



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2 (2012), No. 2, 265-273

ISSN: 1927-5307

## I-CONVERGENT DIFFERENCE SEQUENCE SPACES DEFINED BY A SEQUENCE OF MODULI

VAKEEL.A.KHAN<sup>1,\*</sup> AND KHALID EBADULLAH<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh - 202002, India

**Abstract.** In this article we introduce the sequence spaces  $c_0^I(F, \Delta)$  and  $l_\infty^I(F, \Delta)$  for the sequence of moduli  $F = (f_k)$  and give some inclusion relations. The results here in proved are analogous to those by ASMA BEKTAS Cigdem (2003)[Soochow.J.Math.,(2003) 29(2) : 215-220].

**Keywords:** Ideal, filter, sequence of moduli, difference sequence space, I-convergent sequence spaces.

**2000 AMS Subject Classification:** 40C05 ; 46A45

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\omega, l_\infty, c$  and  $c_0$  be the set of all sequences of complex numbers, the linear spaces of bounded, convergent and null sequences  $x = (x_k)$  with complex terms, respectively, normed by

$$\|x\|_\infty = \sup_k |x_k|, \text{ where } k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}.$$

---

\*Corresponding author

E-mail addresses: [vakhan@math.com](mailto:vakhan@math.com)(V. A. Khan), [khalidebadullah@gmail.com](mailto:khalidebadullah@gmail.com)(K. Ebadullah)

Received December 1, 2011

The idea of difference sequence spaces was introduced by Kizmaz [15]. In 1981, Kizmaz [15] defined the sequence spaces

$$l_{\infty}(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : (\Delta x_k) \in l_{\infty}\},$$

$$c(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : (\Delta x_k) \in c\},$$

$$c_0(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : (\Delta x_k) \in c_0\},$$

where,

$$\Delta x = (x_k - x_{k+1}) \text{ and } \Delta^0 x = (x_k).$$

These are Banach spaces with the norm

$$\|x\|_{\Delta} = |x_1| + \|\Delta x\|_{\infty}.$$

Difference sequence spaces have been studied by Vakeel.A.Khan and S. Tabassum [13], Vakeel A.Khan and K. Ebadullah [14] and many others.

The idea of modulus was structured in 1953 by Nakano.(See[19]).A function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a modulus if

- (i)  $f(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $f(t+u) \leq f(t) + f(u)$  for all  $t, u \geq 0$ ,
- (iii)  $f$  is increasing, and
- (iv)  $f$  is continuous from the right at zero.

Let  $X$  be a sequence space. Ruckle [20,21,22] defined the sequence space  $X(f)$  as

$$X(f) = \{x = (x_k) : (f(|x_k|)) \in X\}$$

for a modulus  $f$ . Kolk [16,17]gave an extension of  $X(f)$ by considering a sequence of moduli  $F = (f_k)$ ,that is

$$X(F) = \{x = (x_k) : (f_k(|x_k|)) \in X\}.$$

After then Gaur and Mursaleen[7] defined the following sequence spaces

$$l_{\infty}(F, \Delta) = \{x = (x_k) : \Delta x \in l_{\infty}(F)\}$$

$$c_0(F, \Delta) = \{x = (x_k) : \Delta x \in c_0(F)\}$$

for a sequence of moduli  $F = (f_k)$  and gave the necessary and sufficient conditions for the inclusion relations between  $X(\Delta)$  and  $Y(F, \Delta)$ , where  $X, Y = l_{\infty}$  or  $c_0$ . Sequence of moduli have been studied by C.A.Bektas and R.Colak[1], Vakeel A.Khan [8,9,10], Vakeel A.Khan and Q.M.D.Lohani [11] and many others .

The notion of the statistical convergence was introduced by H.Fast[4]. Later on it was studied by J.A.Fridy[5,6] from the sequence space point of view and linked it with the summability theory.

The notion of I-convergence is a generalization of the statistical convergence. The notion of I-convergence was studied at the initial stage by Kostyrko, Salat and Wilezynski [18]. Later on it was studied by Salat [23], Salat, Tripathy and Ziman [24], Demirci [3], Vakeel A.Khan and Khalid Ebadullah [12], Dems [2] and many others.

Let  $N$  be a non empty set. Then a family of sets  $I \subseteq 2^N$  (power set of  $N$ ) is said to be an ideal if  $I$  is additive i.e  $A, B \in I \Rightarrow A \cup B \in I$  and hereditary i.e  $A \in I, B \subseteq A \Rightarrow B \in I$ . A non-empty family of sets  $\mathcal{L}(I) \subseteq 2^N$  is said to be filter on  $N$  if and only if  $\Phi \notin \mathcal{L}(I)$ , for  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(I)$  we have  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{L}(I)$  and for each  $A \in \mathcal{L}(I)$  and  $A \subseteq B$  implies  $B \in \mathcal{L}(I)$ .

An Ideal  $I \subseteq 2^N$  is called non-trivial if  $I \neq 2^N$ . A non-trivial ideal  $I \subseteq 2^N$  is called admissible if  $\{\{x\} : x \in N\} \subseteq I$ . A non-trivial ideal  $I$  is maximal if there cannot exist any non-trivial ideal  $J \neq I$  containing  $I$  as a subset. For each ideal  $I$ , there is a filter  $\mathcal{L}(I)$  corresponding to  $I$ . i.e  $\mathcal{L}(I) = \{K \subseteq N : K^c \in I\}$ , where  $K^c = N - K$ .

**Definition 1.1.** A sequence  $(x_k) \in \omega$  is said to be I-convergent to a number L if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ .  $\{k \in N : |x_k - L| \geq \epsilon\} \in I$ . In this case we write I-lim  $x_k = L$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A sequence  $(x_k) \in \omega$  is said to be I-null if L = 0 .In this case we write I-lim  $x_k = 0$ .

**Definition 1.3.** A sequence  $(x_k) \in \omega$  is said to be I-cauchy if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a number m = m( $\epsilon$ ) such that  $\{k \in N : |x_k - x_m| \geq \epsilon\} \in I$ .

**Definition 1.4.** A sequence  $(x_k) \in \omega$  is said to be I-bounded if there exists M >0 such that  $\{k \in N : |x_k| > M\}$

We need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.5.** The condition  $\sup_k f_k(t) < \infty, t > 0$  holds if and only if there is a point  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $\sup_k f_k(t_0) < \infty$ .(See[1,7]).

**Lemma 1.6.** The condition  $\inf_k f_k(t) > 0$  holds if and only if there exists a point  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $\inf_k f_k(t_0) > 0$ .(See[1,7]).

**Lemma 1.7.** Let  $K \in \mathcal{L}(I)$  and  $M \subseteq N$ . If  $M \notin I$ , then  $M \cap K \notin I$ .(See[24]).

**Lemma 1.8.** If  $I \subset 2^N$  and  $M \subseteq N$ . If  $M \notin I$ , then  $M \cap K \notin I$ .(See[18]).

## 2. Main results

In this article we introduce the following classes of sequence spaces.

$$c_0^I(F, \Delta) = \{(x_k) \in \omega : I - \lim f_k(|\Delta x_k|) = 0\} \in I$$

$$l_\infty^I(F, \Delta) = \{(x_k) \in \omega : \sup_k f_k(|\Delta x_k|) < \infty\} \in I.$$

**Theorem 2.1.** For a sequence  $F = (f_k)$  of moduli, the following statements are equivalent:

(a)  $l_\infty^I(\Delta) \subseteq l_\infty^I(F, \Delta)$

(b)  $c_0^I(\Delta) \subset l_\infty^I(F, \Delta)$

(c)  $\sup_k f_k(t) < \infty, (t > 0)$

**Proof.** (a) implies (b) is obvious.

(b) implies (c). Let  $c_0^I(\Delta) \subset l_\infty^I(F, \Delta)$ . Suppose that (c) is not true.

Then by Lemma 1.5

$$\sup_k f_k(t) = \infty \text{ for all } t > 0,$$

and therefore there is a sequence  $(k_i)$  of positive integers such that

(1)  $f_{k_i}(\frac{1}{i}) > i, \text{ for, } i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Define  $x = (x_k)$  as follows

$$x_k = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{i}, & \text{if } k = k_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $x \in c_0^I(\Delta)$  but by (1),  $x \notin l_\infty^I(F, \Delta)$  which contradicts (b). Hence (c) must hold.

(c) implies (a). Let (c) be satisfied and  $x \in l_\infty^I(\Delta)$ . If we suppose that  $x \notin l_\infty^I(F, \Delta)$  then

$$\sup_k f_k(|\Delta x_k|) = \infty \text{ for } \Delta x \in l_\infty^I$$

If we take  $t = |\Delta x|$  then  $\sup_k f_k(t) = \infty$  which contradicts (c).

Hence  $l_\infty^I(\Delta) \subseteq l_\infty^I(F, \Delta)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** If  $F = (f_k)$  is a sequence of moduli, then the following statements are equivalent:

(a)  $c_0^I(F, \Delta) \subseteq c_0^I(\Delta)$ ,

(b)  $c_0^I(F, \Delta) \subset l_\infty^I(\Delta)$ ,

(c)  $\inf_k f_k(t) > 0, (t > 0)$ .

**Proof.**(a) implies (b) is obvious.

(b) implies (c). Let  $c_0^I(F, \Delta) \subset l_\infty^I(\Delta)$ . Suppose that (c) does not hold.

Then, by lemma 1.6 ,

(2)  $\inf_k f_k(t) = 0, (t > 0)$ ,

and therefore there is a sequence  $(k_i)$  of positive integers such that

$$f_{k_i}(i^2) < \frac{1}{i} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Define the sequence  $x = (x_k)$  by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} i^2, & \text{if } k = k_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By (2)  $x \in c_0^I(F, \Delta)$  but  $x \notin l_\infty^I(\Delta)$  which contradicts (b). Hence (c) must hold.

(c) implies (a). Let (c) holds and  $x \in c_0^I(F, \Delta)$  that is

$$I - \lim_k f_k(|\Delta x_k|) = 0$$

. Suppose that  $x \notin c_0^I(\Delta)$ . Then for some number  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and positive integer  $k_0$  we have  $|\Delta x_k| \leq \epsilon_0$  for  $k > k_0$ . Therefore  $f_k(\epsilon_0) \geq f_k(|\Delta x_k|)$  for  $k \geq k_0$  and hence  $\lim_k f_k(\epsilon_0) > 0$  which contradicts our supposition that  $x \notin c_0^I(\Delta)$ .

Thus  $c_0^I(F, \Delta) \subseteq c_0^I(\Delta)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** The inclusion  $l_\infty^I(F, \Delta) \subseteq c_0^I(\Delta)$  holds if and only if

$$(3) \quad \lim_k f_k(t) = \infty, \text{ for } t > 0.$$

**Proof.** Let  $l_\infty^I(F, \Delta) \subseteq c_0^I(\Delta)$  such that  $\lim_k f_k(t) = \infty$  for  $t > 0$  does not hold. Then there is a number  $t_0 > 0$  and a sequence  $(k_i)$  of positive integers such that

$$(4) \quad f_{k_i}(t_0) \leq M < \infty.$$

Define the sequence  $x = (x_k)$  by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} t_0, & \text{if } k = k_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus  $x \in l_\infty^I(F, \Delta)$ , by (4). But  $x \notin c_0^I(\Delta)$ , so that (3) must hold if  $l_\infty^I(F, \Delta) \subseteq c_0^I(\Delta)$ .

Conversely, let (3) hold. If  $x \in l_\infty^I(F, \Delta)$ , then  $f_k(|\Delta x_k|) \leq M < \infty$

for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Suppose that  $x \notin c_0^I(\Delta)$ . Then for some number  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and positive integer  $k_0$  we have  $|\Delta x_k| < \epsilon_0$  for  $k \geq k_0$ . Therefore  $f_k(\epsilon_0) > f_k(|\Delta x_k|) \leq M$  for  $k \geq k_0$  which contradicts (3). Hence  $x \in c_0^I(\Delta)$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** The inclusion  $l_\infty^I(\Delta) \subseteq c_0^I(F, \Delta)$  holds, if and only if

$$(5) \quad \lim_k f_k(t) = 0 \text{ for } t > 0.$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $l_\infty^I(\Delta) \subseteq c_0^I(F, \Delta)$  but (5) does not hold.

Then

$$(6) \quad \lim_k f_k(t_0) = l \neq 0, \text{ for some } t_0 > 0.$$

Define the sequence  $x = (x_k)$  by

$$x_k = t_0 \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} (-1) \begin{bmatrix} k-v \\ k-v \end{bmatrix}$$

for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Then  $x \notin c_0^I(F, \Delta)$ , by (6). Hence (5) must hold.

Conversely, let  $x \in l_\infty^I(\Delta)$  and suppose that (5) holds.

Then  $|\Delta x_k| \leq M < \infty$  for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Therefore  $f_k(|\Delta x_k|) \leq f_k(M)$  for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and

$$\lim_k f_k(|\Delta x_k|) \leq \lim_k f_k(M) = 0, \text{ by (5).}$$

Hence  $x \in c_0^I(F, \Delta)$ .

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to record their gratitude to the reviewer for his careful reading and making some useful corrections which improved the presentation of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C.A.Bektas, R.Colak, Generalized difference sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli, *Soochow.J.Math.*, 29(2)(2003), 215-220.
- [2] K.Demirci, I-limit superior and limit inferior, *Math. Commun.* 6(2001) 165-172.
- [3] K.Dems, On I-Cauchy sequences, *Real Analysis Exchange*, 30(2005), 123-128.
- [4] H.Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, *Colloq.Math.* 2(1951), 241-244.
- [5] J.A.Fridy, On statistical convergence, *Analysis*. 5(1985). 301-313.
- [6] J.A.Fridy, Statistical limit points, *Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.* 11(1993), 1187-1192.
- [7] A.K.Gaur and Mursaleen, Difference sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli, *Demonstratio Mah.*, 31(1998), 275-278.



- [8] V.A.Khan, On a sequence space defined by a modulus function, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics.29(2005),747-753.
- [9] V.A.Khan, Some inclusion relations between the sequence spaces defined by sequence of moduli, J.Indian.Math.Soc.73(2006),77-81.
- [10] V.A.Khan, Some new generalized difference sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli, Appl.Math.J.Chinese Univ.,26(1)(2011),104-108.
- [11] V.A.Khan and Q.M.D.Lohani, Difference sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics.30(2006),1061-1067.
- [12] V.A.Khan and K.Ebadullah, On some I-Convergent sequence spaces defined by a modulus function, Theory and Applications of Mathematics and Computer Science,1(2)(2012),1-9.
- [13] V.A.Khan and K.Ebadullah, On a new difference sequence space of invariant means defined by Orlicz functions. Bulletin of Allahabad Mathematical society,26(2)(2011)(In Press).
- [14] V.A.Khan and S.Tabassum, Some Vector Valued Multiplier Difference Double Sequence Spaces in 2-normed Spaces Defined by Orlicz functions, J.Math.Comput.Sci.1(1)(2011),126-139.
- [15] H.Kizmaz, On certain sequence spaces, Canadian Math.Bull., 24(1981),169-176.
- [16] E.Kolk, On strong boundedness and summability with respect to a sequence of moduli, Acta Comment.Univ.Tartu,960(1993),41-50.
- [17] E.Kolk, Inclusion theorems for some sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli, Acta Comment.Univ.Tartu,970(1994),65-72.
- [18] P.Kostyrko, T.Salat and W.Wilczynski, I-convergence, Real Analysis Exchange,26(2)(2000),669-686.
- [19] H.Nakano, Concave modulars, J. Math Soc. Japan,5(1953)29-49.
- [20] W.H.Ruckle, On perfect Symmetric BK-spaces, Math. Ann.,175(1968)121-126.
- [21] W.H.Ruckle, Symmetric coordinate spaces and symmetric bases, Canad.J.Math.19(1967)828-838.
- [22] W.H.Ruckle., FK-spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded. Canad.J.Math.25(5)(1973)973-975.
- [23] T.Salat, On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers, Math.Slovaca,30(1980),139-150.
- [24] T.Salat, B.C.Tripathy and M.Ziman, On some properties of I-convergence, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.28(2004),279-286.