



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 6 (2016), No. 5, 826-843

ISSN: 1927-5307

***L*-FUZZY (K, E) -SOFT PREINTERIOR OPERATORS INDUCED BY *L*-FUZZY (K, E) -SOFT QUASI-UNIFORM SPACES**

A.A. RAMADAN¹, JU-MOK OH^{2,*}

¹Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science, Beni-Suef University

²Department of Mathematics, Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung 210-702, Korea

Copyright © 2016 A.A. Ramadan and Ju-Mok Oh. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. We investigate two *L*-fuzzy (K, E) -soft preinterior spaces induced by an *L*-fuzzy (K, E) -soft quasi-uniform space. Also, we study the relationship among *L*-fuzzy (K, E) -soft preinterior spaces, *L*-fuzzy (K, E) -soft preinterior spaces and *L*-fuzzy (K, E) -soft quasi-uniform space. Finally, we give their examples.

Keywords: complete residuated lattice, *L*-fuzzy (K, E) -soft preinterior space, *L*-fuzzy (K, E) -soft quasi-uniform space, *L*-fuzzy (K, E) -soft topological space

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 54A40; 03E72; 03G10; 06A15

1. Introduction

Molodtsov [15,16] introduced the soft set as a mathematical tool for dealing information as the uncertainty of data in engineering, physics, computer sciences and many other diverse field. Presently, the soft set theory is making progress rapidly [1-3,7]. The topological structures of soft sets have been developed by many researchers [4,5,17-20,23].

*Corresponding author

Received January 24, 2016

On the other hand, Hájek [8] introduced a complete residuated lattice which is an algebraic structure for many valued logic. It is an important mathematical tool for algebraic structures [9-10,21,22]. Ramadan et al.[19,20] investigated the relationships between L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft quasi-uniform structures and L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft topological structures in a complete residuated lattice.

We investigate two L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft preinterior spaces induced by an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft quasi-uniform space. Also, we study the relationship among L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft preinterior spaces, L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft preinterior spaces and L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft quasi-uniform space. Finally, we give their examples.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [8,9] An algebra $(L, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is called a complete residuated lattice if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (C1) $(L, \leq, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ is a complete lattice with the greatest element 1 and the least element 0;
- (C2) $(L, \odot, 1)$ is a commutative monoid;
- (C3) $x \odot y \leq z$ iff $x \leq y \rightarrow z$ for $x, y, z \in L$.

In this paper, we assume that $L = (L, \vee, \wedge, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ be a complete residuated lattice.

Lemma 2.2. [8,9] For each $x, y, z, w, x_i, y_i \in L$, the following properties hold.

- (1) If $y \leq z$, then $x \odot y \leq x \odot z$.
- (2) If $y \leq z$, then $x \rightarrow y \leq x \rightarrow z$ and $z \rightarrow x \leq y \rightarrow x$.
- (3) $x \rightarrow y = 1$ iff $x \leq y$.
- (4) $x \rightarrow 1 = 1$ and $1 \rightarrow x = x$.
- (5) $x \odot y \leq x \wedge y$.
- (6) $x \odot (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} (x \odot y_i)$ and $(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \odot y = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \odot y)$.
- (7) $x \rightarrow (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x \rightarrow y_i)$ and $(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \rightarrow y = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \rightarrow y)$.
- (8) $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i \rightarrow \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \rightarrow y_i)$ and $\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i \rightarrow \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \rightarrow y_i)$.
- (9) $(x \rightarrow y) \odot x \leq y$ and $(x \rightarrow y) \odot (y \rightarrow z) \leq (x \rightarrow z)$.

$$(10) x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \text{ and } x \rightarrow y \leq (z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y).$$

$$(11) (x \odot y) \rightarrow z = x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z).$$

$$(12) y \rightarrow z \leq x \odot y \rightarrow x \odot z \text{ and } (x \rightarrow z) \odot (y \rightarrow w) \leq x \odot y \rightarrow z \odot w.$$

A lattice L is called s -compact if $\bigvee_{j \in \Gamma} c_j \geq a$ for $c_j, a \in L$, there exists $j_0 \in \Gamma$ such that $c_{j_0} \geq a$.

Throughout this paper, X refers to an initial universe, E and K are the sets of all parameters for X , and L^X is the set of all L -fuzzy sets on X .

Definition 2.3. [4-6] A map f is called an L -fuzzy soft set on X , where f is a mapping from E into L^X , i.e., $f_e := f(e)$ is an L -fuzzy set on X , for each $e \in E$. The family of all L -fuzzy soft sets on X is denoted by $(L^X)^E$. Let f and g be two L -fuzzy soft sets on X .

(1) f is an L -fuzzy soft subset of g and we write $f \sqsubseteq g$ if $f_e \leq g_e$, for each $e \in E$. f and g are equal if $f \sqsubseteq g$ and $g \sqsubseteq f$.

(2) The intersection of f and g is an L -fuzzy soft set $h = f \sqcap g$, where $h_e = f_e \wedge g_e$, for each $e \in E$.

(3) The union of f and g is an L -fuzzy soft set $h = f \sqcup g$, where $h_e = f_e \vee g_e$, for each $e \in E$.

(4) An L -fuzzy soft set $h = f \odot g$ is defined as $h_e = f_e \odot g_e$, for each $e \in E$.

(5) The complement of an L -fuzzy soft sets on X is denoted by f^* , where $f^* : E \rightarrow L^X$ is a mapping given by $f_e^* = (f_e)^*$, for each $e \in E$.

(6) 0_X (resp. 1_X) is an L -fuzzy soft set if $(0_X)_e(x) = 0$ (resp. $(1_X)_e(x) = 1$), for each $e \in E$, $x \in X$.

Definition 2.4. [4] Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\psi : E \rightarrow K$ be two mappings, where E and K are parameters sets for the crisp sets X and Y , respectively. Then $\varphi_\psi : (X, E) \rightarrow (Y, K)$ is called a fuzzy soft mapping. Let f and g be two fuzzy soft sets over X and Y , respectively and let φ_ψ be a fuzzy soft mapping from (X, E) into (Y, K) .

(1) The image of f under the fuzzy soft mapping φ_ψ , denoted by $\varphi_\psi(f)$ is the fuzzy soft set on Y defined by

$$\varphi(f)_b(y) = \bigvee_{\varphi(x)=y} \left(\bigvee_{\psi(e)=b} f_e(x) \right).$$

(2) The pre-image of g under the fuzzy soft mapping φ_ψ , denoted by $\varphi_\psi^{-1}(g)$ is the fuzzy soft set on X defined by

$$\varphi_\psi^{-1}(g)_e(x) = g_{\psi(e)}(\varphi(x)), \forall e \in E, \forall x \in X.$$

Definition 2.5. [4-6, 19-20] A mapping $\mathcal{T} : K \rightarrow L^{(L^X)^E}$ (where $\mathcal{T}_k := \mathcal{T}(k) : (L^X)^E \rightarrow L$ is a mapping for each $k \in K$) is called an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft topology on X if it satisfies the following conditions for each $k \in K$.

- (SO1) $\mathcal{T}_k(0_X) = \mathcal{T}_k(1_X) = 1$,
- (SO2) $\mathcal{T}_k(f \odot g) \geq \mathcal{T}_k(f) \odot \mathcal{T}_k(g) \forall f, g \in (L^X)^E$,
- (SO3) $\mathcal{T}_k(\bigsqcup_i f_i) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in I} \mathcal{T}_k(f_i) \forall f_i \in (L^X)^E, i \in I$.

The pair (X, \mathcal{T}) is called an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft topological space.

An L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft topology is called enriched if

- (SR) $\mathcal{T}_k(\alpha \odot f) \geq \mathcal{T}_k(f)$ for all $f \in (L^X)^E$ and $\alpha \in L$.

Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, $\psi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ and $\eta : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ be mappings. Then $\varphi_{\psi, \eta}$ from (X, \mathcal{T}^1) into (Y, \mathcal{T}^2) is called L -fuzzy soft continuous if

$$\mathcal{T}_{\eta(k)}^2(f) \leq \mathcal{T}_k^1(\varphi_{\psi, \eta}^{-1}(f)) \forall f \in (L^Y)^{E_2}, k \in K_1.$$

Lemma 2.6. [19-20] Define a binary mapping $S : L^X \times L^X \rightarrow L$ by

$$S(f_e, g_e) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (f_e(x) \rightarrow g_e(x)), \forall e \in E.$$

Then $\forall f, g, h, m, n \in (L^X)^E$ the following statements hold.

- (1) $f \sqsubseteq g$ iff $S(f_e, g_e) = 1, \forall e \in E$.
- (2) If $f \sqsubseteq g$, then $S(h_e, f_e) \leq S(h_e, g_e)$ and $S(f_e, h_e) \geq S(g_e, h_e), \forall e \in E$.
- (3) $S(f_e, h_e) \odot S(h_e, g_e) \leq S(f_e, g_e)$. Moreover, $\bigvee_{h_e \in L^X} (S(f_e, h_e) \odot S(h_e, g_e)) = S(f_e, g_e), \forall e \in E$.
- (4) $S(f_e, g_e) \odot S(m_e, n_e) \leq S(f_e \odot m_e, g_e \odot n_e), \forall e \in E$.

(5) If $\varphi_\psi : (X, E) \rightarrow (Y, F)$ is a fuzzy soft mapping, then $S(f_{\psi(e)}, g_{\psi(e)}) \leq S(\varphi_\psi^{-1}(f)_e, \varphi_\psi^{-1}(g)_e)$, for each $f, g \in (L^Y)^F$.

Definition 2.7. [19-20] An L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft quasi-uniformity is a mapping $\mathcal{U} : K \rightarrow L^{(L^{X \times X})^E}$ which satisfies the following conditions .

(SU1) There exists $u \in (L^{X \times X})^E$ such that $\mathcal{U}_k(u) = 1$.

(SU2) If $v \sqsubseteq u$, then $\mathcal{U}_k(v) \leq \mathcal{U}_k(u)$.

(SU3) For every $u, v \in (L^{X \times X})^E$, $\mathcal{U}_k(u \odot v) \geq \mathcal{U}_k(u) \odot \mathcal{U}_k(v)$.

(SU4) If $\mathcal{U}_k(u) \neq 0$ then $1_\Delta \sqsubseteq u$ where, for each $e \in E$,

$$(1_\Delta)_e(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = y, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

(SU5) $\mathcal{U}_k(u) \leq \bigvee \{ \mathcal{U}_k(v) \mid v \circ v \sqsubseteq u \}$, where

$$v_e \circ w_e(x, z) = \bigvee_{y \in X} v_e(x, y) \odot w_e(y, z),$$

The pair (X, \mathcal{U}) is called an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft quasi-uniform space.

An L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft quasi-uniform space (X, \mathcal{U}) is said to be an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft uniform space if

(U) $\mathcal{U}_k(u) \leq \mathcal{U}_k(u^{-1})$, where $(u^{-1})_e(x, y) = u_e(y, x)$ for each $k \in K$ and $u \in (L^{X \times X})^E$.

An L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft quasi-uniformity \mathcal{U} on X is said to be stratified if

(SR) $\mathcal{U}_k(\alpha \odot u) \geq \alpha \odot \mathcal{U}_k(u)$, $\forall u \in (L^{X \times X})^E, \alpha \in L$.

Let (X, \mathcal{U}^1) be an L -fuzzy (K_1, E_1) -soft quasi-uniform space and (Y, \mathcal{U}^2) be an L -fuzzy (K_2, E_2) -soft quasi-uniform space. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, $\psi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ and $\eta : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ be mappings. Then $\varphi_{\psi, \eta}$ from (X, \mathcal{U}^1) into (Y, \mathcal{U}^2) is called L -fuzzy soft uniformly continuous if

$$\mathcal{U}_{\eta(k)}^2(v) \leq \mathcal{U}_k^1((\varphi \times \varphi)_{\psi}^{-1}(v)) \quad \forall v \in (L^{Y \times Y})^{E_2}, k \in K_1.$$

3. L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft preinterior space and L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft quasi-uniform space

Definition 3.1. A map $\mathcal{I} : K \times (L^X)^E \rightarrow (L^X)^E$ is called an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft pre-interior operator if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (LI1) $\mathcal{I}(k, 0_X) = 0_X$ and $\mathcal{I}(k, 1_X) = 1_X$,
- (LI2) $\mathcal{I}(k, f \odot g) \geq \mathcal{I}(k, f) \odot \mathcal{I}(k, g)$ for each $f, g \in (L^X)^E$,
- (LI3) If $f \sqsubseteq g$, then $\mathcal{I}(k, f) \leq \mathcal{I}(k, g)$,
- (LI4) $\mathcal{I}(k, f) \leq f_e(x)$ for all $f \in (L^X)^E$ and $e \in E$.

The pair (X, \mathcal{I}) is called an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft pre interior space.

An L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft pre interior space is called stratified if

- (R) $\mathcal{I}(k, \alpha \odot f) \geq \alpha \odot \mathcal{I}(k, f)$ for all $f \in (L^X)^E, k \in K$ and $\alpha \in L$.

Let (X, \mathcal{I}_X) be (K_1, E_1) -soft interior space and (X, \mathcal{I}_Y) be (K_2, E_2) -soft interior space.

Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y, \psi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ and $\eta : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ be mappings. Then $\phi_{\psi, \eta} : (X, \mathcal{I}_X) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{I}_Y)$ is called an L -fuzzy soft interior map if

$$\phi_{\psi, \eta}^{-1}(\mathcal{I}_Y(\eta(k), g)) \leq \mathcal{I}_X(k, \phi_{\psi, \eta}^{-1}(g)), \forall g \in (L^Y)^{E_2}.$$

Theorem 3.2. Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft quasi-uniform space. Define a map $\mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}} : K \times (L^X)^E \rightarrow (L^X)^E$ by:

$$\mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f)_e(x) = \bigvee_u \mathcal{U}_k(u) \odot S(u_e[x], f_e), \forall f \in (L^X)^E, e \in E, x \in X,$$

where $u_e[x](y) = u_e(y, x)$. Then, $(X, \mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}})$ is an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft preinterior space. If \mathcal{U} is stratified, then $\mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}}$ is also stratified.

Proof. (LI1) For $\mathcal{U}_k(u) \neq 0, 1_{\Delta} \sqsubseteq u$. For each $e \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}}(k, 0_X)_e(x) &= \bigvee_u \mathcal{U}_k(u) \odot S(u_e[x], (0_X)_e) \\ &\leq \bigvee_u (\mathcal{U}_k(u) \odot (u_e(x, x) \rightarrow 0)) \\ &= \bigvee_u (\mathcal{U}_k(u) \odot ((1_{\Delta})_e(x, x) \rightarrow 0)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}}(k, 0_X) = 0_X$. Also, $\mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}}(k, 1_X) = 1_X$, because

$$\mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}}(k, 1_X)_e(x) \geq \mathcal{U}_k(1_{X \times X}) \odot \bigwedge_{y \in X} ((1_{X \times X})_e(x, y) \rightarrow (1_X)_e(y)) = 1.$$

(LI2) By Lemma 2.6 (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f)_e(x) \odot \mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}}(k, g)_e(x) \\ &= \left(\bigvee_u \mathcal{U}_k(u) \odot S(u_e[x], f_e) \right) \odot \left(\bigvee_v \mathcal{U}_k(v) \odot S(v_e[x], g_e) \right) \\ &= \bigvee_{u, v} \mathcal{U}_k(u) \odot \mathcal{U}_k(v) \odot S(u_e[x], f_e) \odot S(v_e[x], g_e) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{u, v} \mathcal{U}_k(u \odot v) \odot S((u \odot v)_e[x], f_e \odot g_e) \\ &\leq \bigvee_w \mathcal{U}_k(w) \odot S(w_e[x], (f \odot g)_e) = \mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}}(k, (f \odot g)_e)(x). \end{aligned}$$

(LI3) By Lemma 2.6 (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f)_e(x) &= \bigvee_u \mathcal{U}_k(u) \odot S(u_e[x], f_e) \\ &\leq \bigvee_u \mathcal{U}_k(u) \odot S(u_e[x], g_e) = \mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}}(k, g)_e(x). \end{aligned}$$

(LI4) For $\mathcal{U}_k(u) \neq 0$, $1_{\Delta} \sqsubseteq u$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f)_e(x) &= \bigvee_u \mathcal{U}_k(u) \odot \bigwedge_{y \in X} (u_e(y, x) \rightarrow f_e(y)) \\ &\leq \bigvee_u \{ \mathcal{U}_k(u) \odot (u_e(x, x) \rightarrow f_e(x)) \} \leq f_e(x). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $(X, \mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}})$ is an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft preinterior space.

(R)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \odot \mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f)_e(x) &= \alpha \odot \bigvee_u \mathcal{U}_k(u) \odot S(u_e[x], f_e) \\ &= \bigvee_u \alpha \odot \mathcal{U}_k(u) \odot S(\alpha, \alpha) \odot S(u_e[x], f_e) \\ &\leq \bigvee_u \mathcal{U}_k(\alpha \odot u) \odot S(\alpha \odot u_e[x], \alpha \odot f_e) \\ &\leq \mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k, \alpha \odot f)_e(x). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.3. Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft quasi-uniform space. Define a map $\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}} : K \times (L^X)^E \rightarrow (L^X)^E$ by:

$$\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f)_e(x) = \bigvee_u \mathcal{U}_k(u) \odot S(u_e[[x]], f_e), \forall f \in (L^X)^E, e \in E, x \in X,$$

where $u_e[[x]](y) = u_e(x, y)$. Then, $(X, \mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}})$ is an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft preinterior space. If \mathcal{U} is stratified, then $\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}$ is also stratified.

Theorem 3.4. (1) The L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft preinterior operator $\mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}$ can be constructed from the cuts \mathcal{U}_α , $\alpha > 0$, of the L -fuzzy quasi-uniformity by using the equality

$$\mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f) = \bigvee_{\alpha \in L} \alpha \odot \mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f, \alpha),$$

where $\mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f, \alpha)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f, \alpha)_e(x) = \bigvee_{\mathcal{U}_k(u) \geq \alpha} (S(u_e[x], f_e)).$$

(2)

$$\mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f) \leq \mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k, \mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f, \mathcal{U}_k(v))).$$

Proof. (1) If for some $y \in X$ we have $A(y) \geq \alpha$, then we can write $A(y) \odot B(y) \geq \alpha \odot B(y)$ and

$$\bigvee \{A(x) \odot B(x) \mid A(x) \geq \alpha\} \geq \bigvee \{\alpha \odot B(y) \mid A(x) \geq \alpha\}.$$

Suppose

$$\bigvee \{A(x) \odot B(x) \mid x \in X\} \not\leq \bigvee_{\alpha \in L} \bigvee \{\alpha \odot B(x) \mid A(x) \geq \alpha\}.$$

There exists $x_0 \in X$ such that

$$A(x_0) \odot B(x_0) \not\leq \bigvee_{\alpha \in L} \bigvee \{\alpha \odot B(x) \mid A(x) \geq \alpha\}.$$

It is a contradiction. Hence

$$\bigvee \{A(x) \odot B(x) \mid x \in X\} = \bigvee_{\alpha \in L} \bigvee \{\alpha \odot B(x) \mid A(x) \geq \alpha\}.$$

Applying this equality to the formula giving $\mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f)$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f)_e(x) = \bigvee_{\alpha \in L} \{\bigvee \alpha \odot S(u_e[x], f_e) \mid \mathcal{U}_k(u) \geq \alpha\} = \bigvee_{\alpha \in L} \alpha \odot \mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f, \alpha)_e(x).$$

(2) For $u \in (L^{X \times X})^E$ and $f \in (L^X)^E$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f)_e(x) &= \bigvee_u \mathcal{U}_k(u) \odot S(u_e[x], f_e) \\ &= \bigvee_u \{\mathcal{U}_k(u) \odot \bigwedge_{y \in X} (u_e(y, x) \rightarrow f_e(y))\} \\ &\leq \bigvee_v \{\mathcal{U}_k(v) \odot \bigwedge_{y \in X} ((v \circ v)_e(y, x) \rightarrow f_e(y))\} \text{ (by (SU5))} \\ &= \bigvee_v \{\mathcal{U}_k(v) \odot \bigwedge_{y \in X} ((\bigvee_{z \in X} v_e(z, x) \odot v_e(y, z)) \rightarrow f_e(y))\} \\ &= \bigvee_v \{\mathcal{U}_k(v) \odot \bigwedge_{y \in X} \bigwedge_{z \in X} ((v_e(z, x) \odot v_e(y, z)) \rightarrow f_e(y))\} \\ &= \bigvee_v \{\mathcal{U}_k(v) \odot \bigwedge_{y \in X} \bigwedge_{z \in X} (v_e(z, x) \rightarrow (v_e(y, z) \\ &\rightarrow f_e(y)))\} \text{ (by Lemma 2.2 (12))} \\ &= \bigvee_v \{\mathcal{U}_k(v) \odot \bigwedge_{z \in X} (v_e(z, x) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{y \in X} (v_e(y, z) \rightarrow f_e(y)))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Put $\rho_e(z) = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (v_e(y, z) \rightarrow f_e(y))$. By the definition of $\mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f, \mathcal{U}_k(v))_e$, $\rho_e(z) \leq \mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f, \mathcal{U}_k(v))_e(z)$ for all $z \in X, e \in E$ and $\mathcal{U}_k(v) \neq 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f)_e(x) \\ &= \bigvee_v \{ \mathcal{U}_k(v) \odot \bigwedge_{z \in X} (v_e(z, x) \rightarrow \rho_e(z)) \mid \rho_e(z) \leq \mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(f, \mathcal{U}_k(v))_e(z) \} \\ &\leq \bigvee_v \{ \mathcal{U}_k(v) \odot \bigwedge_{z \in X} (v_e(z, x) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(f, \mathcal{U}_k(v))_e(z)) \} \\ &\leq \mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f, \mathcal{U}_k(v))_e)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.5. Let (X, \mathcal{I}) be an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft preinterior space. Define a map $\mathcal{I}_k^{\mathcal{I}} : K \rightarrow L^{(L^X)^E}$ by:

$$\mathcal{I}_k^{\mathcal{I}}(f) = \bigwedge_{e \in E} S(f_e, \mathcal{I}(k, f)_e).$$

Then, $\mathcal{I}_k^{\mathcal{I}}$ is an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft topology on X . If \mathcal{I} is stratified, then $\mathcal{I}_k^{\mathcal{I}}$ is an enriched L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft topology.

Proof. (SO1)

$$\mathcal{I}_k^{\mathcal{I}}(1_X) = \bigwedge_{e \in E} \bigwedge_{x \in X} ((1_X)_e(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(k, 1_X)_e(x)) = 1 \rightarrow 1 = 1,$$

$$\mathcal{I}_k^{\mathcal{I}}(0_X) = \bigwedge_{e \in E} \bigwedge_{x \in X} ((0_X)_e(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(k, 0_X)_e(x)) = 0 \rightarrow 0 = 1.$$

(SO2) By Lemma 2.2(12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_k^{\mathcal{I}}(f \odot g) \\ &= \bigwedge_{e \in E} S((f \odot g)_e, \mathcal{I}(k, f \odot g)_e) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{e \in E} S((f \odot g)_e, \mathcal{I}(k, f)_e \odot \mathcal{I}(k, g)_e) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{e \in E} S(f_e, \mathcal{I}(k, f)_e) \odot \bigwedge_{e \in E} S(g_e, \mathcal{I}(k, g)_e) \\ &= \mathcal{I}_k^{\mathcal{I}}(f) \odot \mathcal{I}_k^{\mathcal{I}}(g). \end{aligned}$$

(SO3) By Lemma 2.2(8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_k^{\mathcal{J}}(\sqcup_i f_i) &= \bigwedge_{e \in E} S((\sqcup_i f_i)_e, \mathcal{J}(k, \sqcup_i f_i)_e) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{e \in E} S((\sqcup_i f_i)_e, \sqcup_i \mathcal{J}(k, f_i)_e) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{e \in E} \bigwedge_i S((f_i)_e, \mathcal{J}(k, f_i)_e) \geq \bigwedge_i \mathcal{T}_k^{\mathcal{J}}(f_i). \end{aligned}$$

(R) By Lemma 2.2 (12) and Theorem 3.2(2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_k^{\mathcal{J}}(\alpha \odot f) &= \bigwedge_{e \in E} S((\alpha \odot f)_e, \mathcal{J}(k, \alpha \odot f)_e) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{e \in E} S((\alpha \odot f)_e, (\alpha \odot \mathcal{J}(k, f))_e) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{e \in E} S(f_e, \mathcal{J}(k, f)_e) = \mathcal{T}_k^{\mathcal{J}}(f). \end{aligned}$$

From Theorems 3.2 and 3.5, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft quasi-uniform space. Define a map $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{J}_1^{\mathcal{U}}}, \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{J}_r^{\mathcal{U}}} : K \rightarrow L^{(L^X)^E}$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{J}_1^{\mathcal{U}}}(f) &= \bigwedge_{e \in E} S(f_e, \mathcal{J}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f)_e), \\ \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{J}_r^{\mathcal{U}}}(f) &= \bigwedge_{e \in E} S(f_e, \mathcal{J}_r^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f)_e). \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft topology on X . If \mathcal{U} is stratified, then $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an enriched L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft topology.

Theorem 3.7. Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be an L -fuzzy (K_1, E_1) -soft uniform space and (Y, \mathcal{V}) be an L -fuzzy (K_2, E_2) -soft uniform space. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, $\psi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ and $\eta : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ be mappings. If $\varphi_{\psi, \eta} : (X, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{V})$ is L -fuzzy soft uniformly continuous, then $\varphi_{\psi, \eta} : (X, \mathcal{J}_1^{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{J}_1^{\mathcal{V}})$ and $\varphi_{\psi, \eta} : (X, \mathcal{J}_r^{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{J}_r^{\mathcal{V}})$ are L -fuzzy soft continuous.

Proof. We have $\varphi_{\psi, \eta}^{-1}(v_{\psi(e)}[\varphi_{\psi, \eta}(x)]) = (\varphi_{\psi, \eta} \times \varphi_{\psi, \eta})^{-1}(v_{\psi(e)})[x]$ from

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\psi, \eta}^{-1}(v_{\psi(e)}[\varphi_{\psi, \eta}(x)])(z) &= v_{\psi(e)}[\varphi_{\psi, \eta}(x)](\varphi_{\psi, \eta}(z)) = v_{\psi(e)}(\varphi_{\psi, \eta}(z), \varphi_{\psi, \eta}(x)) \\ &= (\varphi_{\psi, \eta} \times \varphi_{\psi, \eta})^{-1}(v_{\psi(e)})(z, x) = (\varphi_{\psi, \eta} \times \varphi_{\psi, \eta})^{-1}(v)_e[x](z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.6(4,5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(v_{\psi(e)}[\varphi_{\psi, \eta}(x)], f_{\psi(e)}) &\leq S(\varphi_{\psi, \eta}^{-1}(v_{\psi(e)}[\varphi_{\psi, \eta}(x)]), \varphi_{\psi, \eta}^{-1}(f_{\psi(e)})) \\ &= S((\varphi_{\psi, \eta} \times \varphi_{\psi, \eta})^{-1}(v)_e[x], \varphi_{\psi, \eta}^{-1}(f)_e). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\psi, \eta}^{-1}(\mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}}(\eta(k), g))_e(x) &= \mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}}(\eta(k), g)_{\psi(e)}(\varphi_{\psi, \eta}(x)) \\ &= \bigvee_v \mathcal{V}_{\eta(k)}(v) \odot S(v_{\psi(e)}[\varphi_{\psi, \eta}(x)], f_{\psi(e)}) \\ &\leq \bigvee_v \mathcal{V}_{\eta(k)}(v) \odot S((\varphi_{\psi, \eta} \times \varphi_{\psi, \eta})^{-1}(v)_e[x], \varphi_{\psi, \eta}^{-1}(f)_e) \\ &\leq \bigvee_u \mathcal{U}_k((\varphi_{\psi, \eta} \times \varphi_{\psi, \eta})^{-1}(v)) \odot S((\varphi_{\psi, \eta} \times \varphi_{\psi, \eta})^{-1}(v)_e[x], \varphi_{\psi, \eta}^{-1}(f)_e) \\ &\leq \mathcal{I}_l^{\mathcal{U}}(k, \varphi_{\psi, \eta}^{-1}(f)). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.8. Let (X, \mathcal{I}_X) be an L -fuzzy (K_1, E_1) -soft preinterior space and (Y, \mathcal{I}_Y) be an L -fuzzy (K_2, E_2) -soft preinterior space. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, $\psi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ and $\eta : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ be mappings. If a map $\varphi_{\psi, \eta} : (X, \mathcal{I}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{I}_Y)$ is L -fuzzy soft interior map, then a map $\varphi_{\psi, \eta} : (X, \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{I}_X}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{I}_Y})$ is L -fuzzy soft continuous.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, since $\varphi_{\psi,\eta}^{-1}(\mathcal{I}_Y(\eta(k), f)_{\psi(e)})(x) \leq \mathcal{I}_X(\varphi_{\psi,\eta}^{-1}(f))_e(x)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{I}_Y}(f) &\rightarrow \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{I}_X}(\varphi_{\psi,\eta}^{-1}(f)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{e \in E} \bigwedge_{y \in Y} \left(f_{\psi(e)}(y) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y(\eta(k), f)_{\psi(e)}(y) \right) \\ &\rightarrow \bigwedge_{e \in E} \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left(\varphi_{\psi,\eta}^{-1}(f)_e(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_X(k, \varphi_{\psi,\eta}^{-1}(f))_e(x) \right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{e \in E} \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left(\varphi_{\psi,\eta}^{-1}(f)_e(x) \rightarrow \varphi_{\psi,\eta}^{-1}(\mathcal{I}_Y(\eta(k), f)_{\psi(e)})(x) \right) \\ &\rightarrow \bigwedge_{e \in E} \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left(\varphi_{\psi,\eta}^{-1}(f)_e(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_X(k, \varphi_{\psi,\eta}^{-1}(f))_e(x) \right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{e \in E} \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left(\varphi_{\psi,\eta}^{-1}(\mathcal{I}_Y(\eta(k), f)_{\psi(e)})(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_X(k, \varphi_{\psi,\eta}^{-1}(f))_e(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $\varphi_{\psi,\eta}^{-1}(\mathcal{I}_Y(\eta(k), f)) \leq \mathcal{I}_X(k, \varphi_{\psi,\eta}^{-1}(f))$, then $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{I}_Y}(f) \leq \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{I}_X}(\varphi_{\psi,\eta}^{-1}(f))$.

From Theorems 3.5 and 3.8, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.9. Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be an L -fuzzy (K_1, E_1) -soft uniform space and (Y, \mathcal{V}) be an L -fuzzy (K_2, E_2) -soft uniform space. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, $\psi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ and $\eta : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ be mappings. If a map $\varphi_{\psi,\eta} : (X, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{V})$ is L -fuzzy soft uniformly continuous, then two maps $\varphi_{\psi,\eta} : (X, \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{V}}}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}})$ and $\varphi_{\psi,\eta} : (X, \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{V}}}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}})$ are L -fuzzy soft continuous.

Example 3.10. Let $X = \{h_i \mid i = \{1, 2, 3\}\}$ with h_i =house and $E = \{e, b\}$ with e =expensive, b = beautiful. Define a binary operation \odot on $[0, 1]$ by

$$x \odot y = \max\{0, x + y - 1\}, \quad x \rightarrow y = \min\{1 - x + y, 1\}$$

Then $([0, 1], \wedge, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is a complete residuated lattice.

(1) Put $v, v \odot v, w \in ([0, 1]^{X \times X})^E$ as

$$v_e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.6 & 0.5 \\ 0.3 & 1 & 0.5 \\ 0.4 & 0.6 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad v_b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.5 & 0.3 \\ 0.7 & 1 & 0.5 \\ 0.6 & 0.6 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (v \odot v)_e &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & (v \odot v)_b &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.4 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.2 & 0.2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 w_e &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.4 & 0.5 \\ 0.4 & 1 & 0.5 \\ 0.4 & 0.6 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & w_b &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.5 & 0.3 \\ 0.3 & 1 & 0.5 \\ 0.2 & 0.3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

We define $\mathcal{U} : K = \{k_1, k_2\} \rightarrow [0, 1]^{([0, 1]^{X \times X})^E}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{U}_{k_1}(u) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u = 1_{Y \times Y} \\ 0.6, & \text{if } v \sqsubseteq u \neq 1_{Y \times Y}, \\ 0.3, & \text{if } v \odot v \sqsubseteq u \not\sqsubseteq v, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_{k_2}(u) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u = 1_{Y \times Y} \\ 0.5, & \text{if } w \sqsubseteq u \neq 1_{Y \times Y}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) From Theorem 3.2, since $\mathcal{I}_I^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f)_e(x) = \bigvee_u \mathcal{U}_k(u) \odot S(u_e[x], f_e)$, $\forall f \in (L^X)^E, e \in E, x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{I}_I^{\mathcal{U}}(k_1, f)_e(h_1) &= (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_e(x)) \vee \left(0.6 \odot (f_e(h_1) \wedge (0.7 + f_e(h_2))) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \wedge (0.6 + f_e(h_3)) \right) \vee (0.3 \odot f_e(h_1))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{I}_I^{\mathcal{U}}(k_1, f)_b(h_1) &= (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_b(x)) \vee \left(0.6 \odot (f_b(h_1) \wedge (0.3 + f_b(h_2))) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \wedge (0.4 + f_b(h_3)) \right) \vee \left((0.3 \odot (f_b(h_1) \wedge (0.6 + f_b(h_2))) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \wedge (0.8 + f_b(h_3))) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{I}_I^{\mathcal{U}}(k_1, f)_e(h_2) &= (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_e(x)) \vee \left(0.6 \odot ((0.4 + f_e(h_1)) \wedge f_e(h_2)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \wedge (0.4 + f_e(h_3)) \right) \vee \left(0.3 \odot (0.8 + f_e(h_1)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \wedge f_e(h_2) \wedge (0.8 + f_e(h_3)) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{I}_I^{\mathcal{U}}(k_1, f)_b(h_2) &= (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_b(x)) \vee \left(0.6 \odot ((0.5 + f_b(h_1)) \wedge f_b(h_2)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \wedge (0.4 + f_b(h_3)) \right) \vee \left(0.3 \odot (f_b(h_2) \wedge (0.8 + f_b(h_3))) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k_1, f)_e(h_3) = (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_e(x)) \vee \left(0.6 \odot ((0.5 + f_e(h_1)) \wedge (0.5 + f_e(h_2)) \wedge f_e(h_3)) \right) \vee \left(0.3 \odot f_e(h_3) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k_1, f)_b(h_3) = (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_b(x)) \vee \left(0.6 \odot ((0.7 + f_b(h_1)) \wedge (0.5 + f_b(h_2)) \wedge f_b(h_3)) \right) \vee \left(0.3 \odot f_b(h_3) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k_2, f)_e(h_1) &= S((1_{X \times X})_e[h_1], f_e) \vee (0.5 \odot S(w_e[h_1], f_e)) \\ &= (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_e(x)) \vee \left(0.5 \odot (f_e(h_1) \wedge (0.6 + f_e(h_2)) \wedge (0.6 + f_e(h_3))) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k_2, f)_b(h_1) &= S((1_{X \times X})_b[h_1], f_b) \vee (0.5 \odot S(w_b[h_1], f_b)) \\ &= (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_b(x)) \vee \left(0.5 \odot (f_b(h_1) \wedge (0.7 + f_b(h_2)) \wedge (0.8 + f_b(h_3))) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k_2, f)_e(h_2) &= (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_e(x)) \\ &\vee \left(0.5 \odot ((0.6 + f_e(h_1)) \wedge f_e(h_2) \wedge (0.4 + f_e(h_3))) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k_2, f)_b(h_2) &= (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_b(x)) \\ &\vee \left(0.5 \odot ((0.5 + f_b(h_1)) \wedge f_b(h_2) \wedge (0.7 + f_b(h_3))) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k_2, f)_e(h_3) &= (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_e(x)) \\ &\vee \left(0.5 \odot ((0.5 + f_e(h_1)) \wedge (0.5 + f_e(h_2)) \wedge f_e(h_3)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k_2, f)_b(h_3) &= (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_b(x)) \\ &\vee \left(0.5 \odot ((0.7 + f_b(h_1)) \wedge (0.5 + f_b(h_2)) \wedge f_b(h_3)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

For $f_e = (0.5, 0.1, 0.7)$ and $f_b = (0.2, 0.5, 0.6)$,

$$\mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k_1, f)_e = (0.5, 0.1, 0.2), \quad \mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k_1, f)_b = (0.2, 0.2, 0.2)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k_2, f)_e = (0.1, 0.1, 0.1), \quad \mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}(k_2, f)_b = (0.2, 0.2, 0.2)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{k_1}^{\mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}}(f) = 0.5, \quad \mathcal{I}_{k_2}^{\mathcal{I}_1^{\mathcal{U}}}(f) = 0.4.$$

(3) From Corollary 3.3, since $\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}(k, f)_e(x) = \bigvee_u \mathcal{U}_k(u) \odot S(u_e[[x]], f_e)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}(k_1, f)_e(h_1) &= (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_e(x)) \vee \left(0.6 \odot (f_e(h_1) \wedge (0.4 + f_e(h_2)) \wedge (0.5 + f_e(h_3))) \right) \vee (0.3 \odot f_e(h_1)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}(k_1, f)_b(h_1) = (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_b(x)) \vee \left(0.6 \odot (f_b(h_1) \wedge (0.5 + f_b(h_2)) \wedge (0.7 + f_b(h_3))) \right) \vee \left(0.3 \odot ((0.8 + f_b(h_2)) \wedge f_b(h_1)) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}(k_1, f)_e(h_2) = (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_e(x)) \vee \left(0.6 \odot ((0.7 + f_e(h_1)) \wedge f_e(h_2) \wedge (0.5 + f_e(h_3))) \right) \vee \left(0.3 \odot f_e(h_2) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}(k_1, f)_b(h_2) = (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_b(x)) \vee \left(0.6 \odot ((0.3 + f_b(h_1)) \wedge f_b(h_2) \wedge (0.5 + f_b(h_3))) \right) \vee \left(0.3 \odot ((0.8 + f_b(h_1)) \wedge f_b(h_2)) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}(k_1, f)_e(h_3) = (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_e(x)) \vee \left(0.6 \odot ((0.6 + f_e(h_1)) \wedge (0.4 + f_e(h_2)) \wedge f_e(h_3)) \right) \vee \left(0.3 \odot ((0.8 + f_e(h_2)) \wedge f_e(h_3)) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}(k_1, f)_b(h_3) = (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_b(x)) \vee \left(0.6 \odot ((0.4 + f_b(h_1)) \wedge (0.4 + f_b(h_2)) \wedge f_b(h_3)) \right) \vee \left(0.3 \odot ((0.8 + f_b(h_1)) \wedge (0.8 + f_b(h_2)) \wedge f_b(h_3)) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}(k_2, f)_e(h_1) = (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_e(x)) \vee \left(0.5 \odot (f_e(h_1) \wedge (0.6 + f_e(h_2)) \wedge (0.5 + f_e(h_3))) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}(k_2, f)_b(h_1) = (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_b(x)) \vee \left(0.5 \odot (f_b(h_1) \wedge (0.5 + f_b(h_2)) \wedge (0.3 + f_b(h_3))) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}(k_2, f)_e(h_2) = (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_e(x)) \vee \left(0.5 \odot ((0.6 + f_e(h_1)) \wedge f_e(h_2) \wedge (0.5 + f_e(h_3))) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}(k_2, f)_b(h_2) = (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_b(x)) \vee \left(0.5 \odot ((0.7 + f_b(h_1)) \wedge f_b(h_2) \wedge (0.5 + f_b(h_3))) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}(k_2, f)_e(h_3) = (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_e(x)) \vee \left(0.5 \odot ((0.6 + f_e(h_1)) \wedge (0.4 + f_e(h_2)) \wedge f_e(h_3)) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}(k_2, f)_b(h_3) = (\bigwedge_{x \in X} f_b(x)) \vee \left(0.5 \odot ((0.8 + f_b(h_1)) \wedge (0.7 + f_b(h_2)) \wedge f_b(h_3)) \right)$$

For $f_e = (0.5, 0.1, 0.7)$ and $f_b = (0.2, 0.5, 0.6)$,

$$\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}(k_1, f)_e = (0.1, 0.1, 0.2), \quad \mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}(k_1, f)_e = (0.2, 0.2, 0.2)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}(k_2, f)_e = (0.1, 0.1, 0.1), \quad \mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}(k_2, f)_e = (0.2, 0.2, 0.2)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{k_1}^{\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}}(f) = 0.5, \quad \mathcal{F}_{k_2}^{\mathcal{I}_r^{\mathcal{U}}}(f) = 0.4$$

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Aktas, N. Cagman, Soft sets and soft groups, *Information Sciences*, **177** (2007) 2726-2735.
- [2] M.I. Ali, F. Feng, X.Y. Liu, W.K. Min, M. Shabir, On some new operations in soft set theory, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **57** (2009) 1547-2553.
- [3] A. Aygünoglu, H. Aygün, Introduction to fuzzy soft groups, *Computers and Mathematics with Appl.*, **58**(2009), 1279-1286.
- [4] A. Aygünoglu, V. Cetkin, H. Aygün, An introduction to fuzzy soft topological spaces, *Hacettepe Journal of Math. and Stat.*, **43**(2)(2014), 193-204
- [5] V. Cetkin, Alexander P. Šostak, H. Aygün, An Approach to the Concept of Soft fuzzy Proximity, *Abstract and Applied Analysis Stat.*, **2014**, ,doi: 10.1155/2014/782583.
- [6] V. Cetkin, H. Aygün, On fuzzy Soft topogenous structure, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, **27**(1)(2014),247-255, doi: 10.3233/IFS-130993.
- [7] F. Feng, Y.B. Jun, X. Liu, L. Li, An adjustable approach to fuzzy soft set based decision making, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* **234**(2010),10-20, doi: 10.1016/j.ins.2010.11.004.
- [8] P. Hájek, *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998, doi: 10.1007/978-94-011-5300-3.
- [9] U. Höhle, E.P. Klement, *Non-classical logic and their applications to fuzzy subsets*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1995.
- [10] U. Höhle, S.E. Rodabaugh, *Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets: Logic, Topology, and Measure Theory*, The Handbooks of Fuzzy Sets Series 3, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1999,doi: 10.1007/978-1-4615-5079-2.
- [11] Y.C. Kim, A.A.Ramadan, M.A. Usama, *L-fuzzy Uniform spaces*, *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, **14** (2006),821-850.
- [12] R. Lowen, Fuzzy uniform spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **82** (1981), 370-385.
- [13] P.K. Maji, R. Biswas, R. Roy, An application of soft sets in a decision making problem, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **44** (2002) 1077-1083.
- [14] P.K. Maji, R. Biswas, R. Roy, Soft set theory, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **45** (2003) 555-562.

- [15] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory first results, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **37** (1999) 19-31.
- [16] D. Molodtsov, V.Y. Leonov, D.V. Kovkov, Soft sets technique and its application, *Nechetkie Sistemy i Myagkie Vychisleniya*, **1** (1) (2006) 8-19.
- [17] A.A. Ramadan, E.H.Elkordy, Y.C. Kim, Topological properties of L - fuzzy uniform spaces, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* , **100** (2015), 347-360.
- [18] A.A. Ramadan, E.H.Elkordy, Y.C. Kim, Relationships between L -fuzzy quasi-uniform structures and L -fuzzy topologies, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, **28** (2015), 2319-2327.
- [19] A.A. Ramadan, Y.C. Kim, (K, E) -soft topologies and L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft neighborhood systems *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* (accepted)(2016).
- [20] A.A. Ramadan, Y.C. Kim, L - fuzzy (K, E) -soft L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft topogenous orders and L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft topologies , *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*(submitted)(2016).
- [21] S.E. Rodabaugh, A theory of fuzzy uniformities with applications to the fuzzy real lines, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **129** (1988), 37-70.
- [22] S.E. Rodabaugh, E.P. Klement, *Topological and Algebraic Structures in Fuzzy Sets*, The Handbook of Recent Developments in the Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Dordrecht, London, 2003.
- [23] M. Shabir, M. Naz, On soft topological spaces, *Computers and Mathematics with Appl.*, **61**(2011), 1786-1799, **doi:** 10.1016/j.camwa.2011.02.006.