



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 6 (2016), No. 5, 741-756

ISSN: 1927-5307

## CR-SUBMANIFOLDS OF A NEARLY HYPERBOLIC KENMOTSU MANIFOLD ADMITTING A QUATER-SYMMETRIC SEMI-METRIC CONNECTION

NIKHAT ZULEKHA<sup>1,\*</sup>, SHADAB AHMAD KHAN<sup>1</sup>, MOBIN AHMAD<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Integral University, Kursi Road, Lucknow-226026, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Jazan University, Jazan-2069, Saudi Arabia

Copyright © 2016 N. Zulekha, S.A. Khan and M. Ahmad. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract.** We consider a nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold with a quater symmetric semi metric connection and study Cr-Submanifolds of a nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold with quater symmetric semi metric connection. We also study parallel distributions on nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold with a quater symmetric semi metric connection and find the integrability conditions of some distributions on nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold with a quater symmetric semi metric connection.

**Keywords:** Cr-Submanifolds; Nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold; Quater symmetric semi metric connection; Integrability conditions and parallel distribution.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 53D05, 53D25, 53D12.

### 1. Introduction

The notion of CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold as generalization of invariant and anti-invariant submanifolds was introduced and studied by A.Bejancu in ([1],[2]). Since then, several papers on Kaehler manifolds were published. CR-submanifolds of Sasakian manifold was studied by C.J.Hsu in [5] and M.Kobayashi in [18]. CR-submanifolds of Kenmotsu

---

\*Corresponding author

Received February 27, 2016

manifold was studied by A.Bejancu and N.Papaghuic in [4]. Later, several geometers (see, [9],[12],[13],[15],[16]) enriched the study of CR-submanifolds of almost contact manifolds. The almost hyperbolic  $(f, \xi, \eta, g)$ -structure was defined and studied by Upadhyay and Dube in [17]. Dube and Bhatt studied CR-submanifolds of trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold in [10]. On the other hand, S.Golab introduced the idea of semi-symmetric and quarter symmetric connections in [8]. CR-submanifolds of LP-Sasakian manifold with quarter symmetric non-metric connection were studied by the first author S.K.Lovejoy Das in [11]. CR-submanifolds of a nearly hyperbolic Sasakian manifold admitting a semi-symmetric semi-metric connections were studied by the first author, M.D.Siddiqi and S.Rizvi in [14]. M.Ahmad and Kasif Ali, studied CR-submanifolds of a nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold admitting a quarter symmetric non-metric connection in [19]. In this paper, we study some properties of CR-submanifolds of a nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold admitting a quarter symmetric semi-metric connection.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\bar{M}$  be an  $n$ -dimensional almost hyperbolic contact metric manifold with the almost hyperbolic contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ , where a tensor  $\phi$  of type  $(1,1)$ , a vector field  $\xi$ , called structure vector field,  $\eta$  that dual 1-form of  $\xi$  and  $g$  is Riemannian metric satisfying the following

$$\phi^2 X = X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X) \quad (2.1)$$

$$\eta(\xi) = -1, \quad \phi(\xi) = 0, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = -g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) \quad (2.3)$$

for any  $X, Y$  tangent to  $\bar{M}$  [17]. In this case

If addition to the above condition, we have

$$g(\phi X, Y) = -g(\phi Y, X) \quad (2.4)$$

An almost hyperbolic contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  on  $\bar{M}$  is called hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold [7] if and only if

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X \quad (2.5)$$

for all  $X, Y$  tangent to  $\bar{M}$ .

On a hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold  $\bar{M}$ , we have

$$\nabla_X \xi = X + \eta(X)\xi \quad (2.6)$$

For a Riemannian metric  $g$  and Riemannian connection  $\nabla$ .

Further, an almost hyperbolic contact metric manifold  $\bar{M}$  on  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is called a nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold [7], if

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y + (\nabla_Y \phi)X = -\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\nabla$  is Riemannian connection on  $\bar{M}$ .

Now, Let  $M$  be a submanifold immersed in  $\bar{M}$ . The Riemannian metric symbol  $g$  induced on  $M$ . Let  $TM$  and  $T^\perp M$  be the Lie algebra of vector field tangential to  $M$  and normal to  $M$  respectively and  $\nabla^*$  be induced Levi-Civita connection on  $M$  then the Gauss formula and Weingarten formula are given respectively

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X^* Y + h(X, Y) \quad (2.8)$$

$$\nabla_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^\perp N \quad (2.9)$$

for any  $X, Y \in TM$  and  $N \in T^\perp M$ , where  $\nabla^\perp$  is a connection on the normal bundle  $T^\perp M$ ,  $h$  is the second fundamental form and  $A_N$  is the Weingarten map associated with  $N$  as

$$g(h(X, Y), N) = g(A_N X, Y) \quad (2.10)$$

any vector  $X$  tangent to  $M$  is given as

$$X = PX + QX, \quad (2.11)$$

where  $PX \in D$  and  $QX \in D^\perp$ . For any  $N$  normal to  $M$ , we have

$$\phi N = BN + CN, \quad (2.12)$$

where  $BN$  (resp.  $CN$ ) is the tangential component ( resp. normal component ) of  $\phi N$ .

Now, we define a quarter-symmetric semi-metric connection

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \eta(X)\phi Y + g(\phi X, Y)\xi \quad (2.13)$$

such that

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X g)(Y, Z) = -\eta(Y)g(\phi X, Z) - \eta(Z)g(\phi X, Y)$$

From (2.13) and using (2.1) and (2.3), we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X Y) = (\nabla_X \phi)Y + \phi(\nabla_X Y) - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - g(X, Y)\xi$$

Interchanging  $X$  and  $Y$ , we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y X) = (\nabla_Y \phi)X + \phi(\nabla_Y X) - \eta(Y)X - 2\eta(Y)\eta(X)\xi - g(X, Y)\xi$$

Adding above two equations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y) + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y X - \nabla_Y X) &= (\nabla_X \phi)Y + (\nabla_Y \phi)X - \\ &\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X - 4\eta(Y)\eta(X)\xi - 2g(X, Y)\xi \end{aligned}$$

Using equation (2.7) and (2.13) in above, we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = -\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - 2g(X, Y)\xi \quad (2.14)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \xi = X + \eta(X)\xi \quad (2.15)$$

An almost hyperbolic contact metric manifold with almost hyperbolic contact structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is called nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold with quarter-symmetric semi-metric connection if it is satisfied (2.14) and (2.15).

The Gauss formula and Weingarten formula for a nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold admitting quarter symmetric semi metric connection is

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) \tag{2.16}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^\perp N - \eta(X)\phi N + g(\phi X, N)\xi \tag{2.17}$$

**Definition 2.1.** An m-dimensional sub-manifold  $M$  of an n-dimensional nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold  $\bar{M}$  is called a CR- submanifold if there exist a differentiable distribution  $D : x \rightarrow D_x$  on  $M$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) The distribution  $D$  is invariant under  $\phi$  that is  $\phi D_x = D_x$ , for each  $x \in M$ ,
- (ii) The complementary orthogonal distribution  $D^\perp$  of  $D$  is anti- invariant under  $\phi$ , that is  $\phi D_x^\perp \subset T^\perp M$  for each  $x \in M$ .

If  $\dim D_x^\perp = 0$  (resp.,  $\dim D_x = 0$ ), then the CR- Submanifold is called an invariant (resp., anti-invariant) submanifold. The distribution  $D$  (resp.,  $D^\perp$ ) is called the horizontal (resp., vertical) distribution. Also, the pair  $(D, D^\perp)$  is called  $\xi$ - horizontal (resp., vertical) if  $\xi_x \in D_x$  (resp.,  $\xi_x \in D_x^\perp$ ).

### 3. Some Basic Lemmas

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $M$  be a CR-submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold  $\bar{M}$  with quarter symmetric semi metric connection. Then*

$$-\eta(X)\phi PY - \eta(Y)\phi PX - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)P\xi - 2g(X, Y)P\xi + \phi P(\nabla_X Y) \tag{3.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \phi P(\nabla_Y X) = P\nabla_X(\phi PY) + P\nabla_Y(\phi PX) - PA_{\phi QY}X - PA_{\phi QX}Y \\ &-g(X, QY)P\xi - g(Y, QX)P\xi - 2\eta(X)\eta(QY)P\xi - 2\eta(Y)\eta(QX)P\xi \\ &-2\eta(X)\eta(Y)Q\xi - 2g(X, Y)Q\xi + 2Bh(X, Y) = Q\nabla_X(\phi PY) \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ Q\nabla_Y(\phi PX) - QA_{\phi QY}X - QA_{\phi QX}Y - \eta(X)QY - \eta(Y)QX \\ &-g(X, QY)Q\xi - g(Y, QX)Q\xi - 2\eta(X)\eta(QY)Q\xi - 2\eta(Y)\eta(QX)Q\xi \\ &- \eta(X)\phi QY - \eta(Y)\phi QX + \phi Q(\nabla_X Y) + \phi Q(\nabla_Y X) + 2Ch(X, Y) = \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

$$h(X, \phi PY) + h(Y, \phi PX) + \nabla_X^\perp(\phi QY) + \nabla_Y^\perp(\phi QX)$$

for any  $X, Y \in TM$ .

**Proof.** From (2.11), we have

$$\phi Y = \phi PY + \phi QY.$$

Differentiating covariantly and using equation (2.16) and (2.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + \phi(\nabla_X Y) + \phi h(X, Y) &= \nabla_X(\phi PY) + h(X, \phi PY) \\ -A_{\phi QY}X + \nabla_X^\perp(\phi QY) - \eta(X)QY - g(X, QY)\xi - 2\eta(X)\eta(QY)\xi \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging  $X$  and  $Y$  in above equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi(\nabla_Y X) + \phi h(Y, X) &= \nabla_Y(\phi PX) + h(Y, \phi PX) \\ -A_{\phi QX}Y + \nabla_Y^\perp(\phi QX) - \eta(Y)QX - g(Y, QX)\xi - 2\eta(Y)\eta(QX)\xi \end{aligned}$$

Adding above two equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\overline{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi(\nabla_X Y) + \phi(\nabla_Y X) + 2\phi h(X, Y) &= \\ \nabla_X(\phi PY) + \nabla_Y(\phi PX) + h(X, \phi PY) + h(Y, \phi PX) - A_{\phi QY}X & \\ -A_{\phi QX}Y + \nabla_X^\perp(\phi QY) + \nabla_Y^\perp(\phi QX) - \eta(X)QY - \eta(Y)QX & \\ -g(X, QY)\xi - g(Y, QX)\xi - 2\eta(X)\eta(QY)\xi - 2\eta(Y)\eta(QX)\xi \end{aligned}$$

Adding (2.14) in above equation and using equations (2.11) and (2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\eta(X)\phi PY - \eta(X)\phi QY - \eta(Y)\phi PX - \eta(Y)\phi QX - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)P\xi & \quad (3.4) \\ -2\eta(X)\eta(Y)Q\xi - 2g(X, Y)P\xi - 2g(X, Y)Q\xi + \phi P(\nabla_X Y) + \phi Q(\nabla_X Y) & \\ +\phi P(\nabla_Y X) + \phi Q(\nabla_Y X) + 2Bh(X, Y) + 2Ch(Y, X) = P\nabla_X(\phi PY) & \\ +Q\nabla_X(\phi PY) + P\nabla_Y(\phi PX) + Q\nabla_Y(\phi PX) + h(X, \phi PY) + h(Y, \phi PX) - PA_{\phi QY}X & \\ -QA_{\phi QY}X - PA_{\phi QX}Y - QA_{\phi QX}Y + \nabla_X^\perp(\phi QY) + \nabla_Y^\perp(\phi QX) - \eta(X)QY - \eta(Y)QX & \\ -g(X, QY)P\xi - g(X, QY)Q\xi - g(Y, QX)P\xi - g(Y, QX)Q\xi - 2\eta(X)\eta(QY)P\xi & \\ -2\eta(X)\eta(QY)Q\xi - 2\eta(Y)\eta(QX)P\xi - 2\eta(Y)\eta(QX)Q\xi \end{aligned}$$

Comparing tangential, vertical and normal components in (3.4), we get desired results. Hence the lemma is proved.

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $M$  be a CR-submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold  $\bar{M}$  with quarter symmetric semi metric connection. Then*

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = \nabla_X \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X + h(X, \phi Y) - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y] \quad (3.5)$$

$$- \eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - 2g(X, Y)\xi$$

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = \nabla_Y \phi X - \nabla_X \phi Y + h(Y, \phi X) - h(X, \phi Y) + \phi[X, Y] \quad (3.6)$$

$$- \eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - 2g(X, Y)\xi,$$

for any  $X, Y \in D$ .

**Proof.** from Gauss formula (2.16), we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = \nabla_X \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X + h(X, \phi Y) - h(Y, \phi X) \quad (3.7)$$

Also by covariant differentiation, we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi[X, Y] \quad (3.8)$$

From (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = \nabla_X \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X + h(X, \phi Y) - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y] \quad (3.9)$$

Adding (3.9) and (2.14), we have

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = \nabla_X \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X + h(X, \phi Y) - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y]$$

$$- \eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - 2g(X, Y)\xi$$

Subtracting (3.9) and (2.14), we have

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = \nabla_Y \phi X - \nabla_X \phi Y + h(Y, \phi X) - h(X, \phi Y) + \phi[X, Y]$$

$$- \eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - 2g(X, Y)\xi$$

for any  $X, Y \in D$ .

Hence lemma is proved.

**Corollary 3.1.** *If  $M$  be a  $\xi$  – vertical CR-submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold  $\bar{M}$  with quarter symmetric semi metric connection. Then*

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = \nabla_X \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X + h(X, \phi Y) - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y] - 2g(X, Y)\xi$$

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = \nabla_Y \phi X - \nabla_X \phi Y + h(Y, \phi X) - h(X, \phi Y) - \phi[X, Y] - 2g(X, Y)\xi,$$

for any  $X, Y \in D$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $M$  be a CR-submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold  $\bar{M}$  with quarter symmetric semi metric connection. Then*

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = A_{\phi X}Y - A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X + \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y - \phi[X, Y] \quad (3.10)$$

$$- \eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - 2g(X, Y)\xi$$

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = A_{\phi Y}X - A_{\phi X}Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X - \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y + \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X + \phi[X, Y] \quad (3.11)$$

$$- \eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - 2g(X, Y)\xi,$$

for any  $X, Y \in D^\perp$ .

**Proof.** For any  $X, Y \in D^\perp$ , from Weingarten formula (2.17), we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y = -A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - g(X, Y)\xi$$

Interchanging  $X$  and  $Y$  in above, we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = -A_{\phi X}Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X - \eta(Y)X - 2\eta(Y)\eta(X)\xi - g(X, Y)\xi$$

From above two equations, we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = A_{\phi X}Y - A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X + \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y \quad (3.12)$$

Comparing equations (3.12) and (3.8), we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = A_{\phi X}Y - A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X + \eta(Y)X \quad (3.13)$$

$$- \eta(X)Y - \phi[X, Y]$$

Adding (3.13) and (2.14), we get

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = A_{\phi X}Y - A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X + \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y - \phi[X, Y]$$



$$-\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - 2g(X, Y)\xi$$

Subtracting (3.13) from (2.14), we get

$$2(\overline{\nabla_Y}\phi)X = A_{\phi Y}X - A_{\phi X}Y + \nabla_Y^\perp\phi X - \nabla_X^\perp\phi Y + \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X + \phi[X, Y] \\ - \eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - 2g(X, Y)\xi$$

for all  $X, Y \in D^\perp$ . Hence the Lemma is proved.

**Corollary 3.2.** *If  $M$  be a  $\xi$ -horizontal CR-submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold  $\overline{M}$  with quarter symmetric semi metric connection. Then*

$$2(\overline{\nabla_X}\phi)Y = A_{\phi X}Y - A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp\phi Y - \nabla_Y^\perp\phi X - \phi[X, Y] - 2g(X, Y)\xi \\ 2(\overline{\nabla_Y}\phi)X = A_{\phi Y}X - A_{\phi X}Y + \nabla_Y^\perp\phi X - \nabla_X^\perp\phi Y + \phi[X, Y] - 2g(X, Y)\xi,$$

for all  $X, Y \in D^\perp$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $M$  be a CR-submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold  $\overline{M}$  with quarter symmetric semi metric connection. Then*

$$2(\overline{\nabla_X}\phi)Y = -A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp\phi Y - \nabla_Y\phi X - h(Y, \phi X) - \eta(X)Y - \phi[X, Y] \tag{3.16} \\ - \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y - 4\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - 3g(X, Y)\xi$$

$$2(\overline{\nabla_Y}\phi)X = A_{\phi Y}X - \nabla_X^\perp\phi Y + \nabla_Y\phi X + h(Y, \phi X) + \eta(X)Y + \phi[X, Y] \tag{3.17} \\ - \eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - g(X, Y)\xi,$$

for any  $X \in D$  and  $Y \in D^\perp$ .

**Proof.** Let  $X \in D, Y \in D^\perp$ , from Gauss formula (2.16), we have

$$\overline{\nabla_Y}\phi X = \nabla_Y\phi X + h(Y, \phi X)$$

From Weingarten formula (2.17), we have

$$\overline{\nabla_X}\phi Y = -A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp\phi Y - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - g(X, Y)\xi$$

Now, from Gauss and Weingarten formula, we have

$$\overline{\nabla_X}\phi Y - \overline{\nabla_Y}\phi X = -A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp\phi Y - \nabla_Y\phi X - h(Y, \phi X) - \eta(X)Y \tag{3.18}$$

$$-g(X, Y)\xi - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi$$

Comparing equations (3.18) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X &= -A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X - h(Y, \phi X) - \eta(X)Y \\ &\quad - \phi[X, Y] - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - g(X, Y)\xi \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Adding (3.19) and (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y &= -A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X - h(Y, \phi X) - \eta(X)Y - \phi[X, Y] \\ &\quad - \eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 4\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - 3g(X, Y)\xi \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting (3.19) from (2.14), we find

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X &= A_{\phi Y}X - \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y + \nabla_Y \phi X + h(Y, \phi X) + \eta(X)Y + \phi[X, Y] - \eta(X)\phi Y \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)\phi X - g(X, Y)\xi \end{aligned}$$

for any  $X \in D$  and  $Y \in D^\perp$ . Hence the Lemma is proved.

**Corollary 3.3.** *If  $M$  be a  $\xi$ -horizontal CR-submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold  $\bar{M}$  with quarter symmetric semi metric connection. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y &= -A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X - h(Y, \phi X) - \eta(X)Y - \phi[X, Y] \\ &\quad - \eta(X)\phi Y - 3g(X, Y)\xi \end{aligned}$$

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = A_{\phi Y}X - \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y + \nabla_Y \phi X + h(Y, \phi X) + \eta(X)Y + \phi[X, Y] - \eta(X)\phi Y - g(X, Y)\xi,$$

for any  $X \in D$  and  $Y \in D^\perp$ .

**Corollary 3.4.** *If  $M$  be a  $\xi$ -vertical CR-submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold  $\bar{M}$  with quarter symmetric semi metric connection. Then*

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = -A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y] - \eta(Y)\phi X - 3g(X, Y)\xi$$

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = A_{\phi Y}X - \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y + \nabla_Y \phi X + h(Y, \phi X) + \phi[X, Y] - \eta(Y)\phi X - g(X, Y)\xi,$$

for any  $X \in D$  and  $Y \in D^\perp$ .

### 3. Parallel Distribution

**Definition 4.1.** The horizontal (resp., vertical) distribution  $D$  (resp.,  $D^\perp$ ) is said to be parallel [3] with respect to the connection on  $M$  if  $\nabla_X Y \in D$  (resp.,  $\nabla_Z W \in D^\perp$ ) for any vector field  $X, Y \in D$  (resp.,  $W, Z \in D^\perp$ )

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $M$  be a  $\xi$ -vertical CR-submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold  $\bar{M}$  with quarter symmetric semi metric connection. Then*

$$h(X, \phi Y) = h(Y, \phi X), \tag{4.1}$$

for any  $X, Y \in D$ .

**Proof.** Using parallelism of horizontal distribution  $D$ , we have

$$\nabla_X(\phi Y) \in D \text{ and } \nabla_Y(\phi X) \in D$$

for any  $X, Y \in D$ .

From (3.2), we have

$$Bh(X, Y) = g(X, Y)\xi \tag{4.2}$$

From (2.12) and (4.2), we have

$$Ch(X, Y) = \phi h(X, Y) - g(X, Y)\xi \tag{4.3}$$

Now, from (3.3), we have

$$h(X, \phi Y) + h(Y, \phi X) = 2Ch(X, Y)$$

Using (4.3) in above, we have

$$h(X, \phi Y) + h(Y, \phi X) = 2\phi h(X, Y) - 2g(X, Y)\xi \tag{4.4}$$

Replacing  $Y$  by  $\phi Y$  in (4.4) and using (2.1), we have

$$h(X, Y) + h(\phi Y, \phi X) = 2\phi h(X, \phi Y) - 2g(X, \phi Y)\xi \tag{4.5}$$

Similarly, replacing  $X$  by  $\phi X$  in (4.4) and using (2.1), we have

$$h(\phi X, \phi Y) + h(Y, X) = 2\phi h(\phi X, Y) - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi \tag{4.6}$$

Comparing (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi h(X, \phi Y) - g(X, \phi Y)\xi &= \phi h(\phi X, Y) - g(\phi X, Y)\xi \\ \phi^2 h(X, \phi Y) - g(X, \phi Y)\phi\xi &= \phi^2 h(\phi X, Y) - g(\phi X, Y)\phi\xi\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.2), we have

$$h(X, \phi Y) = h(\phi X, Y)$$

for any  $X, Y \in D$ . Hence theorem is proved.

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $M$  be a  $\xi$ -vertical CR-submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold  $\bar{M}$  with quarter symmetric semi metric connection. If the distribution  $D^\perp$  is parallel with respect to the connection on  $M$ , then*

$$A_{\phi X}Y + A_{\phi Y}X \in D^\perp, \quad (4.7)$$

for any  $X, Y \in D^\perp$ .

**Proof.** Let  $X, Y \in D^\perp$ , then from Weingarten formula (2.17), we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X Y) = -A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - g(X, Y)\xi \quad (4.8)$$

Using Gauss equation (2.16) in (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y &= -A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \phi(\nabla_X Y) - \phi h(X, Y) - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi \\ &\quad - g(X, Y)\xi\end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Interchanging  $X$  and  $Y$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X &= -A_{\phi X}Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X - \phi(\nabla_Y X) - \phi h(X, Y) - \eta(Y)X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi \\ &\quad - g(X, Y)\xi\end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Adding (4.9) and (4.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X &= -A_{\phi Y}X - A_{\phi X}Y + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X - \phi(\nabla_X Y) \\ &\quad - \phi(\nabla_Y X) - 2\phi h(X, Y) - \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X \\ &\quad - 4\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - 2g(X, Y)\xi\end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Using (2.14) in (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X &= -A_{\phi Y}X - A_{\phi X}Y + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X - \phi(\nabla_X Y) \\
 &\quad - \phi(\nabla_Y X) - 2\phi h(X, Y) - \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.12}$$

Taking inner product with  $Z \in D$  in (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\eta(X)g(\phi Y, Z) - \eta(Y)g(\phi X, Z) &= -g(A_{\phi Y}X, Z) - g(A_{\phi X}Y, Z) + g(\nabla_X^\perp \phi Y, Z) \\
 &\quad + g(\nabla_Y^\perp \phi X, Z) - g(\phi(\nabla_X Y), Z) - g(\phi(\nabla_Y X), Z) - 2g(\phi h(X, Y), Z) \\
 &\quad - \eta(X)g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)g(X, Z) - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)g(\xi, Z)
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $D^\perp$  is parallel then  $\nabla_X Y \in D^\perp$  and  $\nabla_Y X \in D^\perp$ , so that from above

$$g(A_{\phi Y}X + A_{\phi X}Y, Z) = 0
 \tag{4.13}$$

Consequently, we have

$$A_{\phi Y}X + A_{\phi X}Y \in D^\perp
 \tag{4.14}$$

for any  $X, Y \in D^\perp$ . Hence theorem is proved.

**Definition 4.2.** A CR-submanifold is said to be mixed-totally geodesic if  $h(X, Y) = 0$  for all  $X \in D$  and  $Y \in D^\perp$ .

**Definition 4.3.** A Normal vector field  $N \neq 0$  is called  $D$ -parallel normal section if  $\nabla_X^\perp N = 0$  for all  $X \in D$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $M$  be a mixed totally geodesic  $\xi$ -vertical CR-submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold  $\bar{M}$  with quarter symmetric semi metric connection. Then the normal section  $N \in \phi D^\perp$  is  $D$ -parallel if and only if  $\nabla_X \phi N \in D$ , for all  $X \in D$ .

**Proof.** Let  $N \in \phi D^\perp$ , for all  $X \in D$  and  $Y \in D^\perp$  then from (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 -2\eta(X)\eta(Y)Q\xi - 2g(X, Y)Q\xi + 2Bh(X, Y) &= Q_{\nabla_X}(\phi PY) + Q_{\nabla_Y}(\phi PX) \\
 -QA_{\phi QY}X - QA_{\phi QX}Y - \eta(X)QY - \eta(Y)QX - g(X, QY)Q\xi - g(Y, QX)Q\xi \\
 -2\eta(X)\eta(QY)Q\xi - 2\eta(Y)\eta(QX)Q\xi
 \end{aligned}$$

As  $M$  is a  $\xi$ - vertical CR-submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold  $\bar{M}$  with quarter symmetric semi metric connection, so we have from above

$$2Bh(X, Y) = Q\nabla_Y(\phi X) - QA_{\phi Y}X \quad (4.15)$$

Using definition of mixed geodesic CR-submanifold, we have

$$Q\nabla_Y(\phi X) - QA_{\phi Y}X = 0 \quad (4.16)$$

$$Q\nabla_Y(\phi X) = QA_{\phi Y}X \quad (4.17)$$

As  $Q\nabla_Y(\phi X) = 0$ , for  $X \in D$ .

In particular, we have

$$Q\nabla_Y X = 0 \quad (4.18)$$

From (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\eta(X)\phi QY - \eta(Y)\phi QX + \phi Q(\nabla_X Y) + \phi Q(\nabla_Y X) + 2Ch(X, Y) = \\ h(X, \phi PY) + h(Y, \phi PX) + \nabla_X^\perp(\phi QY) + \nabla_Y^\perp(\phi QX) \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.18) in above, we have

$$\phi Q\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X^\perp(\phi Y)$$

That is

$$\begin{aligned} \phi Q\nabla_X(\phi N) &= \nabla_X^\perp(\phi^2 N) \\ \phi Q\nabla_X(\phi N) &= \nabla_X^\perp(N + \eta(N)\xi) \\ \phi Q\nabla_X(\phi N) &= \nabla_X^\perp(N) \\ \phi Q\nabla_X(\phi N) &= \nabla_X^\perp N \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Then by definition of parallelism of  $N$ , we have

$$\phi Q\nabla_X(\phi N) = 0$$

Consequently, we have

$$\nabla_X(\phi N) \in D \quad (4.20.)$$

for all  $X \in D$ .

Converse part is easy consequence of (4.20).

### Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bejancu, CR- submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold I, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1978), 135-142.
- [2] A. Bejancu, CR- submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 250 (1979), 333-345.
- [3] A. Bejancu, Geometry CR- submanifolds, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Holland, 1986.
- [4] A. Bejancu, and N. Papaghuic, CR- submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifold, Rend. Mat.7 (1984), 607-622.
- [5] C.J. Hsu, On CR- submanifolds of Sasakian manifolds I, Math. Research Centre Reports, Symposium Summer 1983, 117-140.
- [6] C. Ozgur, M. Ahmad and A. Haseeb, CR- submanifolds of LP- Sasakian manifolds with semi- symmetric metric connection, Hacettepe J. Math. And Stat. vol. 39 (4) (2010), 489-496.
- [7] D. E. Blair., Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry', Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 509, Springer-Verlag, Berlin,(1976).
- [8] Golab, S., On semi-symmetric and quarter symmetric linear connections, Tensor, N.S. 29 (1975), 249-254.
- [9] K. Matsumoto, On CR- submanifolds of locally conformal Kaehler manifolds, J. Korean Math. Soc. 21 (1984), 49-61.
- [10] L. Bhatt and K.K. Dube, CR- submanifolds of trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold, Acta Ciencia Indica 31 (2003), 91-96.
- [11] Lovejoy S.K. Das and M. Ahmad, CR- submanifolds of LP- Sasakian manifolds with quarter symmetric non-metric connection, Math. Sci. Res. J. 13 (7), 2009, 161-169.
- [12] M. Ahmad, Semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold endowed with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection, Mathematicki Vesnik 62 (2010), 189-198.
- [13] M. Ahmad and J.P. Ojha, CR- submanifolds of LP-Sasakian manifolds with the canonical semi- symmetric semi-metric connection, Int.J. Contemp. Math. Science, 5 (2010), no. 33, 1637-1643.
- [14] M. Ahmad, M.D. Siddiqi and S. Rizvi, CR-submanifolds of a nearly hyperbolic Sasakian manifold admitting semi-symmetric semi-metric connection, International J. Math. Sci. and Engg. Appls., 6 (2012), 145-155.
- [15] M. Ahmad and J.B. Jun, Semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold endowed with a quarter symmetric non-metric connection, J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math. 18 (2011), 1-11.
- [16] M. Ahmad and J. B. Jun, Semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection, Journal of the Chungcheong Mathematical Society, 23 (2010), 257-266.

- [17] M.D. Upadhyay and K.K. Dube, Almost contact hyperbolic  $\phi$ -structure, *Acta. Math. Acad. Scient. Hung. Tomus 28* (1976), 1-4.
- [18] M. Kobayashi, CR-submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold, *Tensor N.S.* 35 (1981), 297-307.
- [19] M. Ahmad and Kasif Ali, CR-submanifolds of a nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold admitting a quarter symmetric non-metric connection, *J. Math Comput. Sci.* 3 (2013) No. 3, 905-917.