



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 3 (2013), No. 4, 1163-1168

ISSN: 1927-5307

A NEW CLOSURE OPERATOR IN BITOLOGICAL SPACES

P.G. PATIL

Department of Mathematics, SKSVM Agadi College of Engineering & Technology, Laxmeshwar-582116,
Karnataka, India

Abstract: In this paper we introduce a concept of $\omega\alpha$ -closure in bitopological spaces and derive some basic properties of $\omega\alpha$ -closure in a bitopological spaces.

Key words: Bitopological Spaces, $\omega\alpha$ -closed sets, $\omega\alpha$ -closure.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 54A05, 54E55

1. Introduction

In 2007, Benchalli et al.[1] introduced the notion of $\omega\alpha$ - closed sets using ω -open sets[8] and showed that this class properly contains the class of α -sets. Recently the present author extended the notion of $\omega\alpha$ -closed sets to bitopological spaces [7]. Dunham [3] introduced the concept of generalized closure operator c^* using generalized closed sets of Levine [6]. Then Fukutake [4] introduced and studied the concept of pairwise generalized closure operator $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - cl^*$ in bitopological spaces.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of $\omega\alpha$ -closure operator in bitopological spaces by using $\omega\alpha$ -closed sets in bitopological spaces [7]. Also it is proved that $\omega\alpha$ - closure satisfies Kuratowski closure operator type properties in bitopological spaces.

We recall some definitions and concepts which are useful in the following sections.

2. Preliminaries:

Received June 9, 2013

If A is a subset of X with a topology τ , then the closure, interior and α -closure of A is denoted by $\text{cl}(A)$, $\text{int}(A)$ and $\alpha\text{cl}(A)$ respectively and the complement of A is denoted by A^c or $X - A$

Definition 2.2: A subset A of a topological space X is called ω -closed [8] if $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is semi-open in X . The complement of ω -closed set is ω -open.

Definition 2.3: Let (X, τ) be a topological space and let $A \subset X$. Then A is called $\omega\alpha$ -closed set [1] if $\alpha\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is ω -open in (X, τ) and its complement A^c (or $X - A$) is called $\omega\alpha$ -open.

Definition 2.4: A topological space (X, τ) is said to be $T_{\omega\alpha}$ -space [1] if every $\omega\alpha$ -closed set is closed.

Throughout this paper the spaces X and Y always represent nonempty bitopological spaces (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, μ_1, μ_2) on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly mentioned and the integers $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. For a $A \subset X$, $\tau_i\text{-cl}(A)$, $\tau_i\text{-int}(A)$ and $\tau_i\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A)$ denote the closure of A , interior of A , and α -closure of A with respect of the topology τ_i respectively.

We denote the family of all (τ_i, τ_j) - $\omega\alpha$ - closed sets in (X, τ_1, τ_2) by $B(\tau_i, \tau_j)$.

Definition 2.5: Let $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ be fixed integers. In a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) , a subset A of (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called (τ_i, τ_j) - $\omega\alpha$ - closed set [7] if $\tau_j\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is ω -open set in τ_i .

Definition 2.6: A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called (τ_i, τ_j) - $T_{\omega\alpha}$ - space [7] if every (τ_i, τ_j) - $\omega\alpha$ - closed set is τ_j -closed.

3. $\omega\alpha$ -Closure in Bitopological Spaces

In this section we define (τ_i, τ_j) - $\omega\alpha$ closure and study some characterizations.

Definition 3.1: Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and E be a subset of X . Then $\omega\alpha$ -closure of E denoted by (τ_i, τ_j) - $\omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E)$ is defined as (τ_i, τ_j) - $\omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) = \bigcap \{A \subseteq X / E \subseteq A \in B(\tau_i, \tau_j)\}$.

Theorem 3.2: If E and F are subsets of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) , then the following properties hold good:

- (i) $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(X) = X$.
- (ii) $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(\phi) = \phi$.
- (iii) $A \subseteq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(A)$.
- (iv) If B is any $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha$ -closed set containing A , then $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(A) \subseteq B$

Proof: Follows from the Definition 3.1.

Theorem 3.3: Let E be a subset of (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Then, we have the following results:

- (i) $E \subseteq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) \subseteq \tau_j - \omega\alpha\text{cl}(E)$.
- (ii) If E is $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha$ -closed then $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) = E$.

Proof: (i) $E \subseteq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E)$ follows from the Definition 3.1. Suppose that B is τ_j -closed set. So B is $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha$ -closed. Then $\{\tau_j - \text{closed set}\} \subseteq \{(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{-closed set}\} \cap \{(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{-closed set containing } E\} \subseteq \cap \{\tau_j - \text{closed set containing } E\}$. That is $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) \subseteq \tau_j - \omega\alpha\text{cl}(E)$.

(ii) Follows from Definition 3.1 and Theorem 3.3(i).

Remark 3.4: The containment relations in the Theorem 3.3(i) may be proper and the converse of the Theorem 3.3(ii) is not true in general as seen from the following examples.

Example 3.5: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$. Then the subset $A = \{b\}$ of X , $(\tau_1, \tau_2) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(\{b\}) = \{b, c\}$ and $\tau_2 - \omega\alpha\text{cl}(\{b\}) = \{b, c\}$. So $E \subseteq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) \subseteq \tau_j - \omega\alpha\text{cl}(E)$.

Example 3.6: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$. Then for a subset $A = \{a\}$ of (X, τ_1, τ_2) , $(\tau_1, \tau_2) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(\{a\}) = \{a\}$ but A is not $(\tau_1, \tau_2) - \omega\alpha$ -closed set.

Theorem 3.7: Let E and F be two subsets of (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

- (i) If $E \subseteq F$, then $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) \subseteq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(F)$
- (ii) If $\tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2$, then $(\tau_1, \tau_2) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) \subseteq (\tau_2, \tau_1) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E)$.

Proof: (i) Let $E \subseteq F$. By Definition 3.1, $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(F) = \cap \{A \subseteq X / F \subseteq A \in B(\tau_i, \tau_j)\}$. If $F \subseteq A \in B(\tau_i, \tau_j)$, then $E \subseteq F \subseteq A \in B(\tau_i, \tau_j)$. We have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) \subseteq A$. Then $(\tau_i, \tau_j) -$

$\omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) \subseteq \cap\{A:F \subseteq A \in B(\tau_i, \tau_j)\} = (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(F)$. That is $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) \subseteq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(F)$

(ii) $\tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2$ implies $B(\tau_2, \tau_1) \subseteq B(\tau_1, \tau_2)$, which implies

$$\{C \in X/E \subseteq C \in B(\tau_2, \tau_1)\} \subseteq \{A \in X/E \subseteq A \in B(\tau_1, \tau_2)\}$$

$$\cap\{A \in X/E \subseteq A \in B(\tau_1, \tau_2)\} \subseteq \cap\{C \in X/E \subseteq C \in B(\tau_2, \tau_1)\}$$

Thus $(\tau_1, \tau_2) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) \subseteq (\tau_2, \tau_1) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E)$.

Theorem 3.8: The operator $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*$ is the same as the Kuratowski closure operator.

Proof:

(i) It follows from Theorem 3.2(ii) that $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(\phi) = \phi$.

(ii) $E \subseteq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E)$ follows from Theorem 3.3(i).

(iii) Suppose E and F are two sets of (X, τ_1, τ_2) . It follows from Theorem 3.7(i), $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) \subseteq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E \cup F)$ and $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(F) \subseteq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E \cup F)$. Hence we have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) \cup (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(F) \subseteq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E \cup F)$.

Now if $x \notin (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) \cup (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(F)$ then there exist $A, B \in B(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ such that $E \subseteq A$, $x \notin A$ and $F \subseteq B$, $x \notin B$. Hence $E \cup F \subseteq A \cup B$ and $x \notin A \cup B$. Since $A \cup B$ is $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha$ -closed by [1], $x \notin (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E \cup F)$. Then we have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E \cup F) \subseteq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) \cup (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(F)$. Therefore we have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E \cup F) = (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) \cup (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(F)$.

(iv) Let E be a subset of (X, τ_1, τ_2) and A be a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha$ -closed set containing E . Since $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) \subseteq A$, we have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) \supseteq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E))$. Conversely $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) \subseteq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E))$ is true by Theorem 3.3(i). Then we have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) = (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E))$. Hence the proof.

From the above Theorem 3.8, $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*$ defines a new topology on X .

Definition 3.9: Let $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ be two fixed integers. Let $\tau_{\omega\alpha}^*(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ be the topology on X generated by $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*$ in the usual manner. That is $\tau_{\omega\alpha}^*(\tau_i, \tau_j) = \{E \subseteq X; (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E^c) = E^c\}$.

Theorem 3.10: Let $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ be two fixed integers. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space, then $\tau_j \subseteq \tau_{\omega\alpha}^*(\tau_i, \tau_j)$.

Proof: Let G be any τ_j - open set. It follows that G^c is τ_j - closed. By [1], G^c is (τ_i, τ_j) - $\omega\alpha$ -closed. Therefore (τ_i, τ_j) - $\omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(G^c) = G^c$, by Theorem 3.3 (ii). That is $G \in \tau_{\omega\alpha}^*(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ and hence $\tau_j \subseteq \tau_{\omega\alpha}^*(\tau_i, \tau_j)$.

Remark 3.11: Containment relation in the above Theorem 3.10 may be proper as seen from the following example.

Example 3.12: In Example 3.5, the (τ_1, τ_2) - $\omega\alpha$ -closed sets are $\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X$ and $\tau_{\omega\alpha}^*(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$. Clearly $\tau_2 \subseteq \tau_{\omega\alpha}^*(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ but $\tau_2 \neq \tau_{\omega\alpha}^*(\tau_1, \tau_2)$.

Theorem 3.13: Let $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ be two fixed integers. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space. If a subset E of X is (τ_i, τ_j) - $\omega\alpha$ -closed, then E is $\tau_{\omega\alpha}^*(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - closed.

Proof: Let a subset E of X be (τ_i, τ_j) - $\omega\alpha$ -closed. By Theorem 3.3(ii), (τ_i, τ_j) - $\omega\alpha\text{cl}^*(E) = E$. That is (τ_i, τ_j) - $\omega\alpha\text{cl}^*\{(E^c)^c\} = (E^c)^c$. It follows that $E^c \subseteq \tau_{\omega\alpha}^*(\tau_i, \tau_j)$. Therefore E is $\tau_{\omega\alpha}^*(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -closed.

However the converse of the above Theorem 3.13 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 3.14: In Example 3.5, the set $A = \{b\}$ is $\tau_{\omega\alpha}^*(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - closed but not (τ_1, τ_2) - $\omega\alpha$ - closed in (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

Theorem 3.15: For any point x of (X, τ_1, τ_2) , $\{x\}$ is τ_1 - ω -closed or $\{x\}^c$ is $\tau^*(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -closed.

Proof: Suppose $\{x\}$ is not τ_1 - ω -closed. Then $\{x\}^c$ is (τ_i, τ_j) - $\omega\alpha$ -closed by [1]. Then by Theorem 3.10 (ii), $\{x\}^c$ is $\tau^*(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -closed.

Corollary 3.16: If $\tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2$ in (X, τ_1, τ_2) then $\tau^*(\tau_2, \tau_1) \subseteq \tau^*(\tau_1, \tau_2)$.

Proof: Let $E \in \tau^*(\tau_2, \tau_1)$. Then $E \in \tau^*(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ by Theorems 3.5(ii), 3.3(ii) and by assumption. Hence $\tau^*(\tau_2, \tau_1) \subseteq \tau^*(\tau_1, \tau_2)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Benchalli S. S., P.G.Patil and T.D.Rayanagoudar, $\omega\alpha$ -closed sets in Topological Spaces, The Global JI Appl Maths and Math Sciences, Vol.2, No.1-2(2009), 53-63.
- [2] Benchalli S. S. and P.G.Patil, Some New Continuous Maps in Topological Spaces, JI of Advanced Studies in Topology, Vol.1, No.2 (2010), 16-21.
- [3] W. Dunham, A new closure operator for non- T_1 topologies, Kyungpook Math. J., 22(1982), 55-60.
- [4] T. Fukutake, On generalized closed sets in Bitopological Spaces, Bull. Fakuoka Univ. Edn. Vol.35, Part III(1985), 19-28.
- [5] J. C. Kelly, Bitopological Spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 13(1962), 71-89.
- [6] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 19 (2) (1970), 89-96.
- [7] P.G.Patil, $\omega\alpha$ -Closed Sets in Bitopological Spaces, International JI. of Advances in Management, Technology and Engineering Sciences, Vol.II,3(IV) (2012), 111-114.
- [8] P. Sundaram and M. Sheik John, On ω -closed sets in Topology, Acta Ciencia Indica, 4(2000), 389-392.