



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 7 (2017), No. 2, 280-291

ISSN: 1927-5307

ON (L,M) -FUZZY SOFT TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

O. R. SAYED¹, E. ELSANOUSY², Y. H. RAGHP², YONG CHAN KIM^{3,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut 71516, Egypt

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Sohag University, Sohag, 82524, Egypt

³Department of Mathematics, Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung, 25457, Korea

Copyright © 2017 Sayed, Elsanousy, Raghp and Kim. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper, the concepts of (L,M) -fuzzy soft topological spaces, (L,M) -fuzzy soft base and (L,M) -fuzzy soft filter spaces were introduced and their properties were studied, where L be a completely distributive lattice with 0 and 1 elements and M be a strictly two-sided, commutative quantale lattice. Also, the relationships between these concepts were investigated.

Keywords: (L,M) -fuzzy soft topological spaces; (L,M) -fuzzy soft base; (L,M) -fuzzy soft filter spaces.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 54A40, 03E72, 03G10, 06A15.

1. Introduction

In 1999, D. Molodtsov [29] initiated the theory of soft sets as a new mathematical tool for dealing with uncertainties. Also, he applied this theory to several directions (see, for example, [30],[31],[32]). The soft set theory has been applied to many different fields (see, for example, [1],[2],[6],[7],[10],[11], [21],[27],[33],[44],[39],[45]). Later, some researchers (see, for

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: yck@gwnu.ac.kr

Received November 21, 2016; Published March 1, 2017

example, [3], [8], [19], [20], [28], [34], [40], [46]) introduced and studied the notion of soft topological spaces.

Šostak introduced a new definition of fuzzy topology in 1985 [41], which we will call "fuzzy topology on Šostak sense." According to Šostak [41], these definitions, a fuzzy topology is a crisp subfamily of family of fuzzy sets and fuzziness in the concept of openness of a fuzzy set has not been considered, which seems to be a drawback in the process of fuzzification of the concept of topological spaces.

In this paper, we introduce the concepts of (L, M) -fuzzy soft topological spaces and (L, M) -fuzzy soft filter spaces in Šostak sense. We study their properties and discuss the relationships between these concepts.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 [13]. Let (L, \leq) be a poset.

- (1) L is called a lattice, if $a \vee b \in L, a \wedge b \in L$ for any $a, b \in L$.
- (2) L is called a complete lattice, if $\bigvee S \in L, \bigwedge S \in L$ for any $S \subseteq L$.
- (3) L is called distributive, if $a \vee (b \wedge c) = (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c), a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$ for any $a, b, c \in L$.
- (4) L is called a complete distributive lattice (resp. a distributive lattice), if L is a complete lattice (resp. a lattice) and distributive.

Definition 2.2 [13]. Let L be a lattice with top element 1_L and bottom element 0_L and let $a, b \in L$. Then b is called a complement element of a , if $a \vee b = 1_L, a \wedge b = 0_L$. If $a \in L$ has a complement element, then it is unique. We denote the complement element of a by a' .

Definition 2.3 [13]. Let (L, \leq) be a poset. Then

- (1) L is called a Boolean lattice, if (i) L is a distributive lattice; (ii) L has 0_L and 1_L ; (iii) each $a \in L$ has the complement $a' \in L$.
- (2) L is called a complete Boolean lattice, if (i) L is a complete distributive lattice; (ii) L has 0_L and 1_L ; (iii) each $a \in L$ has the complement $a' \in L$.

Definition 2.4 [14],[15],[35],[42]. A triple (L, \leq, \odot) is called a strictly two-sided commutative quantale (stsc-quantale, for short) if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:

(L1) $(L, \leq, \vee, \wedge, 1, 0)$ is a completely distributive lattice where 1 is the universal upper bound and 0 is the universal lower bound.

(L2) (L, \odot) is a commutative semigroup.

(L3) $x = x \odot 1$ for each $x \in L$.

(L4) \odot is distributive over arbitrary joins, i.e. $(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} a_i) \odot b = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} (a_i \odot b)$.

Let (L, \leq, \odot) be a stsc-quantale. Then for each $x, y \in L$ we define $(x \odot y) \leq z \iff x \leq (y \rightarrow z)$. The it satisfies Galois correspondence. i.e. $(x \odot y) \leq z$ if and only if $x \leq (y \rightarrow z)$.

Definition 2.5 [37]. Let E be a set of parameters, X be an initial universe. A pair (f, E) is called a fuzzy soft set over X , if f is a mapping given by $f : E \rightarrow I^X$. We also denote (f, E) by f_E . The set of all fuzzy soft set is denoted by $FS(X, E)$.

Definition 2.6 [26]. A fuzzy soft set f_E on X is called a null fuzzy soft set and denoted by $\tilde{0}$ if $f_e = \bar{0}$, for each $e \in E$.

Definition 2.7 [4]. A fuzzy soft set f_E on X is called an absolute fuzzy soft set and denoted by $\tilde{1}$ if $f_e = \bar{1}$, for each $e \in E$.

Definition 2.8 [25]. Let E be a set of parameters, X be an initial universe, L be a complete Boolean lattice and $A \subseteq E$. An L -fuzzy soft set f_A over (X, E) is a mapping $f_A : E \rightarrow L^X$ such that $f_A(e) = \bar{0}$ for all $e \notin A$. The set of all L -fuzzy soft set over (X, E) is denoted by $L-FS(X, E)$.

In other words, an L -fuzzy soft set f_E over X is a parameterized family of L -fuzzy sets in the universe X . If $L = [0, 1]$, then every L -fuzzy soft set is a fuzzy soft set.

Definition 2.9 [25]. Let $f_A, g_B \in L-FS(X, E)$. Then

(1) f_A is said to be fuzzy soft subset of g_B , denoted by $f_A \sqsubseteq g_B$ if $f_A(e) \subseteq g_B(e)$ for all $e \in E$, that is $f_A(e)(x) \leq g_B(e)(x)$ for all $e \in E$, and for all $x \in X$.

Two L -fuzzy soft sets f_A and g_B over (X, E) are said to be equal, denoted by $f_A \cong g_B$ if $f_A \sqsubseteq g_B$ and $g_B \sqsubseteq f_A$.

(2) The union of f_A and g_B is also L -fuzzy soft set h_C , defined by $h_C(e) \cong f_A(e) \vee g_B(e)$ for all $e \in E$, where $C = A \cup B$. Here we write $h_C = f_A \sqcup g_B$.

(3) The intersection of f_A and g_B is also L -fuzzy soft set h_C , defined by $h_C(e) \cong f_A(e) \wedge g_B(e)$ for all $e \in E$, where $C = A \cap B$. Here we write $h_C = f_A \sqcap g_B$.

Definition 2.10 [38]. The fuzzy soft set $f_A \in FS(X, E)$ is called fuzzy soft point if $A = \{e\} \subseteq E$ and $f_A(e)$ is a fuzzy point in X i.e. there exists $x \in X$ such that $f_A(e)(x) = t$ ($0 < t \leq 1$) and $f_A(e)(y) = 0$ for all $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$. We denote this fuzzy soft point $f_A = e_x^t = \{(e, x_t)\}$ and the set of all fuzzy soft point by $SP_t^e(X, E)$.

Definition 2.11 [38]. Let $e_x^t, f_A \in FS(X, E)$. we say that $e_x^t \tilde{\in} f_A$ read as e_x^t belongs to the fuzzy soft set f_A if for the element $e \in A, t \leq f_A(e)(x)$.

Definition 2.12 [5]. Let (X, E) and (Y, E^*) be classes of fuzzy soft sets over X and Y with attributes from E and E^* respectively. Let $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\psi : E \rightarrow E^*$ be mapping. Then a fuzzy soft mapping $f = (\rho, \psi) : (X, E) \rightarrow (Y, E^*)$ would be defined as follows

For a fuzzy soft set F_A in (X, E) , $f(F_A)$ is a fuzzy soft set in (Y, E^*) obtained as follows: for $\beta \in \psi(E) \subseteq E^*$ and $y \in Y$,

$$f(F_A)(\beta)(y) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{x \in \rho^{-1}(y)} \left(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \psi^{-1}(\beta)} F_A(\alpha) \right)(x), & \text{if } \rho^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset, \psi^{-1}(\beta) \neq \emptyset, \\ 0, & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$f(F_A)$ is called fuzzy soft image of the fuzzy soft set F_A .

Definition 2.13 [5]. Let (X, E) and (Y, E^*) be classes of fuzzy soft sets over X and Y with attributes from E and E^* respectively. Let $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$, $\psi : E \rightarrow E^*$ be mappings and $f = (\rho, \psi) :$

$(X, E) \rightarrow (Y, E^*)$ a fuzzy soft mapping. Then for a fuzzy soft set g_B in (Y, E^*) $f^{-1}(g_B)$ is a fuzzy soft set in (X, E) obtained as follows: for $\alpha \in \psi^{-1}(E^*) \subseteq E$ and $x \in E$,

$$f^{-1}(g_B)(\alpha)(x) = g_B(\psi(\alpha))(\rho(x)).$$

$f^{-1}(g_B)$ is called a fuzzy soft inverse image of the fuzzy soft set g_B .

3. (L, M) -fuzzy soft topological spaces

Let L be a completely distributive lattice with 0 and 1 elements and M be a strictly two-sided, commutative quantale lattice.

Definition 3.1. A map $\mathcal{T} : L\text{-FS}(X, E) \rightarrow M$ is called an (L, M) -fuzzy soft topology on (X, E) if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$(LSO1) \mathcal{T}(\tilde{0}) = \mathcal{T}(\tilde{1}) = 1.$$

$$(LSO2) \mathcal{T}(f_{A_1} \sqcap f_{A_2}) \geq \mathcal{T}(f_{A_1}) \odot \mathcal{T}(f_{A_2}), \text{ for all } f_{A_1}, f_{A_2} \in L\text{-FS}(X, E).$$

$$(LSO3) \mathcal{T}(\bigsqcup_{i \in \Lambda} f_{A_i} \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{T}(f_{A_i}), \text{ for all } f_{A_i} \in L\text{-FS}(X, E).$$

The triple (X, E, \mathcal{T}) is called (L, M) -fuzzy soft topological space.

Let \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 be (L, M) -fuzzy soft topologies on (X, E) . We say that \mathcal{T}_1 is finer than \mathcal{T}_2 (\mathcal{T}_2 is coarser than \mathcal{T}_1), denoted by $\mathcal{T}_2 \sqsubseteq \mathcal{T}_1$, if $\mathcal{T}_2(f_A) \leq \mathcal{T}_1(f_A)$, for all $f_A \in L\text{-FS}(X, E)$.

Let (X, E, \mathcal{T}_1) and (Y, E^*, \mathcal{T}_2) be (L, M) -fuzzy soft topological spaces. A soft map $\phi : (X, E, \mathcal{T}_1) \rightarrow (Y, E^*, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is called *LFS*-continuous if and only if $\mathcal{T}_2(f_A) \leq \mathcal{T}_1(\phi^{\leftarrow}(f_A))$, for all $f_A \in L\text{-FS}(Y, E^*)$.

Remark 3.2. (1) If $(L = [0, 1], \wedge)$ and $M = \{0, 1\}$, (L, M) -fuzzy soft topological space is fuzzy soft topological space [37].

(2) If $(L = M = [0, 1], \odot = \wedge)$ then (L, M) -fuzzy soft topological space is fuzzy soft topological space [4].

Definition 3.3. A map $\mathcal{F} : L-FS(X, E) \longrightarrow M$ is called an (L, M) -fuzzy soft filter on (X, E) if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$(LSF1) \quad \mathcal{F}(\tilde{0}) = 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{F}(\tilde{1}) = 1.$$

$$(LSF2) \quad \mathcal{F}(f_{A_1} \sqcap f_{A_2}) \geq \mathcal{F}(f_{A_1}) \odot \mathcal{F}(f_{A_2}), \text{ for all } f_{A_1}, f_{A_2} \in L-FS(X, E).$$

$$(LSF3) \quad \text{If } f_{A_1} \sqsubseteq f_{A_2} \text{ we have } \mathcal{F}(f_{A_1}) \leq \mathcal{F}(f_{A_2}).$$

The triple (X, E, \mathcal{F}) is called an (L, M) -fuzzy soft filter space.

Theorem 3.4. Let (X, E, \mathcal{F}) be an (L, M) -fuzzy soft filter space. We define a mapping $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}} : L-FS(X, E) \longrightarrow M$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}}(f_A) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}(f_A), & \text{if } f_A \not\cong \tilde{0}, \\ 1, & \text{if } f_A \cong \tilde{0}. \end{cases}$$

Then $(X, E, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}})$ is an (L, M) -fuzzy soft topological space.

Proof. We show the condition (LSO3). For $f_{A_i} \in L-FS(X, E)$, since $f_{A_i} \sqsubseteq \bigsqcup_{i \in \Gamma} f_{A_i}$ for all $i \in \Gamma$, we have $\mathcal{F}(f_{A_i}) \leq \mathcal{F}(\bigsqcup_{i \in \Gamma} f_{A_i})$, so

$$\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F}(f_{A_i}) \leq \mathcal{F}(\bigsqcup_{i \in \Gamma} f_{A_i}).$$

Definition 3.5. A map $\mathcal{B} : L-FS(X, E) \rightarrow M$ is called an (L, M) -fuzzy soft base on (X, E) if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$(LSB1) \quad \mathcal{B}(\tilde{0}) = \mathcal{B}(\tilde{1}) = 1.$$

$$(LSB2) \quad \mathcal{B}(f_{A_1} \sqcap f_{A_2}) \geq \mathcal{B}(f_{A_1}) \odot \mathcal{B}(f_{A_2}), \text{ for all } f_{A_1}, f_{A_2} \in L-FS(X, E).$$

Theorem 3.6. Let \mathcal{B} be an (L, M) -fuzzy soft base on (X, E) . Define a map $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}} : L-FS(X, E) \rightarrow M$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(f_A) = \bigvee \left\{ \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{B}(f_{A_i}) : f_A = \bigsqcup_{i \in \Gamma} f_{A_i} \right\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the coarsest (L, M) -fuzzy soft topology on (X, E) such that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(f_A) \geq \mathcal{B}(f_A)$ for all $f_A \in L-FS(X, E)$.

Proof. (1) It is trivial from the definition of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$.

(2) For all families $\{f_{A_i} : f_A = \bigsqcup_{i \in \Delta} f_{A_i}\}$ and $\{g_{B_j} : g_B = \bigsqcup_{j \in \Gamma} g_{B_j}\}$ there exists a family $\{f_{A_i} \sqcap g_{B_j}\}$ such that:

$$f_A \sqcap g_B = \left(\bigsqcup_{i \in \Delta} f_{A_i} \right) \sqcap \left(\bigsqcup_{j \in \Gamma} g_{B_j} \right) = \bigsqcup_{i \in \Delta, j \in \Gamma} (f_{A_i} \sqcap g_{B_j}).$$

It implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(f_A \sqcap g_B) &\geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Delta, j \in \Gamma} \mathcal{B}(f_{A_i} \sqcap g_{B_j}) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Delta, j \in \Gamma} (\mathcal{B}(f_{A_i}) \odot \mathcal{B}(g_{B_j})) \text{ (by Definition 3.5 (LSB2))} \\ &\geq \left(\bigwedge_{i \in \Delta} \mathcal{B}(f_{A_i}) \right) \odot \left(\bigwedge_{j \in \Gamma} \mathcal{B}(g_{B_j}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By definition 2.4 (L4) we have $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(f_A \sqcap g_B) \geq \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(f_A) \odot \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(g_B)$.

(3) Let \mathcal{J}_i be the collection of all index sets K_i such that $\{f_{A_{i_k}} \in L\text{-FS}(X, E) : f_{A_i} = \bigsqcup_{k \in K_i} f_{A_{i_k}}\}$ with $f_A = \bigsqcup_{i \in \Gamma} f_{A_i} = \bigsqcup_{i \in \Gamma} \bigsqcup_{k \in K_i} f_{A_{i_k}}$. For each $i \in \Gamma$ and each $\psi \in \prod_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{J}_i$ with $\psi(i) = K_i$ we have

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(f_A) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \left(\bigwedge_{k \in K_i} \mathcal{B}(f_{A_{i_k}}) \right).$$

Put $a_{i, \psi(i)} = \bigwedge_{k \in K_i} \mathcal{B}(f_{A_{i_k}})$. From (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(f_A) &\geq \bigvee_{\psi \in \prod_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{J}_i} \left(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} a_{i, \psi(i)} \right) \\ &\text{(Since } L \text{ is a completely distributive lattice,)} \\ &= \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \left(\bigvee_{M_i \in \mathcal{J}_i} a_{i, M_i} \right) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \left(\bigvee_{M_i \in \mathcal{J}_i} \left(\bigwedge_{m \in M_i} \mathcal{B}(f_{A_{i_m}}) \right) \right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(f_{A_i}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a (L, M) -fuzzy soft topology on X .

If $\mathcal{T} \geq \mathcal{B}$ for every $f_A = \bigsqcup_{i \in \Delta} f_{A_i}$ we have

$$\mathcal{T}(f_A) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Delta} \mathcal{T}(f_{A_i}) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Delta} \mathcal{B}(f_{A_i}).$$

Thus $\mathcal{T} \supseteq \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$.

From Theorem 3.6, we can easily prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7. Let \mathcal{T} be an (L, M) -fuzzy soft topology on (X, E) and \mathcal{B} be an (L, M) -fuzzy soft base on (Y, E^*) . Then a map $\phi : (X, E, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, E^*, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}})$ is *LFS*-continuous if and only if $\mathcal{T}(\phi^{\leftarrow}(f_A)) \geq \mathcal{B}(f_A)$ for each $f_A \in LFS(Y, E^*)$.

Theorem 3.8. Let $\{(X_i, E_i, \mathcal{T}_i) : i \in \Gamma\}$ be a family of (L, M) -fuzzy soft topological spaces, X a set, E be a set of parameters and for each $i \in \Gamma$, $\phi_i : (X, E) \rightarrow (X_i, E_i)$ a fuzzy soft map. Define a map $\mathcal{B} : LFS(X, E) \rightarrow M$ on (X, E) by:

$$\mathcal{B}(f_A) = \bigvee \{ \bigodot_{j=1}^n \mathcal{T}_{k_j}(g_{B_{k_j}}) : f_A = \prod_{j=1}^n \phi_{k_j}^{\leftarrow}(g_{B_{k_j}}) \}$$

where \bigvee is taken over all finite subsets $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\} \subset \Gamma$.

Then: (1) \mathcal{B} is an (L, M) -fuzzy soft base on (X, E) .

(2) The (L, M) -fuzzy soft topology $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ generated by \mathcal{B} is the coarsest (L, M) -fuzzy soft topology on (X, E) for which all $\phi_i, i \in \Gamma$ are *LFS*-continuous maps.

Proof. (1)(LSB1) Since $f_A = \phi_i^{\leftarrow}(f_A)$ for each $f_A \in \{\tilde{0}, \tilde{1}\}$ we have $\mathcal{B}(\tilde{0}) = \mathcal{B}(\tilde{1}) = 1$.

(LSB2) For all finite subsets $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_p\}$ and $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_q\}$ of Γ such that

$$f_A = \prod_{i=1}^p \phi_{k_i}^{\leftarrow}(f_{A_{k_i}}), \quad g_B = \prod_{i=1}^q \phi_{j_i}^{\leftarrow}(g_{B_{j_i}}),$$

we have

$$f_A \sqcap g_B = (\prod_{i=1}^p \phi_{k_i}^{\leftarrow}(f_{A_{k_i}})) \sqcap (\prod_{i=1}^q \phi_{j_i}^{\leftarrow}(g_{B_{j_i}})).$$

Furthermore, we have for each $k \in K \cap J$,

$$\phi_k^{\leftarrow}(f_{A_k}) \sqcap \phi_k^{\leftarrow}(g_{B_k}) = \phi_k^{\leftarrow}(f_{A_k} \sqcap g_{B_k}).$$

Put $f_A \sqcap g_B = \prod_{m_i \in K \cup J} \phi_{m_i}^{\leftarrow}(h_{C_{m_i}})$ where

$$h_{C_{m_i}} = \begin{cases} f_{A_{m_i}}, & \text{if } m_i \in K - (K \cap J), \\ g_{B_{m_i}}, & \text{if } m_i \in J - (K \cap J), \\ f_{A_{m_i}} \sqcap g_{B_{m_i}}, & \text{if } m_i \in K \cap J. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(f_A \sqcap g_B) &\geq \odot_{j \in K \cup J} \mathcal{T}_j(h_{C_j}) \\ &\geq (\odot_{m_i \in K - K \cap J} \mathcal{T}_{m_i}(f_{A_{m_i}})) \odot (\odot_{i=1} \mathcal{T}_{m_i \in J - K \cap J}(g_{B_{m_i}})) \\ &\odot (\odot_{m_i \in K \cap J} \mathcal{T}_{m_i}(f_{A_{m_i}} \sqcap g_{B_{m_i}})) \\ &\geq (\odot_{i=1}^p \mathcal{T}_{j_i}(f_{A_{m_i}})) \odot (\odot_{i=1}^q \mathcal{T}_{j_i}(g_{B_{j_i}})). \end{aligned}$$

By Definition 2.4 (L4) we have $\mathcal{B}(f_A \sqcap g_B) \geq \mathcal{B}(f_A) \odot \mathcal{B}(g_B)$.

(2) For each $f_{A_i} \in L\text{-FS}(X_i, E_i)$, one family $\{\phi_i^{\leftarrow}(f_{A_i})\}$ and $i \in \Gamma$ we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(\phi_i^{\leftarrow}(f_{A_i})) \geq \mathcal{B}(\phi_i^{\leftarrow}(f_{A_i})) \geq \mathcal{T}_i(f_{A_i}).$$

Thus, for each $i \in \Gamma$, $\phi_i : (X, E, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}) \rightarrow (X_i, E_i, \mathcal{T}_i)$ is *LFS*-continuous. Let $\phi_i : (X, E, \mathcal{T}^0) \rightarrow (X_i, E_i, \mathcal{T}_i)$ is *LFS*-continuous, that is for each $i \in \Gamma$ and $f_{A_i} \in L\text{-FS}(X_i, E_i)$, $\mathcal{T}^0(\phi_i^{\leftarrow}(f_{A_i})) \geq \mathcal{T}_i(f_{A_i})$. For all finite subsets $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_p\}$ of Γ such that $f_A = \odot_{i=1}^p \phi_{k_i}^{\leftarrow}(f_{A_{k_i}})$ we have

$$\mathcal{T}^0(f_A) \geq \odot_{i=1}^p \mathcal{T}^0(\phi_{k_i}^{\leftarrow}(f_{A_{k_i}})) \geq \odot_{i=1}^p \mathcal{T}_{k_i}(f_{A_{k_i}}).$$

It implies $\mathcal{T}^0(f_A) \geq \mathcal{B}(f_A)$ for each $f_A \in L\text{-FS}(X, E)$. By Theorem 3.6 $\mathcal{T}^0 \geq \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Example 3.9. Let $X = \{x, y\}$ be a set, $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ be a set of parameters and $L = M = [0, 1]$ a completely distributive lattice. Define a binary operation \odot on $M = [0, 1]$ by $x \odot y = \max\{0, x + y - 1\}$. Then $([0, 1], \leq, \odot)$ is a stsc-quantale. Let $g_B, h_C \in L\text{-FS}(X, E)$ be defined as follows:

$$g_B = \{g(e_1) = \{(x, 0.6), (y, 0.3)\}, g(e_2) = \bar{0}, g(e_3) = \bar{0}\}$$

$$h_C = \{h(e_1) = \{(x, 0.5), (y, 0.7)\}, h(e_2) = \bar{0}, h(e_3) = \bar{0}\}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_B \sqcap h_C &= \{(g_B \sqcap h_C)(e_1) = \{(x, 0.5), (y, 0.3)\}, \\ &\quad (g_B \sqcap h_C)(e_2) = \bar{0}, (g_B \sqcap h_C)(e_2) = \bar{0}\} \\ g_B \sqcup h_C &= \{(g_B \sqcup h_C)(e_1) = \{(x, 0.6), (y, 0.7)\}, \\ &\quad (g_B \sqcup h_C)(e_2) = \bar{0}, (g_B \sqcup h_C)(e_2) = \bar{0}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We define an (L, M) -fuzzy soft topology $\mathcal{T} : L\text{-FS}(X, E) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}(f_A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } f_A \cong \tilde{0} \text{ or } \tilde{1}, \\ 0.8, & \text{if } f_A \cong g_B, \\ 0.4, & \text{if } f_A \cong h_C, \\ 0.6, & \text{if } f_A \cong g_B \sqcup h_C, \\ 0.2, & \text{if } f_A \cong g_B \sqcap h_C, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Aktas and N. Çağman, Soft sets and soft groups, *Inf. Sci.*, 177(2007), 2726-2735.
- [2] M. I. Ali, F. Feng, X. Liu, W. K. Min, and M. Shabir, On some new operations in soft set theory, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 57 (2009), 1547-1553.
- [3] A. Aygünoglu, H. Aygün, Some notes on soft topological spaces, *Neural. Comput. Appl.*, 21(1) (2012), 113-119.
- [4] A. Aygünoglu, V. Cetkin, H. Aygun, An introduction to fuzzy soft topological spaces, *Hacettepe Journal Of Mathematics and Statistics*, 43(2) (2014), 193-204.
- [5] Banashree Bora, On Fuzzy Soft Continuous Mapping, *International Journal for Basic Sciences and Social Sciences*, 1(2) (2012), 50-64.
- [6] N. Çağman, S. Enginoglu, Soft set theory and uni-int decision making, *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, 207 (2010), 848-855.
- [7] N. Çağman, S. Enginoglu, Soft matrix theory and its decision making, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 59 (2010), 3308-3314.
- [8] N. Çağman, N. Karatas, S. Enginoglu, Soft topology, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 62 (2011), 351-358.

- [9] C.L. Chang, fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal.*, 24 (1968), 182-190.
- [10] D. Chenman, E.C.C. Tsang, D.S. Yeung, X. Wang, The parameterization reduction of soft sets and its applications, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 49 (2005), 757-763.
- [11] F. Feng, Y.B. Jun, X.Z. Zhao, Soft semiring, *Comput., Math., Appl.*, 56 (2008), 2621-2628.
- [12] J.A. Goguen, The fuzzy tychonoff theorem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 43 (1973), 182-190.
- [13] B.A. Davey, H.A. Priestley, *Introduction to Lattices and Order*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [14] U. Höhle, Monoidal closed categories, weak topoi and generalized logics, *Fuzzy sets and Systems*, 42 (1991), 15-35.
- [15] U. Höhle, *Many valued topology and its applications*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Boston (2001).
- [16] U. Höhle, S.E. Rodabaugh, *Mathematics of fuzzy sets: Logic, Topology, and Measure Theory*, Handbook of fuzzy sets series vol. 3, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, (1999).
- [17] U. Höhle, Upper semicontinuous fuzzy sets and applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 78 (1980), 659-673.
- [18] U. Höhle, A. Šostak, Axiomatic foundations of fixed-basis fuzzy topology, in: U. Höhle, S.E. Rodabaugh (Eds.), *Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets: Logic, Topology, and Measure Theory*, Handbook of Fuzzy Sets Series, vol. 3, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999, pp. 123-272.
- [19] S. Hussain, B. Ahmad, Some properties of soft topological spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 62 (2011), 4058-4067.
- [20] K. Kannan, Soft generalized closed in soft topological spaces, *J. Theo. Appl. Inf. Techn.*, 37 (2012), 17-21.
- [21] O. Kzanci, S. Yilmaz, S. Yamak, Soft sets and soft BCH-Algebras, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.*, 39 (2010), 205-2017.
- [22] J.L. Kelley, *General topology*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1955.
- [23] T. Kubiak, *On fuzzy topologies*, Ph.D. Thesis, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznan, Poland, 1985.
- [24] Y. M. Liu, M.K. Luo, *fuzzy topology*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998.
- [25] Z. Li, D. Zheng, H. Jing, L -fuzzy soft sets based on complete boolean lattices, *J. computers and mathematics with applications*, 64 (2012), 2558-2574.
- [26] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas, A.R. Roy, Fuzzy soft sets, *Journal of fuzzy Mathematics*, 9 (3) (2001), 589-602.
- [27] P. Majumdar, S.K. Samanta, Similarity measure of soft sets, *New. Math. Nat. Comput.*, 4 (2008), 1-12.
- [28] W.K. Min, A note on soft topological spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 62 (2011), 3524-3528.
- [29] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory-First results, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 37(4-5) (1999) 19-31.
- [30] D. Molodtsov, The description of a dependence with the help of soft sets, *J.Comput. Sys. Sc. Int.*, 40 (2001), 977-984.
- [31] D. Molodtsov, *The theory of soft sets*, (in Russian), URSS Publishers, Moscow, (2004).
- [32] D. Molodtsov, V.Y. Leonov, D.V. Kovkov, Soft sets technique and its application, *Nech. Siste. Myagkie Vychisleniya*, 1 (2006), 8-39.

- [33] D. Pei, D. Miao, From soft sets to information systems, In: Hu, X. Liu Q. Skowron A. Lin T. Y. Yager R. R. Zhang B., eds., Proceedings of Granular Computing IEEE, 2 (2005), 617-621.
- [34] Peyghan E., Samadi B., and Tayebi A., About soft set topological spaces, J. New Results in Sci., (2) (2013), 60-75.
- [35] S.E. Rodabaugh, E.P. Klement, Topological and algebraic structures in fuzzy sets, The Handbook of recent developments in the Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets, Trends in Logic, 20 Kluwer Academic Publishers (Boston/Dordrecht/London) (2003).
- [36] S.E. Rodabaugh, Categorical foundations of variable-basis fuzzy topology, in: U. Höhle, S.E. Rodabaugh (Eds.), Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets: Logic, Topology, and Measure Theory, Handbook of Fuzzy Sets Series, vol. 3, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999, pp. 273-388.
- [37] S. Roy, S.K. Samanta, A note on fuzzy soft topological spaces, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 3(2) (2012), 305-311.
- [38] A. Serkan, Z. Idris , On fuzzy soft topological spaces, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 5(2), (2013) 377-386.
- [39] M. Shabir, M. Naz, On soft topological spaces, Comput. Math. Appl., 61, (2011), 1786-1799.
- [40] Y.C. Shao, K. Qin, The lattice structure of the soft groups, Procedia Engineering, 15 (2011), 3621-3625.
- [41] Šostak A.P. On a fuzzy topological structure, *Suppl. Rend. Circ. Matin. Pulerms Ser. II* 11, (1985) 89-103.
- [42] E. Turunen, Mathematics behind fuzzy logic, A Springer-Verlag Co., NewYork (1999).
- [43] G. J. Wang, Theory of L-Fuzzy topological spaces, Shanxi Normal University Press, Xian, 1988 (in Chinese).
- [44] P. Zhu, Qiaoyanwen, Operations on soft sets revisited, J. Applied Math., 2013 (2013), Article ID 105752, 7 pages.
- [45] Y. Zou, Z. Xiao, Data analysis approaches of soft sets under incomplete information, Knowl. Base. Syst., 21 (2008), 941-945.
- [46] I. Zorlutuna, M. Akdag, W.K. Min, S. Atmaca, Remarks on soft topological spaces, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform., 3 (2012), 171-185.