



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 4 (2014), No. 4, 649-656

ISSN: 1927-5307

## SYMMETRIC SKEW 4-DERIVATIONS ON PRIME RINGS

FAIZA SHUJAT<sup>1,\*</sup>, ABU ZAID ANSARI<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Engg. and Tech.

Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Islamic University in Madinah, Madinah, KSA

Copyright © 2014 Shujat and Ansari. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract.** For a ring  $R$  with an automorphism  $\alpha$  a 4-additive mapping  $D : R^4 \rightarrow R$  is called a skew 4-derivation w.r.t.  $\alpha$  if it is a  $\alpha$ -derivation of  $R$  for each argument. Namely it is always an  $\alpha$ -derivation of  $R$  for the argument being left once (3) arguments are fixed by (3) elements in  $R$ . In the present note, begin with a result of Jung and Park [5], we prove that if a skew 4-derivation  $D$  associated with an automorphism  $\alpha$  with trace  $f$  of a noncommutative prime ring  $R$  under suitable torsion condition satisfying  $[f(x), \alpha(x)] = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ , a nonzero ideal of  $R$ , then  $D = 0$ .

**Keywords:** prime (semiprime) ring; skew derivation; commuting mappings.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 16W20, 16W25, 16N60.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout the paper  $R$  will denote a ring with centre  $Z(R)$ . A ring  $R$  is said to be prime ( resp. semiprime) if  $aRb = (0)$  implies that either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$  ( resp.  $aRa = (0)$  implies that  $a = 0$ ). We shall write  $[x, y]$  the commutator  $xy - yx$ . We make extensive use of basic commutator identities  $[xy, z] = [x, z]y + x[y, z]$  and  $[x, yz] = [x, y]z + y[x, z]$ . An additive mapping  $d : R \rightarrow R$  is called a derivation if  $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in R$ . A derivation  $d$  is inner if there exists

---

\*Corresponding author

Received March 18, 2014

an element  $a \in R$  such that  $d(x) = [a, x]$  for all  $x \in R$ . A mapping  $D(.,.) : R \times R \longrightarrow R$  is said to be symmetric if  $D(x, y) = D(y, x)$ , for all  $x, y \in R$ . A mapping  $f : R \longrightarrow R$  defined by  $f(x) = D(x, x)$ , where  $D(.,.) : R \times R \longrightarrow R$  is a symmetric mapping, is called the trace of  $D$ . It is obvious that in the case  $D(.,.) : R \times R \longrightarrow R$  is a symmetric mapping which is also biadditive (i.e. additive in both arguments), the trace  $f$  of  $D$  satisfies the relation  $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) + 2D(x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in R$ . A biadditive mapping  $D : R \times R \longrightarrow R$  is said to be a biderivation if for every  $x \in R$ , the map  $y \mapsto D(x, y)$  as well as if for every  $y \in R$ , the map  $x \mapsto D(x, y)$  are derivations of  $R$ . G. Maksa [6] introduced the concept of a symmetric biderivation (see also [7], where an example can be found). It was shown in [6] that symmetric biderivations are related to general solution of some functional equations. Some results on symmetric biderivation in prime and semiprime rings can be found in [1, 2, 8, 9]. The notion of additive commuting mappings is closely connected with the notion of biderivations. Namely linearizing  $[x, f(x)] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto [f(x), y]$  is a biderivation (moreover, all derivations appearing are inner). There has been ongoing interest concerning the relationship between the commutativity of a ring and the existence of certain specific types of derivations.

An additive mapping  $d : R \longrightarrow R$  is called a skew derivation ( $\alpha$ -derivation) of  $R$  associated with an automorphism  $\alpha$  if  $d(xy) = d(x)y + \alpha(x)d(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in R$ . Skew derivations are one of the natural generalization of usual derivations, when  $\alpha = I$ , the identity map on  $R$ . A mapping  $D : R^4 \longrightarrow R$  is said to be 4-additive if its additive in each argument and it is called symmetric if  $D(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = D(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, x_{\pi(3)}, x_{\pi(4)})$  for all  $x_1, \dots, x_4 \in R$  and every permutation  $\pi \in S_4$ . A 4-additive map  $D : R^4 \longrightarrow R$  is called a skew 4-derivation associated with an automorphism  $\alpha$  if for every  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in R$ , the map  $x \mapsto D(x_1, x_2, x_3, x)$  is a skew derivation of  $R$  associated with an automorphism  $\alpha$ .

**Example** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring,  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of  $R$ . Suppose  $d : R \longrightarrow R$  is a skew derivation of  $R$  with an automorphism  $\alpha$ . Then a map  $\delta : R^4 \longrightarrow R$  defined as  $\delta(w, x, y, z) = d(w)d(x)d(y)d(z)$  for all  $w, x, y, z \in R$  is a symmetric skew 4-derivation on  $R$  associated with automorphism  $\alpha$ .

A trivial generalization of skew  $n$ -derivation for  $n \geq 1$  is defined as follows: A mapping  $D : R^n \longrightarrow R$  is said to be  $n$  additive if it is additive in each argument and it is called symmetric if  $D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = D(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$  for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in R$  and every permutation  $\pi \in S_n$ . An  $n$ -additive map  $D : R^n \longrightarrow R$  is called a skew  $n$ -derivation associated with automorphism

$\alpha$  if for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in R$ , the map  $x \mapsto D(x_1, x_{k-1}, x, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$  is a skew derivation of  $R$  associated with automorphism  $\alpha$ . This definition covers both the notion of skew derivations as well as the notion of skew biderivation. Namely, a skew 1-derivation is a skew derivation and skew 2-derivation is a skew biderivation.

In 1957, Posner [10] proved a very striking theorem, which states that the existence of a nonzero centralizing derivation on a prime ring forces the ring to be commutative. This theorem has been extremely influential and it initiated the study of centralizing mappings. Further Vukman [9] extend above result for biderivations. Recently Jung and Park [5] considered permuting 3-derivations on prime and semiprime rings and obtained the following: Let  $R$  be a noncommutative 3-torsion free semiprime ring and let  $I$  be a nonzero two sided ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that there exists a permuting 3-derivation  $D : R^3 \rightarrow R$  such that  $f$  is centralizing on  $I$ . Then  $f$  is commuting on  $I$ . Very recently above mentioned results extend by Fosner, A. in [3]. Motivated by all these observations, we prove the following theorems. Moreover, at the end we present some corollaries and open problems.

## 2. Main Results

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $R$  be a 2, 3-torsion free noncommutative prime ring and  $I$  be a nonzero ideal of  $R$ . Suppose  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of  $R$  and  $D : R^4 \rightarrow R$  is a symmetric skew 4-derivation associated with  $\alpha$ . If  $f$  is a trace of  $D$  such that  $[f(x), \alpha(x)] = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ , then  $D = 0$ .*

**Proof.** Let

$$(1) \quad [f(x), \alpha(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in I.$$

Linearization of (1) yields that

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} & [f(x), \alpha(x)] + 4[D(x, x, x, y), \alpha(x)] + 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(x)] + 4[D(x, y, y, y), \alpha(x)] \\ & + [f(y), \alpha(x)] + [f(x), \alpha(y)] + 4[D(x, x, x, y), \alpha(y)] + 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(y)] \\ & + 4[D(x, y, y, y), \alpha(y)] + [f(y), \alpha(y)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (1), (2) yields that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} & 4[D(x, x, x, y), \alpha(x)] + 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(x)] + 4[D(x, y, y, y), \alpha(x)] \\ & + [f(y), \alpha(x)] + [f(x), \alpha(y)] + 4[D(x, x, x, y), \alpha(y)] \\ & + 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(y)] + 4[D(x, y, y, y), \alpha(y)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $-y$  in (3) we find

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & -4[D(x, x, x, y), \alpha(x)] + 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(x)] - 4[D(x, y, y, y), \alpha(x)] \\ & + [f(y), \alpha(x)] - [f(x), \alpha(y)] + 4[D(x, x, x, y), \alpha(y)] \\ & - 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(y)] + 4[D(x, y, y, y), \alpha(y)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (3) and (4) and using 2-torsion freeness of  $R$  we get

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & 4[D(x, x, x, y), \alpha(x)] + 4[D(x, y, y, y), \alpha(x)] \\ & + [f(x), \alpha(y)] + 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(y)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Substitute  $y + z$  for  $y$  in (5) and use (5) to get

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} & 12[D(x, y, z, z), \alpha(x)] + 12[D(x, z, y, y), \alpha(x)] + [D(x, x, y, z), \alpha(y)] + 6[D(x, x, z, z), \alpha(y)] \\ & + 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(z)] + 12[D(x, x, y, z), \alpha(z)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $z$  by  $-z$  in (6) and compare with (6) we obtain

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & 12[D(x, z, y, y), \alpha(x)] + 12[D(x, x, y, z), \alpha(y)] \\ & + 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(z)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Substitute  $y + u$  for  $y$  in (7) and use (7) we get

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} & 24[D(x, z, y, u), \alpha(x)] + 12[D(x, x, y, z), \alpha(u)] + 12[D(x, x, u, z), \alpha(y)] \\ & + 12[D(x, x, y, u), \alpha(z)] = 0 \text{ for all } u, x, y, z \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $R$  is 2 and 3 -torsion free and replacing  $y, u$  by  $x$  in (8), we have

$$(9) \quad 4[D(x, x, x, z), \alpha(x)] + [f(x), \alpha(z)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, z \in I.$$

Again replace  $z$  by  $zy$  in (9) and using (9) we obtain

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} & 4[D(x, x, x, z), \alpha(x)]y + 4D(x, x, x, z)[y, \alpha(x)] \\ & + 4[\alpha(z), \alpha(x)]D(x, x, x, y) + [f(x), \alpha(z)]\alpha(y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Substitute  $x$  for  $z$  in (10) and in view of (1) we find

$$(11) \quad 4f(x)[y, \alpha(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

Using 2-torsion freeness of  $R$  we obtain

$$(12) \quad f(x)[y, \alpha(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

Substitute  $yz$  for  $y$  to get

$$(13) \quad f(x)y[z, \alpha(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I.$$

Primeness of  $R$  yields that either  $f(x) = 0$  or  $[z, \alpha(x)] = 0$  for all  $x \in I \setminus Z(R)$ ,  $z \in I$ .

Next we will show that  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ . Let  $z \in I \cap Z(R)$  and  $x \in I \setminus Z(R)$ . Then

$$x + z, x - z \in I \setminus Z(R)$$

and we have

$$(14) \quad 0 = f(x + z) = f(z) + 4D(x, x, x, z) + 4D(x, z, z, z) + 6D(x, x, z, z)$$

and

$$(15) \quad 0 = f(x - z) = f(z) - 4D(x, x, x, z) - 4D(x, z, z, z) + 6D(x, x, z, z)$$

Comparing the last two relation and using torsion condition, we get

$$(16) \quad f(z) + 6D(x, x, z, z) = 0.$$

On suitable linearization and using (16) we arrive at  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ . Hence we have  $D(x, y, z, w) = 0$  for all  $x, y, z, w \in I$ . Substitute  $rx$  for  $x$  for all  $x \in I$ ,  $r \in R$  to get

$$(17) \quad 0 = D(rx, y, z, w) = D(r, y, z, w)x + \alpha(r)D(x, y, z, w) = D(r, y, z, w)x.$$

This implies that  $D(r, y, z, w)I = 0$  for all  $y, z, w \in I$ ,  $r \in R$ . Since  $R$  is prime we obtain  $D(r, y, z, w) = 0$  for all  $y, z, w \in I$ ,  $r \in R$ . Repeating this process untill we get  $D(r, s, t, p) = 0$  for all  $r, s, t, p \in R$ . Hence  $D = 0$ .

In [8], author proved that: let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring. Suppose that there exists a symmetric biderivation  $D : R^2 \rightarrow R$  such that  $D(f(x), x) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , where  $f$  denotes the trace of  $D$ . Then we have  $D = 0$ . We consider the case when the ring is semiprime and replace symmetric biderivation with symmetric skew 3-derivation. In this sense we obtain the following:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a 2, 3-torsion free semiprime ring and  $D : R^3 \rightarrow R$  be a symmetric skew 3-derivation of  $R$  with trace  $f$  such that  $D(f(x), x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Then  $D = 0$ .*

**Proof.** Let

$$(18) \quad D(f(x), x, x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in R.$$

Linearization yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (19) \quad & D(f(x), x, x) + 3D(D(x, x, y), x, x) + 3D(D(y, y, x), x, x) \\
 & + D(f(y), x, x) + 2D(f(x), x, y) + 6D(D(x, x, y), x, y) \\
 & + 6D(D(y, y, x), x, y) + 2D(f(y), x, y) + D(f(x), y, y) \\
 & + 3D(D(x, x, y), y, y) + 3D(D(y, y, x), y, y) + D(f(y), y, y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R.
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (18) and (19) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (20) \quad & 3D(D(x, x, y), x, x) + 3D(D(y, y, x), x, x) + D(f(y), x, x) + 2D(f(x), x, y) \\
 & + 6D(D(x, x, y), x, y) + 6D(D(y, y, x), x, y) + 2D(f(y), x, y) + D(f(x), y, y) \\
 & + 3D(D(x, x, y), y, y) + 3D(D(y, y, x), y, y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R.
 \end{aligned}$$

Replace  $y$  by  $-y$  in (20) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (21) \quad & -3D(D(x, x, y), x, x) + 3D(D(y, y, x), x, x) + D(f(y), x, x) - 2D(f(x), x, y) \\
 & + 6D(D(x, x, y), x, y) - 6D(D(y, y, x), x, y) - 2D(f(y), x, y) + D(f(x), y, y) \\
 & - 3D(D(x, x, y), y, y) + 3D(D(y, y, x), y, y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R.
 \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting (21) and (20) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (22) \quad & 6D(D(x, x, y), x, x) + 2D(f(y), x, x) + 4D(f(x), x, y) \\
 & + 12D(D(y, y, x), x, y) + 6D(D(x, x, y), y, y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R.
 \end{aligned}$$

Substitute  $y + z$  for  $y$  in (22) and use (22) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 (23) \quad & 6D(D(z, z, y), x, x) + 6D(D(y, y, z), x, x) + 12D(D(y, y, x), x, z) \\
 & + 12D(D(z, z, x), x, y) + 24D(D(y, z, x), x, y) + 24D(D(y, z, x), x, z) \\
 & + 6D(D(x, x, z), y, y) + 12D(D(x, x, y), y, z) \\
 & + 12D(D(x, x, z), y, z) + 6D(D(x, x, y), z, z) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R.
 \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $-y$  in (23) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (24) \quad & -6D(D(z, z, y), x, x) + 6D(D(y, y, z), x, x) + 12D(D(y, y, x), x, z) \\
 & - 12D(D(z, z, x), x, y) + 24D(D(y, z, x), x, y) - 24D(D(y, z, x), x, z) \\
 & + 6D(D(x, x, z), y, y) + 12D(D(x, x, y), y, z) \\
 & - 12D(D(x, x, z), y, z) - 6D(D(x, x, y), z, z) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R.
 \end{aligned}$$

Adding (23) and (24) and using 2-torsion freeness of  $R$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (25) \quad & 6D(D(y, y, z), x, x) + 12D(D(y, y, x), x, z) + 24D(D(y, z, x), x, y) \\
 & + 6D(D(x, x, z), y, y) + 12D(D(x, x, y), y, z) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R.
 \end{aligned}$$

Again replacing  $z$  by  $zw$  in (25) and using (25) we obtain

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} &6D(y, y, z)D(w, x, x) + 6D(\alpha(z), x, x)D(y, y, w) \\ &+ 24D(y, z, x)D(w, x, y) + 24D(\alpha(z), x, y)D(w, x, y) \\ &+ 6D(x, z, x)D(w, y, y) + 6D(\alpha(z), y, y)D(x, x, w) = 0 \text{ for all } w, x, y, z \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Substitute  $x$  for  $y$  and use symmetry of  $D$  and apply torsion condition to get

$$(27) \quad D(x, z, x)D(w, x, x) + D(\alpha(z), x, x)D(x, x, w) = 0 \text{ for all } w, x, z \in R.$$

Since  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of  $R$  and using torsion freeness of  $R$ , we have  $D(x, z, x)D(w, x, x) = 0$  for all  $w, x, z \in R$ . Using the symmetry of  $D$  we get

$$(28) \quad D(x, x, z)D(w, x, x) = 0 \text{ for all } w, x, z \in R.$$

Replacing  $z$  by  $zu$  in (28) and using (28) we have

$$(29) \quad D(x, x, z)uD(w, x, x) = 0 \text{ for all } u, w, x, z \in R.$$

Semiprimeness of  $R$  yields that  $D(w, x, x) = 0$  for all  $w, x \in R$ . A suitable linearization implies that  $D(w, x, y) = 0$  for all  $w, x, y \in R$ . Hence  $D = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a 2, 3-torsion free semiprime ring and  $I$  a nonzero ideal of  $R$ . If  $D$  is a symmetric skew 3-derivation of  $R$  with trace  $f$  such that  $D(I, I, I) \subseteq I$  and  $D(f(x), x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ . Then  $D = 0$ .*

To prove above theorem we require the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.1** [4] *If  $R$  is a semiprime ring and  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ , then  $I \cap \text{ann}(I) = (0)$ , where  $\text{ann}(I)$  denotes the annihilator of  $I$ .*

**Proof of theorem 2.3** Application of Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 yields the required result.

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a 2, 3-torsion free prime ring and  $I$  be a nonzero ideal of  $R$ . If  $D : R^3 \rightarrow R$  is a symmetric skew 3-derivation of  $R$  with trace  $f$  such that  $D(f(x), x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ . Then  $D = 0$ .*

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a 2, 3-torsion free prime ring and  $I$  be a nonzero ideal of  $R$ . If  $D : R^3 \rightarrow R$  is a symmetric 3-derivation of  $R$  with trace  $f$  such that  $D(f(x), x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ . Then  $D = 0$ .*

**Conjecture 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a noncommutative prime ring under suitable torsion restriction and  $I$  be a nonzero ideal of  $R$ . Suppose  $\alpha$  is automorphism of  $R$  and  $D : R^n \rightarrow R$  is a symmetric skew  $n$ -derivation associated with  $\alpha$ . If  $f$  is the trace of  $D$  such that  $[f(x), \alpha(x)] = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ , then  $D = 0$ .*

**Conjecture 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring with suitable torsion restriction and  $D : R^n \rightarrow R$  be a symmetric skew  $n$ -derivation of  $R$  with trace  $f$  such that  $D(\underbrace{f(x), x, x, \dots, x}_{(n-1)\text{-times}}) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ .*

*Then  $D = 0$ .*

### Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Brešar, On generalized biderivations and related maps, *J. Algebra* 172 (1995), 764-686.
- [2] M. Brešar, W.S. Martindale, C.R. Miers, Centralizing maps in prime rings with involution, *J. Algebra* 161 (1993), 342-357.
- [3] A. Fosner, Prime and semiprime rings with symmetric skew 3-derivations, *Aequat. Math.* DOI 10.1007/s00010-013-0208-8.
- [4] I.N. Herstein, *Rings with involution*, Chicago lectures in mathematics, University of Chicago press, Chicago III USA (1976).
- [5] Y.S. Jung, K.H. Park, On prime and semiprime rings with permuting 3-derivations, *J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.* 44 (2007), 789-794.
- [6] G. Maksa, A remark on symmetric biadditive functions having non-negative diagonalization, *Glasnik. Math.* 15 (1980), 279-282.
- [7] G. Maksa, On the trace of symmetric biderivations, *C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada* 9 (1987), 303-307.
- [8] J. Vukman, Symmetric biderivations on prime and semiprime rings, *Aequationes Math.* 38 (1989), 245-254.
- [9] J. Vukman, Two results concerning symmetric biderivations on prime rings, *Aequationes Math.* 40 (1990), 181-189.
- [10] E.C. Posner, Derivations in prime rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 8 (1957), 1093-1100.