



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 5 (2015), No. 6, 875-885

ISSN: 1927-5307

A REGULARIZATION ITERATIVE PROCESS FOR SOLVING GENERALIZED VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES IN BANACH SPACES

S.Y. CHO¹, X. QIN^{2,3,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 660-701, Korea

²Department of Mathematics, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan 430000, China

³Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

Copyright © 2015 Cho and Qin. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this article, we investigate a generalized variational inequality based on a regularization iterative process. Strong convergence theorems of solutions are established in a 2-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space.

Keywords. Accretive operator; Banach spaces; Fixed point; Variational inequality.

1. Introduction-preliminaries

Variational inequality theory, which was introduced in sixties, has emerged as an interesting and fascinating branch of applicable mathematics with a wide range of applications in finance, economics, optimization, engineering, and medicine. Variational inequality theory is dynamic and experiencing an explosive growth in both theory and applications. Recently, fixed-point methods have been extensively investigated for solving variational inequalities; see [1-13] and the references therein. Among the fixed-point algorithms, Mann-like iterative algorithms are efficient for solving several nonlinear problems. However, Mann-like iterative algorithms are only weakly convergent even in Hilbert spaces. In many disciplines, including economics [14],

E-mail address: ooly61@hotmail.com

Received March 12, 2015

image recovery [15], quantum physics [16], and control theory [17], problems arise in infinite dimension spaces. In such problems, strong convergence is often much more desirable than weak convergence, for it translates the physically tangible property that the energy $\|x_n - x\|$ of the error between the iterate x_n and the solution x eventually becomes arbitrarily small. Recently, Moudafi [18] introduced a viscosity method for solving fixed points of nonlinear operators in the framework of Hilbert spaces. He showed that the convergence point is not only a fixed point of nonlinear operators but a unique solution to some monotone variational inequality; see [18] for more details and the references therein.

Let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a Banach space E . Let E^* be the dual space of E and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the pairing between E and E^* . For $q > 1$, the generalized duality mapping $J_q : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$ is defined by

$$J_q(x) = \{f \in E^* : \langle x, f \rangle = \|x\|^q, \|f\| = \|x\|^{q-1}\}$$

for all $x \in E$. In particular, $J = J_2$ is called the normalized duality mapping. It is known that $J_q(x) = \|x\|^{q-2}J(x)$ for all $x \in E$. We denote by j the single normalized duality mapping. Further, we have the following properties of the generalized duality mapping J_q :

- (a) $J_q(tx) = t^{q-1}J_q(x)$ for all $x \in E$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$;
- (b) $J_q(x) = \|x\|^{q-2}J_2(x)$ for all $x \in E$ with $x \neq 0$;
- (c) $J_q(-x) = -J_q(x)$ for all $x \in E$.

Let $U = \{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$. A Banach space E is said to be uniformly convex if, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for any $x, y \in U$,

$$\|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{implies} \quad \left\| \frac{x + y}{2} \right\| \leq 1 - \delta.$$

A Banach space E is said to be smooth if the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t}$ exists for all $x, y \in U$. It is also said to be uniformly smooth if the limit is attained uniformly for $x, y \in U$. The modulus of smoothness of E is defined by

$$\rho(\tau) = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\|x + y\| + \|x - y\|) - 1 : x, y \in X, \|x\| = 1, \|y\| = \tau \right\},$$

where $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function. It is known that E is uniformly smooth if and only if $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho(\tau)}{\tau} = 0$. Let q be a fixed real number with $1 < q \leq 2$. A Banach space E is said to be q -uniformly smooth if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $\rho(\tau) \leq c\tau^q$ for all $\tau > 0$.

Note that typical examples of both uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach spaces are L^p , where $p > 1$. More precisely, L^p is $\min\{p, 2\}$ -uniformly smooth for every $p > 1$. Note also that no Banach space is q -uniformly smooth for $q > 2$; see [19] for more details.

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E . Recall that an operator A of C into E is said to be accretive iff

$$\langle Ax - Ay, j(x - y) \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in C,$$

where $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$.

For $\alpha > 0$, recall that an operator A of C into E is said to be α -inverse-strongly accretive if

$$\langle Ax - Ay, j(x - y) \rangle \geq \alpha \|Ax - Ay\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C,$$

where $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$.

Let D be a subset of C and Q be a mapping of C into D . Then Q is said to be sunny if

$$Q(Qx + t(x - Qx)) = Qx,$$

whenever $Qx + t(x - Qx) \in C$ for $x \in C$ and $t \geq 0$. A subset D of C is called a sunny nonexpansive retract of C if there exists a sunny nonexpansive retraction from C onto D .

The following result describes a characterization of sunny nonexpansive retractions on a smooth Banach space.

Proposition 1.1. [20] *Let E be a smooth Banach space and let C be a nonempty subset of E . Let $Q : E \rightarrow C$ be a retraction and let J be the normalized duality mapping on E . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) Q is sunny and nonexpansive;
- (2) $\|Qx - Qy\|^2 \leq \langle x - y, J(Qx - Qy) \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in E;$
- (3) $\langle x - Qx, J(y - Qx) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall x \in E, y \in C.$

Proposition 1.2. [11] *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space E and let T be a nonexpansive mapping of C into itself with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Then the set $F(T)$ is a sunny nonexpansive retract of C .*

Recently, Aoyama *et al.* [7] considered the following generalized variational inequality problem:

Let E be a smooth Banach space and C a nonempty closed convex subset of E and A an accretive operator of C into E . Find a point $u \in C$ such that

$$\langle Au, J(v - u) \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in C. \quad (1.1)$$

Next, we use $VI(C, A)$ to denote the set of solutions of generalized variational inequality problem (1.1). In Hilbert spaces, generalized variational inequality reduces to the classical monotone variational inequality.

Aoyama *et al.* [7] proved that generalized variational inequality (1.1) is equivalent to a fixed point problem. The element $u \in C$ is a solution of generalized variational inequality (1.1) if and only if $u \in C$ satisfies equation

$$u = Q_C(u - \lambda Au), \quad (2.2)$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant and Q_C is a sunny nonexpansive retraction from E onto C .

For solving solutions of monotone variational inequalities, Iiduka *et al.* [8] proved the following theorem.

Theorem ITT. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H and let A be an α -inverse strongly monotone operator of H into H with $VI(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence defined as follows: $x_1 = x \in C$ and*

$$x_{n+1} = P_C(\alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)P_C(x_n - \lambda_n A x_n))$$

for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where P_C is the metric projection from H onto C , $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[-1, 1]$, and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0, 2\alpha]$. If $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ are chosen so that $\{\alpha_n\} \in [a, b]$ for some a, b with $-1 < a < b < 1$ and $\{\lambda_n\} \in [c, d]$ for some c, d with $0 < c < d < 2(1 + a)\alpha$, then $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to some element of $VI(C, A)$.

Recently, Aoyama, Iiduka and Takahashi [7] obtained a weak Theorem in a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space. To be more precise, they proved the following result.

Theorem AIT. *Let E be a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space and C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E . Let Q_C be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from E onto C , $\alpha > 0$ and A be an α -inverse strongly-accretive operator of C into E with $S(C,A) \neq \emptyset$, where*

$$S(C,A) = \{x^* \in C : \langle Ax^*, J(x - x^*) \rangle \geq 0, x \in C\}.$$

If $\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$ are chosen such that $\lambda_n \in [a, \frac{\alpha}{K^2}]$ for some $a > 0$ and $\alpha_n \in [b,c]$ for some b, c with $0 < b < c < 1$, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by the following manners:

$$x_1 = x \in C, \quad x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) Q_C(x_n - \lambda_n A x_n) \tag{Y'}$$

converges weakly to some element z of $S(C,A)$, where K is the 2-uniformly smoothness constant of E .

In this paper, motivated by research work going on this direction, we investigate generalized variational inequality (1.1) based on a regularization iterative process. Strong convergence theorems of solutions are established in a 2-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space.

In order to prove our main results, we need the following lemmas and definitions.

Lemma 1.3. [19] *Let E be a real 2-uniformly smooth Banach space with the best smooth constant K . Then the following inequality holds:*

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, Jx \rangle + 2\|Ky\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in E.$$

Lemma 1.4. [21]. *Assume that $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that*

$$\alpha_{n+1} \leq (1 - \gamma_n)\alpha_n + \delta_n,$$

where γ_n is a sequence in $(0, 1)$ and $\{\delta_n\}$ is a sequence such that

- (i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$;
- (ii) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n / \gamma_n \leq 0$ or $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\delta_n| < \infty$.

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$.

Lemma 1.5. [7] *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space E . Let Q_C be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from E onto C and let A be an accretive operator of C into E . Then, for all $\lambda > 0$, $VI(C, A) = F(Q_C(I - \lambda A))$.*

Lemma 1.6. [22] *Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space, C a nonempty closed convex subset of E and $T : K \rightarrow K$ a nonexpansive mapping. Then $I - T$ is demi-closed at zero.*

Lemma 1.7. [23] *Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be bounded sequences in a Banach space e and let $\{\beta_n\}$ be a sequence in $(0, 1)$ with $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$. Suppose that $x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)y_n + \beta_n x_n$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0$.*

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. *Let E be a 2-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space with the best smooth constant K . Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E . Let Q_C be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from E onto C and let $A : C \rightarrow E$ be an α -inverse-strongly accretive mapping with $VI(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated in the following process: $x_1 \in C$, $y_n = Q_C(x_n - \lambda_n A x_n)$, $x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n y_n$, $n \geq 1$, $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$, $\{\gamma_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, \alpha/K^2)$. Assume that $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$, $\{\gamma_n\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ satisfy $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$, $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}| = 0$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to \bar{x} , where $\bar{x} = Q_{VI(C, A)} f \bar{x}$.*

Proof. Fixing $x^* \in VI(C, A)$, we see $x^* = Q_C(x^* - \lambda_n A x^*)$. Using Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 1.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x^* - y_n\|^2 &= \|Q_C(x^* - \lambda_n A x^*) - Q_C(x_n - \lambda_n A x_n)\|^2 \\
 &\leq \|\lambda_n(A x_n - A x^*) - (x_n - x^*)\|^2 \\
 &\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + 2K^2 \lambda_n^2 \|A x_n - A x^*\|^2 - 2\lambda_n \langle A x_n - A x^*, J(x_n - x^*) \rangle \quad (2.1) \\
 &\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\lambda_n(\alpha - \lambda_n K^2) \|A x_n - A x^*\|^2 \\
 &\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x^*\| &\leq \alpha_n \|f(x_n) - x^*\| + \beta_n \|x_n - x^*\| + \gamma_n \|Q_C(x_n - \lambda_n Ax_n) - x^*\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \kappa \|x_n - x^*\| + \alpha_n \|f(x^*) - x^*\| + \beta_n \|x_n - x^*\| + \gamma_n \|Q_C(x_n - \lambda_n Ax_n) - x^*\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n(1 - \kappa)) \|x_n - x^*\| + \alpha_n \|f(x^*) - x^*\| \\ &\leq \max\{\|x_n - x^*\| + \frac{\|f(x^*) - x^*\|}{1 - \kappa}\}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, so is $\{y_n\}$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - y_{n+1}\| &\leq \|(x_{n+1} - \lambda_{n+1} Ax_{n+1}) - (x_n - \lambda_n Ax_n)\| \\ &\leq \|(x_{n+1} - \lambda_{n+1} Ax_{n+1}) - (x_n - \lambda_{n+1} Ax_n)\| + |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}| \|Ax_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| - 2\lambda_{n+1} \langle Ax_{n+1} - Ax_n, J(x_{n+1} - x_n) \rangle \\ &\quad + 2K^2 \lambda_{n+1}^2 \|Ax_{n+1} - Ax_n\|^2 + |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}| \|Ax_n\| \tag{2.2} \\ &\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| - 2\lambda_{n+1} \alpha \|Ax_{n+1} - Ax_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2K^2 \lambda_{n+1}^2 \|Ax_{n+1} - Ax_n\|^2 + |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}| \|Ax_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}| \|Ax_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)q_n + \beta_n x_n$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|q_{n+1} - q_n\| &= \left\| \frac{\alpha_{n+1} f(x_{n+1}) + \gamma_{n+1} y_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} - \frac{\alpha_n f(x_n) + \gamma_n y_n}{1 - \beta_n} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} f(x_{n+1}) + \frac{1 - \alpha_{n+1} - \beta_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} y_{n+1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} f(x_n) + \frac{1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n}{1 - \beta_n} y_n \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} \|f(x_{n+1}) - y_{n+1}\| + \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|f(x_n) - y_n\| + \|y_{n+1} - y_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.2), one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\|q_{n+1} - q_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} \|f(x_{n+1}) - y_{n+1}\| + \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|f(x_n) - y_n\| + |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}| \|Ax_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

From the restriction imposed on the control sequences, one has

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|q_{n+1} - q_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0.$$

This implies from Lemma 1.7 that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|q_n - x_n\| = 0$. It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

On the other hand, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - y_n\| &\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \alpha_n \|f(x_n) - y_n\| + \beta_n \|x_n - y_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.3) and the fact that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Next, we show that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(\bar{x}) - \bar{x}, J(x_n - \bar{x}) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (2.5)$$

To show (2.5), we choose a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(\bar{x}) - \bar{x}, J(x_{n_i} - \bar{x}) \rangle = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(\bar{x}) - \bar{x}, J(x_{n_i} - \bar{x}) \rangle. \quad (2.6)$$

Since $\{x_{n_i}\}$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_{i_j}}\}$ of $\{x_{n_i}\}$ which converges weakly to w . Without loss of generality, we can assume that $x_{n_i} \rightharpoonup w$. Next, we show that $w \in VI(C, A)$. From the assumption, we see that sequence $\{\lambda_{n_i}\}$ is bounded. So, there exists a subsequence $\{\lambda_{n_{i_j}}\}$ converges to λ_0 . We may, without loss of generality, assume that $\lambda_{n_i} \rightarrow \lambda_0$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n_i} - Q_C(x_{n_i} - \lambda_0 A x_{n_i})\| &\leq \|y_{n_i} - x_{n_i}\| + \|Q_C(x_{n_i} - \lambda_0 A x_{n_i}) - y_{n_i}\| \\ &\leq \|(x_{n_i} - \lambda_0 A x_{n_i}) - (x_{n_i} - \lambda_{n_i} A x_{n_i})\| + \|y_{n_i} - x_{n_i}\| \\ &\leq \|y_{n_i} - x_{n_i}\| + \|\lambda_{n_i} - \lambda_0\| K, \end{aligned}$$

where K is an appropriate constant such that $K \geq \sup_{n \geq 1} \{\|A x_n\|\}$. Using (2.4), one has

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|Q_C(x_{n_i} - \lambda_0 A x_{n_i}) - x_{n_i}\| = 0.$$

On the other hand, we know that $Q_C(I - \lambda_0 A)$ is nonexpansive. Indeed, for $x, y \in C$, from Lemma 1.3, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_C(I - \lambda_0 A)x - Q_C(I - \lambda_0 A)y\|^2 &\leq \|(I - \lambda_0 A)x - (I - \lambda_0 A)y\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 - 2\lambda_0 \langle Ax - Ay, J(x - y) \rangle + 2K^2 \lambda_0^2 \|Ax - Ay\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 + 2\lambda_0 (\lambda_0 K^2 - \alpha) \|Ax - Ay\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 1.6 that $w \in F(Q_C(I - \lambda_0 A))$. This in turn implies $w \in VI(C, A) = F(Q_C(I - \lambda_0 A))$. From (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(\bar{x}) - \bar{x}, J(x_n - \bar{x}) \rangle &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(\bar{x}) - \bar{x}, J(x_{n_i} - \bar{x}) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(\bar{x}) - \bar{x}, J(w - \bar{x}) \rangle \leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Finally, we show that $x_n \rightarrow \bar{x}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - \bar{x}\|^2 &= \langle \alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n y_n - \bar{x}, J(x_{n+1} - \bar{x}) \rangle \\ &= \alpha_n \langle f(x_n) - \bar{x}, J(x_{n+1} - \bar{x}) \rangle + \beta_n \langle x_n - \bar{x}, J(x_{n+1} - \bar{x}) \rangle + \gamma_n \langle y_n - \bar{x}, J(x_{n+1} - \bar{x}) \rangle \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|f(x_n) - f(\bar{x})\| \|x_{n+1} - \bar{x}\| + \alpha_n \langle f(\bar{x}) - \bar{x}, J(x_{n+1} - \bar{x}) \rangle + \beta_n \|x_n - \bar{x}\| \|x_{n+1} - \bar{x}\| \\ &\quad + \gamma_n \|y_n - \bar{x}\| \|x_{n+1} - \bar{x}\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n (1 - \kappa)) \|x_n - \bar{x}\| \|x_{n+1} - \bar{x}\| + \alpha_n \langle f(\bar{x}) - \bar{x}, J(x_{n+1} - \bar{x}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\|x_{n+1} - \bar{x}\|^2 \leq (1 - \alpha_n (1 - \kappa)) \|x_n - \bar{x}\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle f(\bar{x}) - \bar{x}, J(x_{n+1} - \bar{x}) \rangle$$

From assumptions $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ and applying Lemma 1.4, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - \bar{x}\| = 0.$$

This completes the proof.

In the framework of Hilbert space, 2-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach spaces are reduced to Hilbert space and sunny nonexpansive retraction Q_C from E onto C is reduced to metric projection $Proj_C$. Then Theorem 2.1 is reduced to the following.

Corollary 2.2. *Let E be a Hilbert space and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E . Let $A : C \rightarrow E$ be an α -inverse-strongly monotone mapping with $VI(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated in the following process: $x_1 \in C$, $y_n = \text{Proj}_C(x_n - \lambda_n Ax_n)$, $x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n y_n$, $n \geq 1$, $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$, $\{\gamma_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, \alpha/K^2)$. Assume that $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$, $\{\gamma_n\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ satisfy $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$, $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}| = 0$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to \bar{x} , where $\bar{x} = \text{Proj}_{VI(C, A)} f\bar{x}$.*

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgements

The authors were grateful to the reviewers for useful suggestions which improve the contents of this paper. This article was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant No.11401152.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Hao, Some results of variational inclusion problems and fixed point problems with applications, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 30 (2009), 1589-1596.
- [2] Y. Hao, Strong convergence of an iterative method for inverse strongly accretive operators, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2008 (2008), 420989.
- [3] Y. Yao, J.C. Yao, On modified iterative method for nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 186 (2007), 1551-1158.
- [4] H. Zegeye, N. Shahzad, Strong convergence theorem for a common point of solution of variational inequality and fixed point problem, *Adv. Fixed Point Theory*, 2 (2012), 374-397.
- [5] J.K. Kim, Strong convergence theorems by hybrid projection methods for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems of the asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2011 (2011), Article ID 10.
- [6] X. Qin, M. Shang, H. Zhou, Strong convergence of a general iterative method for variational inequality problems and fixed point problems in Hilbert spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 200 (2008), 242-253.
- [7] K. Aoyama, H. Iiduka, W. Takahashi, Weak convergence of an iterative sequence for accretive operators in Banach spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2006 (2006), 35390.

- [8] H. Iiduka, W. Takahashi, M. Toyoda, Approximation of solutions of variational inequalities for monotone mappings, *PanAmer. Math. J.* 14 (2004) 49-61.
- [9] H. Iiduka, W. Takahashi, Strong convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and inverse-strongly monotone mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.* 61 (2005) 341-350.
- [10] H. Iiduka, W. Takahashi, Weak convergence of a projection algorithm for variational inequalities in a Banach space, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 339 (2008) 668-679.
- [11] S. Kitahara and W. Takahashi, Image recovery by convex combinations of sunny nonexpansive retractions, *Topol. Meth. Nonlinear Anal.* 2 (1993) 333-342.
- [12] Y. Su, M. Shang, X. Qin, An iterative method of solution for equilibrium and optimization problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 69 (2008) 2709-2719.
- [13] J. Ye, J. Huang, An iterative method for mixed equilibrium problems, fixed point problems of strictly pseudocontractive mappings and nonexpansive semi-groups, *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.* 18 (2013), 307-325.
- [14] P.L. Combettes, The convex feasibility problem in image recovery, P. Hawkes, ed. *Advanced in Imaging and Electron Physics*, Academic Press, New York, (1996).
- [15] M.A. Khan, N.C. Yannelis, *Equilibrium theory in infinite dimensional spaces*, Springer-Verlage, New York, (1991).
- [16] H.O. Fattorini, *Infinite-dimensional optimization and control theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1999).
- [17] R. Dautray, J.L. Lions, *Mathematical analysis and numerical methods for science and technology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1988).
- [18] A. Moudafi, Viscosity approximation methods for fixed-points problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 241 (2000), 46-55.
- [19] H.K. Xu, Inequalities in Banach spaces with applications, *Nonlinear Anal.* 16 (1991), 1127-1138.
- [20] S. Reich, Asymptotic behavior of contractions in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 44 (1973) 57-70.
- [21] L.S. Liu, Ishikawa and Mann iterative process with errors for nonlinear strongly accretive mappings in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 194 (1995), 114-125.
- [22] F. E. Browder, Nonlinear operators and nonlinear equations of evolution in Banach spaces, *Proc. Symp. Pure. Math.* 18 (1976), 78-81.
- [23] T. Suzuki, Strong convergence of Krasnoselskii and Mann's type sequences for one-parameter nonexpansive semigroups without Bochner integrals, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 305 (2005), 227-239.