



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2 (2012), No. 6, 1712-1720

ISSN: 1927-5307

## FIXED POINTS OF GENERALIZED WEAK CONTRACTIONS IN SYMMETRIC SPACES

RAJEDRA PANT<sup>1,\*</sup>, AND PANKAJ KUMAR MISHRA<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Visvesvaraya National Institute of Technology, Nagpur 440010,  
Maharashtra, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Petroleum & Energy Studies, Prem Nagar, Dehradun  
248007, Uttarakhand, India

**Abstract.** In this paper we obtain some fixed point theorems for generalized weak contractions in symmetric spaces without using the completeness of the spaces and continuity conditions. Besides discussing special cases, we observe the usefulness of results on the setting of symmetric spaces.

**Keywords:** Fixed point, quasi weak contraction, symmetric space.

**2000 AMS Subject Classification:** 54H25, 47H10.

### 1. Introduction

The study of fixed points in symmetric spaces was initiated in the work of Hicks and Rhoades [10]. Recently, the existence of fixed points for single-valued and multi-valued operators in symmetric spaces has been studied extensively (see [1], [3], [5], [6], [11], [12], [14], [15], [19] and many others).

The purpose of this paper is to obtain some fixed and common fixed point theorems in symmetric spaces for a certain class of mappings on general settings. These mappings satisfy the property (E.A) introduced and studied by Aamri and Moutawakil [2] for the

---

\*Corresponding author

Received June 27, 2012

first time. Further, the completeness of the space and the continuity conditions on the mappings are omitted. We present some examples to show the usefulness of the property (E.A) and symmetric spaces.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of natural numbers. For the sake of completeness we recall the following definitions.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X$  be non-empty set and  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  a functional. Then  $d$  is called a symmetric on  $X$  if

- (S1):  $d(x, y) \geq 0$ ;
- (S2):  $d(x, y) = 0$  iff  $x = y$ ;
- (S3):  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ .

The pair  $(X, d)$  is called a symmetric space of semi-symmetric space.

If we include the triangle inequality in the above definition then we get the usual definition of a metric space. However, a symmetric on  $X$  need not be a metric on  $X$ . Therefore the class of symmetric spaces is larger than metric spaces.

**Example 2.2.** The set  $l_p(\mathbb{R})$  with  $0 < p < 1$ , where  $l_p(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \{x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p < \infty \right\}$  together with  $d : l_p(\mathbb{R}) \times l_p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$d(x, y) := \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n - y_n|^p \right)^{1/p}, \text{ (where } x = \{x_n\}, y = \{y_n\} \in l_p(\mathbb{R}))$$

is a symmetric space.

Let  $d$  be a symmetric on a (nonempty) set  $X$  and for  $r > 0$  and any  $x \in X$ , let  $B(x, r) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < r\}$ . A topology  $\tau(d)$  on  $X$  is given by  $U \in \tau(d)$  if and only if, for each  $x \in U$ ,  $B(x, r) \subset U$  for some  $r > 0$ . A symmetric  $d$  is a semi-metric if for each  $x \in X$  and each  $r > 0$ ,  $B(x, r)$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  in the topology  $\tau(d)$ . Note that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$  if and only if  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in the topology  $\tau(d)$ .

The following two axioms were given by Wilson [20].

Let  $(X, d)$  be a symmetric space.

**(W.1):** Given  $x, y$  and  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$ .  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y) = 0$  implies  $x = y$ .

**(W.2):** Given  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  and  $x$  in  $X$ .  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$  implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, x) = 0$ .

The following definition is essentially due to Aamri and Moutawakil [2] on a metric space.

**Definition 2.3.** [2] Let  $X$  be a symmetric space. Two mappings  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  satisfy the property (E.A) if there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = z \text{ for some } z \in X.$$

When  $S$  is an identity mapping on  $X$ . We obtain the corresponding definition for a (single) mapping satisfying the property (E.A) [16].

**Example 2.4.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Let  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$Sx = x^2 \text{ and } Tx = \frac{x}{2} \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Consider the sequence  $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = 0.$$

Therefore  $S$  and  $T$  satisfy the property (E.A). Notice that  $d$  is a symmetric on  $X$ . Also it is easy to verify that  $d$  is not a metric on  $X$ .

There are mappings which do not satisfy the property (E.A).

**Example 2.5.** Let  $X = [2, \infty)$  and  $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Define  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  by  $Sx = x + 1$  and  $Tx = 2x + 1$  for all  $x \in X$  satisfying  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = t$ , for some  $t \in X$ . Therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = t - 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \frac{t-1}{2}$ . Then  $t = 1$ , which is a contradiction since  $1 \notin X$ . Hence  $S$  and  $T$  do not satisfy the property (E.A).

**Remark 2.6.** The class of mappings satisfying property (E.A) contain the class of the well known compatible mappings (see Jungck [13]) as well as the class of non-compatible mappings. The property (E.A) is very useful in the study of fixed points of non expansive

mappings. In fact the property (E.A) ensure the existence of a coincidence point for a pair of non expansive type mappings in a metric space [16].

### 3. Fixed and common fixed point theorems

Throughout this section we shall use the following notations.

- (1):  $X :=$  A symmetric space  $(X, d)$ ;
- (2):  $\Phi :=$  A class of functions  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying:
  - (a):  $\varphi$  is continuous and monotone nondecreasing,
  - (b):  $\varphi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$ .
- (3):  $M_T(x, y) := \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}$
- (4):  $M_{S,T}(x, y) := \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Sx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Sx)\}$

**Definition 3.1.** (See [4], [9]). Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$ . The mapping  $T$  is said to be  $(\psi, \varphi)$ -weak contraction if

$$(3.1) \quad \psi(d(Tx; Ty)) \leq \psi(d(x, y)) - \varphi(d(x, y))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\psi, \varphi \in \Phi$ .

We extend the definition 3.1 as follows:

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $T : X \in X$ . The mapping  $T$  will be called a quasi weak contraction if

$$(3.2) \quad \psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(M_T(x, y)) - \varphi(M_T(x, y))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\psi, \varphi \in \Phi$ .

When  $\psi(t) = t$  and  $\varphi(t) = (1 - k)t$  with  $k \in (0, 1)$ , in the Definition 3.2, we recover the well known quasi-contraction due to Ćirić [7].

We remark that Ćirić’s quasi-contraction [7] is considered as the most general among contractions listed in Rhoades [18].

Now we present our first result.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a symmetric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  a quasi weak contraction satisfying the property (E.A). Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $T$  satisfies the property (E.A), there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = z$$

for some  $z \in X$ . Since  $T$  is a quasi weak contraction on  $X$ , by (3.2), we get

$$(3.3) \quad \psi(d(Tz, Tx_n)) \leq \psi(M_T(z, x_n)) - \varphi(M_T(z, x_n)).$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_T(z, x_n) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\{d(z, x_n), d(z, Tz), d(x_n, Tx_n), d(z, Tx_n), d(x_n, Tz)\} \\ (3.4) \quad &= \max\{d(z, z), d(z, Tz), d(z, z), d(z, z), d(z, Tz)\} \\ &= \max\{0, d(z, Tz), 0, 0, d(z, Tz)\} \\ &= d(z, Tz). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\psi, \varphi \in \Phi$ , (3.3) and (3.4) implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(d(Tz, Tx_n)) = \psi(d(Tz, z)) \leq \psi(d(z, Tz)) - \varphi(d(z, Tz)),$$

a contradiction, unless  $d(Tz, z) = 0$ . Thus  $Tz = z$  and  $z$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

To prove the uniqueness, we suppose that  $T$  has two distinct fixed points  $u$  and  $v$  in  $X$ .

Again notice that

$$\begin{aligned} M_T(u, v) &= \max\{d(u, v), d(u, Tu), d(v, Tv), d(u, Tv), d(v, Tu)\} \\ (3.5) \quad &= \max\{d(u, v), d(u, u), d(v, v), d(u, v), d(v, u)\} \\ &= d(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\psi, \varphi \in \Phi$ , (3.2) and (3.5) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(u, v)) &= \psi(d(Tu, Tv)) \leq \psi(M_T(u, v)) - \varphi(M_T(u, v)) \\ &= \psi(d(u, v)) - \varphi(d(u, v)), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction, unless  $d(u, v) = 0$ .

When  $\psi(t) = t$  in Theorem 3.3, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a symmetric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  a mapping satisfying the property (E.A) such that*

$$(3.6) \quad d(Tx, Ty) \leq M_T(x, y) - \varphi(MT(x, y))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\varphi \in \Phi$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be a symmetric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  a (Ćirić) quasi-contraction satisfying the property (E.A). Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

**Proof.** It comes from Theorem 3.3, when  $\psi(t) = t$  and  $\varphi(t) = (1 - k)t$  with  $k \in (0, 1)$ .

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $X$  be a symmetric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  a  $(\psi, \varphi)$ -weak contraction mapping satisfying the property (E.A). Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

**Proof.** It comes from Theorem 3.3, when  $M_T(x, y) = d(x, y)$ .

When  $M_T(x, y) = d(x, y)$ ,  $\psi(t) = t$  and  $\varphi(t) = 0$ , then we have the following non-expansive type result.

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $X$  be a symmetric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  a mapping satisfying the property (E.A) such that*

$$(3.7) \quad d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

In order to obtain results for common fixed points, we slightly modify the Definition 3.2 as follows:

**Definition 3.8.** Let  $X$  be a symmetric space. Two mappings  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  will (be called to) satisfy the modified property (E.A) if there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z, \text{ for some } z \in X.$$

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $X$  be a symmetric space and  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  mappings satisfying the modified property (E.A) such that*

$$\psi(d(Sx, Tx)) \leq \psi(M_{S,T}(x, y)) - \varphi(M_{S,T}(x, y))$$

*for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\psi, \varphi \in \Phi$ . Then  $S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .*

**Proof.** Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3.

**Corollary 3.10.** (See also [8] and [17].) *Let  $X$  be a symmetric space and  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  mappings satisfying the modified property (E.A) such that*

$$d(Sx, Tx) \leq k(M_{S,T}(x, y))$$

*for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $k \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .*

**Proof.** It comes from Theorem 3.9, when  $\psi(t) = t$  and  $\varphi(t) = (1 - k)t$  with  $k \in (0, 1)$ .

Now we present an example to illustrate our results.

**Example 3.11.** Let  $X = [0, 1)$  and  $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$ . It can be easily verified that  $(X, d)$  is a symmetric space. Define  $T : X \rightarrow X$  by  $Tx = \frac{x}{2}$ . Further, define  $\psi, \varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $\psi(t) = 2t$  and  $\varphi(t) = \frac{3t}{2}$ . Consider the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by  $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n$$

and  $T$  satisfies the property (E.A). For all  $x, y \in X$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(Tx, Ty)) &= \frac{|x - y|^2}{2} \leq 2|x - y|^2 - \frac{3|x - y|^2}{2} \\ &= \psi(d(x, y)) - \varphi(d(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $T$  satisfies all the hypotheses of Corollary 3.6 and  $T0 = 0$ . It is interesting to note that  $X$  is not complete and  $d$  is not a metric on  $X$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Chandra A. Bhatt and D.R. Sahu, Common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings under relaxed conditions, *Nonlinear Analysis* 73 (2010), 176–182.
- [2] M. Aamri and D. El. Moutawakil, Some new fixed point theorem under strict contractive conditions, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Application* 270 (2002), 181–188.

- [3] M. Aamri and D. El Moutawakil, Common fixed points under contractive conditions in symmetric spaces, *Applied Mathematics E-Notes* (2003), no. 3, 156–162.
- [4] M. Abbas and D. Dorić, A common end point theorem for set-valued generalized  $(\psi, \phi)$ -weak contraction, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2010 (2010), 509658.
- [5] M. Abbas and A.R. Khan, Common fixed points of generalized contractive hybrid pairs in symmetric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2009 (2009), 869407.
- [6] A. Aliouche, A common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings in symmetric spaces satisfying a contractive condition of integral type, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Application* (2006), no. 322, 796–802.
- [7] Lj.B. Ćirić, A generalization of banach's contraction principle, *Proceedings of American Mathematical Society* 45 (1974), 267–273.
- [8] K.M. Das and K.V. Naik, Common fixed point theorems for commuting maps on a metric space, *Proceedings of American Mathematical Society* 77(1979), 369–373.
- [9] P.N. Dutta and B.S. Choudhury, A generalisation of contraction principle in metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* (2008), no. doi:10.1155/2008/406368, 1–8.
- [10] T.L. Hicks and B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point theory in symmetric spaces with applications to probabilistic spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis* (1999), no. 36, 331–344.
- [11] M. Imdad and A.H. Soliman, Some common fixed point theorems for a pair of tangential mappings in symmetric spaces, *Applied Mathematics Letters* 23 (2010), 351–355.
- [12] Y.J. Cho, J. Zhu and S.M. Kang, Equivalent contractive conditions in symmetric spaces, *Computers & Mathematics Application* (2005), no. 50, 1621–1628.
- [13] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences* 9 (1986), no. 4, 771–779.
- [14] J. Ali, M. Imdad and L. Khan, Coincidence and fixed points in symmetric spaces under strict contractions, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Application* (2006), no. 320, 352–360.
- [15] D.EL Moutawakil, A fixed point theorems for multivalued maps in symmetric spaces, *Applied Mathematics E-Notes* (2004), no. 4, 26–32.
- [16] R.P. Pant, Fixed points of nonexpansive mappings and a generalized notion of compactness, *Bulletins of Calcutta Mathematical Society* 99 (2007), no. 1, 45–52.
- [17] S. Ranganathan, A fixed point theorem for commuting mappings, *Mathematics Semester Notes Kobe* 6 (1978), 351–357.
- [18] B.E. Rhoades, A comparison of various definitions of contracting mappings, *Transcripts of American Mathematical Society* 226 (1977), 257–290.



- [19] Gwang-Yeon Lee Seong-Hoon Cho and Jong-Sook Bae, On coincidence and fixed-point theorems in symmetric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2008, 562130.
- [20] W.A. Wilson, On semi-metric spaces, *Americal Journal of Mathematics* 53 (1931), 361–373.