



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 11 (2021), No. 2, 1108-1123

<https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/5253>

ISSN: 1927-5307

## THE (NORMALIZED) LAPLACIAN SPECTRUM AND RELATED INDEXES OF GENERALIZED QUADRILATERAL GRAPHS

QI MA<sup>1,\*</sup>, HUAPING WANG<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, P.R. China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang 330022, P.R. China

Copyright © 2021 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract.** In this paper, we introduce the generalized quadrilateral graph  $Q^{(n)}(G)$ , which can be got by replacing each edge of the given graph  $G$  with a complete bipartite graph  $K_{n,n}$ . We characterize all the spectrum of the graph  $Q^{(n)}(G)$  in terms of the given graph. Then we derive the formula for the multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff index, the Kemeny's constant and the number of spanning trees of  $Q^{(n)}(G)$ . Finally, we can obtain more about the iterative graph  $Q_r^{(n)}(G)$ .

**Keywords:** normalized Laplacian; multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff index; Kemeny's constant; spanning tree.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 05C50.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Notions and definitions.** Throughout all the paper, we consider a simple and connected graph  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  with  $N_0$  vertices and denote the vertex set of  $G$  by  $V(G) = \{1, 2, \dots, N_0\}$ . For any two adjacent vertices  $s$  and  $t$ , we denote it by  $s \sim t$ . Denote the degree of a vertex  $s$  by  $d_s$  in  $G$ .

---

\*Corresponding author

E-mail address: [silvester.ma@outlook.com](mailto:silvester.ma@outlook.com)

Received November 21, 2020

Let  $A_G$  be the *adjacency matrix* of  $G$ , where the  $(s, t)$ -entry equals to 1 if  $s \sim t$  and 0 otherwise. Let  $d_s$  be the degree of the vertex  $s$  and  $D_G = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{N_0})$  be the *diagonal matrix* of  $G$ . We call  $L_G = D_G - A_G$  the *Laplacian matrix*.

**Definition 1.** Given a matrix  $M$ , let  $M(s, t)$  denote the  $(s, t)$ -entry of  $M$ . For the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of the matrix  $M$ , denote by  $m_M(\lambda)$  the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  in  $M$ .

For the  $N_0$  eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}_G$ , we label them by  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{N_0}$ .

**Definition 2.** Define the normalized Laplacian spectrum on  $\mathcal{L}_G$  as  $\sigma = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{N_0}\}$ .

**Definition 3.** The probability of jumping from the current vertex  $s$  to another vertex  $t$  is  $p_{st}$ ,

$$p_{st} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d_s}, & \text{if } s \sim t, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We call  $P_G = (p_{st})_{N_0 \times N_0} = D_G^{-1} A_G$  the transition probability matrix.

**Definition 4.** The normalized Laplacian matrix can be expressed by

$$\mathcal{L}_G = I - D_G^{\frac{1}{2}} P_G D_G^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $I$  is an  $N_0 \times N_0$  identity matrix. According to the definition of  $\mathcal{L}_G$ , we have that:

$$\mathcal{L}_G(s, t) = \delta_{st} - \frac{A_G(s, t)}{\sqrt{d_s d_t}}.$$

Where  $\delta_{st}$  is the Kronecker delta.

We often use the normalized Laplacian to characterize parameters of graphs, see [4].

**Definition 5.** [3] The multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff index of  $G$  is expressed by  $Kf^*(G) = \sum_{s < t} d_s d_t r_{st}$ .

**Definition 6.** For a stationary distribution of unbiased random walks on  $G$ , let the transition from an initial vertex  $s$  to a target vertex  $t$  be selected randomly, we define the expected number of steps we need by  $K_e(G)$ , called the *Kemeny's constant*.

**Definition 7.** Define the number of spanning trees of  $G$  by  $\tau(G)$ .

**1.2. Backgrounds.** Many graph invariants, including  $Kf^*(G)$  and  $K_e(G)$ ,  $\tau(G)$ , can be calculated according to the spectrum of the graph. In recent years, some researchers focused on expanding a given graph by replacing each edge with another graph and characterize its spectrum in terms of the given graph.

Wang et al. [7] generalized the result of [8] by replacing each edge with  $k$  triangles, i.e., they added  $k$  edge-disjoint paths of length two between each two adjacent two vertices.

Huang and Li [6] further added  $k$  paths of length three between each two adjacent vertices to get the so-called  $k$ -quadrilateral graph  $Q^k(G)$ . Luckily, the normalized Laplacian spectra of these resulting graphs can be characterized completely in terms of the given graph  $G$ . As applications, one can calculate  $Kf^*(G)$ ,  $K_e(G)$  and  $\tau(G)$  of these graphs again in terms of the host graph  $G$ .

**2. PRELIMINARIES**

Let  $n \geq 2$ . For each edge  $e = st$ , add  $2n - 2$  vertices to form a complete bipartite graph, where  $s$  and  $t$  belong to part  $X$  and part  $Y$  respectively, and name the vertices in  $X$  with  $p_m^e (m = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1)$ , the vertices in  $Y$  with  $q_y^e (y = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1)$ . We denote by  $Q^{(n)}(G)$  the new graph. The Figure 1 gives an example of the  $Q^{(n)}(G)$  for  $G = K_3$  and  $n = 3$ .



FIGURE 1. The graph  $G = K_3$  and  $Q^{(n)}(G)$  for  $n = 3$ .

Let  $E_1 = |E(Q^{(n)}(G))|$  and  $N_1 = |V(Q^{(n)}(G))|$ . Obviously,

$$E_1 = n^2 E_0, \quad N_1 = N_0 + (2n - 2)E_0.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** [4] For the graph  $G$  with  $\sigma = \{0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{N_0}\}$ . We have

- (i)  $\frac{N_0}{N_0 - 1} \leq \lambda_{N_0} \leq 2$ . Besides,  $\lambda_{N_0} = 2$  if and only if  $G$  is a bipartite graph;
- (ii) For any eigenvalue  $\lambda_s$  of  $\mathcal{L}_G$ ,  $2 - \lambda_s$  is also an eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{L}_G$  and  $m_{\mathcal{L}_G}(\lambda_s) = m_{\mathcal{L}_G}(2 - \lambda_s)$  otherwise.

**Lemma 2.2.** [5] For the given connected graph  $G$ , the rank of the incidence matrix  $B$  is

$$r(B) = \begin{cases} N_0 - 1, & G \text{ is bipartite,} \\ N_0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2.3.** [1] For the simple connected graph  $G$ ,  $r(L_G) = N_0 - 1$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** For the given graph  $G$  with  $\sigma = \{0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{N_0}\}$ , we have

(i)[3]  $Kf^*(G) = 2E_0 \sum_{s=2}^{N_0} \frac{1}{\lambda_s}$ .

(ii)[2]  $K_e(G) = \sum_{s=2}^{N_0} \frac{1}{\lambda_s}$ .

(iii)[4]  $\tau(G) = \frac{1}{2E_0} \prod_{s=1}^{N_0} d_s \cdot \prod_{k=2}^{N_0} \lambda_k$ .

(iv)  $Kf^*(G) = 2E_0 K_e(G)$ .

### 3. THE NORMALIZED LAPLACIAN SPECTRUM OF $Q^{(n)}(G)$

For  $Q^{(n)}(G)$ , denote the normalized Laplacian by  $\mathcal{L}_Q$ . Let  $d'_s$  be the degree of the vertex  $s \in V(Q^{(n)}(G))$ . Denote the adjacency matrix by  $A_Q$  and the degree matrix  $D_Q$ . Let  $N_G = D_G^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_G D_G^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $N_Q = D_Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_Q D_Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ .

At first, we consider the eigenvalue and its eigenvector in the graph  $Q^{(n)}(G)$ . Take a eigenvector  $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{N_1})^T$  for the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $\mathcal{L}_Q$ , so we have,

(1) 
$$\mathcal{L}_Q v = (I - N_Q)v = \lambda v.$$

For  $u \in V(Q^{(n)}(G))$ , from the Eqn. (1), we have

(2) 
$$(1 - \lambda)v_u = \sum_{k=1}^{N_1} N_Q(u, k)v_k = \sum_{k=1}^{N_1} \frac{A_Q(u, k)}{\sqrt{d'_u d'_k}} v_k.$$

For simplicity, let  $V_O = V(G)$ . And for  $s \in V_O$ , let  $N_s = N_G(s)$ . Let  $e = st \in E(G)$ . By Eqn. (2), we have that

(3) 
$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \lambda)v_s &= \sum_{t \in N_s} \frac{v_t}{\sqrt{d'_s d'_t}} + \sum_{e \in E(G) \text{ is incident with } s} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{v_{q_l^e}}{\sqrt{d'_s d'_{q_l^e}}} \\ &= \sum_{t \in N_s} \frac{v_t}{n\sqrt{d_s d_t}} + \sum_{e \in E(G) \text{ is incident with } s} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{v_{q_l^e}}{n\sqrt{d_s}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad (1 - \lambda)v_t &= \sum_{s \in N_t} \frac{v_s}{\sqrt{d'_t d'_s}} + \sum_{e \in E(G) \text{ is incident with } t} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{v_{p_l^e}}{\sqrt{d'_t d'_{p_l^e}}} \\
 &= \sum_{s \in N_t} \frac{v_s}{n\sqrt{d'_t d'_s}} + \sum_{e \in E(G) \text{ is incident with } t} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{v_{p_l^e}}{n\sqrt{d'_s}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for any  $t \in N_s$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad (1 - \lambda)v_{p_1^e} &= \frac{v_t}{\sqrt{d'_t d'_{p_1^e}}} + \frac{v_{q_1^e}}{\sqrt{d'_{p_1^e} d'_{q_1^e}}} + \frac{v_{q_2^e}}{\sqrt{d'_{p_1^e} d'_{q_2^e}}} + \cdots + \frac{v_{q_{n-1}^e}}{\sqrt{d'_{p_1^e} d'_{q_{n-1}^e}}} \\
 &= \frac{v_t}{n\sqrt{d'_t}} + \frac{v_{q_1^e} + v_{q_2^e} + \cdots + v_{q_{n-1}^e}}{n}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6) \quad (1 - \lambda)v_{p_2^e} &= \frac{v_t}{\sqrt{d'_t d'_{p_2^e}}} + \frac{v_{q_1^e}}{\sqrt{d'_{p_2^e} d'_{q_1^e}}} + \frac{v_{q_2^e}}{\sqrt{d'_{p_2^e} d'_{q_2^e}}} + \cdots + \frac{v_{q_{n-1}^e}}{\sqrt{d'_{p_2^e} d'_{q_{n-1}^e}}} \\
 &= \frac{v_t}{n\sqrt{d'_t}} + \frac{v_{q_1^e} + v_{q_2^e} + \cdots + v_{q_{n-1}^e}}{n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

And for any  $s \in N_t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7) \quad (1 - \lambda)v_{q_1^e} &= \frac{v_s}{\sqrt{d'_s d'_{q_1^e}}} + \frac{v_{p_1^e}}{\sqrt{d'_{q_1^e} d'_{p_1^e}}} + \frac{v_{p_2^e}}{\sqrt{d'_{q_1^e} d'_{p_2^e}}} + \cdots + \frac{v_{p_{n-1}^e}}{\sqrt{d'_{q_1^e} d'_{p_{n-1}^e}}} \\
 &= \frac{v_s}{n\sqrt{d'_s}} + \frac{v_{p_1^e} + v_{p_2^e} + \cdots + v_{p_{n-1}^e}}{n}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8) \quad (1 - \lambda)v_{q_2^e} &= \frac{v_s}{\sqrt{d'_s d'_{q_2^e}}} + \frac{v_{p_1^e}}{\sqrt{d'_{q_2^e} d'_{p_1^e}}} + \frac{v_{p_2^e}}{\sqrt{d'_{q_2^e} d'_{p_2^e}}} + \cdots + \frac{v_{p_{n-1}^e}}{\sqrt{d'_{q_2^e} d'_{p_{n-1}^e}}} \\
 &= \frac{v_s}{n\sqrt{d'_s}} + \frac{v_{p_1^e} + v_{p_2^e} + \cdots + v_{p_{n-1}^e}}{n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{n}, 1$  and  $\frac{2n-1}{n}$ . If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{L}_Q$ , then  $\frac{\lambda(2n-n\lambda-1)}{1-\lambda}$  is also an eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{L}_G$  with  $m_{\mathcal{L}_G}(\frac{\lambda(2n-n\lambda-1)}{1-\lambda}) = m_{\mathcal{L}_Q}(\lambda)$ .*

**Proof:** Take an eigenvector  $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{N_1})^T$  for the the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $\mathcal{L}_Q$ . Let  $e = st \in E(G)$ . Since  $\lambda \neq 1$ , from Eqns. (5) and (6), we have  $v_{p_1^e} = v_{p_2^e}$ . By Eqns. (7) and (8), we can get  $v_{q_1^e} = v_{q_2^e}$ . Easily, we have

$$v_{p_1^e} = v_{p_2^e} = \cdots = v_{p_{n-1}^e}$$

and

$$v_{q_1^e} = v_{q_2^e} = \dots = v_{q_{n-1}^e}.$$

For convenience, let  $v_{p_1^e} = x_p, v_{q_1^e} = x_q$ . According to (3)(4)(5) and (7) , we have

$$(9) \quad (1 - \lambda)v_s = \sum_{t \in N_s} \frac{v_t}{n\sqrt{d_s d_t}} + (n - 1) \sum_{e \in E(G) \text{ is incident with } s} \frac{x_q}{n\sqrt{d_s}},$$

$$(1 - \lambda)v_t = \sum_{s \in N_t} \frac{v_s}{n\sqrt{d_t d_s}} + (n - 1) \sum_{e \in E(G) \text{ is incident with } t} \frac{x_p}{n\sqrt{d_t}},$$

$$(10) \quad (1 - \lambda)x_p = \frac{v_t}{n\sqrt{d_t}} + \frac{n - 1}{n}x_q$$

and

$$(11) \quad (1 - \lambda)x_q = \frac{v_s}{n\sqrt{d_s}} + \frac{n - 1}{n}x_p.$$

Combining Eqns. (10) and (11) , we have

$$(12) \quad (2n - n\lambda - 1)(1 - n\lambda)x_p = \frac{n(1 - \lambda)}{\sqrt{d_t}}v_t + \frac{(n - 1)}{\sqrt{d_s}}v_s.$$

Similarly, we can have

$$(13) \quad (2n - n\lambda - 1)(1 - n\lambda)x_q = \frac{n(1 - \lambda)}{\sqrt{d_s}}v_s + \frac{(n - 1)}{\sqrt{d_t}}v_t.$$

Combining Eqns. (9) and (13), for  $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{n}, 1$  and  $\frac{2n-1}{n}$ , it follows

$$\begin{aligned} n(1 - \lambda)v_s &= \frac{n - 1}{(2n - n\lambda - 1)(1 - n\lambda)} \sum_{t \in N_s} \left( \frac{n(1 - \lambda)}{d_s}v_s + \frac{n - 1}{\sqrt{d_s d_t}}v_t \right) + \sum_{t \in N_s} \frac{v_t}{\sqrt{d_s d_t}} \\ &= \frac{n(n - 1)(1 - \lambda)}{(2n - n\lambda - 1)(1 - n\lambda)}v_s + \sum_{t \in N_s} \left( \frac{(n - 1)^2}{(2n - n\lambda - 1)(1 - n\lambda)} + 1 \right) \frac{v_t}{\sqrt{d_s d_t}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the equation

$$(14) \quad \frac{n\lambda^2 - 2n\lambda + 1}{1 - \lambda}v_s = \sum_{t \in N_s} \frac{v_t}{\sqrt{d_s d_t}}$$

holds for  $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{n}, 1$  and  $\frac{2n-1}{n}$ .

From Eqn. (14), it is obvious that  $\frac{n\lambda^2 - 2n\lambda + 1}{1 - \lambda}$  is the eigenvalue of  $N_G$  when  $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{n}, 1$  and  $\frac{2n-1}{n}$ . So for any eigenvalue  $\lambda$  ( $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{n}, 1$  and  $\frac{2n-1}{n}$ ) and a corresponding eigenvector  $v$  of  $\mathcal{L}_Q$ ,  $\frac{\lambda(2n - n\lambda - 1)}{1 - \lambda}$  and  $(v_s)_{s \in V_G}^T$  are an eigenvalue and a corresponding eigenvector of  $\mathcal{L}_G$ , respectively.

This implies that  $m_{\mathcal{L}_G} \left( \frac{\lambda(2n - n\lambda - 1)}{1 - \lambda} \right) \geq m_{\mathcal{L}_Q}(\lambda)$ .

On the other hand, for any eigenvalue  $\frac{\lambda(2n-n\lambda-1)}{1-\lambda}$  ( $\neq 0, 2$ ) and a corresponding eigenvector  $(v_s)_{s \in V_0}^T$  of  $\mathcal{L}_G$ ,  $\lambda$  is a eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{L}_Q$  and the vector determined by  $(v_s)_{s \in V_0}^T$  and Eqn. (12) and Eqn. (13) together is a corresponding eigenvector. Hence  $m_{\mathcal{L}_G}(\frac{\lambda(2n-n\lambda-1)}{1-\lambda}) \leq m_{\mathcal{L}_Q}(\lambda)$ . So we have that  $m_{\mathcal{L}_G}(\frac{\lambda(2n-n\lambda-1)}{1-\lambda}) = m_{\mathcal{L}_Q}(\lambda)$ . □

**Theorem 3.2.** *For the given simple connected graph  $G$ , we have the followings*

- (i)  $m_{\mathcal{L}_Q}(0) = 1$ . And  $m_{\mathcal{L}_Q}(2) = 1$  if  $G$  is bipartite;
- (ii) For  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $2$ , both  $\frac{\lambda+2n-1+\sqrt{\lambda^2-2\lambda+4n^2-4n+1}}{2n}$  and  $\frac{\lambda+2n-1-\sqrt{\lambda^2-2\lambda+4n^2-4n+1}}{2n}$  are the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}_Q$  with  $m_{\mathcal{L}_Q}(\frac{\lambda+2n-1+\sqrt{\lambda^2-2\lambda+4n^2-4n+1}}{2n}) = m_{\mathcal{L}_Q}(\frac{\lambda+2n-1-\sqrt{\lambda^2-2\lambda+4n^2-4n+1}}{2n}) = m_{\mathcal{L}_G}(\lambda)$ ;
- (iii) If  $G$  is non-bipartite,  $m_{\mathcal{L}_Q}(\frac{1}{n}) = E_0 - N_0$ ;
- (iv) If  $G$  is bipartite,  $m_{\mathcal{L}_Q}(\frac{1}{n}) = E_0 - N_0 + 1$ ;
- (v)  $m_{\mathcal{L}_Q}(\frac{2n-1}{n}) = E_0 - N_0 + 1$ ;
- (vi)  $m_{\mathcal{L}_Q}(1) = (2n - 4)E_0 + N_0$ .

**Proof:** (i) It is obvious from Lemma 2.1.

(ii) Assume  $x$  is the eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{L}_Q$  and  $x \neq \frac{1}{n}, 1$  and  $\frac{2n-1}{n}$ . By Lemma 3.1, we have that  $\lambda = \frac{x(2n-nx-1)}{1-x}$ , for  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $2$ . Thus  $x = \frac{\lambda+2n-1 \pm \sqrt{\lambda^2-2\lambda+4n^2-4n+1}}{2n}$ .

Since each of the eigenvalues  $\lambda$  ( $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{n}, 1$  and  $\frac{2n-1}{n}$ ) and its multiplicity in  $Q^{(n)}(G)$  have been determined in the statement above, here we only need to consider the eigenvalues  $\lambda \in \{\frac{1}{n}, 1, \frac{2n-1}{n}\}$ .

Let  $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{N_1})^T$  be the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $\mathcal{L}_Q$ . Let  $e \in E(G)$  with end vertices  $s$  and  $t$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , substituting  $\lambda = \frac{1}{n}$  into Eqns. (5) and (6), we have  $v_{p_1^e} = v_{p_2^e}$ . By Eqns. (7) and (8), we can get  $v_{q_1^e} = v_{q_2^e}$ . Easily, we have

$$v_{p_1^e} = v_{p_2^e} = \dots = v_{p_{n-1}^e}$$

and

$$v_{q_1^e} = v_{q_2^e} = \dots = v_{q_{n-1}^e}.$$

For convenience, let  $v_{p_1^e} = x_p, v_{q_1^e} = x_q$ . When  $\lambda = \frac{1}{n}$ , according to (3)(4)(5) and (7), we have

$$(15) \quad (n-1)v_s = \sum_{t \in N_s} \frac{v_t}{\sqrt{d_s d_t}} + (n-1) \sum_{e \in E(G) \text{ is incident with } s} \frac{x_q}{\sqrt{d_s}},$$

$$(n-1)v_t = \sum_{s \in N_t} \frac{v_s}{\sqrt{d_t d_s}} + (n-1) \sum_{e \in E(G) \text{ is incident with } t} \frac{x_p}{\sqrt{d_t}},$$

$$(16) \quad (n-1)x_p = \frac{v_t}{\sqrt{d_t}} + (n-1)x_q$$

and

$$(17) \quad (n-1)x_q = \frac{v_s}{\sqrt{d_s}} + (n-1)x_p.$$

Combining Eqns. (16) and (17), we get

$$(18) \quad \frac{v_s}{\sqrt{d_s}} = -\frac{v_t}{\sqrt{d_t}}, \quad s \in V_O, \quad t \in N_s.$$

(iii) Let  $G$  be non-bipartite. Take an odd cycle  $C$  of length  $h$  with vertices  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_h$  in turn.

By Eqn. (18), we have

$$\frac{v_{i_1}}{\sqrt{d_{s_1}}} = -\frac{v_{s_2}}{\sqrt{d_{s_2}}} = \dots = \frac{v_{i_h}}{\sqrt{d_{s_h}}} = -\frac{v_{s_1}}{\sqrt{d_{s_1}}},$$

which implies that  $v_{s_k} = 0$  for any  $s_k$ , and hence we have

$$(19) \quad v_s = 0 \text{ for all } s \in V_O.$$

Together with Eqns. (15) and (16), we have that

$$(20) \quad \sum_{e \in E(G) \text{ is incident with } s} x_q = 0, \text{ for all } s \in V_O$$

and

$$(21) \quad x_p = x_q, \text{ for all } e \in E(G).$$

Therefore, the eigenvectors  $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{N_1})^T$  corresponding to  $\lambda = \frac{1}{n}$  can be determined by Eqns. (19)(20)(21). According to the construction of  $Q^{(n)}(G)$ , let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_q)^T$  be the  $E_0$  dimensional vector. From Eqns. (19)(20)(21), we have  $B\mathbf{x} = 0$ . According to Lemma 2.2, the basic solution system contains  $E_0 - N_0$  linearly independent elements, so we have  $m_{\mathcal{L}_Q}(\frac{1}{n}) = E_0 - N_0$ .



(iv) Let  $G$  be bipartite. Combining Eqn. (18) and Eqn. (15), we have

$$(22) \quad \frac{n}{n-1} \sqrt{d_s} v_s = \sum_{e \in E(G) \text{ is incident with } s} x_q, \quad s \in V_0.$$

Let  $\frac{mv_1}{(n-1)\sqrt{d_1}} = w_1$ . Denote by  $X$  and  $Y$  the partite sets of the graph  $G$  and without loss of generality, let  $1 \in X$ . Then from Eqn. (18), we have that  $\frac{m v_s}{(n-1)\sqrt{d_s}} = w_1$  if  $s \in X$ , and  $\frac{m v_s}{(n-1)\sqrt{d_s}} = -w_1$  if  $s \in Y$ . According to Eqn. (22), we have that

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{e \in E(G) \text{ is incident with } s} x_q - d_s w_1 &= 0, \text{ if } s \in X, \\ \sum_{e \in E(G) \text{ is incident with } s} x_q + d_s w_1 &= 0, \text{ if } s \in Y. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the eigenvectors  $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{N_1})^T$  corresponding to  $\lambda = \frac{1}{n}$  can be determined by Eqns. (10)(18) and (23). According to the definition of  $Q^{(n)}(G)$ , let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_q)^T$  be the  $E_0$  dimensional vector.

For convenience, assume that the first  $|X|$  rows in the incident matrix  $B$  of  $G$  correspond to the vertices in  $X$ . Hence the matrix  $B$  can be written as  $B = \begin{pmatrix} B_X \\ B_Y \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $D_X$  and  $D_Y$  denote the volume vectors which consist of degree sequences of vertices of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. We denote matrix  $C$  by

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} B_X & -D_X \\ B_Y & D_Y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence Eqns. (10)(18) and (23) are obviously equivalent to  $C \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ w_1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$ .

By Lemma 2.2, the rank of  $B$  is  $N_0 - 1$  when  $G$  is bipartite. We denote the volume vectors of  $C$  by  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{E_0}, \mathbf{e}_0$  from left to right. Assume that  $\mathbf{e}_0$  is linearly related to the  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{E_0}$ , it means that, there exist constants  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{E_0}$  making the followed formula true,

$$(24) \quad \mathbf{e}_0 = c_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + \dots + c_{E_0} \mathbf{e}_{E_0}.$$

For every volume of  $C$ , there are two entries 1 in  $B_X$  and  $B_Y$ , respectively. From Eqn. (24), we have  $c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_{E_0} = \sum_{i=1}^{|X|} -d_s$  and  $c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_{E_0} = \sum_{i=|X|+1}^{N_0} d_s$ . This implies that

$\sum_{i=1}^{|X|} (-d_s) = \sum_{i=|X|+1}^{N_0} d_s$ . However,  $d_s > 0$  for each  $s = 1, 2, \dots, N_0$ . Hence it is obvious that

$\sum_{i=1}^{|X|} -d_s = \sum_{i=|X|+1}^{N_0} d_s$  is impossible. Thus we get a contradiction. So  $\mathbf{e}_0$  and  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{E_0}$  are linearly independent, i.e., the rank of matrix  $C$  is  $r(C) = r(B) + 1 = N_0$ .

Therefore, the basic solution space for  $C\left(\frac{\mathbf{X}}{w_1}\right) = 0$  contains  $E_0 - N_0 + 1$  linearly independent elements when  $G$  is bipartite, i.e.,  $m_{\mathcal{L}_Q}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = E_0 - N_0 + 1$ .

(v) For  $n \geq 2$ , substituting  $\lambda = \frac{2n-1}{n}$  into Eqns. (5) and (6), we have  $v_{p_1^e} = v_{p_2^e}$ . By Eqns. (7) and (8), we can get  $v_{q_1^e} = v_{q_2^e}$ . Easily, we have

$$v_{p_1^e} = v_{p_2^e} = \dots = v_{p_{n-1}^e}$$

and

$$v_{q_1^e} = v_{q_2^e} = \dots = v_{q_{n-1}^e}.$$

For convenience, let  $v_{p_1^e} = x_p, v_{q_1^e} = x_q$ . When  $\lambda = \frac{2n-1}{n}$ , according to (3)(4)(5) and (7), we have

$$(25) \quad (1-n)v_s = \sum_{t \in N_s} \frac{v_t}{\sqrt{d_s d_t}} + (n-1) \sum_{e \in E(G) \text{ is incident with } s} \frac{x_q}{\sqrt{d_s}},$$

$$(1-n)v_t = \sum_{s \in N_t} \frac{v_s}{\sqrt{d_t d_s}} + (n-1) \sum_{e \in E(G) \text{ is incident with } t} \frac{x_p}{\sqrt{d_t}},$$

$$(26) \quad (1-n)x_p = \frac{v_t}{\sqrt{d_t}} + (n-1)x_q$$

and

$$(27) \quad (1-n)x_q = \frac{v_s}{\sqrt{d_s}} + (n-1)x_p.$$

Combining Eqns. (26) and (27), we get

$$(28) \quad \frac{v_s}{\sqrt{d_s}} = \frac{v_t}{\sqrt{d_t}}, s \in V_O, t \in N_s.$$

Let  $\frac{v_s}{\sqrt{d_s}} = w_2$ . Substituting Eqn. (28) into Eqns. (25) and (26), we have

$$(29) \quad \sum_{e \in E(G) \text{ is incident with } s} x_q = \frac{n}{1-n} w_2 d_s, \quad s \in V_O.$$

and

$$(30) \quad x_p + x_q = \frac{w_2}{1-n}, \text{ for all } e \in E(G).$$

According to Eqn. (30), we have

$$(31) \quad \sum_{s \in V_O} \sum_{t \in N_s} x_q = (n-1) \sum_{e \in E(G)} (x_p + x_q) = -w_2 E_0.$$

On the other hand, using Eqn. (29), we also have

$$(32) \quad \sum_{s \in V_O} \sum_{t \in N_s} x_q = \frac{n}{1-n} w_2 \sum_{s \in V_O} d_s = \frac{2nw_2 E_0}{1-n}$$

Thus, we have  $w_2 = 0$ , which means that  $v_s = 0$  for any  $s \in V_O$ . So,  $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{N_1})^T$  respect to  $\lambda = \frac{2n-1}{n}$  can be completely obtained by equations below

$$(33) \quad v_s = 0, \quad s \in V_O,$$

$$(34) \quad \sum_{e \in E(G) \text{ is incident with } s} x_q = 0$$

and

$$(35) \quad x_p + x_q = 0.$$

We can describe the adjacency matrix of  $Q^{(n)}(G)$  as

$$A_Q = \begin{pmatrix} A_G & \overbrace{B_1 \cdots B_1}^{n-1} & \overbrace{B_2 \cdots B_2}^{n-1} \\ B_1^T & 0 \cdots 0 & I_{E_0} \cdots I_{E_0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ B_1^T & 0 \cdots 0 & I_{E_0} \cdots I_{E_0} \\ B_2^T & 0 \cdots 0 & I_{E_0} \cdots I_{E_0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ B_2^T & 0 \cdots 0 & I_{E_0} \cdots I_{E_0} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $I_{E_0}$  is an  $E_0 \times E_0$  identity matrix. It is routine to check that  $B_1 + B_2 = B$ ,  $B_1 B_2^T + B_2 B_1^T = A_G$  and  $B_1 B_1^T + B_2 B_2^T = D_G$ .

From Eqns. (33)(34) and (35), we have  $(B_1 - B_2)x = 0$ . Combining Lemma (2.3), we have  $r(B_1 - B_2) = r[(B_1 - B_2)(B_1 - B_2)^T] = r[(B_1 B_1^T + B_2 B_2^T) - (B_1 B_2^T + B_2 B_1^T)] = r(D_G - A_G) = r(L_G) = N_0 - 1$ . So the basic solution space contains  $E_0 - N_0 + 1$  linearly independent elements, i.e.,  $m_{\mathcal{L}_Q}(\frac{2n-1}{n}) = E_0 - N_0 + 1$ .

(vi) For  $n \geq 2$  and  $\lambda = 1$ , from Eqns. (5) and (7), it is clear that

$$v_{q_1^e} + v_{q_2^e} + \dots + v_{q_{n-1}^e} + \frac{v_t}{\sqrt{d_t}} = 0$$

and

$$v_{p_1^e} + v_{p_2^e} + \dots + v_{p_{n-1}^e} + \frac{v_s}{\sqrt{d_s}} = 0.$$

For convenience, for each edge  $e_i \in E(G)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, E_0$ , denote by  $s_i$  and  $t_i$  the end vertices of  $e_i$ . So, we have the following linear equation system

$$(36) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_{q_1^{e_1}} + v_{q_2^{e_1}} + v_{q_3^{e_1}} + \dots + v_{q_{n-1}^{e_1}} + \frac{v_{t_1^{e_1}}}{\sqrt{d_{t_1^{e_1}}}} = 0, \\ v_{q_1^{e_2}} + v_{q_2^{e_2}} + v_{q_3^{e_2}} + \dots + v_{q_{n-1}^{e_2}} + \frac{v_{t_2^{e_2}}}{\sqrt{d_{t_2^{e_2}}}} = 0, \\ \vdots \\ v_{q_1^{e_{E_0}}} + v_{q_2^{e_{E_0}}} + v_{q_3^{e_{E_0}}} + \dots + v_{q_{n-1}^{e_{E_0}}} + \frac{v_{t_{E_0}}}{\sqrt{d_{t_{E_0}}}} = 0. \\ v_{p_1^{e_1}} + v_{p_2^{e_1}} + v_{p_3^{e_1}} + \dots + v_{p_{n-1}^{e_1}} + \frac{v_{s_1^{e_1}}}{\sqrt{d_{s_1^{e_1}}}} = 0, \\ v_{p_1^{e_2}} + v_{p_2^{e_2}} + v_{p_3^{e_2}} + \dots + v_{p_{n-1}^{e_2}} + \frac{v_{s_2^{e_2}}}{\sqrt{d_{s_2^{e_2}}}} = 0, \\ \vdots \\ v_{p_1^{e_{E_0}}} + v_{p_2^{e_{E_0}}} + v_{p_3^{e_{E_0}}} + \dots + v_{p_{n-1}^{e_{E_0}}} + \frac{v_{s_{E_0}}}{\sqrt{d_{s_{E_0}}}} = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

The corresponding coefficient matrix contains the following  $2E_0 \times (2n - 2)E_0$  submatrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \underbrace{1 \ \dots \ 1}_{n-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \underbrace{1 \ \dots \ 1}_{n-1} & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & \vdots & & & & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & \underbrace{1 \ \dots \ 1}_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, the submatrix above is of rank  $2E_0$ . Hence the basic solution space for (36) contains  $(2n - 4)E_0 + N_0$  linearly independent elements. Therefore,  $m_{\mathcal{L}_Q}(1) = (2n - 4)E_0 + N_0$ .

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

#### 4. SOME APPLICATIONS

Let  $Q_0^{(n)}(G) = G$  and  $Q_r^{(n)}(G) = Q^{(n)}(Q_{r-1}^{(n)}(G))$  for  $r \geq 1$ . Denote the number of edges of  $Q_r^{(n)}(G) (r \geq 0)$  by  $E_r$ , and denote the number of vertices by  $N_r$ . By the construction of  $Q_r^{(n)}(G)$ , we have

$$E_r = n^2 E_{r-1}, \quad N_r = N_{r-1} + (2n - 2)E_{r-1}.$$

Hence

$$(37) \quad E_r = n^{2r} E_0, \quad N_r = N_0 + \frac{2(n^{2r} - 1)E_0}{n + 1}.$$

For convenience, for  $Q_r^{(n)}(G)$  and  $r \geq 0$ , we use  $\mathcal{L}_r$  and  $\sigma_r$  to denote the normalized Laplacian and its spectrum, respectively. From Theorem 3.2, we have the theorem next.

**Theorem 4.1.** For  $r \geq 2, n \geq 2$ ,

(i) if  $G$  is non-bipartite,

$$\sigma_r = \left\{ \frac{x + 2n - 1 \pm \sqrt{x^2 - 2x + 4n^2 - 4n + 1}}{2n} \mid x \in \sigma_{r-1} \setminus \{0\} \right\} \cup \left\{ 0, 1, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n} \right\},$$

where

$$m_{\mathcal{L}_r} \left( \frac{x + 2n - 1 \pm \sqrt{x^2 - 2x + 4n^2 - 4n + 1}}{2n} \right) = m_{\mathcal{L}_{r-1}}(x) \text{ for } x \in \sigma_{r-1} \setminus \{0\},$$

$$m_{\mathcal{L}_r}(0) = 1,$$

$$m_{\mathcal{L}_r}(1) = (2n - 4)E_{r-1} + N_{r-1},$$

$$m_{\mathcal{L}_r} \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) = E_{r-1} - N_{r-1}$$

$$\text{and } m_{\mathcal{L}_r} \left( \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) = E_{r-1} - N_{r-1} + 1.$$

(ii) if  $G$  is bipartite,

$$\sigma_r = \left\{ \frac{x + 2n - 1 \pm \sqrt{x^2 - 2x + 4n^2 - 4n + 1}}{2n} \mid x \in \sigma_{r-1} \setminus \{0, 2\} \right\} \cup \left\{ 0, 1, 2, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n} \right\},$$

where

$$m_{\mathcal{L}_r} \left( \frac{x + 2n - 1 \pm \sqrt{x^2 - 2x + 4n^2 - 4n + 1}}{2n} \right) = m_{\mathcal{L}_{r-1}}(x) \text{ for } x \in \sigma_{r-1} \setminus \{0, 2\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_{\mathcal{L}_r}(0) &= 1, \\
 m_{\mathcal{L}_r}(1) &= (2n - 4)E_{r-1} + N_{r-1}, \\
 m_{\mathcal{L}_r}(2) &= 1, \quad m_{\mathcal{L}_r}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = E_{r-1} - N_{r-1} + 1 \\
 \text{and } m_{\mathcal{L}_r}\left(\frac{2n-1}{n}\right) &= E_{r-1} - N_{r-1} + 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4.2.** For  $r \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (38) \quad Kf^*(Q_r^{(n)}(G)) &= (2n - 1)^r n^{2r} Kf^*(G) + \frac{4n^{2r}(n(3n - 1)n^{2r} - (n - 1)^2 - (2n^2 + n - 1)(2n - 1)^r)}{(n - 1)(n + 1)(2n - 1)} E_0^2 \\
 &\quad - \frac{2(n - 1)n^{2r}((2n - 1)^r - 1)}{2n - 1} E_0 N_0 - \frac{n^{2r}((2n - 1)^r - 1)}{2n - 1} E_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** Since  $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{N_0}$ . Whether  $G$  is bipartite or not, from Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 2.4, we have (i)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (39) \quad Kf^*(Q^{(n)}(G)) &= 2E_1 \left[ \sum_{i=2}^{N_0} \left( \frac{1}{f_1(\lambda_s)} + \frac{1}{f_2(\lambda_s)} \right) + N_0 + (2n - 4)E_0 + n(E_0 - N_0) + \frac{n}{2n - 1}(E_0 - N_0 + 1) \right] \\
 &= 2n^2 E_0 \sum_{i=2}^{N_0} \left( 1 + \frac{2n - 1}{\lambda_s} \right) + 2n^2 E_0 \left( \frac{6n^2 - 10n + 4}{2n - 1} E_0 - \frac{2n^2 - 2n + 1}{2n - 1} N_0 + \frac{n}{2n - 1} \right) \\
 &= (2n - 1)n^2 Kf^*(G) + \frac{4n^2(n - 1)(3n - 2)}{2n - 1} E_0^2 - \frac{4n^2(n - 1)^2}{2n - 1} E_0 N_0 - \frac{2n^2(n - 1)}{2n - 1} E_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Eqns. (37) and (39) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 Kf^*(Q_r^{(n)}(G)) &= (2n - 1)n^2 Kf^*(Q_{r-1}^{(n)}(G)) + \frac{4n^2(n - 1)(3n - 2)}{2n - 1} E_{r-1}^2 - \frac{4n^2(n - 1)^2}{2n - 1} E_{r-1} N_{r-1} - \frac{2n^2(n - 1)}{2n - 1} E_{r-1}. \\
 &= (2n - 1)^r n^{2r} Kf^*(G) + \frac{4(3n - 2)n^{2r}(n^{2r} - (2n - 1)^r)}{(n - 1)(2n - 1)} E_0^2 - \frac{2(n - 1)n^{2r}((2n - 1)^r - 1)}{2n - 1} E_0 N_0 \\
 &\quad + \frac{4n^{2r}(n - 1)((2n - 1)^{r-1} - 1)}{(n + 1)(2n - 1)} E_0^2 - \frac{8n^{2r+2}(n^{2r-2} - (2n - 1)^{r-1})}{(n + 1)(2n - 1)} E_0^2 - \frac{n^{2r}((2n - 1)^r - 1)}{2n - 1} E_0 \\
 &= (2n - 1)^r n^{2r} Kf^*(G) + \frac{4n^{2r}(n(3n - 1)n^{2r} - (n - 1)^2 - (2n^2 + n - 1)(2n - 1)^r)}{(n - 1)(n + 1)(2n - 1)} E_0^2 \\
 &\quad - \frac{2(n - 1)n^{2r}((2n - 1)^r - 1)}{2n - 1} E_0 N_0 - \frac{n^{2r}((2n - 1)^r - 1)}{2n - 1} E_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

**Theorem 4.3.** For  $r \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_e(Q_r^{(n)}(G)) &= (2n - 1)^r K_e(G) + \frac{2(n(3n - 1)n^{2r} - (n - 1)^2 - (2n^2 + n - 1)(2n - 1)^r)}{(n - 1)(n + 1)(2n - 1)} E_0 \\
 &\quad - \frac{(n - 1)((2n - 1)^r - 1)}{2n - 1} N_0 - \frac{(2n - 1)^r - 1}{2(2n - 1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:**

By Eqns. (37) and (38) and Lemma 2.4 (iv), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_e(Q_r^{(n)}(G)) &= \frac{1}{2E_r} Kf^*(Q_r^{(n)}(G)) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2n^{2r}E_0} ((2n-1)^r n^{2r} Kf^*(G) + \frac{4n^{2r}(n(3n-1)n^{2r} - (n-1)^2 - (2n^2+n-1)(2n-1)^r)}{(n-1)(n+1)(2n-1)} E_0^2 \\
 &\quad - \frac{2(n-1)n^{2r}((2n-1)^r - 1)}{2n-1} E_0 N_0 - \frac{n^{2r}((2n-1)^r - 1)}{2n-1} E_0) \\
 &= (2n-1)^r K_e(G) + \frac{2(n(3n-1)n^{2r} - (n-1)^2 - (2n^2+n-1)(2n-1)^r)}{(n-1)(n+1)(2n-1)} E_0 \\
 &\quad - \frac{(n-1)((2n-1)^r - 1)}{2n-1} N_0 - \frac{(2n-1)^r - 1}{2(2n-1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

**Theorem 4.4.** For  $r \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\tau(Q_r^{(n)}(G)) = n^{(2n-4)s_1+2s_2-2r} \cdot (2n-1)^{s_1-s_2+r} \cdot \tau(G),$$

where  $s_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} E_i = \frac{n^{2r}-1}{n^2-1} E_0$ , and  $s_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} N_i = rN_0 + \frac{2}{n+1} (\frac{n^{2r}-1}{n^2-1} - r) E_0$ .

**Proof:** For  $Q^{(n)}(G)$ , assume that  $0 = \lambda'_1 < \lambda'_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda'_{N_1}$ . Whether  $G$  is bipartite or not, according to Lemma 2.4 (iii), we obtain that

$$(40) \quad \frac{\tau(Q^{(n)}(G))}{\tau(G)} = \frac{n^{N_0+(2n-2)E_0-2} \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{N_1} \lambda'_i}{\prod_{i=2}^{N_0} \lambda_s}.$$

And we can get by Theorem 3.2

$$\begin{aligned}
 \prod_{i=2}^{N_1} \lambda'_i &= \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{E_0-N_0} \cdot \left(\frac{2n-1}{n}\right)^{E_0-N_0+1} \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{N_0} f_1(\lambda_s) f_2(\lambda_s) \\
 (41) \quad &= \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{E_0-N_0} \cdot \left(\frac{2n-1}{n}\right)^{E_0-N_0+1} \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{N_0} \frac{\lambda_s}{n} \\
 &= \frac{(2n-1)^{E_0-N_0+1}}{n^{2E_0-N_0}} \prod_{i=2}^{N_0} \lambda_s.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Eqns. (40) and (41), we have

$$\tau(Q^{(n)}(G)) = n^{(2n-4)E_0+2N_0-2} \cdot (2n-1)^{E_0-N_0+1} \cdot \tau(G).$$

And from the recursive relation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(Q_r^{(n)}(G)) &= n^{(2n-4)E_{r-1}+2N_{r-1}-2}(2n-1)^{E_{r-1}-N_{r-1}+1}\tau(Q_{r-1}^{(n)}(G)) \\ &= n^{(2n-4)\sum_{i=0}^{r-1}E_i+2\sum_{i=0}^{r-1}N_i-2r}(2n-1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{r-1}E_i-\sum_{i=0}^{r-1}N_i+r}\tau(G) \\ &= n^{(2n-4)s_1+2s_2-2r}(2n-1)^{s_1-s_2+r}\tau(G).\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

### CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

### REFERENCES

- [1] R.B. Bapat, *Graphs and Matrices*. Springer, New York, 2010.
- [2] S. Butler, Algebraic aspects of the normalized Laplacian, in: A. Beveridge, J.R. Griggs, L. Hogben, G. Musiker, P. Tetali (Eds.), *Recent Trends in Combinatorics*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016: pp. 295-315.
- [3] H. Chen, F. Zhang, Resistance distance and the normalized Laplacian spectrum, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 155 (2007), 654-661.
- [4] F.R. Chung, *Spectral Graph Theory*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [5] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, S. Simić, *An introduction to the theory of graph spectra*, in: *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*, Cambridge University, London, 2010.
- [6] J. Huang, S.C. Li, The normalized Laplacians on both k-triangle graph and k-quadrilateral graph with their applications, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 320 (2018), 213-225.
- [7] C.Y. Wang, Z.L. Guo, S.C. Li, Expected hitting times for random walks on the k-triangle graph and their applications, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 338 (2018), 698-710.
- [8] P.C. Xie, Z.Z. Zhang, F. Comellas, On the spectrum of the normalized Laplacian of iterated triangulations of graphs, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 273 (2016), 1123-1129.