



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 10 (2020), No. 2, 384-393

<https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/4174>

ISSN: 1927-5307

QUADRATIC (s_1, s_2) -FUNCTIONAL INEQUALITY IN FUZZY NORMED SPACE

SHALINI TOMAR^{1,*}, NAWNEET HOODA²

¹Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Kharkhoda, Sonapat, Haryana, India

²Department of Mathematics, DCRUST, Sonapat, Haryana, India

Copyright © 2020 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce and prove the Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of Quadratic (s_1, s_2) -functional inequality in Fuzzy Normed space using the fixed point method.

Keywords: Generalized Hyers-Ulam(HU) stability; quadratic (s_1, s_2) -functional inequality; quadratic (s_1, s_2) -functional equation; fuzzy normed space.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 46S40, 39B52, 47H10, 39B62, 26E50, 47S40.

1. INTRODUCTION

Nearly two decades ago, Glányi [8] proved that any h satisfies the Jordan-von Neumann functional equation

$$2h(x) + 2h(y) = h(xy) + h(xy^{-1})$$

if h satisfies the functional inequality

$$(1) \quad ||2h(x) + 2h(y) - h(xy^{-1})|| \leq ||h(xy)||.$$

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: s_saroha30@yahoo.com

Received June 6, 2019

Glányi [9] and Fechner [5] proved the HU stability of the functional inequality (1). Park, Cho and Han [18] investigated and proved the HU Stability of the Cauchy additive functional inequality

$$(2) \quad \|h(x) + h(y) + h(z)\| \leq \|h(x + y + z)\|.$$

and the Cauchy-Jensen additive functional inequality

$$(3) \quad \|h(x) + h(y) + 2h(z)\| \leq \|2h\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right)\|.$$

The HU Stability is consequence of study of Ulam’s [1] problem regarding stability of group homomorphism. A number of mathematicians namely Hyers [10], Aoki [2], Th.M.Rassias [19], Găvruta [7] studied HU Stability under various adaptations. Park [16],[17] introduced additive ρ -functional inequalities and proved their HU stability in Banach spaces and non-Archimedean Banach spaces. In this paper, we introduce and prove HU stability of quadratic (s_1, s_2) -functional inequality

$$(4) \quad F(F_1(x, y), t) \leq \min\{F(s_1 F_2(x, y), t), F(s_2 F_3(x, y), t)\}$$

where

$$F_1(x, y) = f(kx + y) - f(x + ky) - (k^2 - 1)[f(x) - f(y)]$$

$$F_2(x, y) = (k + 1)^2 f\left(\frac{kx+y}{k+1}\right) - f(x + ky) - (k^2 - 1)[f(x) - f(y)]$$

$$F_3(x, y) = (k + 1)^2 f\left(\frac{kx+y}{k+1}\right) - f(x + ky) - (k + 1)^2 (k^2 - 1) \left[f\left(\frac{x}{k+1}\right) - f\left(\frac{y}{k+1}\right) \right]$$

in Fuzzy Normed space, where k is a non zero positive integer; s_1 and s_2 are fixed non-zero real numbers with $\left(\frac{1}{s_1} + \frac{1}{s_2}\right) < 2$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The concept of fuzzy norm on a linear space was given by Katsaras [11] in 1984. Since then until now, the fuzzy norm has been defined in different ways by various mathematicians [3],[20],[6],[12].

2.1. Definition ([3],[15]). Let X be a real vector space. A function $F : X \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a *fuzzy norm* on X if for all $a, b \in X$ and all $r, m, n \in \mathbf{R}$,

FN1: $F(a, n) = 0$ for $n \leq 0$;

FN2: $a=0$ iff $F(a,n) = 1$ for all $n > 0$;

FN3: $F(ra, n) = F(a, \frac{n}{|r|})$ if $r \neq 0$;

FN4: $F(a+b, m+n) \geq \min\{F(a,m), F(b,n)\}$;

FN5: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(a,n) = 1$, where $F(a, \cdot)$ is a non-decreasing function of \mathbf{R} .

FN6: $F(a, \cdot)$ is continuous on \mathbf{R} , for $a \neq 0$

The pair (X, F) is called a *fuzzy normed vector space*.

2.2. Definition ([3],[15]).

1. Let (X, F) be a fuzzy normed vector space. A sequence $\{a_n\}$ in X is said to be *convergent* if \exists an $a \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(a_n - a, r) = 1$ for all $r > 0$, where a is the limit of the sequence $\{a_n\}$, denoted by $F - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$.
2. Let (X, F) be a fuzzy normed vector space. A sequence $\{a_n\}$ in X is said to be *cauchy* if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $r > 0$ there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ and all $m > 0$, we have $F(a_{n+m} - a_n, r) > 1 - \varepsilon$.
3. The fuzzy norm is said to be *complete* if every cauchy sequence is convergent and the fuzzy normed vector space is called a *fuzzy Banach space*.
4. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ where X and Y are fuzzy normed vector spaces is continuous at a point $a_0 \in X$ if for each sequence $\{a_n\}$ converging to $a_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{f(a_n)\}$ converges to $f(a_0)$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous at each $a \in X$, then $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *continuous* on X .

2.3. Definition [13]. Let X be a set. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a *generalized metric* on X if d satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (3) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

2.4. Theorem [4]. Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space and $J : X \rightarrow X$ a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $L < 1$. Then, for all $x \in X$, either $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty$ for all non-negative integers n or there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

- (1) $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < \infty$ for all $n \geq n_0$;

- (2) the sequence $\{J^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point y^* of J ;
- (3) y^* is the unique fixed point of J in the set $Y = \{y \in X : d(J^n x, y) < \infty\}$;
- (4) $d(y, y^*) \leq (1/(1-L))d(y, Jy)$ for all $y \in Y$.

Throughout the paper, suppose that s_1 and s_2 are fixed nonzero real numbers with $(\frac{1}{s_1} + \frac{1}{s_2}) < 2$ and k is a non zero positive integer. Also X and Y be real fuzzy normed space and fuzzy banach space respectively with norm $F(.,t)$.

3. QUADRATIC (s_1, s_2) -FUNCTIONAL INEQUALITY

3.1. Lemma. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping with $f(0)=0$ and satisfies (4) for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Then f is Quadratic.

Proof: Suppose that function f satisfies (4). By letting $x=y$ in (4), we get

$$1 \leq \min\{F(s_1((k+1)^2 f(x) - f((k+1)x)), t), (s_2((k+1)^2 f(x) - f((k+1)x)), t)\} \\ \leq F((s_1 + s_2)((k+1)^2 f(x) - f((k+1)x)), 2t) = F\left((k+1)^2 f(x) - f((k+1)x), \frac{2t}{(s_1 + s_2)}\right)$$

Therefore,

$$(5) \quad (k+1)^2 f(x) = f((k+1)x)$$

Now from (4) and (5) we get

$$F(F_1(x, y), t) \leq \min\{F(s_1 F_1(x, y), t), F(s_2 F_1(x, y), t)\} \\ = \min\{F(F_1(x, y), \frac{t}{|s_1|}), F(F_1(x, y), \frac{t}{|s_2|})\} \\ \leq F\left(F_1(x, y), \left(\frac{1}{|s_1|} + \frac{1}{|s_2|}\right) \frac{t}{2}\right)$$

i.e.

$$F(F_1(x, y), t) \geq F\left(F_1(x, y), \frac{t}{\zeta}\right)$$

where $\zeta = \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{s_1} + \frac{1}{s_2}\right)\right\}$. Putting $\frac{t}{|\zeta|^{n-1}}$ instead of t , we get

$$F\left(F_1, \frac{t}{|\zeta|^{n-1}}\right) \geq F\left(F_1, \frac{t}{|\zeta|^n}\right)$$

Thus, for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ we have, $F(F_1, t) \geq F\left(F_1, \frac{t}{|\zeta|^n}\right)$. Since $\zeta < 1$, therefore by taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (FN5), we get $F(F_1(x, y), t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$, and hence $F_1(x, y) = 0$. So, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is Quadratic.

3.2. Theorem. Let $\Psi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\Psi(x, y) \leq \frac{L}{(k+1)^2} \Psi((k+1)x, (k+1)y)$$

for some $L < 1$ and for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping with $f(0)=0$ and satisfying

$$\min\left\{F(F_1(x, y), t), \frac{t}{t + \Psi(x, y)}\right\} \leq$$

$$(6) \quad \min\{F(s_1 F_2(x, y), t), F(s_2 F_3(x, y), t)\}$$

where

$$F_1(x, y) = f(kx + y) - f(x + ky) - (k^2 - 1)[f(x) - f(y)]$$

$$F_2(x, y) = (k+1)^2 f\left(\frac{kx+y}{k+1}\right) - f(x + ky) - (k^2 - 1)[f(x) - f(y)]$$

$$F_3(x, y) = (k+1)^2 f\left(\frac{kx+y}{k+1}\right) - f(x + ky) - (k+1)^2(k^2 - 1)\left[f\left(\frac{x}{k+1}\right) - f\left(\frac{y}{k+1}\right)\right] \text{ for all } x, y \in X$$

and all $t > 0$. Then $Q(x) = F - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (k+1)^{2n} f\left(\frac{x}{(k+1)^n}\right)$ exists for all $x \in X$ and defines a Quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(7) \quad F(f(x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{(2 - 2L)(k+1)t}{(2 - 2L)(k+1)t + \eta \Psi(x, x)}$$

for all $x \in X, t > 0$, where $\eta = \left\{ \frac{1}{|s_1|} + \frac{1}{|s_2|} \right\}$.

Proof: Let $x = y$ in (6), we get

$$\frac{t}{t + \Psi(x, x)} \leq \min\{F(s_1((k+1)^2 f(x) - f((k+1)x)), t), F(s_2((k+1)^2 f(x) - f((k+1)x)), t)\}$$

$$\leq \min\left\{F\left((k+1)^2 f(x) - f((k+1)x), \frac{t}{|s_1|}\right), F\left((k+1)^2 f(x) - f((k+1)x), \frac{t}{|s_2|}\right)\right\}$$

$$\leq F\left((k+1)^2 f(x) - f((k+1)x), \left(\frac{1}{|s_1|} + \frac{1}{|s_2|}\right) \frac{t}{2}\right)$$

i.e.

$$(8) \quad F\left(f(x) - (k+1)^2 f\left(\frac{x}{k+1}\right), \frac{\eta t}{2(k+1)}\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \Psi(x, x)}$$

Now let us consider the set

$$S = \{g : X \rightarrow Y\}$$

and a generalized metric on S , such that

$$d(g, h) = \inf \left(\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+ : F(g(x) - h(x), \varepsilon t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \Psi(x, x)}, \text{ for all } x \in X, \text{ for all } t > 0 \right),$$

where $\inf(\Psi) = +\infty$. Next, using lemma 2.1([14]) we can say that (S, d) is Complete. Now, let

us consider a linear mapping $A : S \rightarrow S$ such that

$$Ag(x) = (k + 1)^2 g\left(\frac{x}{(k + 1)}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$. Let $g, h \in S$ with $d(g, h) = \gamma$. Then

$$F(g(x) - h(x), \gamma t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \Psi(x, x)}$$

for all $x \in X, t > 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} F(Ag(x) - Ah(x), L\gamma t) &= F\left((k + 1)^2 g\left(\frac{x}{(k + 1)}\right) - (k + 1)^2 h\left(\frac{x}{(k + 1)}\right), L\gamma t\right) \\ &= F\left(g\left(\frac{x}{(k + 1)}\right) - h\left(\frac{x}{(k + 1)}\right), \frac{L\gamma t}{(k + 1)^2}\right) \geq \frac{\frac{L\gamma t}{(k + 1)^2}}{\frac{L\gamma t}{(k + 1)^2} + \Psi\left(\frac{x}{(k + 1)}, \frac{x}{(k + 1)}\right)} \\ &\geq \frac{\frac{L\gamma t}{(k + 1)^2}}{\frac{L\gamma t}{(k + 1)^2} + \frac{L}{(k + 1)^2} \Psi(x, x)} = \frac{t}{t + \Psi(x, x)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X, t > 0$. Hence $d(Ag, Ah) = L\gamma$, i.e. $d(Ag, Ah) = Ld(g, h)$ for all $g, h \in S$. Also using (8), we can say that

$$d(f, Af) \leq \frac{\eta}{2(k + 1)}.$$

Now, by Theorem (2.4), there exists a mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that:

1. Q is a fixed point of A , i.e.,

$$(9) \quad Q(x) = (k + 1)^2 Q\left(\frac{x}{(k + 1)}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$. Since the mapping Q is a unique fixed point of A in the set

$$T = \{g \in S : d(f, g) < \infty\},$$

thus Q is a unique mapping satisfying (9) such that there exists a $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$F(f(x) - Q(x), \varepsilon t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \Psi(x, x)}$$

for all $x \in X$.

2. $d(A^n f, Q) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies

$$Q(x) = F - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (k+1)^{2n} f\left(\frac{x}{(k+1)^n}\right) \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

3. $d(f, Q) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(f, Af)$, which implies $d(f, Q) \leq \frac{\eta}{2(k+1)-2(k+1)L}$. And thus inequality (7) is proved. Now by

$$\begin{aligned} \min \left\{ F \left((k+1)^{2n} F_1 \left(\frac{x}{(k+1)^n}, \frac{y}{(k+1)^n} \right), (k+1)^{2n} t \right), \frac{t}{t + \Psi \left(\frac{x}{(k+1)^n}, \frac{y}{(k+1)^n} \right)} \right\} \\ \leq \min \left\{ F \left((k+1)^{2n} s_1 F_2 \left(\frac{x}{(k+1)^n}, \frac{y}{(k+1)^n} \right), (k+1)^{2n} t \right), \right. \\ \left. F \left((k+1)^{2n} s_2 F_3 \left(\frac{x}{(k+1)^n}, \frac{y}{(k+1)^n} \right), (k+1)^{2n} t \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, all $t > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Now, by (6)

$$\begin{aligned} (10) \quad \min \left\{ F \left((k+1)^{2n} F_1 \left(\frac{x}{(k+1)^n}, \frac{y}{(k+1)^n} \right), t \right), \frac{t/(k+1)^{2n}}{(t/(k+1)^{2n}) + (L^n/(k+1)^{2n})\Psi(x,y)} \right\} \\ \leq \min \left\{ F \left((k+1)^{2n} s_1 F_2 \left(\frac{x}{(k+1)^n}, \frac{y}{(k+1)^n} \right), t \right), \right. \\ \left. F \left((k+1)^{2n} s_2 F_3 \left(\frac{x}{(k+1)^n}, \frac{y}{(k+1)^n} \right), t \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t/(k+1)^{2n}}{(t/(k+1)^{2n}) + (L^n/(k+1)^{2n})\Psi(x,y)} = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$, all $t > 0$, therefore by lemma (3.1) the mapping $C : X \rightarrow Y$ is Quadratic.

3.3. Corollary. Let $\zeta \geq 0$ and p be a real number with $p > 2$. Let X be a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and (Y, \mathbf{N}) be a fuzzy normed vector space. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ and

$$(11) \quad \min \left\{ F(F_1(x, y), t), \frac{t}{t + \zeta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)} \right\} \leq \min \{ F(s_1 F_2(x, y), t), F(s_2 F_3(x, y), t) \}$$

where $F_1(x, y), F_2(x, y)$ and $F_3(x, y)$ are as defined earlier for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Then $Q(x) = F - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (k+1)^{2n} f\left(\frac{x}{(k+1)^n}\right)$ exists for all $x \in X$ and a Quadratic mapping $C : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(12) \quad F(f(x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{((k+1)^p - (k+1)^2)(k+1)t}{((k+1)^p - (k+1)^2)(k+1)t + \eta \zeta \|(k+1)x\|^p}$$

for all $x \in X, t > 0$, where $\eta = \frac{1}{|s_1|} + \frac{1}{|s_2|}$.

Proof: The proof follows from above Theorem by taking $\Psi(x, y) = \zeta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $L = |k+1|^{2-p}$ and we get desired result.

3.4. Theorem. Let $\Psi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\Psi(x, y) \leq (k + 1)^2 L \Psi\left(\frac{x}{k + 1}, \frac{y}{k + 1}\right)$$

for some $L < 1$ and for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping with $f(0)=0$ and satisfying (6). Then $Q(x) = F - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(k + 1)^{2n}} f((k + 1)^n x)$ exists for all $x \in X$ and defines a Quadratic mapping $C : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(13) \quad F(f(x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{(2 - 2L)(k + 1)^2 t}{(2 - 2L)(k + 1)^2 t + \eta \Psi(x, x)}$$

for all $x \in X, t > 0$, where $\eta = \frac{1}{|s_1|} + \frac{1}{|s_2|}$.

Proof: It follows from (8) that, $F\left(f(x) - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} f((k+1)x), \frac{\eta t}{2(k+1)^2}\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \Psi(x, x)}$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Now consider linear mapping $A : S \rightarrow S$ such that

$$Ag(x) = \frac{1}{(k + 1)^2} f((k + 1)x)$$

for all $x \in X$, where (S, d) is the generalized metric space as defined in previous theorem. Then $d(f, Af) \leq \frac{\eta}{2(k+1)^2}$. Hence

$$d(f, C) \leq \frac{\eta}{2(k + 1)^2 - 2(k + 1)^2 L}$$

which proves inequality (13). Rest of the proof can be generated from (3.2).

3.5. Corollary. Let $\zeta \geq 0$ and p be a real number with $0 < p < 2$. Let X be a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and (Y, N) be a fuzzy normed vector space. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ and satisfying (11). Then $Q(x) = F - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(k + 1)^{2n}} f((k + 1)^n x)$ exists for all $x \in X$ and a Quadratic mapping $C : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(14) \quad F(f(x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{((k + 1)^2 - (k + 1)^p)t}{((k + 1)^2 - (k + 1)^p)t + \eta \zeta \|x\|^p}$$

for all $x \in X, t > 0$, where $\eta = \frac{1}{|s_1|} + \frac{1}{|s_2|}$.

Proof: The proof follows from above Theorem by taking $\Psi(x, y) = \zeta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $L = |k + 1|^{p-2}$ and we get desired result.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ulam S.M., Problems in Modern Mathematics, Science Editions, JohnWiley and Sons, New York, USA, 1964.
- [2] Aoki T., On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces.J. Math. Soc. Jpn., 2 (1950), 64-66.
- [3] Bag T. and Samanta S. K., Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces, J. Fuzzy Math. 11(2003), 687 - 705.
- [4] Diaz J.B., Margolis B., A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contraction on a generalized complete metric space, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 74 (1968), 305-309.
- [5] Fechner W., Stability of a functional inequalities associated with the Jordan-von Neumann functional equation, Aequationes Math., 71 (2006), 149-161.
- [6] Felbin C., Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 48 (1992), 239-248.
- [7] Gavruta P., A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 184(3) (1994), 431-436.
- [8] Glányi A., Eine zur Parallelogrammgleichung \tilde{a} quivalente Ungleichung, Aequationes Math., 62 (2001), 303-309.
- [9] Glányi A., On a problem by K. Nikodem, Math. Inequal. Appl., 5 (2002), 707-710.
- [10] Hyers D.H., On the stability of the linear functional equation, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 27(4) (1941), 222-224.
- [11] Katsaras A.K., Fuzzy topological vector spaces II, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 12 (1984), 143-154.
- [12] Kim H., Lee J. and Son E., Approximate functional inequalities by additive mappings, J. Math. Inequal. 6 (2012), 461-471.
- [13] Luxemburg W.A.J., On the convergence of successive approximation in the theory of ordinary differential equation, Proc. K. Ned. Aked. Wet., Ser. A., Indag. Math., 20 (1958), 540-546.
- [14] Mihet D. and Radu V., On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 343 (2008), 567-572.
- [15] Mirmostafae A. K., Mirzavaziri M. and Moslehian M. S., Fuzzy stability of the Jensen functional equation, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 159 (2008), 730- 738.
- [16] Park C., Additive ρ -functional inequalities and equations, J. Math. Inequal. 9 (2015), 17-26.
- [17] Park C., Additive ρ -functional inequalities in non-Archimedean normed spaces, J. Math. Inequal. 9 (2015), 397 - 407.
- [18] Park C., Cho Y. and Han M., Stability of functional inequalities associated with Jordan-von Neumann type additive functional equations, J. Inequal. Appl. 2007 (2007), Art. ID 41820.
- [19] Rassias Th. M., On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 72(2) (1978), 297-300.

- [20] Xiao J.Z. and Zhu X. H., Fuzzy normed spaces of operators and its completeness, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 133 (2003), 389-399.