



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 6 (2016), No. 5, 692-705

ISSN: 1927-5307

THE PRODUCTS OF SOFT QUASI-UNIFORMITIES AND SOFT TOPOLOGIES

YONG CHAN KIM

Department of Mathematics, Gangneung-Wonju National University,

Gangneung 210-702, Korea

Copyright © 2016 Yong Chan Kim. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate the relations among soft topology, soft closure operators and soft quasi-uniformities in complete residuated lattices. We give their examples.

Keywords: Complete residuated lattices; Soft quasi-uniformities; Soft closure operators; Soft topologies.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 54A40, 03E72, 03G10, 06A15.

1. Introduction

Hájek [6] introduced a complete residuated lattice which is an algebraic structure for many valued logic. It is an important mathematical tool for algebraic structure of fuzzy contexts [7,11-16,26]. Many researcher introduced the notion of fuzzy uniformities in unit interval $[0,1]$ [3,17], complete distributive lattices [8,32]. Recently, Molodtsov [23] introduced the soft set as a mathematical tool for dealing information as the uncertainty of data in engineering, physics, computer sciences and many other diverse field. Presently, the soft set theory is making progress rapidly [1,5,11-15, 19,23, 30,31]. Pawlak's rough set [24,25] can be viewed as a special case of soft rough sets [5]. The topological structures of soft sets have been developed by many researchers [4,11-15,27,28].

Kim [15] introduced a fuzzy soft $F : A \rightarrow L^U$ as an extension as the soft $F : A \rightarrow P(U)$ where L is a complete residuated lattice. Kim [11-15] introduced the soft topological structures, fuzzy quasi-uniformities and soft closure operators in complete residuated lattices.

In this paper, we investigate the relations among soft topology, soft closure operators and soft quasi-uniformities in complete residuated lattices. We give their examples.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [2,6.7,26] An algebra $(L, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is called a complete residuated lattice if it satisfies the following conditions:

(C1) $L = (L, \leq, \vee, \wedge, 1, 0)$ is a complete lattice with the greatest element 1 and the least element 0;

(C2) $(L, \odot, 1)$ is a commutative monoid;

(C3) $x \odot y \leq z$ iff $x \leq y \rightarrow z$ for $x, y, z \in L$.

In this paper, we assume that $(L, \leq, \odot, \rightarrow)$ is a complete residuated lattice and we denote $L_0 = L - \{0\}$.

Lemma 2.2. [2,6.7,26] For each $x, y, z, x_i, y_i, w \in L$, we have the following properties.

- (1) $1 \rightarrow x = x, 0 \odot x = 0,$
- (2) If $y \leq z$, then $x \odot y \leq x \odot z, x \rightarrow y \leq x \rightarrow z$ and $z \rightarrow x \leq y \rightarrow x,$
- (3) $x \odot y \leq x \wedge y \leq x \vee y,$
- (4) $x \odot (\bigvee_i y_i) = \bigvee_i (x \odot y_i),$
- (5) $x \rightarrow (\bigwedge_i y_i) = \bigwedge_i (x \rightarrow y_i),$
- (6) $(\bigvee_i x_i) \rightarrow y = \bigwedge_i (x_i \rightarrow y),$
- (7) $x \rightarrow (\bigvee_i y_i) \geq \bigvee_i (x \rightarrow y_i),$
- (8) $(\bigwedge_i x_i) \rightarrow y \geq \bigvee_i (x_i \rightarrow y),$
- (9) $(x \odot y) \rightarrow z = x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z),$
- (10) $x \odot (x \rightarrow y) \leq y$ and $x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z),$
- (11) $(x \rightarrow y) \odot (z \rightarrow w) \leq (x \odot z) \rightarrow (y \odot w),$

$$(12) x \rightarrow y \leq (x \odot z) \rightarrow (y \odot z) \text{ and } (x \rightarrow y) \odot (y \rightarrow z) \leq x \rightarrow z.$$

Definition 2.3. [15] Let X be an initial universe of objects and E the set of parameters (attributes) in X . A pair (F, A) is called a *fuzzy soft set* over X , where $A \subset E$ and $F : A \rightarrow L^X$ is a mapping. We denote $S(X, A)$ as the family of all fuzzy soft sets under the parameter A .

Definition 2.4.[15] Let (F, A) and (G, A) be two fuzzy soft sets over a common universe X .

(1) (F, A) is a fuzzy soft subset of (G, A) , denoted by $(F, A) \leq (G, A)$ if $F(a) \leq G(a)$, for each $a \in A$.

(2) $(F, A) \wedge (G, A) = (F \wedge G, A)$ if $(F \wedge G)(a) = F(a) \wedge G(a)$ for each $a \in A$.

(3) $(F, A) \vee (G, A) = (F \vee G, A)$ if $(F \vee G)(a) = F(a) \vee G(a)$ for each $a \in A$.

(4) $(F, A) \odot (G, A) = (F \odot G, A)$ if $(F \odot G)(a) = F(a) \odot G(a)$ for each $a \in A$.

(6) $\alpha \odot (F, A) = (\alpha \odot F, A)$ for each $\alpha \in L$.

Definition 2.5. [12] A map $\tau \subset S(X, A)$ is called a soft topology on X if it satisfies the following conditions.

(ST1) $(0_X, A), (1_X, A) \in \tau$, where $0_X(a)(x) = 0, 1_X(a)(x) = 1$ for all $a \in A, x \in X$,

(ST2) If $(F, A), (G, A) \in \tau$, then $(F, A) \odot (G, A) \in \tau$,

(T) If $(F_i, A) \in \tau$ for each $i \in I, \bigvee_{i \in I} (F_i, A) \in \tau$.

A map $\tau \subset S(X, A)$ is called a soft cotopology on X if it satisfies (ST1), (ST2) and

(CT) If $(F_i, A) \in \tau$ for each $i \in I, \bigwedge_{i \in I} (F_i, A) \in \tau$.

The triple (X, A, τ) is called a soft topological (resp. cotopological) space.

Let (X, A, τ_1) and (X, A, τ_2) be soft fuzzy topological spaces. Then τ_1 is finer than τ_2 if $(F, A) \in \tau_1$, for all $(F, A) \in \tau_2$.

Definition 2.6. [13] A subset $\mathbf{U} \subset S(X \times X, A)$ is called a soft quasi-uniformity on X iff it satisfies the properties.

(SU1) $(1_{X \times X}, A) \in \mathbf{U}$.

(SU2) If $(V, A) \leq (U, A)$ and $(V, A) \in \mathbf{U}$, then $(U, A) \in \mathbf{U}$.

(SU3) For every $(U, A), (V, A) \in \mathbf{U}, (U, A) \odot (V, A) \in \mathbf{U}$.

(SU4) If $(U, A) \in \mathbf{U}$ then $(1_{\Delta}, A) \leq (U, A)$ where

$$1_{\Delta}(a)(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = y \\ \perp, & \text{if } x \neq y, \end{cases}$$

(SU5) For every $(U, A) \in \mathbf{U}$, there exists $(V, A) \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $(V, A) \circ (V, A) \leq (U, A)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} ((V, A) \circ (V, A))(a)(x, y) &= (V(a) \circ V(a))(x, y) \\ &= \bigvee_{z \in X} (V(a)(z, x) \odot V(a)(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X, a \in A. \end{aligned}$$

The triple (X, A, \mathbf{U}) is called a soft quasi-uniform space.

A soft quasi-uniformity \mathbf{U} on X is said to be a soft uniformity if

(U) if $(U, A) \in \mathbf{U}$, then $(U^{-1}, A) \in \mathbf{U}$ where $U^{-1}(a)(x, y) = U(a)(y, x)$.

Definition 2.7. [8] A mapping $cl : S(X, A) \rightarrow S(X, A)$ is called a soft closure operator if it satisfies the following conditions;

- (C1) $cl(0_X, A) = (0_X, A)$,
- (C2) $cl(F, A) \geq (F, A)$,
- (C3) If $(F, A) \leq (G, A)$, then $cl(F, A) \leq cl(G, A)$,
- (C4) $cl(cl(F, A)) = (F, A)$,
- (C5) $cl((F, A) \odot (G, A)) \leq cl(F, A) \odot cl(G, A)$.

The pair (X, A, cl) is called a soft closure space.

Theorem 2.8. [14] Let (X, A, \mathbf{U}) be a soft quasi-uniform space. Define $cl_{\mathbf{U}}^r, cl_{\mathbf{U}}^l : S(X, A) \rightarrow S(X, A)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} cl_{\mathbf{U}}^r(F, A)(y) &= \bigwedge_{(U, A) \in \mathbf{U}} \left(\bigvee_{x \in X} (U, A)(y, x) \odot (F, A)(x) \right), \\ cl_{\mathbf{U}}^l(F, A)(y) &= \bigwedge_{(U, A) \in \mathbf{U}} \left(\bigvee_{x \in X} (U, A)(x, y) \odot (F, A)(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for $cl \in \{cl_{\mathbf{U}}^r, cl_{\mathbf{U}}^l\}$, we have following properties.

- (1) $cl(0_X, A) = (0_X, A)$ and $cl(F, A) \leq cl(G, A)$ for $(F, A) \leq (G, A)$.
- (2) $(F, A) \leq cl(F, A)$.
- (3) $cl(cl(F, A)) = cl(F, A)$.
- (4) If L satisfies $a \odot \bigwedge_{i \in I} b_i = \bigwedge_{i \in I} (a \odot b_i)$, then $cl((F, A) \odot (G, A)) \leq cl(F, A) \odot cl(G, A)$.

Remark 2.9. If (L, \odot) is a continuous t-norm, then $a \odot \bigwedge_{i \in I} b_i = \bigwedge_{i \in I} (a \odot b_i)$.

Theorem 2.10. [13] Let (X, A, \mathbf{U}) be a soft quasi-uniform space and $a \odot \bigwedge_{i \in I} b_i = \bigwedge_{i \in I} (a \odot b_i)$ for $a, b_i \in L$. Define $\tau_{\mathbf{U}}^r, \tau_{\mathbf{U}}^l \subset S(X, A)$ as follows

$$\tau_{\mathbf{U}}^r = \{(F, A) \in S(X, A) \mid cl_{\mathbf{U}}^r(F, A) = (F, A)\},$$

$$\tau_{\mathbf{U}}^l = \{(F, A) \in S(X, A) \mid cl_{\mathbf{U}}^l(F, A) = (F, A)\}.$$

Then (1) $\tau_{\mathbf{U}}^r$ is a soft topology on X such that $\tau_{\mathbf{U}}^r = \{cl_{\mathbf{U}}^r(F, A) \mid (F, A) \in S(X, A)\}$.

(2) $\tau_{\mathbf{U}}^l$ is a soft topology on X such that $\tau_{\mathbf{U}}^l = \{cl_{\mathbf{U}}^l(F, A) \mid (F, A) \in S(X, A)\}$.

Lemma 2.11. [13] For every $(F, A), (G, A) \in S(X, A)$, we define $(U_F, A) \in S(X \times X, A)$ by

$$U_F(a)(x, y) = F(a)(x) \rightarrow F(a)(y).$$

then we have the following statements

$$(1) (1_{X \times X}, A) = (U_{0_X}, A) = (U_{1_X}, A),$$

$$(2) (1_{\Delta}, A) \leq (U_F, A),$$

$$(3) (U_F, A) \circ (U_F, A) = (U_F, A),$$

$$(4) (U_F, A) \odot (U_G, A) \leq (U_{F \odot G}, A).$$

Theorem 2.12. [13] Let (X, A, τ) be a soft topological space. Define a function $\mathbf{U}_{\tau} : S(X \times X, A) \rightarrow L$ by

$$\mathbf{U}_{\tau} = \{(U, A) \in S(X \times X, A) \mid \bigodot_{i=1}^n (U_{G_i}, A) \leq (U, A), (G_i, A) \in \tau\}$$

where the first \bigvee is taken over every finite family $\{U_{(G_i, A)} \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$. Then

(1) \mathbf{U}_{τ} is a soft quasi-uniformity on X .

(2) $\tau \subset \tau_{\mathbf{U}_{\tau}}^l$.

3. The products of soft uniformities and soft topologies

Theorem 3.1. Let \mathbf{U}_1 and \mathbf{U}_2 be soft quasi-uniformities on X . We define

$$\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2 = \{(U, A) \in S(X \times X, A) \mid (U_1, A) \odot (U_2, A) \leq (U, A), (U_1, A) \in \mathbf{U}_1, (U_2, A) \in \mathbf{U}_2\}.$$

Then we have the following properties.

(1) $\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2$ is the coarsest quasi-uniformity on X which is finer than \mathbf{U}_1 and \mathbf{U}_2 .

(2) If $a \odot \bigwedge_{i \in I} b_i = \bigwedge_{i \in I} (a \odot b_i)$ for $a, b_i \in L$, then

$$cl_{\mathbf{U}_1}^r(F, A) \odot cl_{\mathbf{U}_2}^r(G, A) = cl_{\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2}^r((F, A) \odot (G, A)).$$

(3) If $a \odot \bigwedge_{i \in I} b_i = \bigwedge_{i \in I} (a \odot b_i)$ for $a, b_i \in L$, then $\tau_{\mathbf{U}_1}^r \oplus \tau_{\mathbf{U}_2}^r = \tau_{\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2}^r$ where

$$\tau_{\mathbf{U}_1}^r \oplus \tau_{\mathbf{U}_2}^r = \{(F, A) = (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A) \mid (F_i, A) \in \tau_{\mathbf{U}_i}^r, i = 1, 2\}.$$

(4) If $a \odot \bigwedge_{i \in I} b_i = \bigwedge_{i \in I} (a \odot b_i)$ for $a, b_i \in L$, then $\tau_{\mathbf{U}_1}^l \oplus \tau_{\mathbf{U}_2}^l = \tau_{\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2}^l$ where

$$\tau_{\mathbf{U}_1}^l \oplus \tau_{\mathbf{U}_2}^l = \{(F, A) = (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A) \mid (F_i, A) \in \tau_{\mathbf{U}_i}^l, i = 1, 2\}.$$

(5) If (X, A, τ_1) and (X, A, τ_2) are soft fuzzy topological spaces, then $\mathbf{U}_{\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2} \subset \mathbf{U}_{\tau_1} \oplus \mathbf{U}_{\tau_2}$.

Proof. (1) (SU1) $(1_{X \times X}, A) \in \mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2$ because $(1_{X \times X}, A) \odot (1_{X \times X}, A) = (1_{X \times X}, A)$ for $(1_{X \times X}, A) \in U_i, i = 1, 2$.

(SU2) If $(V, A) \leq (U, A)$ and $(V, A) \in \mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2$, then there exist $(V_i, A) \in \mathbf{U}_i, i = 1, 2$, with $(V_1, A) \odot (V_2, A) \leq (V, A) \leq (U, A)$. Thus $(U, A) \in \mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2$.

(SU3) For every $(U, A), (V, A) \in \mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2$, then there exist $(U_i, A), (V_i, A) \in \mathbf{U}_i, i = 1, 2$, with $(U_1, A) \odot (U_2, A) \leq (U, A)$ and $(V_1, A) \odot (V_2, A) \leq (V, A)$. Thus $(U_1, A) \odot (U_2, A) \odot (V_1, A) \odot (V_2, A) \leq (U, A) \odot (V, A)$. Hence $(U, A) \odot (V, A) \in \mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2$.

(SU4) If $(U, A) \in \mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2$, then there exist $(U_i, A) \in \mathbf{U}_i, i = 1, 2$, with $(U_1, A) \odot (U_2, A) \leq (U, A)$. Since $(U_i, A) \in \mathbf{U}_i, i = 1, 2$, by (SU4), $(1_{\Delta}, A) \leq (U_i, A), i = 1, 2$. Hence $(1_{\Delta}, A) \leq (U, A)$.

(SU5) For each $(U, A) \in \mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2$, there exist $(U_1, A) \in \mathbf{U}_1$ and $(U_2, A) \in \mathbf{U}_2$ such that $(U_1, A) \odot (U_2, A) \leq (U, A)$. For each $(U_i, A) \in \mathbf{U}_i, i = 1, 2$, there exists $(V_i, A) \in \mathbf{U}_i$ such that $(V_i, A) \odot (V_i, A) \leq (U_i, A)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& (((V_1, A) \odot (V_2, A)) \circ ((V_1, A) \odot (V_2, A)))(a)(x, y) \\
&= (V_1(a) \odot V_2(a)) \circ (V_1(a) \odot V_2(a))(x, y) \\
&= \bigvee_{z \in X} ((V_1(a) \odot V_2(a))(x, z) \odot (V_1(a) \odot V_2(a))(z, y)) \\
&= \bigvee_{z \in X} ((V_1(a)(x, z) \odot V_1(a)(z, y)) \odot (V_2(a)(x, z) \odot V_2(a)(z, y))) \\
&\leq \bigvee_{z \in X} (V_1(a)(x, z) \odot V_1(a)(z, y)) \odot \bigvee_{w \in X} (V_2(a)(x, w) \odot V_2(a)(w, y)) \\
&= ((V_1, A) \circ (V_1, A))(a)(x, y) \odot ((V_2, A) \circ (V_2, A))(a)(x, y) \\
&= (U_1, A)(a)(x, y) \odot (U_2, A)(a)(x, y) \leq (U, A)(a)(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists $(V_1, A) \odot (V_2, A) \in \mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2$ such that $((V_1, A) \odot (V_2, A)) \circ ((V_1, A) \odot (V_2, A)) \leq (U, A)$.

If $(U_1, A) \in \mathbf{U}_1$, then $(U_1, A) \odot (1_{X \times X}, A) = (U_1, A)$ such that $(U_1, A) \in \mathbf{U}_1, (1_{X \times X}, A) \in \mathbf{U}_2$. Hence $(U_1, A) \in \mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2$, i.e. $\mathbf{U}_1 \subset \mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2$. Similarly, $\mathbf{U}_2 \subset \mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2$. If $\mathbf{U}_i \subset \mathbf{V}$ and \mathbf{V} is a soft quasi-uniformity, for $(U, A) \in \mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2$, there exists $(U_i, A) \in \mathbf{U}_i$ such that $(U_1, A) \odot (U_2, A) \leq (U, A)$. Since $(U_i, A) \in \mathbf{V}$, then $(U_1, A) \odot (U_2, A) \in \mathbf{V}$. Hence $(U, A) \in \mathbf{V}$. So, $\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2 \subset \mathbf{V}$.

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
& cl_{\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2}^r((F, A) \odot (G, A))(y) \\
&= \bigwedge_{U \in \mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2} (\bigvee_{x \in X} (U, A)(y, x) \odot (F, A)(x) \odot (G, A)(x)) \\
&\geq \bigwedge_{U_1 \odot U_2 \in \mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2} (\bigvee_{x \in X} (U_1, A)(y, x) \odot (U_2, A)(y, x) \odot (F, A)(x) \odot (G, A)(x)) \\
&= \bigwedge_{U_1 \in \mathbf{U}_1, U_2 \in \mathbf{U}_2} (\bigvee_{x \in X} (U_1, A)(y, x) \odot (U_2, A)(y, x) \odot (F, A)(x) \odot (G, A)(x)) \\
&= \bigwedge_{U_1 \in \mathbf{U}_1} (\bigvee_{x \in X} (U_1, A)(y, x) \odot (F, A)(x)) \\
&\quad \odot \bigwedge_{U_2 \in \mathbf{U}_2} (\bigvee_{x \in X} (U_2, A)(y, x) \odot (G, A)(x)) \\
&= cl_{\mathbf{U}_1}^r(F, A)(y) \odot cl_{\mathbf{U}_2}^r(G, A)(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose there exist $(F, A) \in \mathbf{U}_1, (G, A) \in \mathbf{U}_2$ and $y \in X$ such that

$$cl_{\mathbf{U}_1}^r(F, A)(y) \odot cl_{\mathbf{U}_2}^r(G, A)(y) \not\geq cl_{\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2}^r((F, A) \odot (G, A))(y).$$

Then there exist $U_1 \in \mathbf{U}_1, U_2 \in \mathbf{U}_2$ such that

$$\bigvee_{x \in X} (U_1(y, x) \odot (F, A)(x)) \odot \bigvee_{z \in X} (U_2(y, z) \odot (G, A)(z)) \not\geq cl_{\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2}^r((F, A) \odot (G, A))(y).$$

It follows

$$\bigvee_{x \in X} ((U_1 \odot U_2)(y, x) \odot ((F, A) \odot (G, A))(x)) \not\geq cl_{\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2}^r((F, A) \odot (G, A))(y).$$

It is a contradiction. Hence $cl_{\mathbf{U}_1}^r(F, A) \odot cl_{\mathbf{U}_2}^r(G, A) \geq cl_{\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2}^r((F, A) \odot (G, A))$. Thus the result holds.

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} (F, A) &\in \tau_{\mathbf{U}_1}^r \oplus \tau_{\mathbf{U}_2}^r \\ \text{iff } (F, A) &= (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A) = cl_{\mathbf{U}_1}^r(F_1, A) \odot cl_{\mathbf{U}_2}^r(F_2, A) \\ \text{iff } (F, A) &= (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A) = cl_{\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2}^r((F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A)) \\ \text{iff } (F, A) &\in \tau_{\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2}^r. \end{aligned}$$

(4) It is similarly proved as (3).

(5) Let $(U, A) \in \mathbf{U}_{\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2}$. Then there exist $(F_i, A) \in \tau_i$ such that $\odot_{j=1}^n (U_{F_{j1} \odot F_{j2}}, A) \leq (U, A)$.

Since $(U_{F_{j1}}, A) \odot (U_{F_{j2}}, A) \leq (U_{F_{j1} \odot F_{j2}}, A)$ from Lemma 2.11(4), we have

$$\odot_{j=1}^n (U_{F_{j1}}, A) \odot (\odot_{j=1}^n (U_{F_{j2}}, A)) \leq \odot_{j=1}^n (U_{F_{j1} \odot F_{j2}}, A) \leq (U, A).$$

Since $\odot_{j=1}^n (U_{F_{j1}}, A) \in \mathbf{U}_{\tau_1}$, $\odot_{j=1}^n (U_{F_{j2}}, A) \in \mathbf{U}_{\tau_2}$, we have $(U, A) \in \mathbf{U}_{\tau_1} \oplus \mathbf{U}_{\tau_2}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let \mathbf{U} be a soft quasi-uniformities on X . We define

$$\mathbf{U}^{-1} = \{(U, A) \in \mathcal{S}(X \times X, A) \mid (U^{-1}, A) \in \mathbf{U}\}.$$

$$\mathbf{U} \oplus \mathbf{U}^{-1} = \{(U, A) \in \mathcal{S}(X \times X, A) \mid (U_1, A) \odot (U_2, A) \leq (U, A), (U_1, A) \in \mathbf{U}, (U_2, A) \in \mathbf{U}^{-1}\}.$$

Then we have the following properties.

- (1) \mathbf{U}^{-1} a soft quasi-uniformities on X .
- (2) $\mathbf{U} \oplus \mathbf{U}^{-1}$ is the coarsest uniformity on X which is finer than \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{U}^{-1} .
- (3) If $a \odot \bigwedge_{i \in I} b_i = \bigwedge_{i \in I} (a \odot b_i)$ for $a, b_i \in L$, then

$$cl_{\mathbf{U}}^r(F, A) = cl_{\mathbf{U}^{-1}}^l(F, A), cl_{\mathbf{U}}^l(F, A) = cl_{\mathbf{U}^{-1}}^r(F, A),$$

$$cl_{\mathbf{U}}^r(F, A) \odot cl_{\mathbf{U}^{-1}}^r(G, A) = cl_{\mathbf{U} \oplus \mathbf{U}^{-1}}^r((F, A) \odot (G, A)).$$

(4) If $a \odot \bigwedge_{i \in I} b_i = \bigwedge_{i \in I} (a \odot b_i)$ for $a, b_i \in L$, then $\tau_{\mathbf{U}}^r = \tau_{\mathbf{U}^{-1}}^l$, $\tau_{\mathbf{U}}^l = \tau_{\mathbf{U}^{-1}}^r$, $\tau_{\mathbf{U}}^r \oplus \tau_{\mathbf{U}^{-1}}^r = \tau_{\mathbf{U} \oplus \mathbf{U}^{-1}}^r = \tau_{\mathbf{U} \oplus \mathbf{U}^{-1}}^l$ where

$$\tau_{\mathbf{U}}^r \oplus \tau_{\mathbf{U}^{-1}}^r = \{(F, A) = (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A) \mid (F_1, A) \in \tau_{\mathbf{U}}^r, (F_2, A) \in \tau_{\mathbf{U}^{-1}}^r\} = \tau_{\mathbf{U}}^l \oplus \tau_{\mathbf{U}^{-1}}^l.$$

Proof. (1) (SU5) For $(U, A) \in \mathbf{U}^{-1}$ iff $(U^{-1}, A) \in \mathbf{U}$, there exists $(V, A) \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $(V, A) \circ (V, A) \leq (U^{-1}, A)$ iff $(V^{-1}, A) \circ (V^{-1}, A) \leq (U, A)$. Other cases are easily proved.

(2) $\mathbf{U} \oplus \mathbf{U}^{-1}$ is the coarsest uniformity on X from Theorem 3.1(1) and

$$\begin{aligned} (U, A) \in \mathbf{U} \oplus \mathbf{U}^{-1} \\ \text{iff } (U, A) \geq (U_1, A) \odot (U_2, A), (U_1, A) \in \mathbf{U}, (U_2, A) \in \mathbf{U}^{-1} \\ \text{iff } (U^{-1}, A) \geq (U_1^{-1}, A) \odot (U_2^{-1}, A), (U_1^{-1}, A) \in \mathbf{U}^{-1}, (U_2^{-1}, A) \in \mathbf{U} \\ \text{iff } (U^{-1}, A) \in \mathbf{U} \oplus \mathbf{U}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

(3) It follows from Theorem 3.1(2) and the definition of $cl_{\mathbf{U}}^r$.

(4) By (3), we have $\tau_{\mathbf{U}}^r = \tau_{\mathbf{U}^{-1}}^l$, $\tau_{\mathbf{U}}^l = \tau_{\mathbf{U}^{-1}}^r$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathbf{U}}^r \oplus \tau_{\mathbf{U}^{-1}}^r &= \{(F, A) = (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A) \mid (F_1, A) \in \tau_{\mathbf{U}}^r, (F_2, A) \in \tau_{\mathbf{U}^{-1}}^r\} \\ &= \{(F, A) = (F_1, A) \odot (F_2, A) \mid (F_1, A) \in \tau_{\mathbf{U}^{-1}}^l, (F_2, A) \in \tau_{\mathbf{U}}^l\} \\ &= \tau_{\mathbf{U}}^l \oplus \tau_{\mathbf{U}^{-1}}^l. \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.3. Let $X = \{h_i \mid i = \{1, \dots, 4\}\}$ with h_i =house and $E_Y = \{e, b, w, c, i\}$ with e =expensive, b =beautiful, w =wooden, c = creative, i =in the green surroundings.

Let $(L = [0, 1], \odot, \rightarrow)$ be a complete residuated lattice defined by

$$x \odot y = x \wedge y, \quad x \rightarrow y = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \leq y, \\ y, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $X = \{x, y, z\}$ be a set and $W_i(e), W_i(b) \in S(X \times X, A)$ such that

$$W_1(e) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0.7 & 1 & 0.8 \\ 0.4 & 0.4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad W_1(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.6 & 0.7 \\ 0.4 & 1 & 0.4 \\ 0.5 & 0.6 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$W_2(e) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.4 & 0.3 \\ 0.4 & 1 & 0.3 \\ 0.6 & 0.5 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad W_2(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.3 & 0.3 \\ 0.6 & 1 & 0.7 \\ 0.5 & 0.4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(W_1 \wedge W_2)(e) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.4 & 0.3 \\ 0.4 & 1 & 0.3 \\ 0.4 & 0.4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (W_1 \wedge W_2)(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.3 & 0.3 \\ 0.4 & 1 & 0.4 \\ 0.5 & 0.4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Define $\mathbf{U}_i = \{(U, A) \in \mathcal{S}(X \times X, A) \mid (U, A) \geq (W_i, A)\}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

(1) Since $W_i(e) \circ W_i(e) = W_i(e)$ and $W_i(b) \circ W_i(b) = W_i(b)$, \mathbf{U}_i is a soft quasi-uniformity on X .

(2) From Theorem 2.10(1), we obtain $\tau_{\mathbf{U}_1}^r = \{cl_{\mathbf{U}_1}^r(F, A) \mid (F, A) \in L^X\}$ where

$$cl_{\mathbf{U}_1}^r(F, A)(e) = \begin{pmatrix} F(e)(x) \vee (0.5 \wedge F(e)(y)) \vee (0.5 \wedge F(e)(z)) \\ (0.7 \wedge F(e)(x)) \vee F(e)(y) \vee (0.8 \wedge F(e)(z)) \\ (0.4 \wedge F(e)(x)) \vee (0.4 \wedge F(e)(y)) \vee F(e)(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$cl_{\mathbf{U}_1}^r(F, A)(b) = \begin{pmatrix} F(b)(x) \vee (0.6 \wedge F(b)(y)) \vee (0.7 \wedge F(b)(z)) \\ (0.4 \wedge F(b)(x)) \vee F(b)(y) \vee (0.4 \wedge F(b)(z)) \\ (0.5 \wedge F(b)(x)) \vee (0.6 \wedge F(b)(y)) \vee F(b)(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

Also, we have $\tau_{\mathbf{U}_2}^l = \{cl_{\mathbf{U}_2}^l(F, A) \mid (F, A) \in L^X\}$ where

$$cl_{\mathbf{U}_2}^l(F, A)(e) = \begin{pmatrix} F(e)(x) \vee (0.4 \wedge F(e)(y)) \vee (0.3 \wedge F(e)(z)) \\ (0.4 \wedge F(e)(x)) \vee F(e)(y) \vee (0.3 \wedge F(e)(z)) \\ (0.6 \wedge F(e)(x)) \vee (0.5 \wedge F(e)(y)) \vee F(e)(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$cl_{\mathbf{U}_2}^l(F, A)(b) = \begin{pmatrix} F(b)(x) \vee (0.3 \wedge F(b)(y)) \vee (0.3 \wedge F(b)(z)) \\ (0.6 \wedge F(b)(x)) \vee F(b)(y) \vee (0.7 \wedge F(b)(z)) \\ (0.5 \wedge F(b)(x)) \vee (0.4 \wedge F(b)(y)) \vee F(b)(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

(3) From Theorem 3.3(3), we obtain $\tau_{\mathbf{U}_1}^r \oplus \tau_{\mathbf{U}_2}^l = \tau_{\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2}^r = \{cl_{\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2}^r(F, A) \mid (F, A) \in L^X\}$ as follows:

$$cl_{\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2}^l(F, A)(e) = \begin{pmatrix} F(e)(x) \vee (0.4 \wedge F(e)(y)) \vee (0.3 \wedge F(e)(z)) \\ (0.4 \wedge F(e)(x)) \vee F(e)(y) \vee (0.3 \wedge F(e)(z)) \\ (0.4 \wedge F(e)(x)) \vee (0.4 \wedge F(e)(y)) \vee F(e)(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$cl_{\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2}^l(F, A)(b) = \begin{pmatrix} F(b)(x) \vee (0.3 \wedge F(b)(y)) \vee (0.3 \wedge F(b)(z)) \\ (0.4 \wedge F(b)(x)) \vee F(b)(y) \vee (0.4 \wedge F(b)(z)) \\ (0.5 \wedge F(b)(x)) \vee (0.4 \wedge F(b)(y)) \vee F(b)(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

Similarly, we obtain $\tau_{\mathbf{U}_1}^l \oplus \tau_{\mathbf{U}_2}^l = \tau_{\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2}^l = \{cl_{\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2}^l(F, A) \mid (F, A) \in L^X\}$ as follows:

$$cl_{\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2}^l(F, A)(e) = \begin{pmatrix} F(e)(x) \vee (0.4 \wedge F(e)(y)) \vee (0.4 \wedge F(e)(z)) \\ (0.4 \wedge F(e)(x)) \vee F(e)(y) \vee (0.4 \wedge F(e)(z)) \\ (0.3 \wedge F(e)(x)) \vee (0.3 \wedge F(e)(y)) \vee F(e)(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$cl_{\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_2}^l(F, A)(b) = \begin{pmatrix} F(b)(x) \vee (0.4 \wedge F(b)(y)) \vee (0.5 \wedge F(b)(z)) \\ (0.3 \wedge F(b)(x)) \vee F(b)(y) \vee (0.4 \wedge F(b)(z)) \\ (0.3 \wedge F(b)(x)) \vee (0.4 \wedge F(b)(y)) \vee F(b)(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

(4) We obtain a soft quasi-uniformity $\mathbf{U}_1^{-1} = \{(U, A) \in S(X \times X, A) \mid (U, A) \geq (W_1^{-1}, A)\}$

where

$$W_1^{-1}(e) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.7 & 0.4 \\ 0.5 & 1 & 0.4 \\ 0.5 & 0.8 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad W_1^{-1}(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.4 & 0.5 \\ 0.6 & 1 & 0.6 \\ 0.7 & 0.4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

From Theorem 3.2 (2), we obtain a soft uniformity $\mathbf{U}_1 \oplus \mathbf{U}_1^{-1} = \{(U, A) \in S(X \times X, A) \mid (U, A) \geq (W \wedge W_1^{-1}, A)\}$ where

$$W \wedge W_1^{-1}(e) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.5 & 0.4 \\ 0.5 & 1 & 0.4 \\ 0.4 & 0.4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad W \wedge W_1^{-1}(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.4 & 0.5 \\ 0.4 & 1 & 0.4 \\ 0.5 & 0.4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(5) Let $\tau_1 = \{(0_X, A), (1_X, A), (F_1, A)\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{(0_X, A), (1_X, A), (F_2, A)\}$ where

$$F_1(e) = (0.4, 0.5, 0.6), \quad F_1(b) = (0.7, 0.4, 0.9),$$

$$F_2(e) = (0.5, 0.1, 0.3), \quad F_2(b) = (0.6, 0.7, 0.4).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_{F_1}(e) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.4 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.4 & 0.5 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & U_{F_1}(b) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.4 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.7 & 0.4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 U_{F_2}(e) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.1 & 0.3 \\ 0.4 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.4 & 0.1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & U_{F_2}(b) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0.4 \\ 0.6 & 1 & 0.4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 U_{F_1} \wedge U_{F_2}(e) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.1 & 0.3 \\ 0.4 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.4 & 0.1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & U_{F_1} \wedge U_{F_2}(b) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.4 & 0.4 \\ 0.6 & 1 & 0.4 \\ 0.7 & 0.4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 U_{F_1 \wedge F_2}(e) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.1 & 0.3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0.1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & U_{F_1 \wedge F_2}(b) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.4 & 0.4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Define $\mathbf{U}_{\tau_i} = \{(U, A) \in \mathcal{S}(X \times X, A) \mid (U, A) \geq (U_{F_i}, A)\}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since $(U_{F_i}, A) \circ (U_{F_i}, A) = (U_{F_i}, A)$, \mathbf{U}_i is a soft quasi-uniformity for $i = 1, 2$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{U}_{\tau_1} \oplus \mathbf{U}_{\tau_2} &= \{(U, A) \in \mathcal{S}(X \times X, A) \mid (U, A) \geq (U_{F_1} \wedge U_{F_1}, A)\} \\
 \mathbf{U}_{\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2} &= \{(U, A) \in \mathcal{S}(X \times X, A) \mid (U, A) \geq (U_{F_1 \wedge F_2}, A)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathbf{U}_{\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2} \subset \mathbf{U}_{\tau_1} \oplus \mathbf{U}_{\tau_2}$.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

[1] K.V. Babitha, J.J. Sunil, Soft set relations and functions, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 60 (2010), 1840-1849.
 [2] R. Bělohlávek, *Fuzzy Relational Systems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York, 2002.
 [3] M.H. Burton, The relationship between a fuzzy uniformity and its family of α -level uniformities, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 54 (1993), 311-316.
 [4] N. Çağman, S. Karatas and S. Enginoglu, Soft topology, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 62(1) (2011), 351-358.
 [5] F. Feng, X. Liu, V.L. Fotea, Y.B. Jun, Soft sets and soft rough sets, *Information Sci.* 181 (2011), 1125-1137.
 [6] P. Hájek, *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998.

- [7] U. Höhle, S.E. Rodabaugh, Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets: Logic, Topology, and Measure Theory, The Handbooks of Fuzzy Sets Series 3, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1999.
- [8] B. Hutton, uniformities in fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 58 (1977), 74-79.
- [9] A. Kharal, B. Ahmad, Mappings on soft classes, *New Math. Natl. Comput.* 7 (2011), 471-481.
- [10] A.K. Katsaras, On fuzzy uniform spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 101 (1984), 97-113.
- [11] Y.C. Kim, A.A. Ramadan, M. A. Usama, *L*-fuzzy uniform Spaces, *J. Fuzzy Math.* 14 (2006), 821-850.
- [12] Y.C. Kim and J.M. Ko, Soft *L*-topologies and soft *L*-neighborhood systems, *J. Math. Comput. Sci.* in press.
- [13] Y.C. Kim and J.M. Ko, Soft *L*-fuzzy quasi-uniformities and soft *L*-neighborhood systems, *J. Math. Comput. Sci.* in press.
- [14] Y.C. Kim and J.M. Ko, The families of soft *L*-topologies and soft closure operators, *Eng. Math. Lett.* 2016 (2016), Article ID 2.
- [15] Y.C. Kim and J.M. Ko, Soft implicative *L*-fuzzy interior and closure operators, (submit to) *J. Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*.
- [16] W. Kotzé, uniform spaces, Chapter 8, 553-580 in [7].
- [17] R. Lowen, Fuzzy uniform spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 82 (1981), 370-385.
- [18] R. Lowen, Fuzzy neighborhood spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 7 (1982), 165-189.
- [19] P.K. Maji, R. Biswas, R.Roy, Soft set theory, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 45 (2003), 555-562.
- [20] P.K. Maji, R. Biswas, R.Roy, An application of soft sets in decision making problems, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 44 (2002), 1077-1083.
- [21] P.K. Maji, R.Roy, A fuzzy set theoretic approach to decision making problems, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 203 (2007), 412-418.
- [22] P. Majumdar, S.K. Samanta, Generalised fuzzy soft sets, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 59(2010), 1425-1432.
- [23] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 37(1999), 19-31.
- [24] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets, *Int. J. Comput. Inf. Sci.* 11 (1982), 341-356.
- [25] Z. Pawlak, Rough probability, *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math.* 32 (1984), 607-615.
- [26] S.E. Rodabaugh, E.P. Klement, Topological and Algebraic Structures In Fuzzy Sets, The Handbook of Recent Developments in the Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Dordrecht, London, 2003.
- [27] M. Shabir and M. Naz, On soft topological spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 61 (2011), 1786-1799.
- [28] B. Tanay and M. B. Kandemir, Topological structure of fuzzy soft sets, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 61 (2011), 2952-2957.
- [29] E. Turunen, Mathematics Behind Fuzzy Logic, A Springer-Verlag Co., Heidelberg, 1999.
- [30] J. M. Zhan and Y. B. Jun, Soft BL-algebras based on fuzzy sets, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 59 (2010), 2037-2046.

- [31] W.Z. Wu and W.X. Zhang, Neighborhood operator systems and approximations , Information Sci. 144 (2002), 201-217.
- [32] D. Zhang, Stratified Hutton uniform spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 131 (2002), 337-346.
- [33] Hu Zhao and Sheng-Gang Li, L-fuzzifying soft topological spaces and L-fuzzifying soft interior operators, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 5 (2013), 493-503.
- [34] Í. Zorlutuna, M. Akdag, W. K. Min and S. Atmaca, Remarks on soft topological spaces, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 3 (2012), 171-185.