



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Eng. Math. Lett. 2019, 2019:8

<https://doi.org/10.28919/eml/4094>

ISSN: 2049-9337

EXPONENTIAL STABILITY OF RANDOM IMPULSIVE SEMILINEAR INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

ANU SOURIAR

Department of Mathematics, PSG College of Arts & Science, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India

Copyright © 2019 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract: In this article, we study the existence, uniqueness and exponential stability of random impulsive semilinear integro-differential systems. The results are obtained by using the contraction mapping principle. Finally, an example is given to illustrate the applications of the abstract results.

Keywords: Semilinear integro-differential equation; random impulses; exponential stability; contraction principle.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 35R09; 35R60; 35B35; 34D99.

1. INTRODUCTION

Impulsive differential equations have become more important in recent years in some mathematical models of processes and phenomena studied in physics, optimal control, chemotherapy, biotechnology, population dynamics and ecology. There have been much research activity concerning the theory of impulsive differential equations see [2-6]. The impulses may exist at deterministic or random points. There are a lot of papers which investigate the properties of deterministic impulses see [9] and the references therein.

E-mail address: anusouriar@gmail.com

Received February 1, 2019

Thus the random impulsive equations gives more realistic than deterministic impulsive equations. There are few publications in this field, Wu and Duan brought forward random impulsive ordinary differential equations and investigated boundedness of solutions to these models by Liapunov's direct function in [11]. Wu et al, studied some qualitative properties of random impulses in [7,8,10]. In [12-14] the author studied the existence results for the random impulsive neutral functional differential equations and differential inclusions with delays. In [13], the authors generalized the distribution of random impulses with the Erlang distribution.

The stabilities like continuous dependence, Hyers- Ulam stability, Hyers- Ulam-Rassias stability, exponential stability and asymptotic stability have attracted the attention of many mathematicians see [15-18]. Motivated by the above mentioned works, the main purpose of this paper is to study of random impulsive semilinear integrodifferential systems. We relaxed the Lipchitz condition on the impulsive term and under our assumption it is enough to be bounded.

This article is organized as follows: In section 2, we recall some notations, definitions, concepts of random impulsive semilinear integrodifferential systems, In section 3, the assumptions, existence and uniqueness of solutions of random impulsive semilinear integrodifferential systems, In section 4, we study the exponential stability of random impulsive semilinear integrodifferential systems, In section 5, we provide an example to illustrate the applications of the obtained result.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the Euclidean norm in \mathcal{R}^n . If B is a vector or a matrix, its transpose is denoted by B^T ; if b is a matrix, its Frobenius norm is represented by $\|B\| = \{\text{trace}(B^T B)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Let \mathcal{R}^n be the n -dimensional Euclidean space and Ω a nonempty set. Assume that δ_k is a random variable defined from Ω to $D_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (0, d_k)$ for all $k=1,2,\dots$ where $0 < d_k < +\infty$. Furthermore, assume that δ_i and δ_j are independent with each other as $i \neq j$ for $i,j = 1,2,\dots$. Let $\delta, T \in \mathcal{R}$ be two constants satisfying $\delta < T$. For the sake of simplicity, we denote $\mathcal{R}_\delta = [\delta, T]$.

We consider semilinear integro- differential systems with random impulses of the form

$$x'(t) = Bx(t) + f(t, x(t)) + \int_0^t g(s, x_s) ds, \quad t \neq \eta_k, t \geq \delta, \quad (2.1)$$

$$x(\eta_k) = b_k(\delta_k) x(\eta_k^-), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.2)$$

$$x_{t_0} = x_0 \quad (2.3)$$

Where B is a matrix of dimension $n \times n$: the functions $f, g : \mathcal{R}^n \times \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^n$; $b_k : D_k \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{n \times n}$ is a matrix valued function for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$; $\eta_0 = t_0$ and $\eta_k = \eta_{k-1} + \delta_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, here $t_0 \in \mathcal{R}_\delta$ is arbitrary real number. Obviously, $t_0 = \eta_0 < \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_k < \dots$; $x(\eta_k^-) = \lim_{t \uparrow \eta_k} x(t)$ according to their paths with the norm $\|x\| = \sup_{\delta \leq s \leq t} \|x(s)\|$ for each t satisfying $\delta \leq s \leq T$.

Let us denote $\{A_t, t \geq 0\}$ by the simple counting process generated by $\{\eta_n\}$, that is, $\{A_t \geq n\} = \{\eta_n \leq t\}$, and denote \mathcal{F}_t the σ -algebra generated by $\{A_t, t \geq 0\}$. Then $(\Omega, P, \{\mathcal{F}_t\})$ is a probability space. Let \mathfrak{B} be the Banach space with the norm defined for any $\psi \in \mathfrak{B}$, $\|\psi\|^2 = (\sup_{t \in [\delta, T]} E\|\psi(t)\|^2)$, where $\psi(t)$, for any given $t \in [\delta, T]$.

Definition 2.1: For a given $T \in (\delta, +\infty)$, a stochastic process $\{x(t), \delta \leq t \leq T\}$ is called a solution to equations (2.1)-(2.3) in $(\Omega, P, \{\mathcal{F}_t\})$, if

- (i) $x(t)$ is \mathcal{F}_t -adapted;
 - (ii) $x(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} [\prod_{i=1}^k b_i(\delta_i) \Phi(t - t_0)x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k b_j(\delta_j) \int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} \Phi(t - s) [f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\delta, x_\delta) ds + \int_{\eta_k}^t \Phi(t - s) [f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\delta, x_\delta) ds] I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1})}(t), t \in [\delta, T]$,
- (2.4)

where $\prod_{j=m}^n (\cdot) = 1$ as $m > n$, $\prod_{j=1}^k b_j(\delta_j) = b_k(\delta_k) b_{k-1}(\delta_{k-1}) \dots b_1(\delta_1)$, $I_B(\cdot)$ is the index

function, ie., $I_B(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t \in B \\ 0, & \text{if } t \notin B \end{cases}$

3. MAIN RESULTS

Existence and uniqueness

In this section we give the existence and uniqueness of the system (2.1) – (2.3). We start with the following assumptions,

- (I) The function f satisfies the Lipchitz condition. ie; for $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathcal{R}^n$ and $\delta \leq t \leq T$ there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$E\|f(t, \alpha) - f(t, \gamma)\|^2 \leq LE \|\alpha - \gamma\|^2,$$

$$E\|f(t, 0)\|^2 \leq \frac{k}{2}, \text{ where } k > 0 \text{ is a constant.}$$

- (II) The condition $\max_{i,k} \{\prod_{j=i}^k \|b_j(\delta_j)\|\}$ is uniformly bounded if, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $\max_{i,k} \{\prod_{j=i}^k \|b_j(\delta_j)\|\} \leq C$ for all $\delta_j \in D_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$

Theorem 3.1: Let the hypotheses (I), (II) hold. If the following inequality

$\Delta = M^2 \max\{1, C^2\}L(T - \delta)^2 [1 + (T - \delta)] < 1$, is satisfied, then the (2.1)-(2.3) has a unique solution in \mathfrak{B} .

Proof: Let T be an arbitrary number $\delta \leq T < +\infty$. First we define the nonlinear operator $\oplus : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ as follows $(\oplus x)(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} [\prod_{i=1}^k b_i(\delta_i) \Phi(t - t_0)x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k b_j(\delta_j) \int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} \Phi(t - s)[f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\delta, x_\delta)]ds + \int_{\eta_k}^t \Phi(t - s)[f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\delta, x_\delta)]ds] I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1})}(t)$, $t \in [\delta, T]$,

It is easy to prove the continuity of \oplus . Now, we have to show that \oplus maps \mathfrak{B} into itself.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\oplus x(t)\|^2 &\leq \left[\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left\| \prod_{i=1}^k b_i(\delta_i) \right\| \|\Phi(t - t_0)\| \|x_0\| \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^k \left\| \prod_{j=1}^k b_j(\delta_j) \right\| \int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} \|\Phi(t - s) [f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\delta, x_\delta)]\| ds \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\eta_k}^t \|\Phi(t - s) [f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\delta, x_\delta)]\| ds \right] I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1})}(t) \Big]^2 \\ &\leq 2M^2 \max_k \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^k \|b_i(\delta_i)\|^2 \right\} \|x_0\|^2 + 2M^2 \left[\max_{i,k} \{1, \prod_{j=1}^k \|b_j(\delta_j)\|\} \right]^2 \left[\int_{t_0}^t \| [f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\delta, x_\delta)] \| ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1})}(t) \right]^2 \\ &\leq 2M^2 C^2 \|x_0\|^2 + 2M^2 \max\{1, C^2\} \left[\int_{t_0}^t \| [f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\delta, x_\delta)] \| ds \right]^2 \\ &\leq 2M^2 C^2 \|x_0\|^2 + 2M^2 \max\{1, C^2\} (t - t_0) \int_{t_0}^t \| [f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\delta, x_\delta)] \|^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E \| (\oplus x)(t) \|^2 &\leq 2M^2 C^2 \|x_0\|^2 + 2M^2 \max \{1, C^2\} (T - \delta) \int_{t_0}^t E \| [f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\delta, x_\delta)] \|^2 ds \\
&\leq 2M^2 C^2 \|x_0\|^2 + 4M^2 \max \{1, C^2\} (T - \delta)^2 \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{k}{2}\right) + 4M^2 \max \{1, C^2\} (T - \\
&\delta) L \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t E \|x(s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^s E \|x_\delta\|^2 \right\} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{t \in [\delta, T]} E \| (\oplus x)(t) \|^2 &\leq 2M^2 C^2 \|x_0\|^2 + 4M^2 \max \{1, C^2\} (T - \delta)^2 k + 4M^2 \max \\
\{1, C^2\} (T - \delta)^2 L \sup_{t \in [\delta, T]} E \|x(s)\|^2 &+ 4M^2 \max \{1, C^2\} (T - \delta)^3 \sup_{t \in [\delta, T]} E \|x_\delta\|^2 \text{ for all } t \in \\
[\delta, T].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore \oplus maps \mathfrak{B} into itself.

Now, we have to show \oplus is a contraction mapping

$$\begin{aligned}
&\| (\oplus x)(t) - (\oplus y)(t) \|^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k \|b_j(\delta_j)\| \left\| \int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} \|\Phi(t-s)\| \left\| \left[f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\delta, x_\delta) \right] \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \left[f(s, y(s)) + \int_0^s g(\delta, y_\delta) \right] \right\| ds + \int_{\eta_k}^t \|\Phi(t-s)\| \left\| \left[f(s, x(s)) \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \int_0^s g(\delta, x_\delta) \right] - \left[f(s, y(s)) + \int_0^s g(\delta, y_\delta) \right] \right\| ds \right] I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1})}(t) \|^2 \\
&\leq M^2 \left[\max_{i,k} \left\{ 1, \prod_{j=1}^k \|b_j(\delta_j)\| \right\} \right]^2 \left(\int_{t_0}^t \left\| \left[f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\delta, x_\delta) \right] - \left[f(s, y(s)) \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \int_0^s g(\delta, y_\delta) \right] \right\| ds \right] I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1})}(t) \|^2 \\
&\leq M^2 \max \{1, C^2\} (t - t_0) \int_{t_0}^t \left\| \left[f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\delta, x_\delta) \right] - \left[f(s, y(s)) + \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \int_0^s g(\delta, y_\delta) \right] \right\|^2 ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E \| (\oplus x)(t) - (\oplus y)(t) \|^2 &\leq M^2 \max \{1, C^2\} (t - t_0) \int_{t_0}^t E \left\| \left[f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\delta, x_\delta) \right] - \right. \\
&\left. \left[f(s, y(s)) + \int_0^s g(\delta, y_\delta) \right] \right\|^2 ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq M^2 \max\{1, C^2\}(T - \delta) L \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t E \|x(s) - y(s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^s E \|x_\delta - y_\delta\|^2 ds \right\}$$

Taking the supremum over t , we get,

$$\|(\oplus x)(t) - (\oplus y)(t)\|^2 \leq M^2 \max\{1, C^2\} [(T - \delta)^2 L \|x(s) - y(s)\|^2 + (T - \delta)^3 L \|x_\delta - y_\delta\|^2].$$

Thus,

$$\|(\oplus x)(t) - (\oplus y)(t)\|^2 \leq \Delta \{ \|x(s) - y(s)\|^2 + \|x_\delta - y_\delta\|^2 \},$$

Since $0 < \Delta < 1$. This shows that the operator \oplus satisfies the Contraction mapping principle and therefore, \oplus has a unique fixed point which is the solution of the system (2.1)-(2.3).

4. Exponential stability

In this section, we study the exponential stability of the solution of the system (2.1)-(2.3). Let $\mathcal{P}: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be any \mathcal{F}_1 -adapted process which is almost surely continuous in t and we assume that $f(t, 0) \equiv 0$, for any $t \geq \delta$, so that the system (2.1)-(2.3) admits a trivial solution.

Definition 4.1. Equations (2.1)-(2.3) is said to be exponentially stable in the mean square, if there exist positive constants C_1 and $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$E \|x(s)\|^2 \leq C_1 E \|x_0\|^2 e^{-\lambda(s-s_0)}, \quad s \leq s_0.$$

We now make the following additional assumption:

$$(III) : \|\phi(s)\| \leq M e^{-\gamma(s-s_0)}, \quad s \geq s_0, \text{ where } M \geq 1 \text{ and } \gamma > 0.$$

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that all the conditions of theorem (3.1) and (III) hold. Then the system (2.1)-(2.3) is exponentially stable in the mean square, if the following inequality holds

$$\max\{1, C^2\} M^2 L / (\gamma - \alpha) < \gamma \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. Let \oplus be the map defined in theorem 3.1. In order to prove the exponential stability of the solution of (2.1)-(2.3) by contraction mapping principle, first we have to prove the continuity of \oplus on $[\delta, T]$. Let $x \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $t_1 \geq t_0$ and $|h|$ be sufficiently small, then by using hypotheses (I)-(III) and condition (4.1), we have

$$(\oplus x)(t_1 + h) - (\oplus x)(t_1) = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^k b_i(\delta_i) \Phi(t_1 + h - t_0) x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k b_j(\delta_j) \int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} \Phi(t_1 + h - \right.$$

$$s)[f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)]ds + \int_{\eta_k}^{t_1+h} \Phi(t_1+h-s)[f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)]ds] I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1})}(t_1+h) - \{ \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} [\prod_{i=1}^k b_i(\delta_i) \Phi(t_1-t_0)x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k b_j(\delta_j) \int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} \Phi(t_1-s)[f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)]ds + \int_{\eta_k}^{t_1} \Phi(t_1-s)[f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)]ds] I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1})}(t_1) \}$$

Thus,

$$(\oplus x)(t_1+h) - (\oplus x)(t_1) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} [\prod_{i=1}^k b_i(\delta_i) \Phi(t_1+h-t_0)x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k b_j(\delta_j) \int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} \Phi(t_1+h-s)[f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)]ds + \int_{\eta_k}^{t_1} \Phi(t_1+h-s)[f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)]ds] [I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1})}(t_1+h) - I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1})}(t_1)] + \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} [\prod_{i=1}^k b_i(\delta_i) [\Phi(t_1+h-t_0) - \Phi(t_1-t_0)]x_0 + \int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} [\Phi(t_1+h-s) - \Phi(t_1-s)][f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)]ds + \int_{\eta_k}^{t_1} [\Phi(t_1+h-s) - \Phi(t_1-s)][f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)]ds] + \int_{t_1}^{t_1+h} \Phi(t_1+h-s)[f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)]ds] I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1})}(t_1+h).$$

$$E \| (\oplus x)(t_1+h) - (\oplus x)(t_1) \|^2 \leq 2E \| I_1 \|^2 + 2E \| I_2 \|^2 \quad (4.2)$$

Where

$$I_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} [\prod_{i=1}^k b_i(\delta_i) \Phi(t_1+h-t_0)x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k b_j(\delta_j) \int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} \Phi(t_1+h-s)[f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)]ds + \int_{\eta_k}^{t_1} \Phi(t_1+h-s)[f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)]ds] [I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1})}(t_1+h) - I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1})}(t_1)]$$

$$I_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} [\prod_{i=1}^k b_i(\delta_i) [\Phi(t_1+h-t_0) - \Phi(t_1-t_0)]x_0 + \int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} [\Phi(t_1+h-s) - \Phi(t_1-s)][f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)]ds + \int_{\eta_k}^{t_1} [\Phi(t_1+h-s) - \Phi(t_1-s)][f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)]ds] + \int_{t_1}^{t_1+h} \Phi(t_1+h-s)[f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)]ds] I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1})}(t_1+h).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E\|l_1\|^2 &\leq \left(2E \left[\max_k \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^k \|b_i(\delta_i)\|^2 \right\} \|\phi(t_1 + h - t_0)\|^2 E\|x_0\|^2 \left(I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1}]}(t_1 + h) \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left. - I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right)^2 \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \left[\max_{i,k} \left\{ 1, \prod_{j=1}^k \|b_j(\delta_j)\| \right\} \right]^2 \left(E \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \|\phi(t_1 + h - s) - \phi(t_1 - s)\| \|f(s, x(s))\| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau) \|ds \times \left(I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1}]}(t_1 + h) - I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right) \right)^2 \\
&\leq \left[2C^2 M^2 e^{-2\gamma(t_1+h-t_0)} E\|x_0\|^2 + 2\max\{1, C^2\}(t_1 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - t_0) E \int_{t_0}^{t_1} e^{-2\gamma(t_1+h-s)} \|f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau) \|^2 ds \right] \\
&\quad \times E \left(I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1}]}(t_1 + h) - I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1}]}(t_1) \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

And,

$$\begin{aligned}
E\|l_2\|^2 &\leq 3E \left[\max_k \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^k \|b_i(\tau_i)\|^2 \|\phi(t_1 + h - t_0) - \phi(t - t_0)\|^2 E\|x_0\|^2 \right\} \right] \\
&\quad + 3E \left[\max_{i,k} \left\{ 1, \prod_{j=1}^k \|b_j(\delta_j)\| \right\} \right]^2 \\
&\quad \times E \left[\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \|\phi(t_1 + h - s) - \phi(t_1 - s)\| \|f(s, x(s))\| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^s g(\delta, x_\delta) \|ds I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1}]}(t_1 + h) \right]^2 \\
&\quad + 3E \left(\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \int_{t_1}^{t_1+h} \|\phi(t_1 + h - s)\| \|f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau) \|^2 ds I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1}]}(t_1 + h) \right)^2 \\
&\leq 3C^2 \|\phi(t_1 + h - t_0) - \phi(t - t_0)\|^2 E\|x_0\|^2 + 3\max\{1, C^2\}(t_1 - t_0)E \times \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \|\phi(t_1 + h - s) - \\
&\quad \phi(t_1 - s)\|^2 \|f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau) \|^2 ds + 3(h)E \int_{t_1}^{t_1+h} \|\phi(t_1 + h - s)\|^2 \|f(s, x(s)) +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau) \|^2 ds \\ & \leq 3C^2 \|\phi(t_1 + h - t_0) - \phi(t - t_0)\|^2 E\|x_0\|^2 + 3 \max\{1, C^2\} (t_1 - t_0) \times \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \|\phi(t_1 + h - s) - \\ & \phi(t_1 - s)\|^2 E\|x(s)\|^2 ds + 3lh \int_{t_1}^{t_1+h} \|\phi(t_1 + h - s)\|^2 E\|x(s)\|^2 ds \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the right - hand side of (4.2) tends to 0 as $h \rightarrow 0$. Hence Θ is continuous on $[\delta, T]$. Next, we have to show that Θ maps \mathfrak{B} into itself. Let β is a positive constant such that $0 < \beta < \gamma$.

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\beta(t-t_0)} E\|(\Theta x)(t)\|^2 \\ & \leq 2e^{\beta(t-t_0)} \max_k \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^k \|b_i(\delta_i)\|^2 \right\} \|\phi(t - t_0)\|^2 E\|x_0\|^2 \\ & + 2e^{\beta(t-t_0)} \left[\max_{i,k} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^k \|b_j(\delta_j)\| \right\} \right]^2 E \left(\int_{t_0}^t \|\phi(t_1 + h - s) \right. \\ & \left. - \phi(t_1 - s)\| \|f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)\| ds I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1})}(t) \right)^2 \\ & e^{\alpha(t-t_0)} E\|(sx)(t)\|^2 \leq I_3 + I_4 \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Where,

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 & = 2 e^{\beta(t-t_0)} \max_k \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^k \|b_i(\delta_i)\|^2 \right\} \|\phi(t - t_0)\|^2 E\|x_0\|^2 \\ & \leq 2C^2 M^2 e^{\beta(t-t_0)} e^{-2\gamma(t-t_0)} E\|x_0\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 & = 2 e^{\beta(t-t_0)} \left[\max_{i,k} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^k \|b_j(\delta_j)\| \right\} \right]^2 E \left(\int_{t_0}^t \|\phi(t - s)\| \|f(s, x(s)) \right. \\ & \left. + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)\| ds I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1})}(t) \right)^2 \\ & \leq 2 \max\{1, C^2\} e^{\beta(t-t_0)} E \left(\int_{t_0}^t M e^{-\gamma(t-s)} \|f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)\| ds \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2 \max \{1, C^2\} M^2 e^{\beta(t-t_0)} E \left(\int_{t_0}^t e^{-\frac{\gamma(t-s)}{2}} e^{-\frac{\gamma(t-s)}{2}} \|f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)\| ds \right)^2 \\
&\leq 2 \max \{1, C^2\} M^2 e^{\beta(t-t_0)} \left(\int_{t_0}^t e^{-\gamma(t-s)} ds \right) \left(\int_{t_0}^t e^{-\gamma(t-s)} E \|f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)\|^2 ds \right) \\
&\leq \frac{2 \max \{1, C^2\} M^2 e^{\beta(t-t_0)}}{\gamma} \left(\int_{t_0}^t e^{-\gamma(t-s)} E \|f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)\|^2 ds \right) \\
&\leq \frac{2 \max \{1, C^2\} M^2 e^{-(\gamma-\beta)(t-t_0)}}{\gamma} \int_{t_0}^t e^{(\gamma-\beta)(s-t_0)} e^{\alpha(s-t_0)} E \|f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, x_\tau)\|^2 ds \\
I_4 &\leq \frac{2 \max \{1, C^2\} M^2 e^{-(\gamma-\beta)(t-t_0)} L}{\gamma} \int_{t_0}^t e^{(\gamma-\beta)(s-t_0)} e^{\beta(s-t_0)} E \|x(s)\|^2 ds \tag{4.5}
\end{aligned}$$

For any $x(t) \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists at $t_1 > 0$, such that

$e^{\beta(s-t_0)} E \|x(s)\|^2 < \epsilon$ for $t \geq t_1$. Thus from (4.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 &\leq \frac{2 \max \{1, C^2\} M^2 e^{-(\gamma-\beta)(t-t_0)} L}{\gamma} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} e^{(\gamma-\beta)(s-t_0)} e^{\beta(s-t_0)} E \|x(s)\|^2 ds + \\
&\frac{2 \max \{1, C^2\} M^2 e^{-(\gamma-\beta)(t-t_0)} L}{\gamma} \int_{t_0}^t e^{(\gamma-\beta)(s-t_0)} e^{\beta(s-t_0)} E \|x(s)\|^2 ds \\
&\leq \frac{2 \max \{1, C^2\} M^2 e^{-(\gamma-\alpha)(t-t_0)} L}{\gamma} \int_{t_0}^t e^{(\gamma-\beta)(s-t_0)} e^{\alpha(s-t_0)} E \|x(s)\|^2 ds \\
&\quad + \frac{2 \max \{1, C^2\} M^2 L}{\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma-\beta} \right) \epsilon. \tag{4.6}
\end{aligned}$$

As $e^{-(\gamma-\beta)(t-t_0)} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and the condition (4.1), there exists $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that for any $t \geq t_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{2 \max \{1, C^2\} M^2 e^{-(\gamma-\beta)(t-t_0)} L}{\gamma} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} e^{(\gamma-\beta)(s-t_0)} e^{\beta(s-t_0)} E \|x(s)\|^2 ds \\
&\leq \epsilon - \frac{2 \max \{1, C^2\} M^2 L}{\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma-\beta} \right) \epsilon \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

So from (4.6) and (4.7), we obtain $I_4 < \epsilon$, for any $t \geq t_2$. That is

$$I_4 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \tag{4.8}$$

Thus from (4.3), (4.4) and (4.8) we have

$$e^{\beta(t-t_0)} E \|(\oplus x)(t)\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \tag{4.9}$$

Thus \oplus maps \mathfrak{B} into itself. Now, we have to show that \oplus is a contraction mapping. For any $x, y \in \mathfrak{B}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E\|(\oplus x)(t) - (\oplus y)(t)\|^2 &\leq \left[\max_{i,k} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^k \|b_j(\delta_j)\| \right\} \right]^2 E \left(\int_{t_0}^t \|\phi(t-s)\| \left\| f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\tau, y_\tau) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - f(s, y(s)) - \int_0^s g(\delta, y_\delta) \| ds \right. I_{[\eta_k, \eta_{k+1})}(t) \right)^2 \\
&\leq \max\{1, C^2\} M^2 E \left(\int_{t_0}^t e^{-\gamma(t-s)} \left\| f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\delta, x_\delta) - f(s, y(s)) - \int_0^s g(\delta, y_\delta) \| ds \right\|^2 \right) \\
&\leq \max\{1, C^2\} M^2 E \left(\int_{t_0}^t e^{-\frac{\gamma(t-s)}{2}} e^{-\frac{\gamma(t-s)}{2}} \left\| f(s, x(s)) + \int_0^s g(\delta, x_\delta) - f(s, y(s)) - \int_0^s g(\delta, y_\delta) \| ds \right\|^2 \right) \\
\sup_{\tau \leq t \leq T} E\|(\oplus x)(t) - (\oplus y)(t)\|^2 &\leq \frac{\max\{1, C^2\} M^2 L}{\gamma} \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\gamma(t-s)} \sup_{\delta \leq t \leq T} \|x(s) - y(s)\|^2 ds \\
&\leq \frac{\max\{1, C^2\} M^2 L}{\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma - \beta} \right) \sup_{\delta \leq t \leq T} E\|x(t) - y(t)\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|(\oplus x) - (\oplus y)\|^2 \leq \frac{\max\{1, C^2\} M^2 L}{\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma - \beta} \right) \|x - y\|^2$$

Thus by (4.1), this shows that \oplus is contraction mapping. Hence \oplus has a unique fixed point $x(t) \in \mathfrak{B}$, which is the solution of (2.1)-(2.3). This completes the proof.

5. Application

Let $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \mathcal{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\tilde{\Omega}$.

$$\begin{cases} u_s(x, s) = u_{xx}(x, s) + \int_{-r}^t \mu(\theta) u(s + \theta, x) d\theta, & s \neq \xi_k, s \geq \tau, \\ u(x, \xi_k) = q(k) \tau_k u(x, \xi_k^-) & a. s. x \in \tilde{\Omega}, \\ u(x, s) = \varphi(x, s) & a. s. x \in \tilde{\Omega}, -r \leq s \leq 0, \\ u(x, s) = 0 & a. s. x \in \partial\tilde{\Omega} \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

Let $X = L^2(\tilde{\Omega})$, and τ_k be a random variable defined on $D_k \equiv (0, d_k)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, where $0 < d_k < +\infty$ and $\mu: [-r, 0] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is a positive function. Furthermore, assume that τ_k follow Erlang distribution, where $k = 1, 2, \dots$; and τ_i and τ_j are independent with each other as $i \neq j$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$; q is a function of k ; $\xi_0 = s_0$; $\xi_k = \xi_{k-1} + \tau_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $s_0 = \mathcal{R}^+$ is an arbitrarily given real number.

Define B is an operator on X by $Bu = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$ with the domain

$D(B) = \{u \in X \mid u \text{ and } \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \text{ are absolutely continuous, } \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \in X, u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\tilde{\Omega}\}$.

It is well known that B generates a strongly continuous semigroup $S(s)$ which is compact, analytic and self adjoint. Moreover, the operator B can be expressed as

$$B(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 \langle u, u_n \rangle u_n, u \in D(B),$$

Where $u_n(\omega) = (\frac{2}{\pi})^{1/2} \sin(n\omega)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, is the orthonormal set of eigenvectors of B and for every $u \in X$,

$S(s)u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp(-n^2 s) \langle u, u_n \rangle u_n$, which satisfies $\|S(s)\| \leq \exp(-\pi^2(s - s_0))$, $s > s_0$. Hence $S(s)$ is a contraction semigroup.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] Zang S, Sun J. Stability analysis of second order differential systems with Erlang distribution random impulses. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2013(4): 403-415.
- [2] A. Anokhin, L. Berezansky, E. Braverman, Exponential stability of linear delay impulsive differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 193 (1995) 923–941.
- [3] L. Berezansky, E. Braverman, Explicit conditions of exponential stability of linear delay impulsive differential equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 214 (1997) 439–458.
- [4] E. Hernández, M. Rabello, H.R. Henriquez, Existence of solutions for impulsive partial neutral functional differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 331(2007) 1135–1158.
- [5] V. Lakshmikantham, D.D. Bainov, P.S. Simeonov, Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
- [6] A.M. Samoilenko, N.A Perestyuk, Impulsive Differential Equations, World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.
- [7] R. Iwankiewicz, S.R.K. Nielsen, Dynamic response of non-linear systems to Poisson distributed random impulses, J. Sound Vibration 156 (1992) 407–423.

- [8] K. Tatsuyuki, K. Takashi, S. Satoshi, Drift motion of granules in chara cells induced by random impulses due to the myosin–actin interaction, *Physica A* 248 (1998) 21–27.
- [9] J.M. Sanz-Serna, A.M. Stuart, Ergodicity of dissipative differential equations subject to random impulses, *J. Differ. Equations* 155 (1999) 262–284.
- [10] S.J. Wu, X.Z. Meng, Boundedness of nonlinear differential systems with impulsive effect on random moments, *Acta Math. Appl. Sin.* 20 (1) (2004) 147–154.
- [11] S.J. Wu, Y.R. Duan, Oscillation, stability, and boundedness of second-order differential systems with random impulses, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 49 (9–10)(2005) 1375–1386.
- [12] S.J. Wu, X.L. Guo, S.Q. Lin, Existence and uniqueness of solutions to random impulsive differential systems, *Acta Math. Appl. Sin.* 22 (4) (2006) 595–600.
- [13] S.J. Wu, X.L. Guo, Y. Zhou, p-moment stability of functional differential equations with random impulses, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 52 (2006) 1683–1694.
- [14] Burton T.A, *Stability by Fixed point theory for functional differential equations*. New York: Dover Publications, Inc, 2006.
- [15] Hyers D.H. On the stability of the linear functional equation. *Proc Nat Acad Sci*, 1941, 27: 222-224
- [16] Wei Wei, Li Xuezhu, Li Xia. New stability results for fractional integral equation. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 64(2012), 3468-3476.
- [17] Pazy A. *Semigroups of linear operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*. New York: Springer-Verlang, 1983.
- [18] Fu X. Existence and stability of solutions for nonautonomous stochastic functional evolution equations. *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2009 (2009), Article ID 785628.