Available online at http://scik.org J. Math. Comput. Sci. 10 (2020), No. 3, 681-691 https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/4478 ISSN: 1927-5307

A STUDY IN INTUITIONISTIC Q - FUZZY IDEALS OF KU - ALGEBRAS

ABDULAZEEZ ALKOURI¹, MOURAD OQLA MASSA'DEH^{2,3,*}, AND ALI AHMAD FORA⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, Science College, Ajloun National University, Ajloun, Jordan,

²Department of Applied Science, Ajloun University College, Al – Balqa Applied University, Jordan

³Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Taibah University, Madinah, Saudi Arabia

⁴Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Yarmouk University, Jordan

Copyright © 2020 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the notion of intuitionistic Q – fuzzy KU – ideal in KU – algebra, upper and lower level cuts of Q – fuzzy sets and some properties are investigated.

Keywords: KU – algebras; intuitionistic Q – fuzzy set; intuitionistic Q – fuzzy sub algebra; intuitionistic Q – fuzzy KU – ideal; upper level cuts; lower level cuts.

2010 AMS subject classification: 20N52, 03G25, 94D05 03B52.

1. INTRODUCTION

The introduction of BCI – algebra and BCK – algebras by Y. Imai and K. Iseki [1, 2], BCK algebras class is a proper sub class of BCI – algebras class. J. Neggers et al [3] introduced Q – algebras as a generalization of BCK and BCI algebras. In [4], C. Prabpayak and U. Leerawat introduced KU – algebra as a new algebraic structure. They obtained the notion of KU – algebras homomorphism. L. A. Zadeh [5] in 1965 gave the concept of a fuzzy subset of a set. This notion has been applied to many mathematical branches, such as groups, rings, topology, real and so on.

^{*}Corresponding author

E-mail address: mourad.oqla@bau.edu.jo

Received January 24, 2020

Xi [6] applied this concept to BCK – algebras, and he introduced fuzzy sub algebras notion. Mostafa and Abdel Naby [7] introduced fuzzy KU – ideals in KU – algebras. Sithar Selvam and Ramachandran [8] introduced the concept of anti Q – fuzzy KU – ideal and sub algebras of KU – algebras and investigated some related properties. Atanassov [9, 10] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy subset as generalization of fuzzy set. Mostafa et al [11] introduced intuitionistic fuzzy KU ideals and fuzzy intuitionistic image of KU – ideals in KU – algebras. On the other hand Massa'deh and Massa'deh et al used the intuitionistic fuzzy concept in more than one paper (see [12, 13, 14, 15]).

In this paper, we introduce the concept of intuitionistic Q –fuzzy KU – ideal in KU – algebra and we define upper and lower level cuts of Q – fuzzy sets and discuss some results related to this subject.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 [4] An algebra system (A, *, 0) for type (2, 0) is said to be KU – algebra if the following conditions are satisfied.

1. (a * b) *[(b * c) * (a * c)] = 02. a * 0 = 03. 0 * a = a4. If a * b = 0 = b * a then a = b. For all $a, b, c \in A$.

In KU – algebra A, we get (0 * 0) * [(0 * a) * (0* a)] = 0. It follows that a * a = 0 for all a \in A, and if we put b = 0 in condition.1, we obtain c * (a * c) = 0 for all a, c \in A, A subset B of a KU – algebra A is called sub algebra of A, if u, v \in B then u * v \in B.

Definition 2.2 [4] If S is a non empty subset of a KU – algebra A, then its said to be KU – sub algebra of A, if a, $b \in S$ then a $* b \in S$.

Definition 2.3 [4] A KU – ideal S is non empty subset of KU – algebra A if it satisfied the following axioms:

I. $0 \in S$.

II. $a * (b * c) \in S$, $b \in S$ then $a * c \in S$ for all $a, b, c \in S$.

Proposition 2.4 [4] In KU – algebra A, the following statement are holds

1. $v \ge u \Longrightarrow u * z \ge v * z$

3. $v * [(v * u) * u] = 0 \forall u, v, z \in A$

Proof: Straightforward.

Definition 2.5 [5] Let A be a nonempty set, a fuzzy subset λ of a set A is a mapping λ : A \rightarrow [0,1].

Definition 2.6 If A, Q are any two sets, a mapping λ : A × Q \rightarrow [0, 1] is called Q – fuzzy set in A.

Definition 2.7 [8] A Q – fuzzy set λ in A is said to be a Q – fuzzy KU – ideal of A if

1. $\lambda(0, q) \ge \lambda(u, q)$

2. $\lambda(u * z, q) \ge \min \{ \lambda(u * (v * z), q), \lambda(v, q) \}$

for all $u, v, z \in A \& q \in Q$.

Lemma 2.8 [8] Let δ be a Q – fuzzy ideal of KU – algebra A

1. If $u * v \le z$, then $\lambda(v, q) \ge \min \{ \lambda(u, q), \lambda(z, q) \}$

2. $u \le v$, then $\lambda(v, q) \le \lambda(u, q)$.

Proof: Straightforward.

Definition 2.9 [8] If λ is a Q – fuzzy set on a KU – algebra A, then λ is called a Q – fuzzy KU – sub algebra of A if λ (u * v, q) \geq min { λ (u, q), λ (v, q) } for all u, v \in A & q \in Q.

3. INTUITIONISTIC Q – FUZZY KU – IDEAL IN KU – ALGEBRA

Definition 3.1 [8] Let A, Q are arbitrary non empty sets. An intuitionistic Q - fuzzy subset μ in a set A × Q is defined as an object of the form $\mu = \{ \langle (a,q); \delta_{\mu}(a,q), \lambda_{\mu}(a,q) \rangle ; a \in A \& q \in Q \}$, where $\delta_{\mu} : A \times Q \rightarrow [0,1]$ and $\lambda_{\mu} : A \times Q \rightarrow [0,1]$ define the degree of membership and the degree of non membership of the element $(a,q) \in A \times Q$ respectively and for every $a \in A$, $q \in Q$ satisfying $0 \le \delta_{\mu}(a,q) + \lambda_{\mu}(a,q) \le 1$.

We shall use the symbol $\mu = (\delta_{\mu}, \lambda_{\mu})$ for intuitionistic Q – fuzzy set $\mu = \{ < (a,q); \delta_{\mu} (a, q), \lambda_{\mu} (a, q) > ; a \in A \& q \in Q \}.$

Definition 3.2 An intuitionistic Q – fuzzy set $\mu = (\delta_{\mu}, \lambda_{\mu})$ in a KU – algebra A is said to be an intuitionistic Q – fuzzy KU – sub algebra of A. If it satisfies the following conditions.

$$\begin{split} &1. \ \lambda_{\mu}(\ u \ * \ v, \ q \) \geq min \ \{ \ \lambda_{\mu}(\ u, \ q \), \ \lambda_{\mu}(\ v, \ q \) \ \} \\ &2. \ \delta_{\mu}(\ u \ * \ v, \ q \) \leq max \ \{ \ \delta_{\mu}(\ u, \ q \), \ \delta_{\mu}(\ v, \ q \) \ \} \end{split}$$

For all $u, v \in A \& q \in Q$.

Lemma 3.3 If $\mu = (\delta_{\mu}, \lambda_{\mu})$ is an intuitionistic Q – fuzzy sub algebra of A, then $\lambda_{\mu}(0, q) \ge \lambda_{\mu}(u, q)$ and $\delta_{\mu}(0, q) \le \delta_{\mu}(u, q)$ for all $u \in A \& q \in Q$.

Proof:

 $\lambda_{\mu}(u \ast u, q) \geq \min \{ \lambda_{\mu}(u, q), \lambda_{\mu}(u, q) \} = \lambda_{\mu}(u, q) = \lambda_{\mu}(0, q)$

and $\delta_{\mu}(\ 0, q\) = \delta_{\mu}(\ u \ * v, q\) \le max \ \{ \ \delta_{\mu}(\ u, q\), \ \delta_{\mu}(\ u, q\) \ \} = \delta_{\mu}(\ u, q\).$

Definition 3.4 An intuitionistic Q – fuzzy set $\mu = (\delta_{\mu}, \lambda_{\mu})$ in a KU – algebra A is said to be an intuitionistic Q – fuzzy ideal of A, if it satisfy the following conditions

1. $\lambda_{\mu}(0, q) \ge \lambda_{\mu}(u, q)$ and $\delta_{\mu}(0, q) \le \delta_{\mu}(u, q)$

2. $\lambda_{\mu}(u * z, q) \ge \min \{ \lambda_{\mu}(u * (v * z), q), \lambda_{\mu}(v, q) \}$

3. $\delta_{\mu}(u * z, q) \le max \{ \delta_{\mu}(u * (v * z), q), \delta_{\mu}(v, q) \}$

For all $u, v, z \in A \& q \in Q$.

Theorem 3.5 Let μ be an intuitionistic Q – fuzzy KU – ideal of KU – algebra A such that u * v

 \leq z, then

1. $\lambda_{\mu}(v, q) \ge \min \{ \lambda_{\mu}(u, q), \lambda_{\mu}(v, q) \}$

2. $\delta_{\mu}(v, q) \leq max \left\{ \delta_{\mu}(u, q), \delta_{\mu}(v, q) \right\}$

For all $u, v \in A \& q \in Q$.

Proof:

We know $u * v \le z$ for all $u, v, z \in A$ thus z *(u * v) = 0. Now 1. $\lambda_{\mu}(v, q) = \lambda_{\mu}(0 *v, q)$ $\ge \min \{\lambda_{\mu}(0 *(u * v), q), \lambda_{\mu}(u, q)\}$ $= \min \{\lambda_{\mu}(u *v, q), \lambda_{\mu}(u, q)\}$ $\ge \min \{\min \{\lambda_{\mu}(u *(z * v), q), \lambda_{\mu}(z, q)\}, \lambda_{\mu}(u, q)\}$ $= \min \{\min \{\lambda_{\mu}(z *(u * v), q), \lambda_{\mu}(z, q)\}, \lambda_{\mu}(u, q)\}$ $= \min \{\min \{\lambda_{\mu}(0, q), \lambda_{\mu}(v, q)\}, \lambda_{\mu}(u, q)\}$ $= \min \{\lambda_{\mu}(u, q), \lambda_{\mu}(v, q)\}$ Therefore $\lambda_{\mu}(v, q) \ge \min \{\lambda_{\mu}(u, q), \lambda_{\mu}(v, q)\}$. 2. $\delta_{\mu}(v, q) = \delta_{\mu}(0 *v, q)$ $\le \max \{\delta_{\mu}(u * v, q), \delta_{\mu}(u, q)\}$ $= \max \{\delta_{\mu}(u * v, q), \delta_{\mu}(u, q)\}$ $= max \ \{ max \ \{ \ \delta_{\mu} (\ z \ \ast (\ u \ \ast \ v \), \ q \), \ \delta_{\mu} (\ z, \ q \) \ \}, \ \delta_{\mu} (\ u, \ q \) \ \}$

- $= \max \{ \max \{ \delta_{\mu}(0, q), \delta_{\mu}(v, q) \}, \delta_{\mu}(u, q) \}$
- $= \max \{ \delta_{\mu}(u, q), \delta_{\mu}(v, q) \}$

Therefore $\delta_{\mu}(v, q) \leq max \{ \delta_{\mu}(u, q), \delta_{\mu}(v, q) \}.$

Theorem 3.6 If μ is an intuitionistic Q – fuzzy KU – ideal of KU – algebra A, then for all

 $u,v\in A \ \& q\in Q, \ we \ have \ \ \lambda_{\mu}(\ u\ *(\ u\ *\ v\),q\)\geq \lambda_{\mu}(\ v,q\) \ and \ \delta_{\mu}(\ u\ *(\ u\ *\ v\),q\)\leq \delta_{\mu}(\ v,q\).$

Proof:

Let u, v \in A & q \in Q. Then $\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\mu}(u *(u * v), q) &\geq \min \{ \lambda_{\mu}(u *(u * v), q), \lambda_{\mu}(v, q) \} \\ &= \min \{ \lambda_{\mu}(u *(u *(v * v)), q), \lambda_{\mu}(v, q) \} \\ &= \min \{ \lambda_{\mu}(u *(u * 0), q), \lambda_{\mu}(v, q) \} \\ &= \min \{ \lambda_{\mu}(u * 0), q), \lambda_{\mu}(v, q) \} \\ &= \min \{ \lambda_{\mu}(0, q), \lambda_{\mu}(v, q) \} \\ &= \lambda_{\mu}(v, q) \end{aligned}$ Hence $\lambda_{\mu}(u *(u * v), q) \geq \lambda_{\mu}(v, q).$ On the other hand $\delta_{\mu}(u *(u * v), q) \leq \max \{ \delta_{\mu}(u *(u * v), q), \delta_{\mu}(v, q) \} \\ &= \max \{ \delta_{\mu}(u *(u * (v * v)), q), \delta_{\mu}(v, q) \} \\ &= \max \{ \delta_{\mu}(u *(u * 0), q), \delta_{\mu}(v, q) \} \\ &= \max \{ \delta_{\mu}(u * 0), q), \delta_{\mu}(v, q) \} \\ &= \max \{ \delta_{\mu}(0, q), \delta_{\mu}(v, q) \} \\ &= \max \{ \delta_{\mu}(0, q), \delta_{\mu}(v, q) \} \end{aligned}$

Hence $\delta_{\mu}(u \ast (u \ast v), q) \leq \delta_{\mu}(v, q)$.

Definition 3.7 For any α , $\beta \in [0, 1]$ and a Q – fuzzy set μ in a non empty set A, the set $\mu^{\alpha} = \{u \in A, q \in Q; \mu(u, q) \ge \alpha\}$ is called an upper α – level cut of μ and $\mu_{\beta} = \{u \in A, q \in Q; \mu(u, q) \le \beta\}$ is called a lower β – level cut of μ .

Theorem 3.8 If μ is an intuitionistic Q – fuzzy KU – ideal of KU – algebra A, then $\mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}$, $\mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ are a KU – ideal of A for every $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$.

Proof:

Hence μ is an intuitionistic Q – fuzzy KU – ideal of A

1. Let $u \in \mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}$ this means that $\lambda_{\mu}(u, q) \ge \alpha$, $\lambda_{\mu}(0, q) = \lambda_{\mu}(v * 0), q)$ $\ge \min \{ \lambda_{\mu}(v * (u * 0), q), \lambda_{\mu}(u, q) \}$ $= \min \{ \lambda_{\mu}((v * 0), q), \lambda_{\mu}(u, q) \}$ $= \min \{ \lambda_{\mu}(0, q), \lambda_{\mu}(u, q) \}$ $= \lambda_{\mu}(u, q)$ $\ge \alpha$

```
Thus 0 \in \mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}.
```

2. Let $u *(v * z) \in \mu^{\alpha_{\lambda\mu}}$ and $v \in \mu^{\alpha_{\lambda\mu}}$ for all $u, v, z \in A \& q \in Q, u *(v * z) \in \mu^{\alpha_{\lambda\mu}}$ and $v \in \mu^{\alpha_{\lambda\mu}}$ for all $u, v, z \in A$ this implies that $\lambda_{\mu}(u *(v * z), q) \ge \alpha$ and $\lambda_{\mu}(v, q) \ge \alpha$. $\lambda_{\mu}((v * z), q) \ge \min\{\lambda_{\mu}(u *(v * z), q)\} \ge \min\{\alpha, \alpha\} = \alpha$, thus $v * z \in \mu^{\alpha_{\lambda\mu}}$ and we get $\mu^{\alpha_{\lambda\mu}}$ an KU – ideal of A for every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

On the other hand

1. Let $u \in \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ this means that $\delta_{\mu}(u, q) \leq \beta$,

 $\delta_{\mu}\,(\,0,\,q\,\,)=\delta_{\mu}\,(\,v\,\ast\,0\,\,),\,q\,\,)$

 $\leq max \ \{ \ \delta_{\mu} \ (\ v \ \ast (\ u \ \ast \ 0 \), \ q \), \ \delta_{\mu} \ (\ u, \ q \) \}$

- $= max \; \{ \; \delta_{\mu} \, ((\; v \ast 0 \;), q \;), \delta_{\mu} \, (\; u, q \;) \}$
- $= max \; \{ \; \delta_{\mu} \; (\; 0, q \;), \, \delta_{\mu} \; (\; u, q \;) \}$
- $= \delta_{\mu} (u, q)$

Thus $0 \in \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$.

2. Let $u *(v * z) \in \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ and $v \in \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ for all $u, v, z \in A \& q \in Q, u *(v * z) \in \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ and $v \in \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ for all $u, v, z \in A$ this implies that $\delta_{\mu} (u *(v * z), q) \leq \beta$ and $\delta_{\mu} (v, q) \leq \beta$. $\delta_{\mu} ((v * z), q) \leq \max\{\delta_{\mu} (u *(v * z), q), \delta_{\mu} (v, q)\} \leq \max\{\beta, \beta\} = \beta$, thus $v * z \in \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ and we get $\mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ an KU – ideal of A for every $\beta \in [0, 1]$.

Theorem 3.9 Let μ be an intuitionistic Q – fuzzy set of KU – algebra A. If for each α , $\beta \in [0, 1]$, and $\mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}$, $\mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ is a KU – ideal of A, then μ is an intuitionistic Q – fuzzy KU – ideal of A. **Proof:** Straightforward.

686

Theorem 3.10 An intuitionistic Q – fuzzy set $\mu = (\delta_{\mu}, \lambda_{\mu})$ is an intuitionistic Q – fuzzy KU – ideal of A if and only if for all $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$, the set $\mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}$ and $\mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ are either empty or KU – ideal of A.

Proof:

 $\Rightarrow \text{Let } \mu = (\delta_{\mu}, \lambda_{\mu}) \text{ is an intuitionistic } Q - \text{fuzzy } KU - \text{ideal of } A \text{ and } \mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu} \neq \phi \neq \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}. \text{ Since } \lambda_{\mu}(0, q) \geq \alpha \text{ and } \delta_{\mu}(0, q) \leq \beta, \text{ let } u, v, z \in A \text{ be such that } u \ast (v \ast z) \in \mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}, v \in \mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}. \text{ Then } \lambda_{\mu}(u \ast (v \ast z), q) \geq \alpha \text{ and } \lambda_{\mu}(v, q) \geq \alpha, \text{ it follows that } \lambda_{\mu}(u \ast z), q) \geq \min \{ \lambda_{\mu}(u \ast (v \ast z), q), \lambda_{\mu}(v, q) \} \geq \alpha \text{ thus } u \ast z \in \mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}. \text{ Therefore } \mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu} \text{ is an } KU - \text{ideal of } A.$

On the other hand, if u, v, $z \in A$ such that $u *(v * z) \in \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$, then $\delta_{\mu}(u *(v * z), q) \leq \beta$ and $\delta_{\mu}(v, q) \leq \beta$ thus $\delta_{\mu}(u * z), q) \leq \max \{ \delta_{\mu}(u *(v * z), q), \delta_{\mu}(v, q) \} \leq \beta$ thus $u * z \in \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$. Therefore $\mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ is an KU – ideal of A.

 $⇐ Suppose that for each α, β ∈ [0, 1], the sets μ^α_{λμ} and μ_{βδμ} are either empty or KU – ideal of A. For any u ∈ A, let λ_μ(u, q) = α and δ_μ(u, q) = β, then u ∈ μ^α_{λμ} ∩ μ_{βδμ} and μ^α_{λμ} ≠ φ ≠ μ_{βδμ}. Since μ^α_{λμ} and μ_{βδμ} are KU – ideal of A, therefore 0 ∈ μ^α_{λμ} ∩ μ_{βδμ} hence λ_μ(0, q) ≥ α = λ_μ(u, q) and δ_μ(0, q) ≤ β = δ_μ(u, q) for all u ∈ A. If there exist d, e, f ∈ A be such that λ_μ(d * f, q) ≥ min { λ_μ(d *(e * f), q), λ_μ(e, q)} by taking α₀ = ½ { λ_μ(d * f, q) + min { λ_μ(d *(e * f), q), λ_μ(e, q)} we get λ_μ(d * f, q) < α₀ < min { λ_μ(d *(e * f), q), λ_μ(e, q)} by taking α₀ = ½ { λ_μ(d * (e * f), q), λ_μ(e, q)} and hence d * e ∉ μ^{α0}_{λμ}, d *(e * f) ∈ μ^{α0}_{λμ} and e ∈ μ^{α0}_{λμ}, this means that μ^{α0}_{λμ} is not an KU – ideal of A and this is contradiction. Now, assume that there exist u, v, z ∈ A such that δ_μ(u *(v * z), q), δ_μ(v, q)} we get max { δ_μ(u *(v * z), q), δ_μ(v, q)} < β₀ = ½ { δ_μ(u * z, q) + max { δ_μ(u *(v * z), q), δ_μ(v, q)} which is contradiction and this complete proof.$

Definition 3.11 Let A be an KU – algebra and a, $b \in A$, we can define a set U(a, b) = { $a \in A$; a *(b * a) = 0}. It easy to see that 0, a, $b \in U(a, b)$ for all $a, b \in A$.

Theorem 3.12 Let μ be an intuitionistic Q – fuzzy set in KU – algebra A. Then μ is an intuitionistic Q – fuzzy KU – ideal of A if and only if μ satisfies the following condition. For all a, b \in A; α , $\beta \in [0, 1]$, (a, b) $\in \mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}$ thus U(a, b) $\subseteq \mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}$ and (a, b) $\in \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ thus U(a, b) $\subseteq \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$.

Proof:

 \Rightarrow Suppose that μ is an intuitionistic Q – fuzzy KU – ideal of A, now let u, $v \in \mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}$. Then $\lambda_{\mu}(u, v)$ q) $\geq \alpha$ and $\lambda_{\mu}(v, q) \geq \alpha$ let $a \in U(u, v)$. Then u * (v * a) = 0, now $\lambda_{\mu}(a, q) = \lambda_{\mu}(a * 0, q)$ $\geq \min \{ \lambda_{\mu}(0 * (v * a), q), \lambda_{\mu}(v, q) \}$ = min { $\lambda_{\mu}(v * a), q$), $\lambda_{\mu}(v, q)$ } $\geq \min \{ \min \{ \lambda_{\mu}(v \ast (u \ast a), q), \lambda_{\mu}(u, q) \}, \lambda_{\mu}(v, q) \}$ = min { min{ $\lambda_{\mu}(u * (v * a), q), \lambda_{\mu}(u, q)$ }, $\lambda_{\mu}(v, q)$ } $= \min \{ \min \{ \lambda_{\mu}(0, q), \lambda_{\mu}(u, q) \}, \lambda_{\mu}(v, q) \}$ $= \min\{\lambda_{\mu}(u, q), \lambda_{\mu}(v, q)\}$ $= \min \{\alpha, \alpha\}$ = α. Thus $\lambda_{\mu}(a, q) \geq \alpha$. And hence $a \in \mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}$ therefore $U(a, b) \subseteq \mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}$ And let $u, v \in \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$. Then $\delta_{\mu}(u, q) \leq \beta$ and $\delta_{\mu}(v, q) \leq \beta$ let $a \in U(u, v)$. Then u * (v * a) = 0, now $\delta_{\mu}(a,q) = \delta_{\mu}(a * 0,q)$ $\leq \max \{ \delta_{\mu}(0 * (v * a), q), \delta_{\mu}(v, q) \}$ = max { $\delta_{\mu}(v * a), q$), $\delta_{\mu}(v, q)$ } $\leq \max \{ \max\{ \delta_{\mu}(v * (u * a), q), \delta_{\mu}(u, q) \}, \delta_{\mu}(v, q) \}$ = max { max{ $\delta_{\mu}(u * (v * a), q), \delta_{\mu}(u, q)$ }, $\delta_{\mu}(v, q)$ } = max { max{ $\delta_{\mu}(0, q), \delta_{\mu}(u, q)$ }, $\delta_{\mu}(v, q)$ } $= \max{\{\delta_{\mu}(u, q), \delta_{\mu}(v, q)\}}$ $= \min \{\beta, \beta\}$ $=\beta$. Thus $\delta_{\mu}(a, q) \leq \beta$. And hence $a \in \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ therefore $U(a, b) \subseteq \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ \Leftarrow Assume that U(a, b) $\subset \mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}$, its clear that $0 \in U(a, b) \subset \mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}$ for all $a, b \in A$ Now, let u, v, $z \in A$ such that $u * (v * z) \in \mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}$ and $v \in \mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}$ since (u * (v * z)) * (v * (u * z)) = $(\mathbf{v} \ast (\mathbf{u} \ast \mathbf{z})) \ast (\mathbf{u} \ast (\mathbf{v} \ast \mathbf{z})) = 0$ and we have $\mathbf{u} \ast \mathbf{z} \in U(\mathbf{u} \ast (\mathbf{v} \ast \mathbf{z}), \mathbf{v}) \subset \mu_{\beta \delta \mu}$. Thus $\mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda \mu}$ is an KU – ideal of A. And, suppose that $U(a, b) \subseteq \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ its clear that $0 \in U(a, b) \subseteq \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ for all $a, b \in A$ Now, let u, v, $z \in A$ such that $u * (v * z) \in \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ and $v \in \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ since (u * (v * z)) * (v * (u * z)) =(v * (u * z)) * (u * (v * z)) = 0 and we have $u * z \in U(u * (v * z), v) \subset \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$. Thus $\mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ is an KU

– ideal of A.

Therefore, by Theorem 3.9 μ is an intuitionistic Q – fuzzy KU – ideal of A.

Definition 3.13 An intuitionistic Q – fuzzy set μ in KU- algebra A is said to be intuitionistic Q – fuzzy sub algebra of A if

1. $\lambda_{\mu}(a * b, q) \ge \min\{\lambda_{\mu}(a, q), \lambda_{\mu}(b, q)\}$

2. $\delta_{\mu}(a * b, q) \le max \{ \delta_{\mu}(a, q), \delta_{\mu}(b, q) \}$

For all $a, b \in A \& q \in Q$.

Theorem 3.14 Let μ be an intuitionistic Q – fuzzy sub algebra of a KU – algebra A then.

$$\begin{split} &1.\ \lambda_{\mu}(\ 0\ ,\ q)\geq\lambda_{\mu}(\ a\ ,\ q)\\ &2.\ \delta_{\mu}(\ 0,\ q\)\leq\delta_{\mu}(a\ ,\ q\)\\ &For \ all\ a\ \in\ A\ \&\ q\ \in\ Q. \end{split}$$

Proof:

We know a * a = 0 for any $a \in A$, then

$$\begin{split} &1. \ \lambda_{\mu}(\ 0\ ,\ q) = \lambda_{\mu}(a\ *\ a\ ,\ q) \\ &\geq \min\{\ \lambda_{\mu}(a,\ q),\ \lambda_{\mu}(a,\ q)\} \\ &= \lambda_{\mu}(a,\ q) \end{split}$$

And we get $\lambda_{\mu}(0, q) \ge \lambda_{\mu}(a, q)$.

$$\begin{aligned} & 2. \ \delta_{\mu}(\ 0, q \) = \ \delta_{\mu}(a \ast a \ , q \) \\ & \leq max \ \{ \ \delta_{\mu}(a, q \), \ \delta_{\mu}(\ a, q \) \} \\ & = \delta_{\mu}(a, q \) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\delta_{\mu}(0, q) \leq \delta_{\mu}(a, q)$.

Corollary 3.15 If A is a KU – algebra, then an intuitionistic Q – fuzzy set μ is an intuitionistic Q – fuzzy sub algebra if and only if for every α , $\beta \in [0, 1]$, $\mu^{\alpha}{}_{\lambda\mu}$ and $\mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ are either empty or KU – sub algebra of A.

Proof:

 \Rightarrow Assume that is an intuitionistic Q – fuzzy sub algebra and $\mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu} \neq \phi \neq \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$

for any $u, v \in \mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}$ and $q \in Q$, we have $\lambda_{\mu}(u * v, q) \ge \min\{\lambda_{\mu}(u, q), \lambda_{\mu}(v, q)\} \ge \alpha$ then $u * v \in \mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}$ and hence $\mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}$ is a KU – sub algebra of A. On the other hand $u, v \in \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ and $q \in Q$, we have $\delta_{\mu}(u * v, q) \le \max\{\delta_{\mu}(u, q), \delta_{\mu}(v, q)\} \le \beta$ then $u * v \in \mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ and hence $\mu_{\beta\delta\mu}$ is a KU – sub algebra of A.

 $\Leftarrow \text{Suppose that } {}^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu} \text{ and } \mu_{\beta\delta\mu} \text{ are } KU - \text{sub algebra of } A, \text{ for any } u, v \in \mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu} \text{ then } u * v \in \mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu} \text{ take } \\ \alpha = \min\{ \lambda_{\mu}(u, q), \lambda_{\mu}(v, q) \} \text{ therefore } \lambda_{\mu}(u * v, q) \ge \alpha = \min\{ \lambda_{\mu}(u, q), \lambda_{\mu}(v, q) \} \text{ and for any } u, v \\ \in \mu_{\beta\delta\mu} \text{ then } u * v \in \mu_{\beta\delta\mu} \text{ take } \beta = \max\{ \delta_{\mu}(u, q), \delta_{\mu}(v, q) \} \text{ thus } \delta_{\mu}(u * v, q) \le \beta = \max\{ \delta_{\mu}(u, q), \delta_{\mu}(v, q) \} \text{ and hence } \mu \text{ is an intuitionistic } Q - \text{fuzzy sub algebra of } A.$

4. CONCLUSION

In this research, we have studied intuitionistic Q – fuzzy KU – sub algebra, KU – ideal and its level cuts. These notions can further be generalized.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Isek, S. Tanaka., An Introduction to the Theory of BCK Algebras, Math. Japonica. 23 (1978), 1 26.
- [2] K. Isek, On BCI Algebras, Math. Seminar Notes, 8 (1980), 125 130.
- [3] J. Neggers, S. S. Ahu, H. S. Kim., On Q Algebras, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 27 (2001), 749 757.
- [4] C. Prabpayak, U. Leerawat, On Ideals and Congruences in KU Algebras, Sci. Magna J. 5 (2009), 54 57.
- [5] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Inform. Control, 8 (1965), 338 353.
- [6] O. G. Xi, Fuzzy BCK Algebras, Math. Japan. 36 (1991), 935 942.
- [7] M.M. Samy, A.E. Mokthar, M.M. Moustufa, Fuzzy Ideals of KU Algebras, Int. Math. Forum, 6 (2011), 3139 3149.
- [8] P. M. Sitharselvam, T. Priya, T. Ramachandran, Anti Q Fuzzy KU Ideals in KU Algebras and its Lower Level Cuts, Int. J. Eng. Res. Appl. 20 (2012), 1286 – 1289.
- [9] K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 20 (1986), 87 96.
- [10] K. T. Atanassov, New Operations Defined Over the intuitionistic Fuzzy Set, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 61 (1994), 137 142.
- [11] M.M. Samy, A.E. Mokthar, E. R. Osama, Intuitionistic Fuzzy KU Ideals in KU Algebras, Int. J. Math. Sci. Appl. 1 (2011), 1379 – 1384.
- [12] M O. Massa'deh, A Study on Intuitionistic Fuzzy and Normal Fuzzy M-Subgroup, M-Homomorphism and Isomorphism, Int. J. Ind. Math. 8 (2015), 185 – 188.

- [13] M O. Massa'deh, T. Al-Hawary, Homomorphism in t-Q-Intuitionistic L-Fuzzy Sub Rings, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. 106 (2016), 1115 – 1126.
- [14] M O. Massa'deh, Some Contribution on Intuitionistic Q Fuzzy KU Ideals, JP J. Algebra Number Theory Appl. 42 (2019), 95 – 110.
- [15] M O. Massa'deh, A. Fellatah, Some Properties on Intuitionistic Q-Fuzzy k-Ideals and k Q -Fuzzy Ideals in Γ-Semirings, Afr. Mat. 30 (2019), 1145 – 1152.