (0_X, A) \odot (0_X, A)$ and $(1_X, A) = (1_X, A) \odot (1_X, A)$, $(0_X, A), (1_X, A) \in \tau_{cl^1} \oplus \tau_{cl^2}$.

(ST2) is easily proved.

(CT) If $(F_j, A) \in \tau_{cl^1} \oplus \tau_{cl^2}$ for $j \in J$, i.e. $(F_{j1}, A) \in \tau_{cl^1}$, then $\bigwedge_{j \in J} (F_j, A) = \bigwedge_{j \in J} ((F_{j1}, A) \odot (F_{j2}, A)) = (\bigwedge_{j \in J} (F_{j1}, A)) \odot (\bigwedge_{j \in J} (F_{j2}, A)) \in \tau_{cl^1} \oplus \tau_{cl^2}$.

Hence $\tau_{cl^1} \oplus \tau_{cl^2}$ is a soft cotopology on (X, A) .

(4) Let $(F, A) \in \tau_{cl^1} \oplus \tau_{cl^2}$. Then $(F, A) = (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A)$ for $(F_i, A) \in \tau_{cl^i}, i = 1, 2$, that is, $(F_i, A) = cl^i((F_i, A))$. Thus

$$(F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A) \leq (cl^1 \oplus cl^2)((F, A)) \leq (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A).$$

So, $(F, A) \in \tau_{cl^1 \oplus cl^2}$. Hence $\tau_{cl^1} \oplus \tau_{cl^2} \subset \tau_{cl^1 \oplus cl^2}$.

Let $(G, A) \in \tau_{cl^1 \oplus cl^2}$. Then $(G, A) = \bigwedge \{cl^1((G_1, A)) \odot cl^2((G_2, A)) \mid (G, A) \leq (G_1, A) \odot (G_2, A)\}$. Since $cl^i((G_i, A)) = cl^i(cl^i((G_i, A)))$ for $i = 1, 2$, $cl^i((G_i, A)) \in \tau_{cl^i}$. So, $(G, A) \in \tau_{cl^1} \oplus \tau_{cl^2}$. $\tau_{cl^1 \oplus cl^2} \subset \tau_{cl^1} \oplus \tau_{cl^2}$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $a \odot \bigwedge_{i \in I} b_i = \bigwedge_{i \in I} (a \odot b_i)$ for $a, b_i \in L$ and τ_1 and τ_2 be soft cotopological spaces on $S(X, A)$. Then we have the following properties.

(1) Define $\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \subset S(X, A)$ by

$$\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 = \{(F, A) \in S(X, A) \mid (F, A) = (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A), (F_i, A) \in \tau_i\}.$$

Then $\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2$ is the coarsest soft cotopological spaces on $S(X, A)$ which is finer than τ_1 and τ_2 .

(2) Define $cl_{\tau_i}(F, A) = \bigwedge \{(G, A) \in \tau_i \mid (F, A) \leq (G, A)\}$. Then cl_{τ_i} is a soft closure operator on (X, A) ,

(3) $cl_{\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2} = cl_{\tau_1} \oplus cl_{\tau_2}$.

(4) $\tau_i = \tau_{cl_{\tau_i}}$.

(5) $cl = cl_{\tau_{cl}}$.

Proof. (1) From Theorem 3.3(2), $\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2$ is a soft topological spaces on $S(X, A)$. For $(F, A) \in \tau_1$, $(F, A) = (F, A) \odot (1_X, A) \in \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2$. So, $\tau_1 \subset \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2$. Similarly, $\tau_2 \subset \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2$. If $\tau_i \subset \tau$ for $i = 1, 2$, $(F, A) = (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A) \in \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2$ implies $(F, A) = (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A) \in \tau$. Hence $\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \subset \tau$.

(2) (SC1)

$$cl_{\tau_i}((0_X, A)) = \bigwedge \{(G, A) \in \tau_i \mid (0_X, A) \leq (G, A)\} = (0_X, A).$$

(SC2) and (SC3) are clearly true.

(SC4) Since $cl_{\tau_i}(F, A) \in \tau_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} cl_{\tau_i}(cl_{\tau_i}(F, A)) &= \bigwedge \{(G, A) \in \tau_i \mid cl_{\tau_i}(F, A) \leq (G, A)\} \\ &\leq \{cl_{\tau_i}(F, A) \in \tau_i \mid cl_{\tau_i}(F, A) \leq cl_{\tau_i}(F, A)\} \\ &= cl_{\tau_i}(F, A). \end{aligned}$$

(SC5)

$$\begin{aligned} &cl_{\tau_i}((F, A)) \odot cl_{\tau_i}((G, A)) \\ &= \bigwedge \{(F_1, A) \in \tau_i \mid (F, A) \leq (F_1, A)\} \\ &\quad \odot \bigwedge \{(G_1, A) \in \tau_i \mid (G, A) \leq (G_1, A)\} \\ &\geq \bigwedge \{(F_1, A) \odot (G_1, A) \in \tau_i \mid (F, A) \odot (G, A) \leq (F_1, A) \odot (G_1, A)\} \\ &\geq \bigwedge \{(H, A) \in \tau_i \mid (F, A) \odot (G, A) \leq (H, A)\} \\ &= cl_{\tau_i}((F, A) \odot (G, A)). \end{aligned}$$

(3) Suppose there exists $(F, A) \in S(X, A)$ such that

$$cl_{\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2}((F, A)) \not\leq (cl_{\tau_1} \oplus cl_{\tau_2})(F, A).$$

There exists $(F_i, A) \in S(X, A)$ with $(F, A) \leq (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A)$ such that

$$cl_{\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2}((F, A)) \not\leq cl_{\tau_1}((F_1, A)) \odot cl_{\tau_2}((F_2, A)).$$

On the other hand, for $(F, A) \leq (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A) \leq cl_{\tau_1}((F_1, A)) \odot cl_{\tau_2}((F_2, A))$, since $cl_{\tau_i}(F_i, A) \in \tau_i$, we have

$$cl_{\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2}((F, A)) \leq cl_{\tau_1}((F_1, A)) \odot cl_{\tau_2}((F_2, A)).$$

It is a contradiction. Hence $cl_{\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2} \leq cl_{\tau_1} \oplus cl_{\tau_2}$.

Suppose there exists $(G, A) \in S(X, A)$ such that

$$cl_{\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2}((G, A)) \not\leq (cl_{\tau_1} \oplus cl_{\tau_2})(G, A).$$

By the definition of $cl_{\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2}$, there exists $(G_i, A) \in \tau_i$ with $(G, A) \leq (G_1, A) \odot (G_2, A)$ such that

$$(G_1, A) \odot (G_2, A) \not\leq (cl_{\tau_1} \oplus cl_{\tau_2})(G, A).$$

On the other hand, for $(G, A) \leq (G_1, A) \odot (G_2, A)$, since $cl_{\tau_i}((G_i, A)) = (G_i, A)$, we have

$$(cl_{\tau_1} \oplus cl_{\tau_2})(G, A) \leq cl_{\tau_1}((G_1, A)) \odot cl_{\tau_2}((G_2, A)) = (G_1, A) \odot (G_2, A).$$

It is a contradiction. Hence $cl_{\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2} \geq cl_{\tau_1} \oplus cl_{\tau_2}$.

(4) It follows from:

$$\begin{aligned} (F, A) \in \tau_i &\Leftrightarrow cl_{\tau_i}(F, A) = (F, A) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (F, A) \in \tau_{cl_{\tau_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

(5) Since $cl(cl((F, A))) = cl((F, A)) \in \tau_{cl}$, we have $cl_{\tau_{cl}} \leq cl$.

Since $cl_{\tau_{cl}}((F, A)) = \bigwedge \{(G_i, A) \mid (F, A) \leq (G_i, A) \in \tau_{cl}\}$, we have $cl(cl_{\tau_{cl}}((F, A))) = cl_{\tau_{cl}}((F, A))$.

Hence $(F, A) \leq cl_{\tau_{cl}}((F, A))$ implies $cl((F, A)) \leq cl(cl_{\tau_{cl}}((F, A))) = cl_{\tau_{cl}}((F, A))$. Thus, $cl_{\tau_{cl}} \leq cl$.

Theorem 3.5. A map $f_\phi : (X, A, \tau_X) \rightarrow (Y, B, \tau_Y)$ be a continuous soft map iff $f_\phi : (X, A, cl_{\tau_X}) \rightarrow (Y, B, cl_{\tau_Y})$ is a soft closed map.

Proof. Since $(F, A) \leq f_\phi^{-1}(f_\phi(F, A))$ and $f_\phi(f_\phi^{-1}(G, B)) \leq (G, B)$ from Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} cl_{\tau_Y}(f_\phi(F, A)) &= \bigwedge \{(G, B) \in S(Y, B) \mid f_\phi(F, A) \leq (G, B), (G, B) \in \tau_Y\} \\ &\geq \bigwedge \{f_\phi(f_\phi^{-1}(G, B)) \mid (F, A) \leq f_\phi^{-1}(f_\phi(F, A)) \leq f_\phi^{-1}((G, B)), f_\phi^{-1}((G, B)) \in \tau_X\} \\ &\geq f_\phi(\bigwedge \{(f_\phi^{-1}(G, B)) \mid (F, A) \leq f_\phi^{-1}((G, B)), f_\phi^{-1}((G, B)) \in \tau_X\}) \\ &\geq f_\phi(cl_{\tau_X}((F, A))). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let $(G, B) \in \tau_Y$. Since

$$f_\phi(cl_{\tau_X}((f_\phi^{-1}(G, B)))) \leq cl_{\tau_Y}(f_\phi((f_\phi^{-1}(G, B)))) \leq cl_{\tau_Y}((G, B)) = (G, B),$$

we have $cl_{\tau_X}((f_\phi^{-1}(G, B))) \leq (f_\phi^{-1}(G, B))$. So, $f_\phi^{-1}(G, B) \in \tau_{cl_{\tau_X}} = \tau_X$.

Example 3.6. Let $X = \{h_i \mid i = \{1, \dots, 4\}\}$ with h_i =house and $E_Y = \{e, b, w, c, i\}$ with e =expensive, b =beautiful, w =wooden, c = creative, i =in the green surrounding.

Let $(L = [0, 1], \odot, \rightarrow)$ be a continuous t-norm defined by

$$x \odot y = (x + y - 1) \vee 0, \quad x \rightarrow y = (1 - x + y) \wedge 1.$$

Let $A = \{e, i\}$ and $(F, A), (F \odot F, A), (G, A) \in S(X, A)$ such that

(F, A)	h^1	h^2	h^3	h^4	(G, A)	h^1	h^2	h^3	h^4
e	0.5	0.6	0.2	0.6	e	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.5
i	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.6	i	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5

$(F,A) \odot (F,A)$	h^1	h^2	h^3	h^4
e	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2
i	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
$(F,A) \odot (G,A)$	h^1	h^2	h^3	h^4
e	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1
i	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1

Define $\tau_1 = \{(0_X, A), (1_X, A), (F, A), (F, A) \odot (F, A)\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{(0_X, A), (1_X, A), (G, A)\}$. Then τ_i is a soft topology and soft cotopology on $S(X, A)$, for $i = 1, 2$. From Theorem 3.4, we obtain a soft cotopology as

$$\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 = \{(0_X, A), (1_X, A), (F, A), (G, A), (F, A) \odot (F, A), (F, A) \odot (G, A)\}.$$

From Theorem 3.4(2), we obtain soft fuzzy closure operators $cl_{\tau_i} : S(X, A) \rightarrow S(X, A)$, $i = 1, 2$ as follows:

$$cl_{\tau_1}((H, A)) = \begin{cases} (0_X, A), & \text{if } (H, A) = (0_X, A), \\ (F, A) \odot (F, A), & \text{if } (F, A) \odot (F, A) \leq (H, A) \not\leq (F, A) \\ (F, A), & \text{if } (F, A) \leq (H, A) \\ (1_X, A), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$cl_{\tau_2}((H, A)) = \begin{cases} (0_X, A), & \text{if } (H, A) = (0_X, A), \\ (G, A), & \text{if } (G, A) \leq (H, A) \\ (1_X, A), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we have $cl_{\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2} = cl_{\tau_1} \oplus cl_{\tau_2}$ from

$$cl_{\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2}((H, A)) = \begin{cases} (0_X, A), & \text{if } (H, A) = (0_X, A), \\ (F, A) \odot (G, A), & \text{if } (F, A) \odot (G, A) \leq (H, A) \not\leq (F, A) \odot (F, A) \\ (F, A) \odot (F, A), & \text{if } (F, A) \odot (F, A) \leq (H, A) \not\leq (F, A) \\ (F, A), & \text{if } (F, A) \leq (H, A) \not\leq (G, A) \\ (G, A), & \text{if } (G, A) \leq (H, A) \not\leq (F, A) \\ (1_X, A), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Conflict of Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] K.V. Babitha, J.J. Sunil, Soft set relations and functions, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 60(2010), 1840-1849.
- [2] R. Bělohlávek, *Fuzzy Relational Systems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York, 2002.
- [3] N. Çağman, S. Karatas and S. Enginoglu, Soft topology, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 62(1) (2011), 351-358.
- [4] F. Feng, X. Liu, V.L. Fotea, Y.B. Jun, Soft sets and soft rough sets, *Information Sciences*, 181 (2011), 1125-1137.
- [5] P. Hájek, *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998.
- [6] U. Höhle, S.E. Rodabaugh, *Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets: Logic, Topology, and Measure Theory*, The Handbooks of Fuzzy Sets Series 3, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1999.
- [7] A. Kharal, B. Ahmad, Mappings on soft classes, *New Math. Nat. Comput.* 7(3) (2011), 471-481.
- [8] Y.C. Kim and J.M. Ko, Soft L -topologies and soft L -neighborhood systems, (accepted to) *J. Math. Comput. Sci.*
- [9] Y.C. Kim and J.M. Ko, Soft implicative L -fuzzy interior and closure operators, (submit to) *J. Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*.
- [10] R. Lowen, Fuzzy neighborhood spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 7 (1982), 165-189.
- [11] P.K. Maji, R. Biswas, R.Roy, Soft set theory, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 45(2003), 555-562.
- [12] P.K. Maji, R. Biswas, R.Roy, An application of soft sets in decision making problems, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 44(2002), 1077-1083.
- [13] P.K. Maji, R.Roy, A fuzzy set theoretic approach to decision making problems, *J. Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 203(2007), 412-418.
- [14] P. Majumdar, S.K. Samanta, Generalised fuzzy soft sets, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 59(2010), 1425-1432.
- [15] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 37(1999), 19-31.
- [16] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets, *Int. J. Comput. Inf. Sci.*, 11 (1982), 341-356.
- [17] Z. Pawlak, Rough probability, *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math.*, 32(1984), 607-615.
- [18] S.E. Rodabaugh, E.P. Klement, *Topological and Algebraic Structures In Fuzzy Sets*, The Handbook of Recent Developments in the Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Dordrecht, London, 2003.
- [19] M. Shabir and M. Naz, On soft topological spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 61 (2011), 1786-1799.

- [20] B. Tanay and M. B. Kandemir, Topological structure of fuzzy soft sets, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 61(10) (2011), 2952-2957.
- [21] E. Turunen, *Mathematics Behind Fuzzy Logic*, A Springer-Verlag Co., Heidelberg, 1999.
- [22] J. M. Zhan and Y. B. Jun, Soft BL-algebras based on fuzzy sets, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 59(6) (2010), 2037-2046.
- [23] W.Z. Wu and W.X. Zhang, Neighborhood operator systems and approximations , *Information Sciences*, 144 (2002), 201-217.
- [24] Hu Zhao and Sheng-Gang Li, L-fuzzifying soft topological spaces and L-fuzzifying soft interior operators, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.*, 5(3) (2013), 493-503.
- [25] Í. Zorlutuna, M. Akdag, W. K. Min and S. Atmaca, Remarks on soft topological spaces, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.*, 3(2) (2012), 171-185.