



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2 (2012), No. 5, 1233-1240

ISSN: 1927-5307

## ON UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY A NEW GENERALIZED MULTIPLIER DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

S R SWAMY\*

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, R V College of Engineering, Mysore Road,

Bangalore-560 059, India

**Abstract:** The object of this paper is to obtain some interesting properties of functions belonging to a new class  $SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$ , defined by using a new generalised multiplier differential operator.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 30C45.

**Key words and phrases:** Univalent function, differential operator, differential subordination.

### 1. Introduction.

Denote by  $U$  the open unit disc of the complex plane,  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1\}$ . Let  $H(U)$  be the space of holomorphic functions in  $U$ . Let  $A$  denote the family of functions in  $H(U)$  of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k.$$

By  $S$  and  $K$  we denote the subclasses of functions in  $A$ , which are univalent and convex in  $U$ , respectively. Let  $P$  be the well-known Caratheodory class of normalized functions with positive real part in  $U$ . The convolution or Hadamard product of functions  $f$ , given by (1.1)

and  $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$  is defined as the power series

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k, z \in U.$$

---

\*Corresponding author

Received April 10, 2012

We now define a new generalized multiplier differential operator.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are real numbers such that  $\alpha + \beta > 0$  and  $\gamma + \beta \geq 0$ . Then for  $f \in A$ , we define a new generalized multiplier operator  $I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m$  by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^0 f(z) &= f(z), \\ I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^1 f(z) &= \frac{\alpha f(z) + \beta z f'(z) + \gamma z^2 f''(z)}{\alpha + \beta}, \\ &\dots, \\ I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z) &= I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{m-1} f(z)). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1.2.** If  $f(z)$  is given by (1.1), then from the definition 1.1, we obtain

$$(1.2) \quad I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, m) a_k z^k,$$

where

$$(1.3) \quad A_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, m) = \left( \frac{\alpha + k\beta + k(k-1)\gamma}{\alpha + \beta} \right)^m.$$

From (1.2) it follows that  $I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z)$  can be written in terms of convolution as

$$(1.4) \quad I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z) = (f * g)(z),$$

where

$$(1.5) \quad g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, m) z^k.$$

It also follows from (1.2) that

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{\alpha, 0, 0}^m f(z) &= f(z), \\ (\alpha + \beta) I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{m+1} f(z) &= \alpha I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z) + \beta z (I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z))' + \gamma z^2 (I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z))''. \end{aligned}$$

We note that

- $I_{\alpha, \beta, 0}^m f(z) = I_{\alpha, \beta}^m f(z)$  (See [18] ).
- $I_{1-\beta, \beta, 0}^m f(z) = D_{\beta}^m f(z)$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$  (See Al-Oboudi [1] ).

- $I_{l+1-\beta,\beta,0}^m f(z) = I_{l,\beta}^m f(z), l > -1, \beta \geq 0$  (See Catas [5]).
- $I_{1-\lambda+\delta,\lambda-\delta,\lambda\delta}^m f(z) = D_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z), \lambda \geq (\delta/(\delta+1)), \delta \geq 0$  (See Raducanu et.al [14]).

**Remark 1.2. a)**  $I_{1-\lambda+\delta,\lambda-\delta,\lambda\delta}^m f(z) = D_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z)$  was investigated for  $\lambda \geq \delta \geq 0$  in [11] and [15]. So our results in this paper are improvement of corresponding results proved earlier for  $D_{\lambda,\delta}^m f(z)$ , from  $\lambda \geq \delta \geq 0$  to  $\lambda \geq (\delta/(\delta+1)), \delta \geq 0$ .

**b)**  $D_1^m f(z)$  was introduced by Salagean [16] and was considered for  $m \geq 0$  in [3].

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, \rho \in [0,1), \beta \geq 0, \alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are real numbers such that  $\alpha + \beta > 0$  and  $\gamma + \beta \geq 0$ . Then a function  $f \in A$  is said to be in the class  $SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$ , if it satisfies the condition

$$\operatorname{Re}[I_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^m f(z)]' > \rho, z \in U.$$

The main object of this paper is to present a systematic investigation of the class  $SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$ . In particular; we derive an inclusion result, structural formula, extreme points and other interesting results.

## 2. Preliminaries

In order to prove our results, we will make use of the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1**([13]). Let  $A \geq 0, h \in K$ . Suppose that  $B(z)$  and  $D(z)$  are analytic in  $U$ , with  $D(0) = 0$  and

$$\operatorname{Re}(B(z)) \geq A + 4 \left| \frac{D(z)}{h'(0)} \right|, z \in U.$$

If an analytic function  $p$  with  $p(0) = h(0)$  satisfies

$$Az^2 p''(z) + B(z)z p'(z) + p(z) + D(z) \prec h(z), z \in U,$$

then  $p(z) \prec h(z), z \in U$ .

**Lemma 2.2** ([12]). Let  $q$  be a convex function in  $U$  and let  $h(z) = q(z) + \rho z q'(z)$ , where  $\rho > 0$ . If  $p \in H(U)$  with  $p(z) = q(0) + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 \dots$  and  $p(z) + \rho z p'(z) \prec h(z), z \in U$ , then

$$p(z) \prec q(z), z \in U,$$

and this result is sharp.

**Lemma 2.3**([17]). If  $p(z)$  is analytic in  $U$ ,  $p(0) = 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > \frac{1}{2}$ , then for any function  $F$  analytic in  $U$ , the function  $F * p$  takes values in the convex hull of  $F(U)$ .

Note that the symbol “ $\prec$ ” stands for subordination throughout this paper.

### 3. Main Results.

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\rho \in [0, 1)$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are real numbers such that  $\alpha + \beta > 0$  and  $\gamma + \beta \geq 0$ , then  $SW^{m+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho) \subset SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f \in SW^{m+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$ . By using the properties of the operator  $I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m$ , we get

$$(3.1) \quad I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{m+1} f(z) = \frac{\alpha I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z) + \beta z (I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z))' + \gamma z^2 (I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f'(z))''}{\alpha + \beta}.$$

Differentiating (3.1) with respect to  $z$  and using (1.6), we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad (I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{m+1} f(z))' = \left\{ p(z) + \left( \frac{\beta + 2\gamma}{\alpha + \beta} \right) z p'(z) + \left( \frac{\gamma}{\alpha + \beta} \right) z^2 p''(z) \right\}$$

where

$$p(z) = (I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z))'.$$

Since  $f \in SW^{m+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$ , by using Definition 1.3 and (3.2), we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ p(z) + \left( \frac{\beta + 2\gamma}{\alpha + \beta} \right) z p'(z) + \left( \frac{\gamma}{\alpha + \beta} \right) z^2 p''(z) \right\} > \rho, z \in U,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left\{ p(z) + \left( \frac{\beta + 2\gamma}{\alpha + \beta} \right) z p'(z) + \left( \frac{\gamma}{\alpha + \beta} \right) z^2 p''(z) \right\} \prec \frac{1 + (2\rho - 1)z}{1 + z} \equiv h(z).$$

From Lemma 2.1, with  $A = \left( \frac{\gamma}{\alpha + \beta} \right)$ ,  $B(z) = \left( \frac{\beta + 2\gamma}{\alpha + \beta} \right)$ , and  $D(z) = 0$  we have  $p(z) \prec h(z)$ ,

which implies that  $\operatorname{Re}[(I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z))'] > \rho, z \in U$ . Hence  $f \in SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$  and the proof of the theorem is complete.

Clearly  $SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho) \subset SW^{m-1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho) \subset \dots \subset SW^0(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho) \subset S$  (see [6, 8])

and one can easily show that the set  $SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$  is convex (see [11]).

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $q$  be convex function with  $q(0) = 1$  and let  $h$  be a function of the form  $h(z) = q(z) + zq'(z), z \in U$ . If  $f \in A$  satisfies the differential subordination  $(I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z))' \prec h(z), z \in U$ , then  $(I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z))/z \prec q(z)$  and the result is sharp.

**Proof.** If we let  $p(z) = (I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z))/z, z \in U$ , then we obtain  $(I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z))' = p(z) + zp'(z)$ . So the subordination  $(I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z))' \prec h(z), z \in U$ , becomes

$$p(z) + zp'(z) \prec q(z) + zq'(z), z \in U,$$

and hence from Lemma 2.2 we have  $(I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z))/z \prec q(z)$ . The result is sharp.

We now obtain a structural formula, extreme points and coefficient bounds for functions in  $SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** A function  $f \in A$  is in the class  $SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$  if and only if it can be expressed as

$$(3.3) \quad f(z) = \left[ z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, m)} z^k \right] * \int_{|\zeta|=1} \left[ z + 2(1-\rho)\zeta \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\zeta z)^k}{k} \right] d\mu(\zeta),$$

where  $A_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, m)$  is given by (1.3) and  $\mu$  is a positive probability measure defined on the unit circle  $E = \{\zeta \in C : |\zeta| = 1\}$ .

**Proof.** From Definition 1.3 it follows that  $f \in SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$  if and only if

$$\frac{[I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z)]' - \rho}{1 - \rho} \in P.$$

Using Hergoltz integral representation of functions in Caratheodory class P (see [7] and [9]), there exists a positive Borel probability measure  $\mu$  such that

$$\frac{[I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z)]' - \rho}{1 - \rho} = \int_{|\zeta|=1} \left( \frac{1 + \zeta z}{1 - \zeta z} \right) d\mu(\zeta), z \in U,$$

which is equivalent to

$$[I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z)]' = \int_{|\zeta|=1} \left( \frac{1 + (1 - 2\rho)\zeta z}{1 - \zeta z} \right) d\mu(\zeta), z \in U.$$

Integrating we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z) = \int_0^z \left\{ \int_{|\zeta|=1} \left( \frac{1 + (1-2\rho)\zeta u}{1 - \zeta u} \right) d\mu(\zeta) \right\} du = \\ = \int_{|\zeta|=1} \left( z + 2(1-\rho)\zeta \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\zeta z)^k}{k} \right) d\mu(\zeta).$$

Equality (3.3) follows now, from (1.4), (1.5) and (3.4). Since the converse of this deductive process is also true, we have proved our theorem.

**Corollary 3.4.** The extreme points of the class  $SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$  are

$$(3.5) \quad f_{\zeta}(z) = z + 2(1-\rho)\zeta \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\zeta z)^k}{k A_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, m)}, \quad z \in U, |\zeta| = 1.$$

**Proof.** Consider the functions  $g_{\zeta}(z) = z + 2(1-\rho)\zeta \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\zeta z)^k}{k}$  and  $g_{\mu}(z) = \int_{|\zeta|=1} g_{\zeta}(z) d\mu(\zeta)$ .

The assertion now follows from (3.3), (making use of (1.4), (1.5) and (3.4)), since the map  $\mu \rightarrow g_{\mu}$  is one-to-one (see [4]).

**Corollary 3.5.** If  $f \in SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$  is given by (1.1), then

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{2(1-\rho)}{k A_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, m)}, \quad k \geq 2. \text{ The result is sharp.}$$

**Proof.** The result follows from (3.5), since the coefficient bounds are maximized at an extreme point.

**Corollary 3.6.** If  $f \in SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$ , then for  $|z| = r < 1$ ,

$$r - 2(1-\rho)r^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k A_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, m)} \leq |f(z)| \leq r + 2(1-\rho)r^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k A_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, m)}$$

and

$$1 - 2(1-\rho)r \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, m)} \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + 2(1-\rho)r \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, m)}.$$

Next, we prove the analogue of the Polya- Schoenberg conjecture for the class  $SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** If  $f \in SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$  and  $g \in K$ , then  $f * g \in SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$ .

**Proof.** It is known that if  $g \in K$ , then  $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{g(z)}{z}\right) > \frac{1}{2}$  (see [12]). Making use of the convolution properties, we have

$$\operatorname{Re}[I_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^m(f * g)(z)]' = \operatorname{Re}\left[(I_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^m f(z))' * \frac{g(z)}{z}\right].$$

The result now follows, by applying Lemma 2.3.

**Corollary 3.8.** The class  $SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$  is invariant under Bernardi integral operator.

**Proof.** Let  $f \in SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$ . The Bernardi integral operator is defined as (see [2]):

$$F_c(h)(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} h(t) dt, (c > -1; h \in A).$$

It is easy to check that  $F_c(f)(z) = (f * g)(z)$  where

$$g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k}\right) z^k = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z \frac{t^c}{1-t} dt, z \in U, c > -1.$$

Since the function  $\phi(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ ,  $z \in U$  is convex, it follows (see [10]) that the function  $g$  is also convex. From Theorem 3.7 we obtain  $F_c(f) \in SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$ . Therefore  $F_c(SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)) \subset SW^m(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 27(2004), 1429 - 1436.
- [2] S. D. Bernardi, Convex and starlike functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 135(1969), 429 - 446.
- [3] S. S. Bhoosnurmath and S. R. Swamy, On certain classes of analytic functions, Soochow J. Math., 20(1994), no.1, 1 - 9.
- [4] L. Brickman, T. H. MacGregor and D. R. Wilken, Convex hull of some classical families of univalent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 156(1971), 91 - 107.
- [5] A. Catas, On certain class of p-valent functions defined by new multiplier transformations, Proceedings book of the international symposium on geometric function theory and applications, August, 20-24, 2007, TC Isambul Kultur Univ., Turkey, 241 - 250.
- [6] A. W. Goodman, Univalent functions, Mariner publishing company Inc., 1984.

- [7] D. J. Hallenbeck and T. H. MacGregor, *Linear problems and convexity techniques in geometric function theory*, pitman, 1984.
- [8] W. Kaplan, Close-to-convex schlicht function, *Michigan Math. J.*, 1(1953), 169 - 185.
- [9] W. Keopf, A uniqueness theorem for functions of positive real part, *J. Math. Sci.*, 28(1994), 78 - 90.
- [10] Z. Lewandowski, S. S. Miller and E. Zlotkiewicz, Generating functions for some classes of univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 56(1976), 111 - 117.
- [11] Li-Zhou and Qing-hua Xu, On univalent functions defined by the multiplier differential operator, *Int. J. Math. Anal.*, 6(2012), no. 9-12, 735 - 742.
- [12] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, On some classes of first order differential subordinations, *Michigan Math. J.*, 32(1985), 185 - 195.
- [13] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential subordinations: Theory and Applications*. Marcel-Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [14] D. Raducanu and H. Orhan, Subclasses of analytic functions defined by a generalized differential operator, *Int. J. Math. Anal.*, 4(2010), no. 1-2, 1 - 15.
- [15] D. Raducanu, On a subclass of univalent functions defined by a generalized differential operator, *Math. Reports*, 13(63), 2(2011), 197 - 203.
- [16] G. St. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, *Proc. Fifth Rou. Fin. Semin. Buch. Complex Anal., Lect. notes in Math.*, Springer -Verlag, Berlin, 1013(1983), 362 - 372.
- [17] R. Singh and S. Singh, Convolution properties of a class of starlike functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 106(1989), 1, 145 - 152.
- [18] S. R. Swamy, Inclusion properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions, *Int. Math. Forum*, 7 (2012), no. 33-36, 1751 - 1760.