



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 4 (2014), No. 3, 494-502

ISSN: 1927-5307

## ON SYMMETRICAL FUNCTIONS WITH BOUNDED BOUNDARY ROTATION

FUAD. S. M. AL SARARI<sup>1,\*</sup>, S. LATHA<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Studies in Mathematics, University of Mysore, Manasagangotri, Mysore 570 006, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Yuvaraja's Collega, University of Mysore, Mysore 570 005, India

Copyright © 2014 Sarari and Latha. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract.** The object of the present paper is to derive the integral representation for classes involving the notion of  $(m, n)$ -symmetrical functions with bounded boundary rotation and bounded radius rotation. Some more properties like radius of univalent and starlike are also investigated.

**Keywords:** convex functions, starlike functions, functions of bounded boundary rotation, bounded radius rotation,  $(m, n)$ -symmetric points.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 30C45.

### 1. Introduction-preliminaries

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions of form

$$(1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathcal{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of all functions which are univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$ . We also denote by  $\mathcal{S}^*$ ,  $\mathcal{K}$  the familiar subclasses of it consisting of functions which are respectively starlike and convex in

---

\*Corresponding author

Received March 3, 2014

$\mathcal{U}$ . It is known that  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$  if and only if

$$f(z) = z \exp \left\{ \int_0^z -\log(1 - ze^{-it}) dm(t) \right\},$$

for some  $m(t) \in M_2$ .

Pinchuk [1] generalized the class  $\mathcal{S}^*$  by allowing  $m(t)$  to range over the class  $M_k$ . More precisely a function  $f(z)$  is said to be in the class  $U_k$  if  $f(z) = z \exp \left\{ \int_0^z -\log(1 - ze^{-it}) dm(t) \right\}$ ,  $m(t) \in M_k$  i.e,  $m(t)$  is a real valued function of bounded variation on  $[0, 2\pi]$  satisfying the conditions.

$$(2) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} dm(t) = 2, \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |dm(t)| \leq k.$$

Geometrically the condition is that the total variation of the angle which the radius vector  $f(re^{i\theta})$  makes with the positive real axis is bounded above by  $\pi k$  as  $z$  describes the circle  $|z| = r$  for  $|z| < 1$ . Thus  $U_k$  the class of functions with radius rotation bounded by  $\pi k$ . Similarly  $V_k$  denotes the class of functions  $f$  defined on  $\mathcal{U}$  which map conformally onto a image domain of boundary rotation at most  $k\pi$ . Hence  $f(z) \in V_k$ , if and only if

$$f'(z) = \exp \int_0^{2\pi} -\log(1 - ze^{-it}) dm(t), \quad m(t) \in M_k.$$

It is easy to see that  $U_2$  is the class of starlike functions and  $V_2$  is the class of convex functions.

Let  $\mathcal{P}_k$  denote the class of functions which are analytic in  $\mathcal{U}$  and have the representation

$$(3) \quad p(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + ze^{-it}}{1 - ze^{-it}} dm(t),$$

where  $m(t) \in M_k$ . Clearly we have  $p_2 = p$  and  $f \in U_k$  and  $V_k$  if and only if  $\frac{zf'}{f}$  and  $1 + \frac{zf''}{f'}$  belong to  $\mathcal{P}_k$ . For  $p \in \mathcal{P}_k$ , then it has the following properties

- (1)  $p(0) = 1$ ,
- (2)  $\int_0^{2\pi} |\Re\{p(z)\}| d\theta \leq k\pi$ , where  $k \geq 2$  and  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $0 \leq r < 1$ .

Liczberski and Polubinki [4] introduce the notion of  $(m, n)$ -symmetrical functions ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ ) which is generalization of notions of even odd and  $n$  symmetrical functions. They also generalized the known result that each function defined in symmetrical subset can be uniquely represented as the sum of an even function and odd function.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\varepsilon = (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}})$  and  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  where  $n \geq 2$  is a natural number. A function  $f : \mathcal{U} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  is called  $(m, n)$ -symmetrical if

$$f(\varepsilon z) = \varepsilon^m f(z), \quad z \in \mathcal{U}.$$

The family of all  $(m, n)$ -symmetrical functions is denoted by  $\mathcal{S}^{(m, n)}$ .  $\mathcal{S}^{(0, 2)}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}^{(1, 2)}$  and  $\mathcal{S}^{(1, n)}$  are respectively the classes of even, odd and  $n$ -symmetric functions. We have the following decomposition theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** [4] For every mapping  $f : \mathcal{U} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ , there exists exactly the sequence of  $(m, n)$ -symmetrical functions  $f_{m, n}$ ,

$$f(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} f_{m, n}(z),$$

where

$$(4) \quad f_{m, n}(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon^{-vm} f(\varepsilon^v z).$$

$$(f \in \mathcal{A}; n = 1, 2, \dots; m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1).$$

The following identities follow directly from (4)

$$(5) \quad f'_{m, n}(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon^{v-vm} f'(\varepsilon^v z), \quad f''_{m, n}(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon^{2v-vm} f''(\varepsilon^v z),$$

$$(6) \quad f_{m, n}(\varepsilon^v z) = \varepsilon^{vm} f_{m, n}(z), \quad f'_{m, n}(\varepsilon^v z) = \varepsilon^{vm-v} f'_{m, n}(z).$$

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $\mathbf{U}_k(m, n)$  denote the class of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f'(0) = 1$  and,

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f_{m, n}(z)} \in \mathcal{P}_k,$$

where  $f_{m, n}(z)$  is defined by (4).

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $\mathbf{V}_k(m, n)$  denote the class of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f'(0) = 1$  and

$$\frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'_{m, n}(z)} \in \mathcal{P}_k,$$

where  $f_{m, n}(z)$  is defined by (4).

**Remark 1.5.**  $f \in \mathbf{V}_k(m, n)$  if and only if  $zf' \in \mathbf{U}_k(m, n)$ . Spacial cases

(i) For  $k = 1, m = 1$  we get Singh and Tygel in [8].

(ii) For  $m = n = 1$  we get paatero in [2].

(iii) For  $k = 2, m = 1, n = 2$  we get Sakaguchi in [13].

In our paper, we also need the the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.6.** [3] Suppose  $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}_k$ . Then

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{-r(k - 4r + kr^2)}{(1 - r^2)(1 - kr + r^2)}, \text{ wher } |z| = r, k \geq 4$$

and

$$|z| < R_0 = \frac{k - \sqrt{k^2 - 4}}{2}. \text{ For } 2 \leq k \leq 4,$$

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{-2kr + (8 - 4k + k^2)r^2 - 2kr^3}{2(1 - r^2)(1 - kr + r^2)}.$$

The above inequality is sharp for function  $p(z) = \frac{1 - kz + z^2}{1 - z^2}$ .

## 2. Main results

**Theorem 2.1.** A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  belongs to  $\mathbf{U}_k(m, n)$ , then

$$(7) \quad f_{m,n}(z) = z \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \log(1 - ze_m^{-i(t - \frac{2\pi v}{n})}) dm(t) \right\}.$$

where  $f_{m,n}(z)$  is defined by (4) and  $m(t)$  is defined (2).

**Proof.** Suppose that  $f \in \mathbf{U}_k(m, n)$ . It follows that

$$(8) \quad \frac{zf'(z)}{f_{m,n}(z)} = p_m(z),$$

where

$$(9) \quad p_m(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + ze_m^{-it}}{1 - ze_m^{-it}} dm(t).$$

Substituting  $z$  by  $\epsilon_m^v z$  in (8) respectively

$$(10) \quad \frac{z\epsilon_m^v f'(\epsilon_m^v z)}{f_{m,n}(\epsilon_m^v z)} = p_m(\epsilon_m^v z).$$

Then

$$(11) \quad \frac{z\mathcal{E}_m^{v-vm} f'(\mathcal{E}_m^v z)}{f_{m,n}(z)} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + z\mathcal{E}_m^v e_m^{-it}}{1 - z\mathcal{E}_m^v e_m^{-it}} dm(t),$$

or

$$(12) \quad \frac{z\mathcal{E}_m^{v-vm} f'(\mathcal{E}_m^v z)}{f_{m,n}(z)} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + ze_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}}{1 - ze_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}} dm(t).$$

Let ( $v = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ) in (12) and summing them we get

$$(13) \quad \frac{f'_{m,n}(z)}{f_{m,n}(z)} - \frac{1}{z} = \frac{1}{2nz} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + ze_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}}{1 - ze_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}} dm(t) - \frac{1}{z},$$

by integral (13) we have

$$(14) \quad \log\left(\frac{f_{m,n}(z)}{z}\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{2\pi} -\log[1 - ze_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}] dm(t),$$

from (14) we get (7). Hence the proof is complete.

**Theorem 2.2.** A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  belongs to  $\mathbf{U}_k(m, n)$ , then

$$(15) \quad f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^z \left\{ \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \log(1 - ye_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}) dm(t) \right] \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + ye_m^{-it}}{1 - ye_m^{-it}} dm(t) \right\} dy$$

where  $f_{m,n}(z)$  is defined by (4) and  $m(t)$  is defined (2).

**Proof.** Suppose that  $f \in \mathbf{U}_k(m, n)$ . It follows that

$$(16) \quad \frac{zf'(z)}{f_{m,n}(z)} = p_m(z).$$

Then

$$zf'(z) = f_{m,n}(z)p_m(z).$$

By using Theorem 2.1, we get

$$(17) \quad f'(z) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \log(1 - ze_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}) dm(t) \right\} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + ze_m^{-it}}{1 - ze_m^{-it}} dm(t),$$

from (17) we get (15). Hence the proof is complete.

**Corollary 2.3.** For  $m = 1$  and  $n = 1$  in Theorem 2.1 we get Paatero [2].

By using the same method in Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollaries.

**Corollary 2.4.** A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  belongs to  $\mathbf{V}_k(m, n)$ , then

$$(18) \quad f'_{m,n}(z) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \log(1 - ze_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}) dm(t) \right\},$$

where  $f_{m,n}(z)$  is defined by (4) and  $m(t)$  is defined (2).

**Corollary 2.5.** A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  belongs to  $\mathbf{V}_k(m, n)$ . Then

$$(19) \quad f'(z) = \frac{1}{2z} \int_0^z \left\{ \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \log(1 - ye_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}) dm(t) \right] \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + ye_m^{-it}}{1 - ye_m^{-it}} dm(t) \right\} dy,$$

where  $f_{m,n}(z)$  is defined by (4) and  $m(t)$  is defined (2).

**Theorem 2.6.** A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  belongs to  $\mathbf{U}_k(m, n)$ . Then  $f_{m,n}(z)$  in  $\mathbf{U}_k$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $f \in \mathbf{U}_k(m, n)$ . It follows that

$$(20) \quad \frac{zf'(z)}{f_{m,n}(z)} = p_m(z).$$

Substituting  $z$  by  $\epsilon_m^v z$  in (20) respectively

$$(21) \quad \frac{z\epsilon_m^v f'(\epsilon_m^v z)}{f_{m,n}(\epsilon_m^v z)} = p_m(\epsilon_m^v z).$$

Now let  $(v = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1)$  in (21) and summing them we get

$$(22) \quad \frac{zf'_{m,n}(z)}{f_{m,n}(z)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} p_m(\epsilon_m^v z).$$

It is vivid that  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} p_m(\epsilon_m^v z)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}_k$ . Hence the proof is complete.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $f \in \mathbf{U}_k(m, n)$  and let  $F(z) = zf'(z)$ . Then  $F(z)$  is starlike for  $|z| < r_2$ , where  $r_2$  is the least positive root of the equation

$$1 - 3kr + (k^2 + 6)r^2 - 3kr^3 + r^4 = 0,$$

where  $|z| = r$  and  $k \geq 4$ . For  $2 \leq k \leq 4$ , then  $F(z)$  is starlike for  $|z| < r_3$  where  $r_3$  is the least positive root of the equation

$$2 - 6kr + (12 - 4k + 3k^2)r^2 - 4kr^3 + 2r^4 = 0.$$

However the bound  $r_3$  is not sharp when  $2 \leq k < 4$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f \in \mathbf{U}_k(m, n)$ . Then

$$F(z) = z \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \log(1 - ze_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}) dm(t) \right\} \cdot p_m(z).$$

It follows that

$$(23) \quad \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} = 1 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{ze_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}}{1 - ze_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}} dm(t) + \frac{zp'_m(z)}{p_m(z)},$$

or

$$(24) \quad \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} p_m(\epsilon_m^v z) + \frac{zp'_m(z)}{p_m(z)}.$$

Hence

$$(25) \quad \Re \left\{ \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \right\} = \Re \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} p_m(\epsilon_m^v z) \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{zp'_m(z)}{p_m(z)} \right\}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zp'_m(z)}{p_m(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{-r(k - 4r + kr^2)}{(1 - r^2)(1 - kr + r^2)}, \text{ where } |z| = r, k \geq 4,$$

and

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} p_m(\epsilon_m^v z) \right\} \geq \frac{1 - kr + r^2}{(1 - r^2)}, \text{ where } |z| = r, k \geq 4.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \left\{ \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \right\} &\geq \frac{1 - kr + r^2}{(1 - r^2)} + \frac{-r(k - 4r + kr^2)}{(1 - r^2)(1 - kr + r^2)} \\ &\geq \frac{(1 - kr + r^2)^2 - r(k - 4r + kr^2)}{(1 - r^2)(1 - kr + r^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $|z| = r < R_0 = \frac{k - \sqrt{k^2 - 4}}{2}$ . Hence  $\Re \left\{ \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \right\} \geq 0$  provided  $Q(r) = 1 - 3kr + (k^2 + 6)r^2 - 3kr^3 + r^4 > 0$ . The equation  $Q(r) = 0$  has a unique positive root in  $(0, R_0)$ . For  $2 \leq k \leq 4$ , by using (25), we have

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{1 - kr + r^2}{(1 - r^2)} + \frac{-2kr + (8 - 4k + k^2)r^2 - 2kr^3}{2(1 - r^2)(1 - kr + r^2)},$$

where  $|z| = r < R_0 = \frac{k - \sqrt{k^2 - 4}}{2}$ . Hence  $\Re \left\{ \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \right\} > 0$  provided

$$D(r) = 2 - 6kr + (12 - 4k + 3k^2)r^2 - 4kr^3 + 2r^4 > 0.$$

Also  $D(r) = 0$  has a root in  $(0, R_0)$ .

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $f \in \mathbf{U}_k(m, n)$ . Then  $f$  is convex for  $|z| < r_2$ , where  $r_2$  is the least positive root of the equation*

$$1 - 3kr + (k^2 + 6)r^2 - 3kr^3 + r^4 = 0,$$

where  $|z| = r$  and  $k \geq 4$ . For  $2 \leq k \leq 4$ , then  $f(z)$  is convex for  $|z| < r_3$ , where  $r_3$  is the least positive root of the equation

$$2 - 6kr + (12 - 4k + 3k^2)r^2 - 4kr^3 + 2r^4 = 0.$$

However the bound  $r_3$  is not sharp when  $2 \leq k < 4$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $f \in \mathbf{U}_k(m, n)$  and let  $F(z) = \int_0^z \frac{\{-f_{m,n}(t)f_{m,n}(-t)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{t} dt$ . Then  $F(z)$  is in  $V_k$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $f \in \mathbf{U}_k(m, n)$ , we have

$$F'(z) = \frac{\{-f_{m,n}(z)f_{m,n}(-z)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{z},$$

and

$$f_{m,n}(z) = z \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \log(1 - ze_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}) dm(t) \right\}.$$

Then

$$\frac{(zF'(z))'}{F'(z)} = 1 + \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{ze_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}}{1 - ze_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}} dm(t) - \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{ze_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}}{1 + ze_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}} dm(t)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(zF'(z))'}{F'(z)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + ze_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}}{1 - ze_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}} dm(t) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - ze_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}}{1 + ze_m^{-i(t-\frac{2\pi v}{n})}} dm(t) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} p_m(\epsilon_m^v z) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} p_m(-\epsilon_m^v z) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p_m(z) \in \mathcal{P}_k$  so  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} p_m(\epsilon_m^v z)$  also in  $\mathcal{P}_k$ , by setting  $q(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} p_m(\epsilon_m^v z)$ ,

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(zF'(z))'}{F'(z)} &= \frac{1}{2} \{q(z) + q(-z)\} \text{ where } q(z) \in \mathcal{P}_k, \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left( \frac{k+2}{4} \right) q_1(z) - \left( \frac{k-2}{4} \right) q_2(z) \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left( \frac{k+2}{4} \right) q_1(-z) - \left( \frac{k-2}{4} \right) q_2(-z) \right\} \\ \frac{(zF'(z))'}{F'(z)} &= \left( \frac{k+2}{4} \right) \left\{ \frac{q_1(z) + q_1(-z)}{2} \right\} - \left( \frac{k-2}{4} \right) \left\{ \frac{q_2(z) + q_2(-z)}{2} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$



where  $q_i(z) \in \mathcal{P}_2$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , also  $\frac{q_i(z)+q_i(-z)}{2} \in \mathcal{P}_2$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Hence

$$\frac{(zF'(z))'}{F'(z)} = \left(\frac{k+2}{4}\right)w_1(z) - \left(\frac{k-2}{4}\right)w_2(z),$$

where  $w_i(z) \in \mathcal{P}_2$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Hence

$$\frac{(zF'(z))'}{F'(z)} \in \mathcal{P}_k,$$

which means  $F(z) \in V_k$ .

### Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Pinchuk, Functions of bounded boundary rotation, *Israel J. Math.* 10 (1971), 6-16.
- [2] V. Paatero, Uber Gebiete von beschränkter Randdrehung, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A.* 37 (1933), 9.
- [3] K. S. Padmanabhan and R. Parvatham, On functions with bounded boundary rotation, *Indian J. pure appl. Math.* 6 (1975), 1236-1247.
- [4] P. Liczberski, J. Polubinski, On  $(j, k)$ -symmetrical functions, *Mathematica Bohemica* 120 (1995), 13-25.
- [5] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Springer-Verlag (1983).
- [6] K. I. Noor, On quasi-convex functions and related topics, *Int. j. Math. Math. Sci.* 10 (1987), 241-258.
- [7] R. M. Goel and B. S. Mehrotra, Some invariance properties of a subclass of close-to-convex functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 12 (1981), 1240-1249.
- [8] R. Singh and M. Tygel, On some univalent functions in the unit disc, *Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math.* 12 (1981), 513-520.
- [9] Z. G. Wang, C. Y. Gao and S. M. Yuan, On certain subclasses of close-to-convex and quasi-convex functions with respect to  $tk$ -symmetric points, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 322 (2006), 97-106.
- [10] S. Owa, M. Nunokawa, H. Saitoh and H. M. Srivastava, Close-to-convexity, starlikeness, and convexity of certain analytic functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 15 (2002), 63-69.
- [11] V. Ravichandran, Starlike and convex functions with respect to conjugate points, *Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyhazi.* 20 (2004), 31-37.
- [12] T. N. Shanmugam, On  $\alpha$ -quasi-convex functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 20 (1989), 915-922.
- [13] K. Sakaguchi On certain univalent mapping, *J. Math. Soc. Japan.* 11 (1959), 72-75.