



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 10 (2020), No. 2, 412-417

<https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/4338>

ISSN: 1927-5307

## COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR TWO SELFMAPS OF A G-METRIC SPACE

K. RAJANI DEVI<sup>1</sup>, V. KIRAN<sup>2,\*</sup>, J. NIRANJAN GOUD<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, K.V.R (W) Government Degree College, Kurnool, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Osmania University, Hyderabad, 500007, India

Copyright © 2020 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract.** In this paper, we prove a common fixed point theorem for two compatible self maps of a G-metric space.

**Keywords:** G-metric space; compatible mappings; fixed point; associated sequence of a point relative to two self maps; contractive modulus.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 47H10, 54H25.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

commuting mappings were generalized as weakly commuting maps by Sessa[8]. Later G.Jungck[4, 5] introduced compatibility as a further generalization of weakly commuting maps. Among all generalizations[1,2,3,9] of metric spaces,  $G$ - metric spaces initiated by Zead Mustafa and Brailey Sims[6, 7] evinced interest in many researchers.

in the present paper we prove a common fixed point theorem for two compatible self maps of a  $G$  -metric space.

---

\*Corresponding author

E-mail address: [kiranmathou@gmail.com](mailto:kiranmathou@gmail.com)

Received October 17, 2019

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Before proving the main result we begin with,

**Definition 2.1:** Let  $X$  be a non empty set and

$G : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function satisfying

(G1)  $G(x, y, z) = 0$  if  $x = y = z$

(G2)  $0 < G(x, x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$

(G3)  $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  with  $z \neq y$

(G4)  $G(x, y, z) = G(\sigma(x, y, z))$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  where  $\sigma(x, y, z)$  is a permutation of the set  $\{x, y, z\}$  and

(G5)  $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, w, w) + G(w, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z, w \in X$

Then  $G$  is called a  $G$ -metric on  $X$  and the pair  $(X, G)$  is called a  $G$ - metric space.

**Definition 2.2:** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric Space. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be

$G$ -convergent if there is a  $x_0 \in X$  such that to each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a natural number  $N$  for which

$G(x_n, x_n, x_0) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$ .

**Definition 2.3:** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric Space. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be  $G$ -Cauchy

if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists is a natural number  $N$  such that  $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n, m, l \geq N$ .

Note that every  $G$ -convergent sequence in a  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  is  $G$ -Cauchy.

**Definition 2.4:** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two self maps of a  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  such that

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(fgx_n, gfx_n, gfx_n) = 0$  for every sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = t$  for some  $t \in X$ , then the functions  $f$  and  $g$  are said to be compatible.

**Definition 2.5:** A function  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to be a contractive modulus if  $\psi(0) = 0$

and  $\psi(t) < t$  for  $t > 0$

**Definition 2.6:** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be self maps of a non-empty set  $X$  and let  $x_0 \in X$ , we can find

a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  satisfying that  $fx_n = gx_{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 0$  then  $\{x_n\}$  is called an associated sequence of  $x_0$  relative to the self maps  $f$  and  $g$ .

### 3. MAIN RESULT

**Theorem 3.1:** Suppose  $f$  is continuous selfmap of a  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$ , then  $f$  has a fixed point in  $X$  if and only if there is a contractive modulus  $\psi$  and a continuous selfmap  $g$  of  $X$  such that:

- (i)  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible
  - (ii)  $G(gx, gy, gy) \leq \psi(G(fx, fy, fy))$  for all  $x, y \in X$
- and
- (iii) there is a point  $x_0 \in X$  and an associated sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of  $x_0$  relative to the selfmaps  $f$  and  $g$  such that the sequence  $\{fx_n\}$  converges to some point  $t$  of  $X$ .

Further  $gt$  is the unique common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

**Proof:** To prove the necessary part, suppose that  $f$  has a fixed point, say 'a',  $a \in X$ , then  $fa = a$ . Define  $g : X \rightarrow X$  by  $gx = a$  for all  $x \in X$ . Now for any  $x \in X$ , we have  $(gf)x = g(fx) = a$  and  $(fg)x = fgx = fa = a$  for any  $x \in X$ , giving that  $fg = gf$ , so that  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible.

Now let  $\psi$  be a contractive modulus, then  $\psi(0) = 0$  and  $\psi(t) < t$  for  $t > 0$  and for any  $x, y \in X$   $G(gx, gy, gy) = G(a, a, a) = 0 \leq \psi(G(fx, fy, fy))$ .

Further an associated sequence of  $x_0 = a$  relative to the selfmaps  $f$  and  $g$  is given by  $x_n = a$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots$ , and since the sequence  $\{fx_n\}$  is a constant sequence converging to  $a$ , which is a point in  $X$ .

Thus the conditions (i) (ii) and (iii) of the theorem are satisfied.

Conversely, suppose that there is a contractive modulus  $\psi$  and a selfmap  $g$  of  $X$  satisfying (i) (ii) and (iii) of the theorem hold.

From the condition (iii) of the theorem there is an associated sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of  $x_0$  relative to the selfmaps  $f$  and  $g$  such that  $fx_n = gx_{n-1}$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$  and  $fx_n \rightarrow t$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $t \in X$ . Then since  $gx_n = fx_{n+1}$ , it follows that  $gx_n \rightarrow t$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now we show that  $g$  is continuous on  $X$ . To see this, suppose that  $\{y_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  with  $y_n \rightarrow y$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $y \in X$ . Since  $f$  is continuous  $fy_n \rightarrow fy$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , this together with inequality (ii) of the theorem, we get  $G(gy_n, gy, gy) \leq \psi(G(fy_n, fy, fy)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which implies that  $gy_n \rightarrow gy$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , showing that  $g$  is continuous.

Using the continuity of  $f$  and  $g$ , we get  $gfx_n \rightarrow gt$ ,  $fgx_n \rightarrow ft$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $fx_n \rightarrow t$ ,  $gx_n \rightarrow t$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(fgx_n, gfx_n, gfx_n) = 0$  which implies that  $G(ft, gt, gt) = 0$  gives  $ft = gt$ . To show that  $fgt = gft$ , take  $z_n = t$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  so that  $fgz_n \rightarrow fgt$  and  $gz_n \rightarrow gt$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $ft = gt$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible, we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(fgz_n, gfx_n, gfx_n) = 0$ .

Using the continuity of  $G$ ,  $f$  and  $g$ , we get  $fgz_n \rightarrow fgt$  and  $gfx_n \rightarrow fgt$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that  $G(fgt, gft, gft) = 0$  and hence  $fgt = gft$

Consequently

$$(1) \quad fgt = gft = gft = ggt$$

If possible suppose that  $gt \neq ggt$ , then  $G(gt, ggt, ggt) > 0$  and hence

$$(2) \quad \psi(G(gt, ggt, ggt)) < G(gt, ggt, ggt)$$

But from (ii) of the theorem and 1 we get

$$G(gt, ggt, ggt) \leq \psi(G(ft, fgt, fgt)) = \psi(G(gt, ggt, ggt))$$

which contradicts to 2, hence  $gt = ggt$ .

Using this in 1 we get  $ggt = gt = fgt$ , showing that  $gt$  is a common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

**Uniqueness:** Suppose that  $u = fu = gu$  and  $v = fv = gv$  for some  $u, v \in X$ .

if possible suppose that  $u \neq v$ , then  $G(u, v, v) \neq 0$  so that

$$(3) \quad \psi(G(u, v, v)) < G(u, v, v)$$

from (ii) of the theorem we have

$$G(u, v, v) = G(gu, gv, gv) \leq \psi(G(fu, fv, fv)) = \psi(G(u, v, v))$$

which is contradiction to 3, hence  $u = v$ , proving the theorem.

**Corollary 3.2:** Suppose  $f$  is continuous selfmap of a  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$ , then  $f$  has a fixed point in  $X$  if and only if there is a contractive modulus  $\psi$  and a selfmap  $g$  of  $X$  such that

$$(i) \quad fg = gf$$

$$(ii) \quad G(gx, gy, gy) \leq \psi(G(fx, fy, fy)) \text{ for all } x, y \in X$$

and

- (iii) there is a point  $x_0 \in X$  and an associated sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of  $x_0$  relative to the selfmaps  $f$  and  $g$  such that the sequence  $\{fx_n\}$  converges to some point  $t$  of  $X$ . Further  $gt$  is the unique common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

**Proof:** From the fact that the commutativity implies the compatibility of a pair of selfmaps proof of the corollary follows from the Theorem 3.

**Corollary 3.3:** Suppose  $f$  and  $g$  are selfmaps of a  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$ . Let  $f$  is continuous and if there is a contractive modulus  $\psi$  and a positive integer  $k$  such that:

- (i)  $fg = gf$   
(ii)  $G(g^kx, g^ky, g^ky) \leq \psi(G(fx, fy, fy))$  for all  $x, y \in X$   
and  
(iii) there is a point  $x_0 \in X$  and an associated sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of  $x_0$  relative to the selfmaps  $f$  and  $g^k$  such that the sequence  $\{fx_n\}$  converges to some point  $t$  of  $X$ . Further  $gt$  is the unique common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

**Proof:** From the condition (i) of the corollary 3 we get  $fg^k = g^kf$ . Thus  $f$  and  $g^k$  are commuting and hence satisfying the hypothesis of 3, and therefore  $f$  and  $g^k$  have a unique common fixed point say  $b$ , then  $g^kb = b = fb$ .

Now  $g^k gb = g^{k+1}b = gg^kb = gb$  and  $fgb = gfb = gb$ .

This shows that  $gb$  is a common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g^k$ . The uniqueness of  $b$  implies that  $gb = b$  since  $fb = b$ ,  $b$  is a common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

To prove that  $f$  and  $g$  have unique common fixed point, suppose that  $u = fu = gu$  and  $v = fv = gv$  for some  $u, v \in X$ , so that  $g^ku = u$  and  $g^kv = v$ , this shows that  $u, v$  are common fixed points of  $f$  and  $g^k$ . The uniqueness of common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g^k$  implies  $u = v$ .

**Corollary 3.4:** Let  $p$  be a positive integer. If  $g$  is continuous selfmap of a  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$ , such that:

- (i)  $G(fx, fy, fy) \leq \psi(G(g^px, g^py, g^py))$  for all  $x, y \in X$   
and  
(ii) there is a point  $x_0 \in X$  and an associated sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of  $x_0$  relative to the selfmaps  $g^p$  and  $I$  (where  $I$  is the identity map on  $X$ ) such that the sequence  $\{g^px_n\}$  converges to some point  $t$  of  $X$ . Then  $g$  has a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof:** We know that  $g^p I = I g^p$ . From (ii) of the corollary 3, we have

$$G(x, y, y) = G(Ix, Iy, Iy) \leq \psi G(g^p x, g^p y, g^p y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Since  $g$  is continuous,  $g^p$  is continuous. Applying corollary 5.3.1 to the function  $g^p$  and  $I$ , we have unique common fixed point, showing that  $g$  has unique fixed point as every point of  $X$  is a fixed point of  $I$ .

### CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

### REFERENCES

- [1] B.C. Dhage, Generalized metric space and mapping with fixed point, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 84 (1992),329-336.
- [2] S. Gahler, 2-metrische Raume and ihre topologische struktur, Math. Nachr. 26 (1963), 115-148.
- [3] S. Gahler, Zur geometric 2-metriche raume, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. 11 (1966), 665-667.
- [4] G. Jungck, Common fixed points for non-continuous nonself maps on nonmetric spaces, Far East J. Math. Sci, 4 (2) (1996), 199-215
- [5] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and Common fixed points, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 9 (4) (1986), 771-779.
- [6] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, Some remarks concerning D-metric spaces, Proc. Int. Conf. Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2003), 189-198.
- [7] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims A new approach to genaralized metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 7 (2006), 289-297.
- [8] S. Sedghi, N. shobe and H. Zhou, A Common fixed point theorem in  $D^*$ -metric spaces, Fixed point Theory Appl. 2007 (2007), 027906.
- [9] S. Sessa, On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations, Publ. Inst. Math. 32 (46) (1982), 149-153.