

COUPLED COINCIDENCE POINT THEOREMS FOR MIXED (T,S)-MONOTONE OPERATORS

SIDI HAMIDOU JAH

Department of Mathematics, College of Science Qassim University,

P.O. Box 6640 Buraydah 51452, Saudi Arabia

Copyright © 2014 S.H. Jah. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to prove the existence and uniqueness of coupled coincidence points involving a new-contraction mapping condition for a mixed (T,S)-monotone mapping in a complete partially ordered G-metric spaces. As an application, we give an existence and uniqueness for the solution of an initial-boundary value problem. The results obtained extend and generalize some results in the literature.

Keywords: G-metric space, coupled coincidence point, partially ordered metric space, mixed (T,S)-monotone property, differential equation.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25

1. Introduction

In 1922, S. Banach proved the famous and well known Banach contraction principle concerning the fixed point of contraction mappings defined on a complete metric space. In recent years, Gahler [9, 10] introduced the notion of 2-metric spaces while Dhage [1] introduced the concept of D-metric spaces. Later on, Mustafa and Sims [14] showed that most of the results

Received September 26, 2013

concerning Dhage's D-metric spaces are invalid. Therefore, they introduced a new notion of generalized metric space, called G-metric space.

In 2006, Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [11] introduced the notion of coupled fixed point and proved some fixed point theorem under certain condition. Later, Lakshmikantham and Ćirić in [12] extended these results by defining of g-monotone property. After that many results appeared on fixed point theory ([7, 6, 14, 15, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]).

Now we give preliminaries and basic definitions which are used throughout the paper.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 [14, 4] Let *X* be a non-empty set, $G: X \times X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function satisfying the following properties:

- (G1): G(x, y, z) = 0 if x = y = z.
- (G2): 0 < G(x, x, y) for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$.
- (G3): $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $y \neq z$.
- (G4): $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$ (symmetry in all three variables).
- (G5): $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ (rectangle inequality).

Then the function G is called a generalized metric, or, more specially, a G-metric on X, and the pair (X,G) is called a G-metric space.

Definition 2.2 [14] Let (X, G) be a G-metric space, and let (x_n) be a sequence of points of X. We say that (x_n) is G-convergent to $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n,m \to +\infty} G(x, x_n, x_m) = 0$, that is, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$, for all $n, m \ge N$. We call x the limit of the sequence and write $x_n \longrightarrow x$ or $\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_n = x$.

Proposition 2.3 [14] Let (X, G) be a G-metric space. The following are equivalent:

- (1): (x_n) is G-convergent to x.
- (2): $G(x_n, x_n, x) \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow +\infty$.
- (3): $G(x_n, x, x) \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow +\infty$.
- (4): $G(x_n, x_m, x) \longrightarrow 0$ as $n, m \longrightarrow +\infty$.

Definition 2.4 [14] Let (X, G) be a G-metric space. A sequence (x_n) is called a G-Cauchy sequence if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$ for all $m, n, l \ge N$, that is, $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \longrightarrow 0$ as $n, m, l \longrightarrow +\infty$.

Proposition 2.5 [15] Let (X, G) be a G-metric space. Then the following are equivalent

- (1): the sequence (x_n) is G-Cauchy
- (2): for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that
 - $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon$, for all $m, n \ge N$.

Proposition 2.6 [14] Let (X,G) be a G-metric space. A mapping $f : X \longrightarrow X$ is G-continuous at $x \in X$ if and only if it is G-sequentially continuous at x, that is, whenever (x_n) is G-convergent to x, $(f(x_n))$ is G-convergent to f(x).

Proposition 2.7 [14] Let (X,G) be a G-metric space. Then, the function G(x,y,z) is jointly continuous in all three of its variables.

Definition 2.8 [14] A G-metric space (X, G) is called G-complete if every G-Cauchy sequence is G-convergent in (X, G).

Definition 2.9 [2] Let (X, G) be a G-metric space. A mapping $F : X \times X \longrightarrow X$ is said to be continuous if for any two G-convergent sequences (x_n) and (y_n) converging to x and y respectively, $(F(x_n, y_n))$ is G-convergent to F(x, y).

A partial order is a binary relation \leq over a set X which is reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive. Now let us recall the definition of the monotonic function $f: X \longrightarrow X$ in the partially order set (X, \leq) . We say that f is non-decreasing if for $x, y \in X, x \leq y$, we have $fx \leq fy$. Similarly, we say that f is non-increasing if for $x, y \in X, x \leq y$, we have $fx \geq fy$.

The concept of a mixed monotone property has been introduced by Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham in [11].

Definition 2.10 [11] Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set. A mapping

 $F: X \times X \longrightarrow X$ is said to have mixed monotone property if F(x, y) is monotone non-decreasing in x and is monotone non-increasing in y; that is, for any $x, y \in X$

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, \quad x_1 \preceq x_2 \Longrightarrow F(x_1, y) \preceq F(x_2, y)$$

$$y_1, y_2 \in X, \quad y_1 \preceq y_2 \Longrightarrow F(x, y_2) \preceq F(x, y_1).$$

Lakshmikantham and Ćirić in [12] introduced the concept of a g-mixed monotone mapping.

Definition 2.11 [12] Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set. Let us consider mappings $F : X \times X \longrightarrow X$ and $g : X \longrightarrow X$. The map F is said to have mixed g-monotone property if F(x, y) is monotone g-non-decreasing in x and is monotone g-non increasing in y; that is, for any $x, y \in X$,

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, \quad gx_1 \preceq gx_2 \Longrightarrow F(x_1, y) \preceq F(x_2, y),$$

 $y_1, y_2 \in X, \quad gy_1 \preceq gy_2 \in X \Longrightarrow F(x, y_2) \preceq F(x, y_1)$

Definition 2.12 [11] An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled fixed point of a mapping $F: X \times X \longrightarrow X$ if F(x, y) = x and F(y, x) = y.

Definition 2.13 [12] An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled coincidence point of the mappings $F : X \times X \longrightarrow X$ and $g : X \longrightarrow X$ if F(x, y) = gx and F(y, x) = gy.

Definition 2.14 [12] We say that mappings $F : X \times X \longrightarrow X$ and $g : X \longrightarrow X$ are commutative if

$$g(F(x,y)) = F(gx,gy)$$
 for all $x, y \in X$.

Habib Yazidi in [5] introduced the concept of a (T, S)-mixed monotone mapping.

Definition 2.15 [5] Let *X* be a non-empty set endowed with a partial order \leq . Consider the mappings $F : X \times X \longrightarrow X$ and $T, S : X \longrightarrow X$. We say that *F* has the mixed (T, S)- monotone property on *X* if for all $x, y \in X$,

(1)

$$x_{1}, x_{2} \in X, \quad T(x_{1}) \leq S(x_{2}) \Longrightarrow F(x_{1}, y) \leq F(x_{2}, y),$$

$$x_{1}, x_{2} \in X, \quad T(x_{1}) \succeq S(x_{2}) \Longrightarrow F(x_{1}, y) \succeq F(x_{2}, y),$$

$$y_{1}, y_{2} \in X, \quad T(y_{1}) \leq S(y_{2}) \Longrightarrow F(x, y_{1}) \succeq F(x, y_{2}),$$

$$y_{1}, y_{2} \in X, \quad T(y_{1}) \succeq S(y_{2}) \Longrightarrow F(x, y_{1}) \leq F(x, y_{2}).$$

Remark 2.16 If we take T = S, then *F* has the mixed (T, S)-monotone property implies that *F* has the mixed T-monotone property.

Denote by Ψ the set of functions $\psi: [0, +\infty) \longrightarrow (0, +\infty)$ which satisfy

- **1.:** ψ is continuous and non-decreasing,
- **2.:** $\psi(t) = 0$ if and only if t = 0.

The elements of Ψ are called altering distance function.

Remark 2.17 If $\psi \in \Psi$ and if $\phi : [0, +\infty) \longrightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a continuous function with the condition $\psi(t) > \phi(t)$ for all t > 0, then $\phi(0) = 0$.

Now, we are ready to state and prove our results.

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1 Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and G-metric on X such that (X,G) is a complete G-metric space. Let $T, S : X \longrightarrow X$ and $F : X \times X \longrightarrow X$ be a mapping having the mixed(T, S)-monotone property on X. Suppose that

(2)
$$\psi \Big[G \Big(F(x,y), F(u,v), F(w,z) \Big) \Big] \leq \varphi \Big(\max \Big\{ G(Tx,Tu,Sw), G(Ty,Tv,Sz) \Big\} \Big)$$

for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ with $Tx \leq Tu \leq Sw$ or $Tx \geq Tu \geq Sw$ and $Ty \geq Tv \geq Sz$ or $Ty \leq Tv \leq Sz$, where $\Psi \in \Psi$ and $\varphi : [0, \infty[\rightarrow IR \text{ is a continuous function with the condition } \Psi(t) > \varphi(t) \text{ for}$ all t > 0. Assume that $F(X \times X) \subseteq T(X) \cap S(X)$ and assume also that T, S and F satisfy the following hypothesis:

- (1) F, T and S are continuous,
- (2) F commutes respectively with T and S.

If there exist x_0, y_0, x_1 in X and y_1 such that

$$Tx_0 \leq Sx_1 \leq F(x_0, y_0), \ Ty_0 \geq Sy_1 \geq F(y_0, x_0),$$

then there exist $x, y \in X$ such that Tx = Sx = F(x, y) and Ty = Sy = F(y, x), that is, T, S and F have a coupled coincidence point $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

Proof. Let $x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1 \in X$ such that

$$Tx_0 \leq Sx_1 \leq F(x_0, y_0); Ty_0 \geq Sy_1 \geq F(y_0, x_0).$$

Since $F(X \times X) \subseteq T(X) \cap S(X)$, we can choose $x_2, y_2, x_3, y_3 \in X$ such that $\begin{cases}
Tx_2 = F(x_0, y_0) \\
Ty_2 = F(y_0, x_0)
\end{cases} and \begin{cases}
Sx_3 = F(x_1, y_1) \\
Sy_3 = F(y_1, x_1)
\end{cases}$ Continuing this process we can construct sequences x_n and y_n in X such that

(3)
$$\begin{cases} Tx_{2n+2} = F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}) \\ Ty_{2n+2} = F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}) \end{cases}$$

(4)
$$\begin{cases} Sx_{2n+3} = F(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) \\ Sy_{2n+3} = F(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) \end{cases}$$

for all $n \ge 0$. We shall show that for all $n \ge 0$,

(5)
$$Tx_{2n} \leq Sx_{2n+1} \leq Tx_{2n+2}$$
$$Ty_{2n} \geq Sy_{2n+1} \geq Ty_{2n+2}$$

As $Tx_0 \leq Sx_1 \leq F(x_0, y_0) = Tx_2$ and $Ty_0 \geq Sy_1 \geq F(y_0, x_0) = Ty_2$, our claim is satisfied for n = 0. Suppose that (5) holds for some fixed $n \geq 0$. Since $Tx_{2n} \leq Sx_{2n+1} \leq Tx_{2n+2}$ and $Ty_{2n} \geq Sy_{2n+1} \geq Ty_{2n+2}$ and as *F* has the mixed (T, S)-monotone property, we have

$$Tx_{2n+2} = F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}) \leq F(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \leq$$
$$F(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) \leq F(x_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}) \leq F(x_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}),$$

then

$$Tx_{2n+2} \preceq Sx_{2n+3} \preceq Tx_{2n+4}.$$

On the other hand,

$$Ty_{2n+2} = F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}) \succeq F(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \succeq$$
$$F(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) \succeq F(y_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}) \succeq F(y_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}),$$

then

$$Ty_{2n+2} \succeq Sy_{2n+3} \succeq Ty_{2n+4}.$$

Thus by induction, we proved that (5) holds for all $n \ge 0$.

We complete the proof in the following steps

172

Step 1: We will prove that

(6)
$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} G(F(x_n, y_n), F(x_n, y_n), F(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) = 0$$
$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} G(F(y_n, x_n), F(y_n, x_n), F(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) = 0.$$

From (5) and (2), we have

(7)
$$\psi \Big[G \Big(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), F(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) \Big) \Big] \le \\ \varphi \Big(\max \Big\{ G(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}), G(Ty_{2n}, Ty_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1}) \Big\} \Big).$$

Using the condition of the theorem, we have

(8)

$$G(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), F(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) < \max \{G(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}), G(Ty_{2n}, Ty_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1})\}.$$

Again, using (5) and (2), we have

(9)
$$\psi \Big[G \Big(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}), F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}), F(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) \Big) \Big] \leq \\ \varphi \Big(\max \Big\{ G(Ty_{2n}, Ty_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1}), G(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}) \Big\} \Big).$$

Using the condition of the theorem, we have

(10)
$$G(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}), F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}), F(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})) < \max \{G(Ty_{2n}, Ty_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1}), G(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1})\}.$$

Combining (8) and (10), we obtain

$$\max \left\{ G(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), F(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})), \\ G(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}), F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}), F(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})) \right\} \le \\ \max \left\{ G(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}), G(Ty_{2n}, Ty_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1}) \right\}.$$

Then $\left(\max\left\{G(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, G(Ty_{2n}, Ty_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1})\right)\right\}\right)$ is a positive decreasing sequence. Hence there exists $r \ge 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \max \left\{ G(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}), G(Ty_{2n}, Ty_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1}) \right\} = r.$$

Combining (7) and (9), we obtain

$$\max\left\{\psi(G(Tx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+3})), \psi(G(Ty_{2n+2}, Ty_{2n+2}, Sy_{2n+3}))\right\} < \\ \phi(\max\left\{G(Ty_{2n}, Ty_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1}), G(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1})\right\}).$$

Since ψ is non-decreasing, we get

$$\psi\Big(\max\left\{G(Tx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+3}), G(Ty_{2n+2}, Ty_{2n+2}, Sy_{2n+3})\right\}\Big) < \\ \varphi\Big(\max\left\{G(Ty_{2n}, Ty_{2n}, Sy_{2n+1}), G(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1})\right\}\Big).$$

Letting $n \longrightarrow +\infty$ in the above inequality, we get $\psi(r) \le \varphi(r)$, by using the condition of the theorem 3.1, we have r = 0. Consequently

(11)
$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \max \left\{ G(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), F(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})), \\ G(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}), F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}), F(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})) \right\} = 0.$$

By the same way, we obtain

(12)
$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \max \left\{ G(F(x_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}), F(x_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}), F(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})), \\ G(F(y_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}), F(y_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}), F(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})) \right\} = 0.$$

Finally, (11) and (12) give the desired result, that is, (6) holds.

Step 2: We will prove that $(F(x_n, y_n))$ and $F((y_n, x_n))$ are Cauchy sequences. From (6), it is sufficient to show that $(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}))$ and $(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}))$ are Cauchy sequences. We proceed by negation and suppose that at least one of the sequences $(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}))$ or $(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}))$ is not a Cauchy sequence. This implies that

$$G(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), F(x_{2m}, y_{2m}), F(x_{2m}, y_{2m})) \rightarrow 0$$

or

$$G(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}), F(y_{2m}, x_{2m}), F(y_{2m}, x_{2m})) \not\rightarrow 0$$

as $n, m \longrightarrow +\infty$. Consequently

$$\max \left\{ G(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), F(x_{2m}, y_{2m}), F(x_{2m}, y_{2m})), \\ G(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}), F(y_{2m}, x_{2m}), F(y_{2m}, x_{2m})) \right\} \not\to 0$$

as $n, m \longrightarrow +\infty$. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ for which we can find two subsequences of positive integers (m_i) and (n_i) such that n_i is the smallest index for which $n_i > m_i > i$,

(13)
$$\max \left\{ G(F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2n_i}, y_{2n_i})), \\ G(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2n_i}, x_{2n_i})) \right\} \ge \varepsilon.$$

This means that

(14)
$$\max \left\{ G(F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2n_i-2}, y_{2n_i-2})), \\ G(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2n_i-2}, x_{2n_i-2})) \right\} < \varepsilon.$$

From (1), (14) and using the rectangle property of G, we get

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon &\leq \max \left\{ G\big(F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2n_i}, y_{2n_i})\big), \\ &\quad G\big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2n_i}, x_{2n_i})\big) \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ G\big(F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2n_i-2}, y_{2n_i-2})\big), \\ &\quad G\big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2n_i-2}, x_{2n_i-2})\big) \right\} \\ &+ \max \left\{ G\big(F(x_{2n_i-2}, y_{2n_i-2}), F(x_{2n_i-2}, y_{2n_i-2}), F(x_{2n_i-1}, y_{2n_i-1})\big), \\ &\quad G\big(F(y_{2n_i-2}, x_{2n_i-2}), F(y_{2n_i-2}, x_{2n_i-2}), F(y_{2n_i-1}, x_{2n_i-1})\big) \right\} \\ &+ \max \left\{ G\big(F(x_{2n_i-1}, y_{2n_i-1}), F(x_{2n_i-1}, y_{2n_i-1}), F(x_{2n_i}, y_{2n_i})\big), \\ &\quad G\big(F(y_{2n_i-1}, x_{2n_i-1}), F(y_{2n_i-1}, x_{2n_i-1}), F(y_{2n_i}, x_{2n_i})\big) \right\}. \end{split}$$

Letting $i \longrightarrow +\infty$ in above inequality and using (6), we obtain that

(15)
$$\lim_{i \to +\infty} \max \left\{ G(F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2n_i}, y_{2n_i})), G(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2n_i}, x_{2n_i})) \right\} = \varepsilon.$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon &\leq \max \left\{ G\big(F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2n_i}, y_{2n_i})\big), \\ &\quad G\big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2n_i}, x_{2n_i})\big)\right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ G\big(F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i-1}, y_{2m_i-1})\big), \\ &\quad G\big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i-1}, x_{2m_i-1})\big)\right\} \\ &+ \max \left\{ G\big(F(x_{2m_i-1}, y_{2m_i-1}), F(x_{2m_i-1}, y_{2m_i-1}), F(x_{2n_i}, y_{2n_i})\big), \\ &\quad G\big(F(y_{2m_i-1}, x_{2m_i-1}), F(y_{2m_i-1}, x_{2m_i-1}), F(y_{2n_i}, x_{2n_i})\big)\right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ G\big(F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i-1}, y_{2m_i-1}), F(y_{2m_i-1}, x_{2m_i-1})\big)\right\} \\ &+ \max \left\{ G\big(F(x_{2m_i-1}, y_{2m_i-1}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i-1}, x_{2m_i-1})\big)\right\} \\ &+ \max \left\{ G\big(F(x_{2m_i-1}, y_{2m_i-1}), F(y_{2m_i-1}, x_{2m_i-1}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i})\big), \\ &\quad G\big(F(y_{2m_i-1}, x_{2m_i-1}), F(y_{2m_i-1}, x_{2m_i-1}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i})\big)\right\} \\ &+ \max \left\{ G\big(F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i})\big), \\ &\quad G\big(F(y_{2m_i-1}, x_{2m_i-1}), F(y_{2m_i-1}, x_{2m_i-1}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i})\big)\right\} \\ &+ \max \left\{ G\big(F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i})\big), \\ &\quad G\big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i})\big)\right\} \\ &+ \max \left\{ G\big(F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i})\big)\right\} \\ &+ \max \left\{ G\big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i})\big)\right\} \\ &+ \max \left\{ G\big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i})\big)\right\} \\ &+ \max \left\{ G\big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i})\big)\right\} \\ &+ \max \left\{ G\big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i})\big)\right\} \\ &+ \max \left\{ G\big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i})\big)\right\} \\ &+ \max \left\{ G\big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i})\big)\right\} \\ &+ \max \left\{ G\big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i})\big)\right\} \\ &+ \max \left\{ G\big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i})\big)\right\} \\ &+ \max \left\{ G\big($$

Using that $G(x, x, y) \leq 2G(x, y, y)$ for any $x, y \in X$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon &\leq \max\left\{G\big(F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2n_i}, y_{2n_i})\big), \\ &\quad G\big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2n_i}, x_{2n_i})\big)\right\} \\ &\leq \max\left\{G\big(F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i-1}, y_{2m_i-1})\big), \\ &\quad G\big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i-1}, x_{2m_i-1})\big)\right\} \\ &+ \max\left\{G\big(F(x_{2m_i-1}, y_{2m_i-1}), F(x_{2m_i-1}, y_{2m_i-1}), F(x_{2n_i}, y_{2n_i})\big), \\ &\quad G\big(F(y_{2m_i-1}, x_{2m_i-1}), F(y_{2m_i-1}, x_{2m_i-1}), F(y_{2n_i}, x_{2n_i})\big)\right\} \\ &\leq 3\max\left\{G\big(F(x_{2m_i-1}, y_{2m_i-1}), F(x_{2m_i-1}, y_{2m_i-1}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i})\big), \\ &\quad G\big(F(y_{2m_i-1}, x_{2m_i-1}), F(y_{2m_i-1}, x_{2m_i-1}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i})\big)\right\} \\ &+ \max\left\{G\big(F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2n_i}, y_{2n_i})\big), \\ &\quad G\big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2n_i}, x_{2n_i})\big)\right\}. \end{split}$$

Using (6), (15) and letting $i \longrightarrow +\infty$ in the above inequality, we obtain

(16)
$$\lim_{i \to +\infty} \max \left\{ G\left(F(x_{2m_i-1}, y_{2m_i-1}), F(x_{2m_i-1}, y_{2m_i-1}), F(x_{2n_i}, y_{2n_i})\right), \\ G\left(F(y_{2m_i-1}, x_{2m_i-1}), F(y_{2m_i-1}, x_{2m_i-1}), F(y_{2n_i}, x_{2n_i})\right) \right\} = \varepsilon.$$

On other hand, we have

$$\begin{split} \max & \Big\{ G\big(F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2n_i}, y_{2n_i})\big), \\ & \quad G\big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2n_i}, x_{2n_i})\big) \Big\} \\ & \leq \max \Big\{ G\big(F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2n_i+1}, y_{2n_i+1})\big), \\ & \quad G\big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2n_i+1}, x_{2n_i+1})\big) \Big\} \\ & \quad + \max \Big\{ G\big(F(x_{2n_i+1}, y_{2n_i+1}), F(x_{2n_i+1}, y_{2n_i+1}), F(x_{2n_i}, y_{2n_i})\big), \\ & \quad G\big(F(y_{2n_i+1}, x_{2n_i+1}), F(y_{2n_i+1}, x_{2n_i+1}), F(y_{2n_i}, x_{2n_i})\big) \Big\}. \end{split}$$

Since ψ is a continuous non-decreasing function, it follows from the above inequality that

(17)
$$\psi(\varepsilon) \leq \psi \Big(\max \Big\{ G \big(F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2n_i+1}, y_{2n_i+1}) \big), \\ G \big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2n_i+1}, x_{2n_i+1}) \big) \Big\} \Big)$$

Using the contractive condition, on one hand we have

$$\begin{split} \psi\Big(G\big(F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2n_i+1}, y_{2n_i+1})\big)\Big) &\leq \\ \varphi\Big(\max\Big\{G\big(Tx_{2m_i}, Tx_{2m_i}, Sx_{2n_i+1}), G(Ty_{2m_i}, Ty_{2m_i}, Sy_{2n_i+1})\Big\}\Big) &\leq \\ \varphi\Big(\max\Big\{G\big(F(x_{2m_i-2}, y_{2m_i-2}), F(x_{2m_i-2}, y_{2m_i-2}), F(x_{2n_i-1}, y_{2n_i-1})\big), \\ G\big(F(y_{2m_i-2}, x_{2m_i-2}), F(y_{2m_i-2}, x_{2m_i-2}), F(y_{2n_i-1}, x_{2n_i-1})\big)\Big\}\Big). \end{split}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{split} \psi\Big(G\big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2n_i+1}, x_{2n_i+1})\big)\Big) &\leq \\ \varphi\Big(\max\Big\{G(Ty_{2m_i}, Ty_{2m_i}, Sy_{2n_i+1}), G(Tx_{2m_i}, Tx_{2m_i}, Sx_{2n_i+1})\Big\}\Big) &\leq \\ \varphi\Big(\max\Big\{G\big(F(y_{2m_i-2}, x_{2m_i-2}), F(y_{2m_i-2}, x_{2m_i-2}), F(y_{2n_i-1}, x_{2n_i-1})\big), \\ G\big(F(x_{2m_i-2}, y_{2m_i-2}), F(x_{2m_i-2}, y_{2m_i-2}), F(x_{2n_i-1}, y_{2n_i-1})\big)\Big\}\Big). \end{split}$$

Therefore, we have

(18)
$$\max \left\{ \psi \Big(G \big(F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2m_i}, y_{2m_i}), F(x_{2n_i+1}, y_{2n_i+1}) \big) \Big), \\ \psi \Big(G \big(F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2m_i}, x_{2m_i}), F(y_{2n_i+1}, x_{2n_i+1}) \big) \Big) \right\} \leq \\ \psi \Big(\max \Big\{ G \big(F(y_{2m_i-2}, x_{2m_i-2}), F(y_{2m_i-2}, x_{2m_i-2}), F(y_{2n_i-1}, x_{2n_i-1}) \big), \\ G \big(F(x_{2m_i-2}, y_{2m_i-2}), F(x_{2m_i-2}, y_{2m_i-2}), F(x_{2n_i-1}, y_{2n_i-1}) \big) \Big\}.$$

We claim that

(19)
$$\lim_{i \to +\infty} \max \left\{ G\left(F(x_{2m_i-2}, y_{2m_i-2}), F(x_{2m_i-2}, y_{2m_i-2}), F(x_{2n_i-1}, y_{2n_i-1})\right), \\ G\left(F(y_{2m_i-2}, x_{2m_i-2}), F(y_{2m_i-2}, x_{2m_i-2}), F(y_{2n_i-1}, x_{2n_i-1})\right) \right\} = \varepsilon$$

In fact, using the rectangle property, we have

$$G(F(x_{2m_i-2}, y_{2m_i-2}), F(x_{2m_i-2}, y_{2m_i-2}), F(x_{2n_i-1}, y_{2n_i-1})) \leq G(F(x_{2m_i-2}, y_{2m_i-2}), F(x_{2m_i-2}, y_{2m_i-2}), F(x_{2m_i-1}, y_{2m_i-1})) + G(F(x_{2m_i-1}, y_{2m_i-1}), F(x_{2m_i-1}, y_{2m_i-1}), F(x_{2n_i}, y_{2n_i})) + G(F(x_{2n_i}, y_{2n_i}), F(x_{2n_i}, y_{2n_i}), F(x_{2n_i-1}, y_{2n_i-1})).$$

Letting $i \longrightarrow +\infty$ in the above inequality and using (6) and (16), we obtain

(20)
$$\lim_{i \to +\infty} G(F(x_{2m_i-2}, y_{2m_i-2}), F(x_{2m_i-2}, y_{2m_i-2}), F(x_{2n_i-1}, y_{2n_i-1})) \leq \varepsilon$$

On the other hand, we have

$$G(F(x_{2m_{i}-1}, y_{2m_{i}-1}), F(x_{2m_{i}-1}, y_{2m_{i}-1}), F(x_{2n_{i}}, y_{2n_{i}})) \leq G(F(x_{2m_{i}-1}, y_{2m_{i}-1}), F(x_{2m_{i}-1}, y_{2m_{i}-1}), F(x_{2m_{i}-2}, y_{2m_{i}-2})) + G(F(x_{2m_{i}-2}, y_{2m_{i}-2}), F(x_{2m_{i}-2}, y_{2m_{i}-2}), F(x_{2n_{i}-1}, y_{2n_{i}-1}))) + G(F(x_{2n_{i}-1}, y_{2n_{i}-1}), F(x_{2n_{i}-1}, y_{2n_{i}-1}), F(x_{2n_{i}}, y_{2n_{i}})).$$

Letting $i \longrightarrow +\infty$ in the above inequality and using (6) and (16), we obtain

(21)
$$\lim_{i \to +\infty} G(F(x_{2m_i-2}, y_{2m_i-2}), F(x_{2m_i-2}, y_{2m_i-2}), F(x_{2n_i-1}, y_{2n_i-1})) \ge \varepsilon.$$

Combining (20) and (21), we get

$$\lim_{i \to +\infty} G(F(x_{2m_i-2}, y_{2m_i-2}), F(x_{2m_i-2}, y_{2m_i-2}), F(x_{2n_i-1}, y_{2n_i-1})) = \varepsilon.$$

By the same way, we obtain

$$\lim_{i \to +\infty} G(F(y_{2m_i-2}, x_{2m_i-2}), F(y_{2m_i-2}, x_{2m_i-2}), F(y_{2n_i-1}, x_{2n_i-1})) = \varepsilon.$$

Thus we proved (19). Finally, letting $i \to +\infty$ in (18), using (17), (19) and the continuity of ψ and ϕ , we get $\psi(\varepsilon) \le \phi(\varepsilon)$, using the condition of the theorem 3.1, we get $\varepsilon = 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus $(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}))$ and $(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}))$ are Cauchy sequences in *X*, which gives us that $(F(x_n, y_n))$ and $(F(y_n, x_n))$ are also Cauchy sequences.

Step 3: Existence of a coupled coincidence point.

178

Since $(F(x_n, y_n))$ and $((F(y_n, x_n)))$ are Cauchy sequences in the complete metric space (X, G), there exist $\alpha, \beta \in X$ such that:

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} F(x_n, y_n) = \alpha \text{ and } \lim_{n \to +\infty} F(y_n, x_n) = \beta.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n \to +\infty} Tx_{2n+2} = \alpha$, $\lim_{n \to +\infty} Ty_{2n+2} = \beta$, $\lim_{n \to +\infty} Sx_{2n+3} = \alpha$ and

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} Sy_{2n+3} = \beta.$$

Using the continuity and the commutativity of F and T, we have

$$T(Tx_{2n+2}) = T(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})) = F(Tx_{2n}, Ty_{2n})$$

and

$$T(Ty_{2n+2}) = T(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})) = F(Ty_{2n}, Tx_{2n})$$

Letting $n \longrightarrow +\infty$, we get $T\alpha = F(\alpha, \beta)$ and $T\beta = F(\beta, \alpha)$.

Using also the continuity and the commutativity of *F* and *S*, by the same way, we obtain $S\alpha = F(\alpha, \beta)$ and $S\beta = F(\beta, \alpha)$. Therefore $T\alpha = F(\alpha, \beta) = S\alpha$ and $T\beta = F(\beta, \alpha) = S\beta$. Thus we proved that (α, β) is a coupled coincidence point of *T*, *S* and *F*. This completes the proof.

In the next result, we prove that the previous theorem is still valid if we replace the continuity of F by some conditions.

Theorem 3.2 If we replace the continuity hypothesis of *F* in Theorem 3.1 by the following conditions:

(*i*) if a non-decreasing sequence (x_n) is such that $x_n \longrightarrow x$, then $x_n \preceq x$ for all n, (*ii*) if a non-increasing sequence (y_n) is such that $y_n \longrightarrow y$, then $y \preceq y_n$ for all n. (*iii*) $x, y \in X, x \preceq y \Longrightarrow Tx \preceq Sy$, (*iv*) $x, y \in X, x \succeq y \Longrightarrow Tx \succeq Sy$. Then T, S and F have a coupled coincidence point.

Proof. Following the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have that $F(x_n, y_n)$ and $F(y_n, x_n)$ are Cauchy sequences in the complete metric space (X, G), there exist $\alpha, \beta \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} F(x_n, y_n) = \alpha \text{ and } \lim_{n \to +\infty} F(y_n, x_n) = \beta.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}) = \alpha \text{ and } \lim_{n \to +\infty} F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}) = \beta.$$

Hence $\lim_{n \to +\infty} Tx_{2n+2} = \alpha$, $\lim_{n \to +\infty} Ty_{2n+2} = \beta$, $\lim_{n \to +\infty} Sx_{2n+3} = \alpha$ and $\lim_{n \to +\infty} Sy_{2n+3} = \beta$. Using the commutativity of *F* and *G* and of *F* and *S* and the contractive condition, it follows from conditions (iii)-(iv) that

(22)

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi\Big(G\Big(T(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})), T(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})), S(F(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}))\Big)\Big) &= \\
\psi\Big(G\Big(F(Tx_{2n}, Ty_{2n}), F(Tx_{2n}, Ty_{2n}), F(Sx_{2n+1}, Sy_{2n+1})\Big)\Big) \leq \\
\varphi\Big(\max\Big\{G\big(T(Tx_{2n}), T(Tx_{2n}), S(Sx_{2n+1})\big), G\big(T(Ty_{2n}), T(Ty_{2n}), S(Sy_{2n+1})\big)\Big\}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

(23)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi\Big(G\Big(T(F(y_{2n},x_{2n})),T(F(y_{2n},x_{2n})),S(F(y_{2n+1},x_{2n+1}))\Big)\Big) = \\
&\varphi\Big(G\Big(F(Ty_{2n},Tx_{2n}),F(Ty_{2n},Tx_{2n}),F(Sy_{2n+1},Sx_{2n+1})\Big)\Big) \leq \\
&\varphi\Big(\max\Big\{G\big(T(Ty_{2n}),T(Ty_{2n}),S(Sy_{2n+1})\big),G\big(T(Tx_{2n}),T(Tx_{2n}),S(Sx_{2n+1})\big)\Big\}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (22), (23) and the fact that $\max{\{\varphi(a), \varphi(b)\}} = \varphi(\max{\{a, b\}})$ for $a, b \in [0, +\infty)$, from (iii)-(iv), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \psi \Big(\max \Big\{ G\big(T(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})), T(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})), S(F(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) \big), \\ G\big(T(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})), T(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})), S(F(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})) \big) \Big\} \Big) \leq \\ \varphi \Big(\max \Big\{ G\big(T(Tx_{2n}), T(Tx_{2n}), S(Sx_{2n+1}) \big), G\big(T(Ty_{2n}), T(Ty_{2n}), S(Sy_{2n+1}) \big) \Big\} \Big). \end{split}$$

Letting $n \longrightarrow +\infty$ in the last expression, using the continuity of *T* and *S*, we get This implies that

$$\psi\Big(\max\big\{G(T\alpha,T\alpha,S\alpha),G(T\beta,T\beta,S\beta)\big\}\Big) \leq \\ \varphi\Big(\max\big\{G(T\alpha,T\alpha,S\alpha),G(T\beta,T\beta,S\beta)\big\}\Big).$$

By using the condition of the theorem 3.2, we have max $\{G(T\alpha, T\alpha, S\alpha), G(T\beta, T\beta, S\beta)\} = 0$ Consequently

(24)
$$T\alpha = S\alpha \text{ and } T\beta = S\beta.$$

180

To finish the proof, we claim that $F(\alpha, \beta) = T\alpha = S\alpha$ and $F(\beta, \alpha) = T\beta = S\beta$. Indeed, using the contractive condition, it follows from (i)-(iv) that

$$\psi\Big(G\big(F(T(x_{2n},Ty_{2n})),F(T(x_{2n},Ty_{2n})),F(\alpha,\beta)\big)\Big),\leq \\\varphi\Big(\max\Big\{G\big(T(Tx_{2n}),T(Tx_{2n}),S\alpha\big),G\big(T(Ty_{2n}),T(Ty_{2n}),S\beta\big)\Big\}\Big).$$

Using the condition of the theorem, we get

(25)
$$G(F(T(x_{2n}, Ty_{2n})), F(T(x_{2n}, Ty_{2n})), F(\alpha, \beta)) \leq \max \left\{ G(T(Tx_{2n}), T(Tx_{2n}), S\alpha), G(T(Ty_{2n}), T(Ty_{2n}), S\beta) \right\}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\psi\Big(G\big(F(T(y_{2n},Tx_{2n})),F(T(y_{2n},Tx_{2n})),F(\beta,\alpha)\big)\Big) \leq \\ \varphi\Big(\max\Big\{G\big(T(Ty_{2n}),T(Ty_{2n}),S\beta\big),G\big(T(Tx_{2n}),T(Tx_{2n}),S\alpha\big)\Big\}\Big).$$

Using the condition of the theorem 3.2, we see that

(26)
$$G(F(T(y_{2n}, Tx_{2n})), F(T(y_{2n}, Tx_{2n})), F(\beta, \alpha)) \leq \max \{G(T(Ty_{2n}), T(Ty_{2n}), S\beta), G(T(Tx_{2n}), T(Tx_{2n}), S\alpha)\}.$$

Combining (25) and (26), we get

$$\max \left\{ G(F(T(x_{2n}, Ty_{2n})), F(T(x_{2n}, Ty_{2n})), F(\alpha, \beta)), \\ G(F(T(y_{2n}, Tx_{2n})), F(T(y_{2n}, Tx_{2n})), F(\beta, \alpha)) \right\} \le \\ \max \left\{ G(T(Ty_{2n}), T(Ty_{2n}), S\beta), G(T(Tx_{2n}), T(Tx_{2n}), S\alpha) \right\}.$$

Using the commutativity of F and T, we write

$$\max \left\{ G(T(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})), T(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})), F(\alpha, \beta)), \\ G(T(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})), T(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})), F(\beta, \alpha)) \right\} \le \\ \max \left\{ G(T(Ty_{2n}), T(Ty_{2n}), S\beta), G(T(Tx_{2n}), T(Tx_{2n}), S\alpha) \right\}.$$

Letting $n \longrightarrow +\infty$, using the continuity of *T*, we obtain

$$\max\left\{G(T\alpha,T\alpha,F(\alpha,\beta)),G(T\beta,T\beta,F(\beta,\alpha))\right\}\leq \max\left\{G(T\beta,T\beta,S\beta),G(T\alpha,T\alpha,S\alpha)\right\}$$

In view of (24), we deduce that

$$\max\left\{G(T\alpha,T\alpha,F(\alpha,\beta)),G(T\beta,T\beta,F(\beta,\alpha))\right\}=0.$$

Therefore, $G(T\alpha, T\alpha, F(\alpha, \beta)) = 0$ and $G(T\beta, T\beta, F(\beta, \alpha)) = 0$. Consequently

(27)
$$T\alpha = F(\alpha, \beta) \text{ and } T\beta = F(\beta, \alpha).$$

By the same way, we get

(28)
$$S\alpha = F(\alpha, \beta) \text{ and } S\beta = F(\beta, \alpha).$$

Finally, combining (24), (27) and (28), we deduce that (α, β) is a coupled coincidence point of *F*, *T* and *S*. This completes the proof.

Now, we give a sufficient condition for the existence and the uniqueness of the coupled common fixed point. Notice that if (X, \preceq) is a partially ordered set, we endow $X \times X$ with the following partial order relation: for

$$(x,y), (u,v) \in X \times X, (x,y) \preceq (u,v) \iff x \preceq u \text{ and } y \succeq v.$$

Theorem 3.3 In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 (resp. Theorem 3.2, suppose that for every (x,y), $(x',y') \in X \times X$ there exists a $(u,v) \in X \times X$ such that (F(u,v),F(v,u)) is comparable to (F(x,y),F(y,x)) and (F(x',y'),F(y',x')). Then F, T and S have a unique coupled common fixed point, that is, there exist a unique $(x,y) \in X \times X$ such that x = Tx = F(x,y) = Sx and y = Ty = F(y,x) = Sy.

Proof. We know, from Theorem 3.1 (resp. Theorem 3.2, that exists a coupled coincidence point. We suppose that exist (x, y) and (x', y') two coupled coincidence points, that is,

$$Tx = F(x, y) = Sx, Ty = F(y, x) = Sy,$$

 $Tx' = F(x', y') = Sx' \text{ and } Ty' = F(y', x') = Sy'.$

We claim that

(29)
$$Tx = Tx' = Sx' = Sx$$
 and $Ty = Ty' = Sy' = Sy$.

By assumption there is $(u, v) \in X \times X$ such that (F(u, v), F(v, u)) is comparable to (F(x, y), F(y, x))and (F(x', y'), F(y', x')). We distinguish two cases:

First case: We assume that

$$(F(x,y),F(y,x)) \leq (F(u,v),F(v,u))$$
 and $(F(x',y'),F(y',x')) \leq (F(u,v),F(v,u)).$

182

Put $u_0 = u$ and $v_0 = v$ and we choose u_1 and v_1 such that $Tu_0 \leq Su_1 \leq F(u_0, v_0)$, $Tv_0 \geq Sv_1 \geq F(v_0, u_0)$. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem **??**, we can construct sequences (u_n) and (v_n) in *X* such that $\begin{cases} Tu_{2n+2} = F(u_{2n}, v_{2n}) \\ Tv_{2n+2} = F(v_{2n}, u_{2n}) \end{cases}$ and $\begin{cases} Su_{2n+3} = F(u_{2n+1}, v_{2n+1}) \\ Sv_{2n+3} = F(v_{2n+1}, u_{2n+1}) \end{cases}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Looking at the proof of Theorem 3.1, precisely at (5), we see that (Tu_{2n}) is a non- decreasing sequence,

 $Tu_{2n} \leq Su_{2n+1}$, and (Tv_{2n}) is a non-increasing sequence, $Tv_{2n} \geq Sv_{2n+1}$. Therefore, we have

(30)
$$Tx = F(x,y) \leq F(u_0,v_0) = Tu_2 \leq Tu_{2n} \leq Su_{2n+1}$$
$$and$$

$$Ty = F(y,x) \succeq F(v_0, u_0) = Tv_2 \succeq Tv_{2n} \succeq Sv_{2n+1}.$$

Similarly, we have

(31) $Tx' = F(x', y') \preceq F(u_0, v_0) = Tu_2 \preceq Tu_{2n} \preceq Su_{2n+1}$ and $Tu'_{2n} = F(u'_1, y'_2) \simeq F(u_1, y_2) = Tu_2 \simeq Tu_{2n} \simeq Su_{2n+1}$

$$Ty' = F(y', x') \succeq F(v_0, u_0) = Tv_2 \succeq Tv_{2n} \succeq Sv_{2n+1}$$

Using (30) and the contractive condition, we write

$$\psi\Big(G\big(F(x,y),F(x,y),F(u_{2n+1},v_{2n+1})\big)\Big) \le \\ \varphi\Big(\max\Big\{G(Tx,Tx,Su_{2n+1}),G(Ty,Ty,Sv_{2n+1})\Big\}\Big)$$

and

$$\psi\Big(G\big(F(y,x),F(y,x),F(v_{2n+1},u_{2n+1})\big)\Big) \leq \\ \varphi\Big(\max\Big\{G(Ty,Ty,Sv_{2n+1}),G(Tx,Tx,Su_{2n+1})\Big\}\Big).$$

Therefore

$$\psi\Big(\max\Big\{G\big(F(x,y),F(x,y),F(u_{2n+1},v_{2n+1})\big),\\G\big(F(y,x),F(y,x),F(v_{2n+1},u_{2n+1})\big)\Big\}\Big) \le \\\varphi\Big(\max\Big\{G(Tx,Tx,Su_{2n+1}),G(Ty,Ty,Sv_{2n+1})\Big\}\Big).$$

Therefore

(32)
$$\psi\left(\max\left\{G(Tx,Tx,Su_{2n+3}),G(Ty,Ty,Sv_{2n+3})\right\}\right) \leq \varphi\left(\max\left\{G(Tx,Tx,Su_{2n+1}),G(Ty,Ty,Sv_{2n+1})\right\}\right).$$

We see that

$$\psi\Big(\max\Big\{G(Tx,Tx,Su_{2n+3}),G\big(Ty,Ty,Sv_{2n+3}\big)\Big\}\Big) \leq \\ \varphi\Big(\max\Big\{G(Tx,Tx,Su_{2n+1}),G(Ty,Ty,Sv_{2n+1})\Big\}\Big).$$

Using the condition of the theorem 3.3, we get

$$\max \left\{ G(Tx, Tx, Su_{2n+3}), G(Ty, Ty, Sv_{2n+3}) \right\} \right)$$

 $\leq \max \left\{ G(Tx, Tx, Su_{2n+1}), G(Ty, Ty, Sv_{2n+1}) \right\}.$

This implies that $\left(\max\left\{G(Tx, Tx, Su_{2n+1}), G(Ty, Ty, Sv_{2n+1})\right\}\right)$ is a non-increasing sequence. Hence, there exists $r \ge 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \longrightarrow +\infty} \max \left\{ G(Tx, Tx, Su_{2n+1}), G(Ty, Ty, Sv_{2n+1}) \right\} = r.$$

Passing to limit in (32) as $n \longrightarrow +\infty$, we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}(r) \leq \boldsymbol{\varphi}(r),$$

by using the condition of the theorem 3.3, we get, r = 0. We deduce that

(33)
$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \max \left\{ G(Tx, Tx, Su_{2n+1}), G(Ty, Ty, Sv_{2n+1}) \right\} = 0.$$

Similarly, one can prove that

(34)
$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \max \left\{ G(Tx', Tx', Su_{2n+1}), G(Ty', Ty', Sv_{2n+1}) \right\} = 0.$$

By uniqueness of the limit and using (33) and (34), we have $\lim_{n \to +\infty} Su_{2n+1} = Tx = Tx'$ and $\lim_{n \to +\infty} Sv_{2n+1} = Ty = Ty'$. This prove the claim (29) in this case.

Second case: We assume that

$$(F(x,y),F(y,x)) \succeq (F(u,v),F(v,u)) \text{ and } (F(x',y'),F(y',x')) \succeq (F(u,v),F(v,u))$$

Put $u_0 = u$ and $v_0 = v$ and we choose u_1 and v_1 such that $Tu_0 \leq Su_1 \leq F(u_0, v_0)$, $Tv_0 \geq Sv_1 \geq F(v_0, u_0)$. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can construct sequences (u_n) and (v_n) in X such that $\begin{cases} Tu_{2n+2} = F(u_{2n}, v_{2n}) \\ Tv_{2n+2} = F(v_{2n}, u_{2n}) \end{cases}$ and $\begin{cases} Su_{2n+3} = F(u_{2n+1}, v_{2n+1}) \\ Sv_{2n+3} = F(v_{2n+1}, u_{2n+1}) \end{cases}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Looking at the proof of Theorem 3.1, precisely at (5), we see that (Tu_{2n}) is a non-decreasing

Looking at the proof of Theorem 3.1, precisely at (5), we see that (Tu_{2n}) is a non- decreasing sequence, $Tu_{2n} \leq Su_{2n+1}$, and (Tv_{2n}) is a non-increasing sequence, $Tv_{2n} \geq Sv_{2n+1}$. Therefore, we have

$$Tx = F(x, y) \succeq F(u_0, v_0) = Tu_2 \succeq Tu_{2n} \succeq Su_{2n+1}$$

and

$$Ty = F(y,x) \preceq F(v_0,u_0) = Tv_2 \preceq Tv_{2n} \preceq Sv_{2n+1}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$Tx' = F(x', y') \succeq F(u_0, v_0) = Tu_2 \succeq Tu_{2n} \succeq Su_{2n+1}$$

and
$$Ty' = F(y', x') \preceq F(v_0, u_0) = Tv_2 \preceq Tv_{2n} \preceq Sv_{2n+1}.$$

From this, we complete the proof identically as in the first case and we obtain the claim (29) in this case. Since Tx = F(x, y) = Sx and Ty = F(y, x) = Sy, by the commutativity of *F*, *T* and *F*, *S*, we have

(35)
$$T(Tx) = T(F(x,y)) = F(Tx,Ty), \ T(Ty) = T(F(y,x)) = F(Ty,Tx)$$
and

$$S(Sx) = S(F(x,y)) = F(Sx,Sy), S(Sy) = S(F(y,x)) = F(Sy,Sx).$$

Set Tx = a = Sx, Ty = b = Sy. Then from (35),

(36)
$$Ta = F(a,b) = Sa \text{ and } Tb = F(b,a) = Sb.$$

Thus (a,b) is a coupled coincidence point. Then from (29) with x' = a and y' = b it follows that Ta = Tx = Sa and Tb = Ty = Sb. Therefore

$$Ta = a = Sa \text{ and } Tb = b = Sb.$$

We deduce that (a,b) is a coupled common fixed point. To prove the uniqueness, assume that (c,d) is another coupled common fixed point. Then by (29) and (37) we have c = Tc = Ta = a and d = Td = Tb = b. This complete the proof.

Corollary 3.3 Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and *G*-metric on *X* such that (X,G) is a complete *G*-metric space. Let $g: X \longrightarrow X$ and $F: X \times X \longrightarrow X$ be a mapping having the mixed *g*-monotone property on *X*. Suppose that

$$\varphi \big[G \big(F(x,y), F(u,v), F(w,z) \big) \big] \leq \varphi \big(\max \big\{ G(gx, gu, gw), G(gy, gv, gz) \big\} \big)$$

for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ with $gx \leq gu \leq gw$ or $gx \geq gu \geq gw$ and $gy \geq gv \geq gz$ or $gy \leq gv \leq gz$, where $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\varphi : [0, \infty[\rightarrow IR \text{ is a continuous function with the condition } \psi(t) > \varphi(t) \text{ for all}$ t > 0. Assume that $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ and assume also the following hypotheses:

(1) g is continuous,

- (2) *F* is continuous or g is non-decreasing mapping and X satisfies the following properties: (*i*) if a non-decreasing sequence (x_n) is such that $x_n \longrightarrow x$, then $x_n \preceq x$ for all n,
 - (ii) if a non-increasing sequence (y_n) is such that $y_n \longrightarrow y$, then $y \preceq y_n$ for all n.
- (3) For every (x,y), $(x',y') \in X \times X$ there exists a $(u,v) \in X \times X$ such that (F(u,v),F(v,u)) is comparable to (F(x,y),F(y,x)) and (F(x',y'),F(y',x')).
- (4) F commutes with g.

If there exist x_0, y_0, x_1 *and* y_1 *such that*

$$gx_0 \preceq gx_1 \preceq F(x_0, y_0), gy_0 \succeq gy_1 \succeq F(y_0, x_0),$$

then there exist a unique $(x, y) \in X \times X$ such that x = gx = F(x, y) and y = gy = F(y, x), that is, then F and g have a unique coupled common fixed point.

Corollary 3.4 Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and G-metric on X such that (X,G) is a complete G-metric space. Let $T, S : X \longrightarrow X$ and $F : X \times X \longrightarrow X$ be a mapping having the mixed(T, S)-monotone property on X. Suppose that

(38)
$$G(F(x,y),F(u,v),F(w,z)) \leq \max\{G(Tx,Tu,Sw),G(Ty,Tv,Sz)\}$$
$$-\psi(\max\{G(Tx,Tu,Sw),G(Ty,Tv,Sz)\})$$

for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ with $Tx \leq Tu \leq Sw$ or $Tx \geq Tu \geq Sw$ and $Ty \geq Tv \geq Sz$ or $Ty \leq Tv \leq Sz$, where $\Psi \in \Psi$ and $\varphi : [0, \infty[\rightarrow IR \text{ is a continuous function with the condition } \Psi(t) > \varphi(t) \text{ for}$ all t > 0. Assume that $F(X \times X) \subseteq T(X) \cap S(X)$ and assume also that T, S and F satisfy the following hypothesis:

- (1) F, T and S are continuous,
- (2) *F* commutes respectively with *T* and *S*.

If there exist x_0, y_0, x_1 in X and y_1 such that

$$Tx_0 \leq Sx_1 \leq F(x_0, y_0), Ty_0 \geq Sy_1 \geq F(y_0, x_0)$$

then there exist $x, y \in X$ such that Tx = Sx = F(x, y) and Ty = Sy = F(y, x), that is, T, S and F have a coupled coincidence point $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

Proof. We replace in Theorem 2.1, ψ by $\psi(x) = x$ and φ by $\varphi(x) = x - \psi(x)$.

Corollary 3.5 Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and G-metric on X such that (X,G) is a complete G-metric space. Let $T, S : X \longrightarrow X$ and $F : X \times X \longrightarrow X$ be a mapping having the mixed(T, S)-monotone property on X. Suppose that

$$\psi(G(F(x,y),F(u,v),F(w,z))) \leq \psi(\max\{G(Tx,Tu,Sw),G(Ty,Tv,Sz)\})$$

$$\varphi(\max\{G(Tx,Tu,Sw),G(Ty,Tv,Sz)\})$$

for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ with $Tx \preceq Tu \preceq Sw$ or $Tx \succeq Tu \succeq Sw$ and $Ty \succeq Tv \succeq Sz$ or $Ty \preceq Tv \preceq Sz$, where ψ and $\varphi \in \Psi$ with the condition $\psi(t) > \varphi(t)$ for all t > 0. Assume that $F(X \times X) \subseteq$ $T(X) \cap S(X)$ and assume also that T, S and F satisfy the following hypothesis:

- (1) F, T and S are continuous,
- (2) F commutes respectively with T and S.

If there exist x_0, y_0, x_1 *in* X *and* y_1 *such that*

$$Tx_0 \leq Sx_1 \leq F(x_0, y_0), \ Ty_0 \geq Sy_1 \geq F(y_0, x_0),$$

then there exist $x, y \in X$ such that Tx = Sx = F(x, y) and Ty = Sy = F(y, x), that is, T, S and F have a coupled coincidence point $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

Proof. We replace in Theorem 3.1, φ by $\varphi(x) = \psi(x) - \varphi_1(x)$ where $\varphi_1 \in \Psi$ Let us denote by *S* the class of continuous function $\beta : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, 1)$ which satisfies the condition $\beta(t_n) \to 0 \Rightarrow t_n \to 0$.

Corollary 3.6 Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and G-metric on X such that (X,G) is a complete G-metric space. Let $T, S : X \longrightarrow X$ and $F : X \times X \longrightarrow X$ be a mapping having the mixed(T, S)-monotone property on X. Suppose that

(40)
$$G(F(x,y),F(u,v),F(w,z)) \leq \beta (\max \{G(Tx,Tu,Sw),G(Ty,Tv,Sz)\})$$
$$\max \{G(Tx,Tu,Sw),G(Ty,Tv,Sz)\}$$

for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ with $Tx \preceq Tu \preceq Sw$ or $Tx \succeq Tu \succeq Sw$ and $Ty \succeq Tv \succeq Sz$ or $Ty \preceq Tv \preceq Sz$, where ψ and $\varphi \in \Psi$ with the condition $\psi(t) > \varphi(t)$ for all t > 0. Assume that $F(X \times X) \subseteq$ $T(X) \cap S(X)$ and assume also that T, S and F satisfy the following hypothesis:

- (1) F, T and S are continuous,
- (2) F commutes respectively with T and S.

If there exist x_0, y_0, x_1 in X and y_1 such that

$$Tx_0 \leq Sx_1 \leq F(x_0, y_0), \ Ty_0 \geq Sy_1 \geq F(y_0, x_0),$$

then there exist $x, y \in X$ such that Tx = Sx = F(x, y) and Ty = Sy = F(y, x), that is, T, S and F have a coupled coincidence point $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

Proof. We replace in Theorem 3.1, ψ by $\psi(x) = x$ and $\varphi(x) = \beta(x)x$.

4. Applications to periodic boundary value problems

In this section, we study the existence and uniqueness of solution to a periodic boundary value problem, as an application to the fixed point theorem given by Corollary 3.6.

Let $C([0,T],\mathbb{R})$ be the set of all continuous functions $u:[0,T] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and consider a mapping $g: C([0,T],\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow C([0,T],\mathbb{R})$. Consider the periodic boundary value problem

(41)
$$u' = f(t, u) + h(t, u), \ t \in (0, T)$$

$$(42) u(0) = u(T),$$

where f, h are two continuous functions satisfying the following conditions: There exist positive constants $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1$ and μ_2 , such that for all $u, v \in (C([0, T], \mathbb{R}), gv(t) \leq gu(t),$

(43)
$$0 \le (f(t,u(t)) + \lambda_1 u(t)) - (f(t,v(t)) + \lambda_1 v(t)) \le \mu_1 \ln[(gu(t) - gv(t))^2 + 1]$$

(44)
$$-\mu_2 \ln[(gu(t) - gv(t))^2 + 1] \le (h(t, u(t)) - \lambda_2 u(t)) - (h(t, v(t)) - \lambda_2 v(t)) \le 0$$

with

(45)
$$\frac{2\max\{\mu_1,\mu_2\}}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2} < 1$$

We firstly study the existence of a solution of the following periodic system:

(46)
$$u' + \lambda_1 u - \lambda_2 v = f(t, u) + h(t, v) + \lambda_1 u - \lambda_2 v$$
$$v' + \lambda_1 v - \lambda_2 u = f(t, v) + h(t, u) + \lambda_1 v - \lambda_2 u,$$

with the periodicity condition

(47)
$$u(0) = u(T) \text{ and } v(0) = v(T).$$

This problem is equivalent to the integral equations:

$$u(t) = \int_0^T k_1(t,s)[f(s,u) + h(s,v) + \lambda_1 u - \lambda_2 v] + \int_0^T k_2(t,s)[f(s,v) + h(s,u) + \lambda_1 v - \lambda_2 u] ds v(t) = \int_0^T k_1(t,s)[f(s,v) + h(s,u) + \lambda_1 v - \lambda_2 u] + \int_0^T k_2(t,s)[f(s,u) + h(s,v) + \lambda_1 u - \lambda_2 v] ds,$$

where

$$k_{1}(t,s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{e^{\sigma_{1}(t-s)}}{1-e^{\sigma_{1}T}} + \frac{e^{\sigma_{2}(t-s)}}{1-e^{\sigma_{2}T}} \right] & 0 \le s < t \le T \\ \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{e^{\sigma_{1}(t+T-s)}}{1-e^{\sigma_{1}T}} + \frac{e^{\sigma_{2}(t+T-s)}}{1-e^{\sigma_{2}T}} \right] & 0 \le t < s \le T \end{cases}$$

$$k_{2}(t,s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{e^{\sigma_{2}(t-s)}}{1-e^{\sigma_{2}T}} + \frac{e^{\sigma_{1}(t-s)}}{1-e^{\sigma_{1}T}} \right] & 0 \le s < t \le T \\ \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{e^{\sigma_{2}(t+T-s)}}{1-e^{\sigma_{2}T}} + \frac{e^{\sigma_{1}(t+T-s)}}{1-e^{\sigma_{1}T}} \right] & 0 \le t < s \le T \end{cases}$$

Here, $\sigma_1 = -(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ and $\sigma_2 = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)$.

From ([11], Lemma 3.2), we have

(48)
$$k_1(t,s) \le 0, \ 0 \le t, s \le T \text{ and } k_2(t,s) \ge 0, \ 0 \le t, s \le T.$$

We assume that there exist $\alpha, \beta \in C([0,T])$ such that

(49)
$$g(\alpha(t)) \leq \int_0^1 k_1(t,s)(f(s,\alpha(s)) + h(s,\beta(s)) + \lambda_1\alpha(s) - \lambda_2\beta(s))ds + \int_0^1 k_2(t,s)(f(s,\beta(s)) + h(s,\alpha(s)) + \lambda_1\beta(s) - \lambda_2\alpha(s))ds$$

and

(50)
$$g(\boldsymbol{\beta}(t)) \ge \int_0^1 k_1(t,s)(f(s,\boldsymbol{\beta}(s)) + h(s,\boldsymbol{\alpha}(s)) + \lambda_1\boldsymbol{\beta}(s) - \lambda_2\boldsymbol{\alpha}(s))ds + \int_0^1 k_2(t,s)(f(s,\boldsymbol{\alpha}(s)) + h(s,\boldsymbol{\beta}(s)) + \lambda_1\boldsymbol{\alpha}(s) - \lambda_2\boldsymbol{\beta}(s))ds$$

We endow $X = C([0,T], \mathbb{R})$ with the metric $G(x, y, z) = \max_{t \in [0,T]} \{ |x(t) - y(t)|, |y(t) - z(t)|, |x(t) - z(t)| \}$ for $x, y, z \in X$.

This space can be equipped with a partial order given by

$$x, y \in C([0,T]), x \leq y \iff x(t) \leq y(t),$$
 for any $t \in [0,T].$

In *X* \times *X* we define the following partial order

$$(x,y), (u,v) \in X \times X, \ (x,y) \preceq (u,v) \iff x \preceq u \text{ and } y \succeq v.$$

Since for any $x, y \in X$ we have that $\max(x, y)$ and $\min(x, y) \in X$, assumption 3 of Corollary 3.6 is satisfied for (X, \preceq) . Moreover in [3] it is proved that (X, \preceq) satisfies assumption 2 of Corollary 3.6.

Now, we shall prove the following result.

Theorem 4.1 Suppose that $g: X \times X$ is a non-decreasing continuous mapping. Suppose also that (40)-(41) and (46)-(47) hold. Then (43)-(44) has a unique solution. Therefore (38)-(39) has also a unique solution.

Proof. We introduce the operator $F : X \times X \longrightarrow X$ defined by

$$F(u,v)(t) = \int_0^T k_1(t,s) [f(s,u) + h(s,v) + \lambda_1 u - \lambda_2 v] ds + \int_0^T k_2(t,s) [f(s,v) + h(s,u) + \lambda_1 v - \lambda_2 u] ds$$

for all $u, v \in X$ and $t \in [0, T]$.

We claim that *F* has the mixed g-monotone property.

In fact, for $gx_1 \leq gx_2$ and $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$F(x_1,y)(t) - F(x_2,y)(t) = \int_0^T k_1(t,s)(f(s,x_1(s)) - f(s,x_2) + \lambda_1(x_1(s) - x_2(s))ds + \int_0^T k_2(t,s)(h(s,x_1(s)) - h(s,x_2) - \lambda_2(x_1 - x_2))ds.$$

From (40), (41) and (45), for all $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$F(x_1, y)(t) - F(x_2, y)(t) \le 0.$$

This implies that

$$F(x_1, y) \preceq F(x_2, y).$$

Also, for $gy_1 \leq gy_2$ and $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$F(x,y_1)(t) - F(x,y_2)(t) = \int_0^T k_1(t,s)(h(s,y_1(s)) - h(s,y_2) - \lambda_2(y_1(s) - y_2(s))ds + \int_0^T k_2(t,s)(f(s,y_1(s)) - f(s,y_2) + \lambda_1(y_1 - y_2))ds.$$

Looking at (40), (41) and (45), for all $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$F(x, y_1)(t) - F(x, y_2)(t) \ge 0,$$

that is

$$F(x_1,y) \succeq F(x_2,y).$$

Thus, we proved that F has the mixed g-monotone property.

For $gx \succeq gu \succeq gz$ and $gy \preceq gv \preceq gw$, we have $F(x, y) \succeq F(u, v)$, $F(x, y) \succeq F(z, w)$, $F(u, v) \succeq F(z, w)$ and

$$\begin{split} &G\big(F(x,y),F(u,v),F(z,w)\big)\\ &= \max_{t\in[0,T]}\Big\{|F(x,y)(t)-F(u,v)(t)|,|F(x,y)(t)-F(z,w)(t)|,|F(u,v)(t)-F(z,w)(t)|\Big\}\\ &= \max_{t\in[0,T]}\Big\{(F(x,y)(t)-F(u,v)(t)),(F(x,y)(t)-F(z,w)(t)),(F(u,v)(t)-F(z,w)(t)))\Big\}\\ &= \max_{t\in[0,T]}\Big\{\int_{0}^{T}k_{1}(t,s)[(f(s,x(s))-f(s,u(s))+\lambda_{1}(x-u))-(h(s,v(s)))\\ &-h(s,y(s))-\lambda_{2}(y-v))]ds - \int_{0}^{T}k_{2}(t,s)[(f(s,v(s))-f(s,y(s))+\lambda_{1}(v-y))\\ &-(h(s,u(s))-h(s,x(s))-\lambda_{2}(u-x))]ds,\\ &\int_{0}^{T}k_{1}(t,s)[(f(s,x(s))-f(s,z(s))+\lambda_{1}(x-z))\\ &-(h(s,w(s))-h(s,y(s))-\lambda_{2}(y-w))]ds\\ &-\int_{0}^{T}k_{2}(t,s)[(f(s,w(s))-f(s,y(s))+\lambda_{1}(w-y))]\\ &-(h(s,z(s))-h(s,x(s))-\lambda_{2}(v-w))]ds,\\ &\int_{0}^{T}k_{1}(t,s)[(f(s,u(s))-f(s,z(s))+\lambda_{1}(u-z))\\ &-(h(s,w(s))-h(s,v(s))-\lambda_{2}(v-w))]ds\\ &-\int_{0}^{T}k_{2}(t,s)[(f(s,w(s))-f(s,v(s))+\lambda_{1}(w-v))\\ &-(h(s,z(s))-h(s,v(s))-\lambda_{2}(v-w))]ds\Big\}. \end{split}$$

Using (40) and (41) we get

$$\begin{aligned} G\big(F(x,y),F(u,v),F(z,w)\big) &\leq \\ \max_{t\in[0,T]} \Big\{ \int_0^T k_1(t,s) \Big(\mu_1 \ln\left[(gx(s) - gu(s))^2 + 1 \right] + \mu_2 \ln\left[(gy(s) - gv(s))^2 + 1 \right] \Big) ds \\ &+ \int_0^T (-k_2(t,s)) \Big(\mu_1 \ln\left[(gv(s) - gy(s))^2 + 1 \right] + \mu_2 \ln\left[(gx(s) - gu(s))^2 + 1 \right] \Big) ds, \\ \int_0^T k_1(t,s) \Big(\mu_1 \ln\left[(gx(s) - gz(s))^2 + 1 \right] + \mu_2 \ln\left[(gy(s) - gw(s))^2 + 1 \right] \Big) ds \\ &+ \int_0^T (-k_2(t,s)) \Big(\mu_1 \ln\left[(gw(s) - gy(s))^2 + 1 \right] + \mu_2 \ln\left[(gx(s) - gz(s))^2 + 1 \right] \Big) ds, \\ \int_0^T k_1(t,s) \Big(\mu_1 \ln\left[(gu(s) - gz(s))^2 + 1 \right] + \mu_2 \ln\left[(gv(s) - gw(s))^2 + 1 \right] \Big) ds \\ &+ \int_0^T (-k_2(t,s)) \Big(\mu_1 \ln\left[(gw(s) - gv(s))^2 + 1 \right] + \mu_2 \ln\left[(gu(s) - gz(s))^2 + 1 \right] \Big) ds \\ &+ \int_0^T (-k_2(t,s)) \Big(\mu_1 \ln\left[(gw(s) - gv(s))^2 + 1 \right] + \mu_2 \ln\left[(gu(s) - gz(s))^2 + 1 \right] \Big) ds \end{aligned}$$

then,

$$\begin{split} G\big(F(x,y),F(u,v),F(z,w)\big) &\leq \\ &\leq \max\{\mu_1,\mu_2\}\Big(\max_{t\in[0,T]}\Big\{\int_0^T (k_1(t,s)-k_2(t,s))\ln\big[(gx(s)-gu(s))^2+1\big]+\\ &\int_0^T (k_1(t,s)-k_2(t,s))\ln\big[(gy(s)-gv(s))^2+1\big],\\ &\int_0^T (k_1(t,s)-k_2(t,s))\ln\big[(gx(s)-gz(s))^2+1\big]+\\ &\int_0^T (k_1(t,s)-k_2(t,s))\ln\big[(gy(s)-gw(s))^2+1\big],\\ &\int_0^T (k_1(t,s)-k_2(t,s))\ln\big[(gu(s)-gz(s))^2+1\big]+\\ &\int_0^T (k_1(t,s)-k_2(t,s))\ln\big[(gv(s)-gw(s))^2+1\big]\Big\}\Big). \end{split}$$

By property (G4) of G we have

$$\begin{split} G\big(F(x,y),F(u,v),F(z,w)\big) &\leq \\ \Big(\max_{t\in[0,T]}\int_0^T (k_1(t,s)-k_2(t,s))\Big) \max\{\mu_1,\mu_2\}\Big(\ln\big[(G(gx,gu,gz))^2+1\big] + \\ &\ln\big[(G(gy,gv,gw))^2+1\big]\Big) \\ &\leq 2\max\{\mu_1,\mu_2\}\max_{t\in[0,T]}\Big|\int_0^T \frac{e^{\sigma_1(t-s)}}{1-e^{\sigma_1T}}ds + \int_0^T \frac{e^{\sigma_1(t+T-s)}}{1-e^{\sigma_1T}}ds\Big| \\ &\ln\big[\big(\max\{G(gx,gu,gz),G(gy,gv,gw)\}\big)^2+1\big]. \end{split}$$

After integrating, we get

$$G(F(x,y),F(u,v),F(z,w)) \le \frac{2\max\{\mu_1,\mu_2\}}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2} \ln\left[\left(\max\{G(gx,gu,gz),G(gy,gv,gw)\}\right)^2 + 1\right].$$

From (47), we obtain

$$G(F(x,y),F(u,v),F(z,w)) \le \ln\left[(\max\{G(gx,gu,gz),G(gy,gv,gw)\})^2 + 1\right],$$

which implies that

$$\left(G(F(x,y), F(u,v), F(z,w)) \right)^2 \le \left(\ln \left[(\max\{G(gx, gu, gz), G(gy, gv, gw)\})^2 + 1 \right] \right)^2$$

Then,

Set $\psi(t) = t^2$ and $\varphi(t) = (\ln(t^2 + 1)^2)$. Clearly $\psi \in \Psi$ and ϕ are altering distance functions and satisfy the condition $\psi(x) > \varphi(x)$ for x > 0 and from the above inequality, we obtain

$$\psi\Big(G\big(F(x,y),F(u,v),F(z,w)\big)\Big) \le \varphi\big(\max\{G(gx,gu,gz),G(gy,gv,gw)\}\big)$$

for all $x, y, u, v, z, w \in X$ such that $gx \succeq gu \succeq gz$ and $gy \preceq gv \preceq gw$.

Now, let $\alpha, \beta \in X$ be the functions given by (46) and (47). Then, we have

$$g\alpha \preceq F(\alpha,\beta)$$
 and $F(\beta,\alpha) \succeq g\beta$.

Thus, we proved that all the required hypotheses of Corollary 3.6 are satisfied. Hence, g and F have a unique coupled fixed point $(u,v) \in X \times X$, that is, (u,v) is the unique solution of (38)-(39). This completes the proof.

Conflict of Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgments

The author is thankful to Professor Mahmoud Bousselsal, Professor in Qassim University- Saudi Arabia for his help during the preparation of this work.

REFERENCES

- B.C. Dhage, Generalized metric space and mapping with fixed point, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 84 (1992) 329-336.
- [2] B.S. Choudhury, P. Maity, Coupled fixed point results in generalized metric spaces, Math. Comput. Modelling 54 (2011) 73-79.
- [3] J.J. Nieto, R. Rodŕiguez-López, Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, Order 22 (2005) 223-239.

- [4] H. Aydi, B. Damjanović, B. Samet, W. Shatanawi, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered G-metric spaces, Math. Comput. Model. 54 (2011) 2443-2450.
- [5] H.Yazidi, Coupled coincidence point theorems for mixed (G,S)-monotone operators on partially ordered metric spaces and applications, (2011).
- [6] L. Gajić, Z.L. Crvenković, On mappings with contractive iterate at a point in generalized metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2010 (2010) Article ID 458086.
- [7] M. Abbas, A.R. Khan, T. Nazir, Coupled common fixed point results in two generalized metric spaces, Appl. Math. Comput. 217 (2011) 6328-6336.
- [8] NV, Luong, NX, Thuan: Coupled fixed point in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, Nonlinear Anal. 74 (2011) 983-992.
- [9] S. Gahler, 2-Metrische Raume and ihre Topologische Struktur, Math. Nachr. 26 (1963) 115-148.
- [10] S. Gahler, Zur Geometric 2-Metrische Raume, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 11 (1966) 665-667.
- [11] T.G. Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, Nonlinear Anal. 65 (2006) 1379-1393.
- [12] V. Lakshmikantham, Lj. Ćirić, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 70 (2009) 4341-4349.
- [13] W. Shatanawi, Coupled fixed point theorems in generalized metric spaces, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. 40 (2011) 441-447.
- [14] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 7 (2006) 289-297.
- [15] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, Some remarks concerning D-metric spaces, in: Proc. Int. Conf. on Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Valencia, Spain, July 2003, pp. 189-198.
- [16] M. Abbas, T. Nazir, and Stojan Radenovic, Some periodic point results in generalized metric spaces, Appl. Math. Comput. 217 (2010) 4094-4099
- [17] Z. Kadelburg, H.K. Nasine, and S. Radenovic, Common coupled fixed point results in partially ordered Gmetric spaces, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. 4 (2012), 51-63
- [18] S, Radenovic, S. Pantelic, P. Salimi, and J. Vujakovic, A note on some tripled coincidence point results in G-metric spaces, International J. of Math. Sci. and Engg. Appls. (IJMSEA), Vol. 6 No. VI (November, 2012),
- [19] W. Long, M. Abbas, T. Nazir, and S. Radenovic, Common Fixed Point for Two Pairs of Mappings Satisfying (E.A) Property in Generalized Metric Spaces, Abst. Appl. Anal. 2012 (2012), Article ID 394830.
- [20] H.K. Nasine, Z. Kadelburg, and S. Radenovic, Coincidence and fixed point results in ordered G-cone metric spaces, Math. Comput. Model. 57 (2013), 701-709.
- [21] M. Abbas, T. Nazir, and S. Radenovic, Common fixed point of generalized weakly contractive maps in partially ordered G-metric spaces, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2012), 9383-9395.

COUPLED COINCIDENCE POINT THEOREMS

[22] F. Yan, Y. Su, Q. Cheng, A new contraction mapping principle in partially ordered metric spaces and applications to ordinary differential equations, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012 (2012), Article ID 152.