



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 4 (2014), No. 3, 558-573

ISSN: 1927-5307

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ALEXANDROV (FUZZY) TOPOLOGIES AND UPPER APPROXIMATION OPERATORS

YONG CHAN KIM

Department of Mathematics, Gangneung-Wonju National University,
Gangneung, Gangwondo 210-702, Korea

Copyright © 2014 Yong Chan Kim. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate the properties of Alexandrov (fuzzy) topologies, fuzzy preorders and upper approximation operators in complete residuated lattices. Moreover, we investigate the relations among Alexandrov (fuzzy) topologies, fuzzy preorders and upper approximation operators. We give their examples.

Keywords: complete residuated lattices; fuzzy preorder; upper approximation operators; Alexander (fuzzy) topologies

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 03E72; 03G10; 06A15.

1. Introduction

Hájek [2] introduced a complete residuated lattice which is an algebraic structure for many valued logic. Pawlak [11,12] introduced the rough set theory as a formal tool to deal with imprecision and uncertainty in the data analysis. By using the concepts of lower and upper approximation operators, information systems and decision rules are investigated in complete

Received April 12, 2014

residuated lattices [1,2,9,10,13,14]. Kim [6,7] investigated the properties of Alexandrov (fuzzy) topologies, fuzzy preorders and join-preserving maps in complete residuated lattices.

In this paper, we investigate the properties of Alexandrov (fuzzy) topologies, fuzzy preorders and upper approximation operators in complete residuated lattices. Moreover, we investigate the relations among Alexandrov (fuzzy) topologies, fuzzy preorders and upper approximation operators. We give their examples.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [1-3] A structure $(L, \vee, \wedge, \odot, \rightarrow, \perp, \top)$ is called a *complete residuated lattice* iff it satisfies the following properties:

(L1) $(L, \vee, \wedge, \perp, \top)$ is a complete lattice where \perp is the bottom element and \top is the top element;

(L2) (L, \odot, \top) is a monoid;

(L3) It has an adjointness, *i.e.*,

$$x \leq y \rightarrow z \text{ iff } x \odot y \leq z.$$

An operator $*$: $L \rightarrow L$ defined by $a^* = a \rightarrow \perp$ is called *strong negations* if $a^{**} = a$.

$$\top_x(y) = \begin{cases} \top, & \text{if } y = x, \\ \perp, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \top_x^*(y) = \begin{cases} \perp, & \text{if } y = x, \\ \top, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we assume that $(L, \vee, \wedge, \odot, \rightarrow, *, \perp, \top)$ be a complete residuated lattice with a strong negation $*$.

Definition 2.2. [6,7] Let X be a set. A function $R_X : X \times X \rightarrow L$ is called a *fuzzy preorder* if it satisfies the following conditions

(E1) reflexive if $R_X(x, x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$,

(E2) transitive if $R_X(x, y) \odot R_X(y, z) \leq R_X(x, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$ '

Lemma 2.3. [1,2] Let $(L, \vee, \wedge, \odot, \rightarrow, *, \perp, \top)$ be a complete residuated lattice with a strong negation $*$. For each $x, y, z, x_i, y_i \in L$, the following properties hold.

- (1) If $y \leq z$, then $x \odot y \leq x \odot z$.
- (2) If $y \leq z$, then $x \rightarrow y \leq x \rightarrow z$ and $z \rightarrow x \leq y \rightarrow x$.
- (3) $x \rightarrow y = \top$ iff $x \leq y$.
- (4) $x \rightarrow \top = \top$ and $\top \rightarrow x = x$.
- (5) $x \odot y \leq x \wedge y$.
- (6) $x \odot (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} (x \odot y_i)$ and $(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \odot y = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \odot y)$.
- (7) $x \rightarrow (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x \rightarrow y_i)$ and $(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \rightarrow y = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \rightarrow y)$.
- (8) $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i \rightarrow \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \rightarrow y_i)$ and $\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i \rightarrow \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \rightarrow y_i)$.
- (9) $(x \rightarrow y) \odot x \leq y$ and $(y \rightarrow z) \odot (x \rightarrow y) \leq (x \rightarrow z)$.
- (10) $x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$ and $x \rightarrow y \leq (z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y)$.
- (11) $\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i^* = (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i)^*$ and $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i^* = (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i)^*$.
- (12) $(x \odot y) \rightarrow z = x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$ and $(x \odot y)^* = x \rightarrow y^*$.
- (13) $x^* \rightarrow y^* = y \rightarrow x$ and $(x \rightarrow y)^* = x \odot y^*$.
- (14) $y \rightarrow z \leq x \odot y \rightarrow x \odot z$.

Definition 2.4. [5] A map $\mathcal{H} : L^X \rightarrow L^Y$ is called an *upper approximation operator* if it satisfies the following conditions, for all $A, A_i \in L^X$, and $\alpha \in L$,

- (H1) $\mathcal{H}(\alpha \odot A) = \alpha \odot \mathcal{H}(A)$,
- (H2) $\mathcal{H}(\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}(A_i)$,
- (H3) $A \leq \mathcal{H}(A)$,
- (H4) $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}(A)) \leq \mathcal{H}(A)$.

Example 2.5. Let $R \in L^{X \times X}$ be a fuzzy preorder. Define $\mathcal{H}_R : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ as follows

$$\mathcal{H}_R(A)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot R(x, y)).$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_R(\alpha \odot A) = \alpha \odot \mathcal{H}_R(A)$ and $\mathcal{H}_R(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{H}_R(A_i)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_R(A)(y) &\geq A(y) \odot R(y, y) = A(y), \\ \mathcal{H}_R(\mathcal{H}_R(A))(z) &= \bigvee_{y \in X} (\mathcal{H}_R(A)(y) \odot R(y, z)) \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in X} ((\bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot R(x, y))) \odot R(y, z)) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot R(x, z)) = \mathcal{H}_R(A)(z). \end{aligned}$$

then \mathcal{H}_R is an upper approximation operator.

Definition 2.6. [5] A subset $\tau \subset L^X$ is called an *Alexandrov topology* if it satisfies the following conditions.

- (O1) $\alpha_X \in \tau$ where $\alpha_X(x) = \alpha$ for each $x \in X$ and $\alpha \in L$.
- (O2) If $A_i \in \tau$ for $i \in \Gamma$, $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i, \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i \in \tau$.
- (O3) $\alpha \odot A \in \tau$ for all $\alpha \in L$ and $A \in \tau$.
- (O4) $\alpha \rightarrow A \in \tau$ for all $\alpha \in L$ and $A \in \tau$.

Definition 2.7. [4,6] An operator $\mathbf{T} : L^X \rightarrow L$ is called an *Alexandrov fuzzy topology* on X iff it satisfies the following conditions, for all $A, A_i \in L^X$, and $\alpha \in L$,

- (T1) $\mathbf{T}(\alpha_X) = \top$,
- (T2) $\mathbf{T}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathbf{T}(A_i)$ and $\mathbf{T}(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathbf{T}(A_i)$,
- (T3) $\mathbf{T}(\alpha \odot A) \geq \mathbf{T}(A)$,
- (T4) $\mathbf{T}(\alpha \rightarrow A) \geq \mathbf{T}(A)$.

Theorem 2.8. [6] Let \mathcal{H} be an upper approximation operator. Define $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}} : L^X \rightarrow L$ as

$$\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(A) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\mathcal{H}(A)(x) \rightarrow A(x)).$$

Then we have the following properties.

- (1) $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is an Alexander fuzzy topology on X .
- (2) $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(A) = \bigwedge_{x, y \in X} (\mathcal{H}(\top_x)(y) \rightarrow (A(x) \rightarrow A(y)))$ such that $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(A) \geq \bigwedge_{x \neq y \in X} \mathcal{H}^*(\top_x)(y)$.
- (3) $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{H}(\top_x)) = \top$.
- (4) If \mathcal{H}^{-1} is an upper approximation operator such that $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\top_x)(y) = \mathcal{H}(\top_y)(x)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Define $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(A) = \mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(A^*)$. Then $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}}^* = \mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}$ is an Alexander fuzzy topology.
- (5) $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{H}^{-1*}(\top_x)) = \mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}(\mathcal{H}^*(\top_x)) = \top$.

3. Relationships between Alexandrov (fuzzy) topologies and upper approximation operators

Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}^{-1} : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ be upper approximation operators such that $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\top_x)(y) = \mathcal{H}(\top_y)(x)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then the following properties hold.

(1) $\tau_{\mathcal{H}} = \{A \in L^X \mid \mathcal{H}(A) = A\}$ is an Alexandrov topology on X such that $\tau_{\mathcal{H}} = \{\mathcal{H}(A) \mid A \in L^X\}$.

(2) For each $A \in L^X$, $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(A) = A$ iff $\mathcal{H}(A^*) = A^*$. Moreover, $\tau_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}} = \tau_{\mathcal{H}^*} = \{A^* \in L^X \mid \mathcal{H}(A) = A\}$.

(3) Define $R_{\mathcal{H}} : X \times X \rightarrow L$ as $R_{\mathcal{H}}(x, y) = \mathcal{H}(\top_x)(y)$. Then $R_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a fuzzy preorder such $\mathcal{H}_{R_{\mathcal{H}}} = \mathcal{H}$ and $R_{\tau_{\mathcal{H}}} = R_{\mathcal{H}}$.

(4) $R_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}(x, y) = R_{\mathcal{H}}(y, x) = \mathcal{H}(\top_y)(x)$, $\mathcal{H}_{R_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}} = \mathcal{H}^{-1}$ and $R_{\tau_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}} = R_{\tau_{\mathcal{H}^*}} = R_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}$.

Proof. (1) (O1) Since $\alpha_X \leq \mathcal{H}(\alpha_X)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\alpha_X) = \mathcal{H}(\alpha \odot \top) = \alpha \odot \top = \alpha_X$, then $\alpha_X \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}}$.

(O2) For $A_i \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}}$ for each $i \in \Gamma$, by (H3), $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}}$. Since $\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i \leq \mathcal{H}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{H}(A_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i$, Thus, $\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}}$.

(O3) For $A \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}}$, by (H2), $\alpha \odot A \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}}$.

(O4) For $A \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}}$, since $\alpha \odot \mathcal{H}(\alpha \rightarrow A) = \mathcal{H}(\alpha \odot (\alpha \rightarrow A)) \leq \mathcal{H}(A)$, $\mathcal{H}(\alpha \rightarrow A) \leq \alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(A) = \alpha \rightarrow A$. Then $\alpha \rightarrow A \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}}$. Hence $\tau_{\mathcal{H}}$ is an Alexandrov topology on X . Let $A \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}}$. Then $A = \mathcal{H}(A) \in \{\mathcal{H}(A) \mid A \in L^X\}$. Let $\mathcal{H}(A) \in \{\mathcal{H}(A) \mid A \in L^X\}$. Since $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}(A)) = \mathcal{H}(A)$, $\mathcal{H}(A) \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}}$.

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{H}(A^*) = A^* \\
& \text{iff } \mathcal{H}(A^*)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A^*(x) \odot \mathcal{H}(\top_x)(y)) \leq A^*(y) \\
& \text{iff } A(y) \leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\mathcal{H}(\top_x)(y) \rightarrow A(x)) \\
& \text{iff } \bigvee_{y \in X} A(y) \odot \mathcal{H}^{-1}(\top_y)(x) \leq A(x) \\
& \text{iff } \mathcal{H}^{-1}(A)(x) \leq A(x) \\
& \text{iff } \mathcal{H}^{-1}(A) = A.
\end{aligned}$$

(3) Since $R_{\mathcal{H}}(x, x) = \mathcal{H}(\top_x)(x) \geq \top_x(x) = \top$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bigvee_{y \in X} (R_{\mathcal{H}}(x, y) \odot R_{\mathcal{H}}(y, z)) = \bigvee_{y \in X} (\mathcal{H}(\top_x)(y) \odot \mathcal{H}(\top_y)(z)) \\
& = \mathcal{H}(\bigvee_{y \in X} (\mathcal{H}(\top_x)(y) \odot \top_y)(z)) = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}(\top_x))(z) \\
& \leq \mathcal{H}(\top_x)(z) = R_{\mathcal{H}}(x, z),
\end{aligned}$$

then $R_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a fuzzy preorder. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{R_{\mathcal{H}}}(A)(y) &= \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot R_{\mathcal{H}}(x, y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot \mathcal{H}(\top_x)(y)) \\ &= \mathcal{H}(\bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot \top_x))(y) = \mathcal{H}(A)(y),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}R_{\tau_{\mathcal{H}}}(x, y) &= \bigwedge_{A \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} (A(x) \rightarrow A(y)) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathcal{H}(\top_z)(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\top_z)(y)) \\ &\leq \mathcal{H}(\top_x)(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\top_x)(y) \\ &\leq (\top_x)(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\top_x)(y) = \mathcal{H}(\top_x)(y),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}R_{\tau_{\mathcal{H}}}(x, y) &= \bigwedge_{A \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} (A(x) \rightarrow A(y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{A \in L^X} (\mathcal{H}(A)(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(A)(y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{A \in L^X} (\bigvee_{z \in X} (A(z) \odot \mathcal{H}(\top_z)(x)) \rightarrow \bigvee_{z \in X} (A(z) \odot \mathcal{H}(\top_z)(y))) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathcal{H}(\top_z)(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\top_z)(y)) \\ &\geq \mathcal{H}(\top_x)(y).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $R_{\tau_{\mathcal{H}}}(x, y) = \mathcal{H}(\top_x)(y) = R_{\mathcal{H}}(x, y)$.

(4) It is similarly proved as (3).

Theorem 3.2. *Let τ be Alexandrov topology on X . Then the following properties hold.*

(1) Define $\mathcal{H}_{\tau} : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\tau}(A) = \bigwedge \{B \mid A \leq B, B \in \tau\}.$$

Then \mathcal{H}_{τ} is an L -upper approximation operator such that $\tau_{\mathcal{H}_{\tau}} = \tau$, $\mathcal{H}_{\tau_{\mathcal{H}}} = \mathcal{H}$.

(2) Define $R_{\tau} : X \times X \rightarrow L$ as

$$R_{\tau}(x, y) = \bigwedge_{A \in \tau} (A(x) \rightarrow A(y))$$

Then R_{τ} is a fuzzy preorder such that $\tau = \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_{\tau}}}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}_{\tau} = \mathcal{H}_{R_{\tau}}$.

(3) $R_{\tau^*} = R_{\tau}^{-1}$, $\tau^* = \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_{\tau^*}}} = \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_{\tau}^{-1}}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\tau^*} = \mathcal{H}_{R_{\tau}^{-1}}$.

Proof. (1) We show $\mathcal{H}_{\tau}(A) = \bigwedge \{B \mid A \leq B, B \in \tau\}$ is an L -upper approximation operator.

(H1) We have $\alpha \odot \mathcal{H}_\tau(A) \leq \mathcal{H}_\tau(\alpha \odot A)$ from:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\tau(\alpha \odot A) \\ &= \alpha \rightarrow \bigwedge \{B \mid \alpha \odot A \leq B, B \in \tau\} \\ &= \bigwedge \{\alpha \rightarrow B \mid A \leq \alpha \rightarrow B, \alpha \rightarrow B \in \tau\} \\ &\geq \mathcal{H}_\tau(A). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha \odot A \leq \alpha \odot \mathcal{H}_\tau(A)$ and $\alpha \odot \mathcal{H}_\tau(A) \in \tau$, then $\mathcal{H}_\tau(\alpha \odot A) \leq \alpha \odot \mathcal{H}_\tau(A)$. Hence $\mathcal{H}_\tau(\alpha \odot A) = \alpha \odot \mathcal{H}_\tau(A)$.

(H2) Since $\mathcal{H}_\tau(A) \leq \mathcal{H}_\tau(B)$ for $A \leq B$, we have $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{H}_\tau(A_i) \leq \mathcal{H}_\tau(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i)$. Since $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i \leq \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{H}_\tau(A_i) \in \tau$, then

$$\mathcal{H}_\tau(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) \leq \mathcal{H}_\tau(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{H}_\tau(A_i)) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{H}_\tau(A_i).$$

(H3) It follows from the definition.

(H4) Since $\mathcal{H}_\tau(A) \in \tau$, we have $\mathcal{H}_\tau(\mathcal{H}_\tau(A)) = \mathcal{H}_\tau(A)$.

Let $A \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_\tau}$. Then $A = \mathcal{H}_\tau(A) \in \tau$. Hence $\tau_{\mathcal{H}_\tau} \subset \tau$.

Let $A \in \tau$. Then $\mathcal{H}_\tau(A) = A$. So, $A \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_\tau}$. Hence $\tau \subset \tau_{\mathcal{H}_\tau}$.

Since $\mathcal{H}_{\tau_{\mathcal{H}_\tau}}(A) = \bigwedge \{B \mid A \leq B, B \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_\tau}\}$ and $A \leq \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}(A)) = \mathcal{H}(A)$, we have $\mathcal{H}_{\tau_{\mathcal{H}_\tau}}(A) \leq \mathcal{H}(A)$. For $B_i \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_\tau}$, since $\mathcal{H}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} B_i) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{H}(B_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} B_i$, then $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_{\mathcal{H}_\tau}}(A)) = \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{\mathcal{H}_\tau}}(A)$. So, $\mathcal{H}(A) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{\mathcal{H}_\tau}}(A)$.

(2) We easily show that R_τ is a fuzzy preorder.

Let $A \in \tau$. Since $\mathcal{H}_{R_\tau}(A)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot R_\tau(x, y)) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot \bigwedge_{B \in \tau} (B(x) \rightarrow B(y))) \leq \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot (A(x) \rightarrow A(y))) \leq A(y)$, then $\mathcal{H}_{R_\tau}(A) = A$. So, $\tau \subset \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_\tau}}$.

Let $A = \mathcal{H}_{R_\tau}(A)$. Then $A = \mathcal{H}_{R_\tau}(A) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot \bigwedge_{B \in \tau} (B(x) \rightarrow B(y))) \in \tau$. So, $\tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_\tau}} \subset \tau$.

Since $A \leq \mathcal{H}_{R_\tau}(A) \in \tau$, then $\mathcal{H}_\tau(A) \leq \mathcal{H}_{R_\tau}(A)$. Since $\mathcal{H}_{R_\tau}(A)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot \bigwedge_{B \in \tau} (B(x) \rightarrow B(y))) \leq \bigvee_{x \in X} (\mathcal{H}_\tau(A)(x) \odot (\mathcal{H}_\tau(A)(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\tau(A)(y))) \leq \mathcal{H}_\tau(A)(y)$, then $\mathcal{H}_{R_\tau}(A) \leq \mathcal{H}_\tau(A)$.

(3) $R_{\tau^*}(x, y) = \bigwedge_{A \in \tau^*} (A(x) \rightarrow A(y)) = \bigwedge_{A \in \tau} (A^*(x) \rightarrow A^*(y)) = \bigwedge_{A \in \tau} (A(y) \rightarrow A(x)) = R_\tau^{-1}(x, y)$.

Other cases are similarly proved as (3).

Theorem 3.3. Let $\mathcal{H}_X, \mathcal{H}_X^{-1} : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ be upper approximation operators such that $\mathcal{H}_X^{-1}(\top_x)(y) = \mathcal{H}_X(\top_y)(x)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Let $\mathcal{H}_Y, \mathcal{H}_Y^{-1} : L^Y \rightarrow L^Y$ be upper approximation operators such

that $\mathcal{H}_Y^{-1}(\top_a)(b) = \mathcal{H}_Y(\top_b)(a)$ for all $a, b \in Y$. Let $f : (X, \mathcal{H}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{H}_Y)$ be a map. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) $\mathcal{H}_X(\top_x) \leq f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_Y(\top_{f(x)}))$ for all $x \in X$.
- (2) $\mathcal{H}_X^{-1}(\top_x) \leq f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_Y^{-1}(\top_{f(x)}))$ for all $x \in X$.
- (3) $R_{\mathcal{H}_X}(x, y) \leq R_{\mathcal{H}_Y}(f(x), f(y))$ for all $x, y \in X$.
- (4) $R_{\mathcal{H}_X^{-1}}(x, y) \leq R_{\mathcal{H}_Y^{-1}}(f(x), f(y))$ for all $x, y \in X$.
- (5) $f(\mathcal{H}_X(A)) \leq \mathcal{H}_Y(f(A))$ for all $A \in L^X$.
- (6) $f(\mathcal{H}_X^{-1}(A)) \leq \mathcal{H}_Y^{-1}(f(A))$ for all $A \in L^X$.
- (7) $\mathcal{H}_X(f^{-1}(B)) \leq f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_Y(B))$ for all $B \in L^Y$.
- (8) $\mathcal{H}_X^{-1}(f^{-1}(B)) \leq f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_Y^{-1}(B))$ for all $B \in L^Y$.
- (9) $f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_X}$ for all $B \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_Y}$.
- (10) $f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_X^{-1}}$ for all $B \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_Y^{-1}}$.
- (11) $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_X}(f^{-1}(B)) \geq \mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_Y}(B)$ for all $B \in L^Y$.
- (12) $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_X^{-1}}(f^{-1}(B)) \geq \mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_Y^{-1}}(B)$ for all $B \in L^Y$.

Proof (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) From Theorem 3.1 (3), it follows from:

$$R_{\mathcal{H}_X}(x, y) = \mathcal{H}_X(\top_x)(y) \leq R_{\mathcal{H}_Y}(f(x), f(y)) = f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_Y(\top_{f(x)}))(y).$$

(1) \Rightarrow (5)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_Y(f(A))(y) &= \mathcal{H}_Y(\bigvee_{x \in X} f(A)(f(x)) \odot \top_{f(x)})(y) \\ &= \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot \mathcal{H}_Y(\top_{f(x)}))(y) \\ &\geq \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot f(\mathcal{H}_X(\top_x)))(y) \\ &= \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot \bigvee_{z \in f^{-1}(\{y\})} \mathcal{H}_X(\top_x)(z)) \\ &= \bigvee_{z \in f^{-1}(\{y\})} (\bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot \mathcal{H}_X(\top_x)(z))) \\ &= \bigvee_{z \in f^{-1}(\{y\})} \mathcal{H}_X(\bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot \top_x))(z) \\ &= \bigvee_{z \in f^{-1}(\{y\})} \mathcal{H}_X(A)(z) = f(\mathcal{H}_X(A))(y). \end{aligned}$$

(5) \Rightarrow (7) By (5), put $A = f^{-1}(B)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathcal{H}_X(f^{-1}(B))) &\leq \mathcal{H}_Y(f(f^{-1}(B))) \leq \mathcal{H}_Y(B) \\ \text{iff } \mathcal{H}_X(f^{-1}(B)) &\leq f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_Y(B)). \end{aligned}$$

(7) \Rightarrow (9) For $B \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_Y}$, since $\mathcal{H}_Y(B) = B$, by (7), $\mathcal{H}_X(f^{-1}(B)) \leq f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_Y(B)) = f^{-1}(B)$.

So, $f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_X}$.

(9) \Rightarrow (5) Since $\mathcal{H}_Y = \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{\mathcal{H}_Y}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_X = \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{\mathcal{H}_X}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_Y(f(A)) &= \bigwedge \{B \mid f(A) \leq B, B \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_Y}\} \\ &\geq \bigwedge \{B \mid A \leq f^{-1}(B), f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_X}\} \\ &\geq \bigwedge \{f(f^{-1}(B)) \mid A \leq f^{-1}(B), f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_X}\} \\ &\geq f\left(\bigwedge \{f^{-1}(B) \mid A \leq f^{-1}(B), f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_X}\}\right) \\ &\geq f(\mathcal{H}_X(A)). \end{aligned}$$

(7) \Rightarrow (5)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_X}(f^{-1}(B)) &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\mathcal{H}_X(f^{-1}(B))(x) \rightarrow f^{-1}(B)(x)) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{x \in X} (f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_Y(B))(x) \rightarrow B(f(x))) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (\mathcal{H}_Y(B)(y) \rightarrow B(y)) \\ &= \mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_Y}(B). \end{aligned}$$

(11) \Rightarrow (9)

For all $B \in \tau_Y$, since $\tau_Y = \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_{\tau_Y}}}$, then $\mathcal{H}_{R_{\tau_Y}}(B) = B$. Since $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tau_X}}(f^{-1}(B)) \geq \mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tau_Y}}(B) = \top$, then $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tau_X}}(f^{-1}(B)) = \top$. So, $f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_X$.

(11) \Rightarrow (1) Since $a \leq (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_X(\top_x)(y) &\leq \bigwedge_{A \in L^X} ((\mathcal{H}_X(\top_x)(y) \rightarrow (A(x) \rightarrow A(y))) \rightarrow (A(x) \rightarrow A(y))) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{A \in L^X} (\bigwedge_{s,t} ((\mathcal{H}_X(\top_s)(t) \rightarrow (A(s) \rightarrow A(t))) \rightarrow (A(x) \rightarrow A(y)))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{A \in L^X} (\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_X}(A) \rightarrow (A(x) \rightarrow A(y))). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_X}(\mathcal{H}_X(\top_x)) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (\mathcal{H}_X(\mathcal{H}_X(\top_x))(y) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_X(\top_x)(y)) = \top$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_X(\top_x)(y) &\leq \bigwedge_{A \in L^X} (\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_X}(A) \rightarrow (A(x) \rightarrow A(y))) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_X}(\mathcal{H}_X(\top_z)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_X(\top_z)(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_X(\top_z)(y))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathcal{H}_X(\top_z)(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_X(\top_z)(y)) \leq \top_x(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_X(\top_x)(y) = \mathcal{H}_X(\top_x)(y). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_Y(\top_{f(x)}))(z) &= \mathcal{H}_Y(\top_{f(x)})(f(z)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{B \in L^Y} (\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_Y}(B) \rightarrow (B(f(x)) \rightarrow B(f(z)))) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{B \in L^Y} (\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_X}(f^{-1}(B)) \rightarrow (f^{-1}(B)(x) \rightarrow f^{-1}(B)(z))) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{A \in L^X} (\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_X}(A) \rightarrow (A(x) \rightarrow A(z))) = \mathcal{H}_X(\top_x)(z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{H}_Y(\top_{f(x)}) \geq f(f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_Y(\top_{f(x)}))) \geq f(\mathcal{H}_X(\top_x))$.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (2)

For all $x, z \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_X(\top_x)(z) &\leq f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_Y(\top_{f(x)}))(z) = \mathcal{H}_Y(\top_{f(x)})(f(z)) \\ \text{iff } \mathcal{H}_X^{-1}(\top_z)(x) &\leq \mathcal{H}_Y^{-1}(\top_{f(z)})(f(x)) = f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_Y^{-1}(\top_{f(z)}))(x). \end{aligned}$$

Other cases are similarly proved.

Theorem 3.4. *Let τ_X and τ_Y be Alexandrov topologies. Let $f : (X, \tau_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_Y)$ be a map.*

Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) $f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_X$ for all $B \in \tau_Y$.
- (2) $f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_X^*$ for all $B \in \tau_Y^*$.
- (3) $R_{\tau_X}(x, y) \leq R_{\tau_Y}(f(x), f(y))$ for all $x, y \in X$.
- (4) $R_{\tau_X^*}(x, y) = R_{\tau_X}^{-1}(y, x) \leq R_{\tau_Y^*}(f(x), f(y)) = R_{\tau_Y}^{-1}(f(y), f(x))$ for all $x, y \in X$.
- (5) $f(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_X}(A)) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\tau_Y}(f(A))$ for all $A \in L^X$.
- (6) $f(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_X}^{-1}(A)) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\tau_Y}^{-1}(f(A))$ for all $A \in L^X$.
- (7) $\mathcal{H}_{\tau_X}(f^{-1}(B)) \leq f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_Y}(B))$ for all $B \in L^Y$.
- (8) $\mathcal{H}_{\tau_X}^{-1}(f^{-1}(B)) \leq f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_Y}^{-1}(B))$ for all $B \in L^Y$.
- (9) $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tau_X}}(f^{-1}(B)) \geq \mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tau_Y}}(B)$ for all $B \in L^Y$.
- (10) $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tau_X}^{-1}}(f^{-1}(B)) \geq \mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tau_Y}^{-1}}(B)$ for all $B \in L^Y$.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (3)

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\tau_Y}(f(x), f(y)) &= \bigwedge_{B \in \tau_Y} (B(f(x)) \rightarrow B(f(y))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{B \in \tau_Y} (f^{-1}(B)(x) \rightarrow f^{-1}(B)(y)) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{A \in \tau_X} (A(x) \rightarrow A(y)) = R_{\tau_X}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

(3) \Rightarrow (5) Since $\mathcal{H}_{R_{\tau_Y}} = \mathcal{H}_{\tau_Y}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{R_{\tau_X}} = \mathcal{H}_{\tau_X}$ from Theorem 3.2(2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{R_{\tau_Y}}(f(A))(f(x)) &= \bigvee_{w \in Y} (f(A)(w) \odot R_{\tau_Y}(w, f(x))) \\ &\geq \bigvee_{z \in X} (f(A)(f(z)) \odot R_{\tau_Y}(f(z), f(x))) \\ &\geq \bigvee_{z \in X} (A(z) \odot R_{\tau_X}(z, x)) = \mathcal{H}_{R_{\tau_X}}(A)(x). \end{aligned}$$

(5) \Rightarrow (7) and (7) \Rightarrow (9) are similarly proved as (5) \Rightarrow (7) and (7) \Rightarrow (9), respectively, in Theorem 3.3.

(9) \Rightarrow (1) For all $B \in \tau_Y$, since $\tau_Y = \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_{\tau_Y}}}$ from Theorem 3.2(2), then $\mathcal{H}_{R_{\tau_Y}}(B) = B$. Since $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tau_X}}(f^{-1}(B)) \geq \mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tau_Y}}(B) = \top$, then $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tau_X}}(f^{-1}(B)) = \top$. So, $f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_X$.

Other cases are similarly proved.

Theorem 3.5. *Let R_X and R_Y be fuzzy preordered sets. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $R_X(x, y) \leq R_Y(f(x), f(y))$ for all $x, y \in X$.
- (2) $R_X^{-1}(x, y) \leq R_Y^{-1}(f(x), f(y))$ for all $x, y \in X$ where $R_X^{-1}(x, y) = R_X(y, x)$.
- (3) $f(\mathcal{H}_{R_X}(A)) \leq \mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(f(A))$ for all $A \in L^X$ where $\mathcal{H}_{R_X}(A)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot R(x, y))$.
- (4) $f(\mathcal{H}_{R_X}^{-1}(A)) \leq \mathcal{H}_{R_Y}^{-1}(f(A))$ for all $A \in L^X$ where $\mathcal{H}_{R_X}^{-1} = \mathcal{H}_{R_X^{-1}}$.
- (5) $\mathcal{H}_{R_X}(f^{-1}(B)) \leq f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(B))$ for all $B \in L^Y$.
- (6) $\mathcal{H}_{R_X}^{-1}(f^{-1}(B)) \leq f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}^{-1}(B))$ for all $B \in L^Y$.
- (7) $f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}}$ for all $B \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}}$.
- (8) $f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}^{-1}}$ for all $B \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}^{-1}}$.
- (9) $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}}(f^{-1}(B)) \geq \mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}}(B)$ for all $B \in L^Y$.
- (10) $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}^{-1}}(f^{-1}(B)) \geq \mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}^{-1}}(B)$ for all $B \in L^Y$.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(f(A))(f(x)) &= \bigvee_{w \in Y} (f(A)(w) \odot R_Y(w, f(x))) \\ &\geq \bigvee_{z \in X} (f(A)(f(z)) \odot R_Y(f(z), f(x))) \\ &\geq \bigvee_{z \in X} (A(z) \odot R_X(z, x)) = \mathcal{H}_{R_X}(A)(x). \end{aligned}$$

(5) \Rightarrow (7), (7) \Rightarrow (9) and (9) \Rightarrow (11) are similarly proved as (3) \Rightarrow (5), (5) \Rightarrow (7) and (7) \Rightarrow (9), respectively, in Theorem 3.3.

(7) \Rightarrow (3) Put $\mathcal{H}_X(A) = \bigwedge \{B_i \mid A \leq B_i, B_i \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}}\}$. Since $A \leq \mathcal{H}_{R_X}(A) = \mathcal{H}_{R_X}(\mathcal{H}_{R_X}(A))$, then $\mathcal{H}_X(A) \leq \mathcal{H}_{R_X}(A)$.

For $A \leq B_i, B_i \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}}$, since $\mathcal{H}_{R_X}(B_i) = B_i$, $\mathcal{H}_{R_X}(\bigwedge B_i) \leq \bigwedge \mathcal{H}_{R_X}(B_i) = \bigwedge B_i$. Hence

$$\mathcal{H}_{R_X}(A) \leq \mathcal{H}_{R_X}(\mathcal{H}_X(A)) = \mathcal{H}_{R_X}(\bigwedge B_i) = \bigwedge B_i = \mathcal{H}_X(A)$$

Thus $\mathcal{H}_X(A) = \mathcal{H}_{R_X}(A)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(f(A)) &= \bigwedge \{B \mid f(A) \leq B, B \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}}\} \\
&\geq \bigwedge \{B \mid A \leq f^{-1}(B), f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}}\} \\
&\geq \bigwedge \{f(f^{-1}(B)) \mid A \leq f^{-1}(B), f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}}\} \\
&\geq f\left(\bigwedge \{f^{-1}(B) \mid A \leq f^{-1}(B), f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}}\}\right) \\
&\geq f(\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(A)).
\end{aligned}$$

(9) \Rightarrow (1) Since $a \leq (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_X(x, y) &\leq \bigwedge_{A \in L^X} ((R_X(x, y) \rightarrow (A(x) \rightarrow A(y))) \rightarrow (A(x) \rightarrow A(y))) \\
&\leq \bigwedge_{A \in L^X} (\bigwedge_{s, t} ((R_X(s, t) \rightarrow (A(s) \rightarrow A(t))) \rightarrow (A(x) \rightarrow A(y)))) \\
&= \bigwedge_{A \in L^X} (\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}}(A) \rightarrow (A(x) \rightarrow A(y))).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_{R_X}(R_x)(y) = \bigvee_{z \in X} (R_x(z) \odot R(z, y)) = R(x, y)$ where $R_x(y) = R(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}}(R_x) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (\mathcal{H}_{R_X}(R_x)(y) \rightarrow R_x(y)) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (R_x(y) \rightarrow R_x(y)) = \top.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_X(x, y) &\leq \bigwedge_{A \in L^X} (\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}}(A) \rightarrow (A(x) \rightarrow A(y))) \\
&\leq \bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}}(R_z) \rightarrow (R_z(x) \rightarrow R_z(y))) \\
&= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (R_z(x) \rightarrow R_z(y)) \leq \top_x(x) \rightarrow R_x(y) = R_X(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $R_X(x, y) \leq \bigwedge_{A \in L^X} (\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}}(A) \rightarrow (A(x) \rightarrow A(y)))$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_Y(f(x), f(z)) &= \bigwedge_{B \in L^Y} (\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}}(B) \rightarrow (B(f(x)) \rightarrow B(f(z)))) \\
&\geq \bigwedge_{B \in L^Y} (\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}}(f^{-1}(B)) \rightarrow (f^{-1}(B)(x) \rightarrow f^{-1}(B)(z))) \\
&\geq \bigwedge_{A \in L^X} (\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}}(A) \rightarrow (A(x) \rightarrow A(z))) = R_X(x, z).
\end{aligned}$$

Other cases are similarly proved.

Theorem 3.6. For $B \in L^Y$, we define

$$R_Y(x, y) = B(x) \rightarrow B(y)$$

$$R_X(a, b) = f^{-1}(B)(a) \rightarrow f^{-1}(B)(b).$$

Then the following properties hold.

- (1) R_X and R_Y are fuzzy preordered sets such that $R_X(x, y) = R_Y(f(x), f(y))$ for all $x, y \in X$.
- (2) $\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(C) = \bigvee_{y \in Y} (C(y) \odot (B(y) \rightarrow B))$ is an upper approximation operator on Y .

(3) $\mathcal{H}_{R_X}(A) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot (f^{-1}(B)(x) \rightarrow f^{-1}(B)))$ is an upper approximation operator on X .

(4)

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}} &= \{\bigvee_{y \in Y} (C(y) \odot (B(x) \rightarrow B)) \mid C \in L^Y\} \\ &= \{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(C) \mid C \in L^Y\} \\ &= \{C \in L^Y \mid C = \mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(C)\} \end{aligned}$$

(5)

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}} &= \{\bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot (f^{-1}(B)(x) \rightarrow f^{-1}(B))) \mid A \in L^X\} \\ &= \{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}(A) \mid A \in L^X\} \\ &= \{A \in L^X \mid A = \mathcal{H}_{R_X}(A)\} \end{aligned}$$

(6) $R_Y = R_{\tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}}}$ and $R_X = R_{\tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}}}$.

(7)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}}(C) &= \bigwedge_{x, y \in Y} ((B(x) \rightarrow B(y)) \rightarrow (C(x) \rightarrow C(y))) \\ \mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}}(D) &= \bigwedge_{x, y \in X} ((f^{-1}(B)(x) \rightarrow f^{-1}(B)(y)) \rightarrow (D(x) \rightarrow D(y))) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) $R_Y(f(x), f(y)) = B(f(x)) \rightarrow B(f(y)) = f^{-1}(B)(x) \rightarrow f^{-1}(B)(y) = R_X(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$.

(2) and (3) are easily proved as Example 2.5.

(4) and (5). Since $\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(C) = \bigvee_{y \in Y} (C(y) \odot (B(y) \rightarrow B))$ and $\mathcal{H}_{R_X}(A) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot (f^{-1}(B)(x) \rightarrow f^{-1}(B)))$, by Theorem 3.1(2), the results hold.

(6)

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}}}(x, y) &= \bigwedge_{A \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}}} (A(x) \rightarrow A(y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{A \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}}} (\bigvee_{z \in X} (A(z) \odot (B(z) \rightarrow B(x))) \rightarrow \bigvee_{z \in X} (A(z) \odot (B(z) \rightarrow B(y)))) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{A \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}}} ((A(z) \odot (B(z) \rightarrow B(x))) \rightarrow (A(z) \odot (B(z) \rightarrow B(y)))) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{A \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}}} ((B(z) \rightarrow B(x)) \rightarrow (B(z) \rightarrow B(y))) \\ &\geq B(x) \rightarrow B(y). \end{aligned}$$

Since $B(x) \odot (B(x) \rightarrow B) \leq B$ and $B \leq \mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(B)$, then $B = \mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(B)$; i.e. $B \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}}$. So, $R_{\tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}}}(x, y) \leq B(x) \rightarrow B(y)$. Thus, $R_{\tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}}}(x, y) = B(x) \rightarrow B(y)$.

(7)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}}(C) &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(C)(y) \rightarrow C(y)) \\
&= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\bigvee_{x \in X} (C(x) \odot (B(x) \rightarrow B(y))) \rightarrow C(y)) \\
&= \bigwedge_{x, y \in X} ((B(x) \rightarrow B(y)) \rightarrow (C(x) \rightarrow C(y))).
\end{aligned}$$

Example 3.7. Let $(L = [0, 1], \odot, \rightarrow, *)$ be a complete residuated lattice with a strong negation defined by

$$x \odot y = (x + y - 1) \vee 0, \quad x \rightarrow y = (1 - x + y) \wedge 1, \quad x^* = 1 - x.$$

Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $Y = \{x, y, z\}$ be a set. Define a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ as

$$f(a) = f(b) = x, \quad f(c) = y, \quad f(d) = z.$$

(1) We define fuzzy preorders R_X and R_Y as follows

$$R_X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.8 & 0.7 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 1 & 0.6 & 0.7 \\ 0.4 & 0.8 & 1 & 0.6 \\ 0.7 & 0.8 & 0.9 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad R_Y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.8 & 0.7 \\ 0.8 & 1 & 0.7 \\ 0.8 & 0.9 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Theorem 3.1(3), we obtain $\mathcal{H}_{R_X}(A)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot R_X(x, y))$. For $B = (0.3, 0.7, 0.4)^t$, $\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(B) = (0.5, 0.7, 0.4)^t$. Then $B \notin \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}}$, $\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(B) \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}}$. Since $R_X(a, b) \leq R_Y(f(a), f(b))$, by Theorem 3.5(7), $f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(B)) = (0.5, 0.5, 0.7, 0.4)^t \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X}}$.

$$\mathcal{H}_{R_X}(f^{-1}(B)) = (0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.4)^t \leq f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(B)) = (0.5, 0.5, 0.7, 0.4)^t.$$

$$\mathbf{T}_Y(B) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(B)(y) \rightarrow B(y)) = 0.8$$

$$\mathbf{T}_X(f^{-1}(B)) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\mathcal{H}_{R_X}(f^{-1}(B))(x) \rightarrow f^{-1}(B)(x)) = 0.8$$

$\mathcal{H}_{R_Y^{-1}}(B) = (0.5, 0.7, 0.6)^t$. Then $B \notin \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y^{-1}}}$, $\mathcal{H}_{R_Y^{-1}}(B) \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y^{-1}}}$. Since $R_X(a, b) \leq R_Y(f(a), f(b))$, by Theorem 3.5(8), $f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_{R_Y^{-1}}(B)) = (0.5, 0.5, 0.7, 0.6)^t \in \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_X^{-1}}}$.

$$\mathcal{H}_{R_X^{-1}}(f^{-1}(B)) = (0.4, 0.3, 0.7, 0.4)^t \leq f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_{R_Y^{-1}}(B)) = (0.5, 0.5, 0.7, 0.6)^t.$$

$$\mathbf{T}_Y^{-1}(B) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (\mathcal{H}_{R_Y^{-1}}(B)(y) \rightarrow B(y)) = 0.8$$

$$\mathbf{T}_X^{-1}(f^{-1}(B)) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\mathcal{H}_{R_X^{-1}}(f^{-1}(B))(x) \rightarrow f^{-1}(B)(x)) = 0.9.$$

(2) For $B = (0.3, 0.7, 0.4)^t$ and $f^{-1}(B) = (0.3, 0.3, 0.7, 0.4)^t$, $R_Y(x, y) = B(x) \rightarrow B(y)$ and $R_X(x, y) = f^{-1}(B)(x) \rightarrow f^{-1}(B)(y)$ as follows:

$$R_X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.6 & 0.6 & 1 & 0.7 \\ 0.9 & 0.9 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad R_Y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.6 & 1 & 0.7 \\ 0.9 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}} = \left\{ \bigvee_{y \in Y} (C(y) \odot (B(y) \rightarrow B)) \mid C \in L^Y \right\} = \{ \mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(C) \mid C \in L^Y \}$$

For $A = (0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.4)^t$ and $f(A) = (0.5, 0.7, 0.4)^t$,

$$f(\mathcal{H}_{R_X}(A)) = (0.5, 0.7, 0.5)^t = \mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(f(A)).$$

For $C = (0.8, 0.2, 0.6)^t$ and $f^{-1}(C) = (0.8, 0.8, 0.2, 0.6)^t$,

$$\mathcal{H}_{R_X}(f^{-1}(C)) = (0.8, 0.8, 0.8, 0.8)^t = f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(C))$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{R_X^{-1}}(f^{-1}(C)) = (0.8, 0.8, 0.4, 0.7)^t = f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_{R_Y^{-1}}(C)).$$

$$\mathbf{T}_Y(C) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (\mathcal{H}_{R_Y}(C)(y) \rightarrow C(y)) = 0.4$$

$$\mathbf{T}_X(f^{-1}(C)) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\mathcal{H}_{R_X}(f^{-1}(C))(x) \rightarrow f^{-1}(C)(x)) = 0.4.$$

$$\mathbf{T}_Y^{-1}(C) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (\mathcal{H}_{R_Y^{-1}}(C)(y) \rightarrow C(y)) = 0.8$$

$$\mathbf{T}_X^{-1}(f^{-1}(C)) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\mathcal{H}_{R_X^{-1}}(f^{-1}(C))(x) \rightarrow f^{-1}(C)(x)) = 0.8.$$

Conflict of Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bělohlávek, Fuzzy Relational Systems, Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York, 2002.
- [2] P. Hájek, Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998.
- [3] U. Höhle, E.P. Klement, Non-classical Logic and Their Applications to Fuzzy Subsets Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1995.
- [4] U. Höhle, S.E. Rodabaugh, Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets: Logic, Topology, and Measure Theory, The Handbooks of Fuzzy Sets Series 3, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1999.

- [5] Fang Jinming, I-fuzzy Alexandrov topologies and specialization orders, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 158 (2006), 2359-2374.
- [6] Y.C. Kim, Join-preserving maps, fuzzy preorders and Alexandrov fuzzy topologies, to appear *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*.
- [7] Y.C. Kim, Alexandrov L -topologies, to appear *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*.
- [8] J. Kortelainen, On relationships between modified sets, topological spaces and rough sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 61(1994), 91-95.
- [9] H. Lai, D. Zhang, Fuzzy preorder and fuzzy topology, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 157 (2006), 1865-1885.
- [10] H. Lai, D. Zhang, Concept lattices of fuzzy contexts: Formal concept analysis vs. rough set theory, *Int. J. Approx. Reasoning*, 50 (2009), 695-707.
- [11] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets, *Int. J. Comput. Inf. Sci.* 11 (1982), 341-356.
- [12] Z. Pawlak, Rough probability, *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math.* 32 (1984), 607-615.
- [13] A. M. Radzikowska, E.E. Kerre, A comparative study of fuzzy rough sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 126 (2002), 137-155.
- [14] Y.H. She, G.J. Wang, An axiomatic approach of fuzzy rough sets based on residuated lattices, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 58 (2009), 189-201.
- [15] S. P. Tiwari, A.K. Srivastava, Fuzzy rough sets, fuzzy preorders and fuzzy topologies, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 210 (2013), 63-68.
- [16] Q. Y. Zhang, L. Fan, Continuity in quantitative domains, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 154 (2005), 118-131.
- [17] Q. Y. Zhang, W. X. Xie, Fuzzy complete lattices, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 160 (2009), 2275-2291.
- [18] Z.M. Ma, B.Q. Hu, Topological and lattice structures of L -fuzzy rough set determined by lower and upper sets, *Inform. Sci.* 218 (2013), 194-204.