



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 11 (2021), No. 4, 4216-4228

<https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/5795>

ISSN: 1927-5307

## $(1 + \vartheta)$ -CONSTACYCLIC CODES OVER $\mathbb{Z}_8 + \vartheta\mathbb{Z}_8$

SWATI KHARUB\*, DALIP SINGH

Department of Mathematics, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak 124001, India

Copyright © 2021 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract.** In this paper,  $(1 + \vartheta)$ -constacyclic codes of arbitrary length  $m$  over a non-chain finite local Frobenius ring  $\mathbb{Z}_8 + \vartheta\mathbb{Z}_8$  are introduced. A new Gray map is constructed from  $\mathbb{Z}_8 + \vartheta\mathbb{Z}_8$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_8^8$  and proved that the  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ -Gray image of  $(1 + \vartheta)$ -constacyclic codes having prescribed length  $m$  over the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_8 + \vartheta\mathbb{Z}_8$  is a cyclic code of length  $8m$  over the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ . Moreover, it has been obtained that the binary image of the  $(1 + \vartheta)$ -constacyclic code of length  $m$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_8 + \vartheta\mathbb{Z}_8$  is a distance invariant binary quasi-cyclic code of length  $32m$  with index 16.

**Keywords:** constacyclic code; gray map; distance invariant; cyclic code; quasi-cyclic code.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 94B05, 94B15.

### 1. BACKGROUND

Many optimal binary linear codes have been studied from codes over several new classes of rings via some Gray map. Over the ring  $F_2 + uF_2 + vF_2 + uvF_2$ , linear codes are discussed in [1], self dual codes in [2], cyclic codes in [3] and  $(1 + u)$ -constacyclic codes are described in [4] alongwith the construction of many optimal binary linear codes. More generally, cyclic codes over the ring  $R_k$  were investigated in [12]. The rings mentioned above are not finite chain rings, however have rich algebraic structures and produce binary codes with large automorphism

---

\*Corresponding author

E-mail address: [swatikharb0001@gmail.com](mailto:swatikharb0001@gmail.com)

Received April 01, 2021

groups and new binary self-dual codes. This demonstrates that the linear codes over such non-chain rings have been received increasing attention to the authors (see [10]-[12], [14]). More recently, linear codes over the non-chain ring  $\mathbb{Z}_4 + u\mathbb{Z}_4$ , where  $u^2 = 0$ , has been explored in [6]. Also, linear codes over the non-chain ring  $\mathbb{Z}_8 + u\mathbb{Z}_8$ , with  $u^2 = 0$ , were obtained in [14].  $(1 + u)$ -constacyclic codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_4 + u\mathbb{Z}_4$  and a class of constacyclic codes over  $F_p + uF_p$  and its gray image were studied in [7] and [13] respectively. Motivated by the work over the ring presented in [7] and [14], we focus on the construction of the constacyclic codes over the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_8 + \vartheta\mathbb{Z}_8$ , with  $\vartheta^2 = 0$  and intent to establish some good binary codes from such codes.

## 2. THE RING $\mathbb{Z}_8 + \vartheta\mathbb{Z}_8$

Throughout this paper, the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_8 + \vartheta\mathbb{Z}_8$  with  $\vartheta^2 = 0$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}$ . An arbitrary element  $a + \vartheta b$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $a$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ . The ring  $\mathcal{R}$  is a local Frobenius ring and a finite non-chain ring having total of 12 ideals defined as

S.No.	Ideals
1	$\mathcal{I}_0 = \{0\}$
2	$\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathbb{Z}_8 + \vartheta\mathbb{Z}_8$
3	$\mathcal{I}_2 = \{a + \vartheta b : a, b \in \{0, 2, 4, 6\}\}$
4	$\mathcal{I}_4 = \{0, 4, 4\vartheta, 4 + 4\vartheta\}$
5	$\mathcal{I}_\vartheta = \{a\vartheta : a \in \mathbb{Z}_8\}$
6	$\mathcal{I}_{2\vartheta} = \{a\vartheta : a \in \{0, 2, 4, 6\}\}$
7	$\mathcal{I}_{4\vartheta} = \{0, 4\vartheta\}$
8	$\mathcal{I}_{2+\vartheta} = \{0, 2\vartheta, 4\vartheta, 6\vartheta, 2 + \vartheta, 2 + 3\vartheta, 2 + 5\vartheta, 2 + 7\vartheta, 4, 4 + 2\vartheta, 4 + 4\vartheta, 4 + 6\vartheta, 6 + \vartheta, 6 + 3\vartheta, 6 + 5\vartheta, 6 + 7\vartheta\}$
9	$\mathcal{I}_{4+\vartheta} = \{0, 2\vartheta, 4\vartheta, 6\vartheta, 4 + \vartheta, 4 + 3\vartheta, 4 + 5\vartheta, 4 + 7\vartheta\}$
10	$\mathcal{I}_{4+2\vartheta} = \{0, 4\vartheta, 4 + 2\vartheta, 4 + 6\vartheta\}$
11	$\mathcal{I}_{4,\vartheta} = \{a + b\vartheta : a \in \{0, 4\}, b \in \mathbb{Z}_8\}$
12	$\mathcal{I}_{4,2\vartheta} = \{0, 4, 2\vartheta, 4\vartheta, 6\vartheta, 4 + 2\vartheta, 4 + 4\vartheta, 4 + 6\vartheta\}$

A non-empty subset  $\dot{A}$  over  $\mathcal{R}^m$  of length  $m$  is said to be a linear code, if it is an  $\mathcal{R}$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{R}^m$ .

Now, defining the mappings  $\omega$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\zeta$  from  $\mathcal{R}^m$  to  $\mathcal{R}^m$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{m-1}) &= (c_{m-1}, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{m-2}), \\ \gamma(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{m-1}) &= (-c_{m-1}, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{m-2}), \\ \zeta(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{m-1}) &= (\zeta c_{m-1}, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{m-2}).\end{aligned}$$

Here, the defined mappings  $\omega$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\zeta$  are known as the cyclic, negacyclic and constacyclic shift respectively. Moreover,  $\hat{A}$  is a cyclic code, negacyclic code and  $\zeta$ -constacyclic code if  $\omega(\hat{A}) = \hat{A}$ ,  $\gamma(\hat{A}) = \hat{A}$  and  $\zeta(\hat{A}) = \hat{A}$  respectively.

The polynomial representation of the codeword  $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{m-1})$  is  $c(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_{m-1}x^{m-1}$  and  $xc(x)$  corresponds to a  $\zeta$ -constacyclic shift of  $c(x)$  in the ring  $\mathcal{R}[x]/\langle x^m - \zeta \rangle$ . Thus,  $\zeta$ -constacyclic codes of length  $m$  over  $\mathcal{R}$  can be identified as ideals in the ring  $\mathcal{R}[x]/\langle x^m - \zeta \rangle$ . Thus, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.1.** *A subset  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{R}^m$  is a linear cyclic code of length  $m$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C}$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}_m = \mathcal{R}[x]/\langle x^m - 1 \rangle$ . A subset  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{R}^m$  is a linear  $(1 + \vartheta)$ -constacyclic code of length  $m$  over  $\mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C}$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{B}_m = \mathcal{R}[x]/\langle x^m - 1 - \vartheta \rangle$ .*

A unique set of generators for cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  are discussed in the next lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a cyclic code of length  $m$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ . Then,*

(1) *If  $m$  is odd then,  $\mathcal{C} = \langle g(x), 4a(x) \rangle = \langle g(x) + 4a(x) \rangle$ , where  $g(x)$ ,  $a(x)$  are binary polynomials with  $a(x)|g(x)|(x^m - 1) \pmod{2}$ .*

(2) *If  $m$  is even, then*

(i) *If  $g(x) = a(x)$  then,  $\mathcal{C} = \langle g(x), 4a(x) \rangle = \langle g(x) + 4a(x) \rangle$ , where  $g(x)$ ,  $a(x)$  are the binary polynomials with  $g(x)|(x^m - 1) \pmod{2}$ , and  $g(x)|p(x)\frac{(x^m - 1)}{g(x)}$ .*

(ii)  *$\mathcal{C} = \langle g(x) + 4p(x), 4a(x) \rangle$ , where  $g(x)$ ,  $a(x)$  and  $p(x)$  are the binary polynomials with  $a(x)|g(x)|(x^m - 1) \pmod{2}$ ,  $a(x)|p(x)\frac{(x^m - 1)}{g(x)}$  and  $\deg(g(x)) > \deg(a(x)) > \deg(p(x))$ .*

For a linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  of length  $m$  over  $\mathcal{R}$ , the two linear codes: Torsion code,  $Tor(\mathcal{C})$  and Residue code,  $Res(\mathcal{C})$  of length  $m$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  are defined as:

$$Tor(\mathcal{C}) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_8^m \mid \vartheta x \in \mathcal{C}\},$$

$$Res(\mathcal{C}) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_8^m \mid \exists y \in \mathbb{Z}_8^m : x + \vartheta y \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

The homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_8$  as  $\varphi(a + \vartheta b) = a$ , extends naturally to a ring homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{R}^m \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Z}_8[x]}{\langle x^m - 1 \rangle}$  defined as

$$\varphi(c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_{m-1}x^{m-1}) = \varphi(c_0) + \varphi(c_1)x + \dots + \varphi(c_{m-1})x^{m-1}.$$

Acting  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathcal{R}$ , define a ring homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Res(\mathcal{C})$  as  $\varphi(a + \vartheta b) = a$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_8$  with  $Ker\varphi \cong Tor(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\varphi(\mathcal{C}) = Res(\mathcal{C})$ .

By the application of first isomorphism theorem of finite groups,  $|C| = |Tor(\mathcal{C})||Res(\mathcal{C})|$ . Also, the image of  $\mathcal{C}$  under the map  $\varphi$  is a cyclic code of length  $m$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ .

Combining the above result with lemma 2.2, the set of generators for cyclic code of length  $m$  over  $\mathcal{R}$  can be obtained as provided in following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $(1 + \vartheta)$ -constacyclic code of length  $m$  over  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then*

(1) *If  $m$  is odd then,  $\mathcal{C} = \langle g_1(x), 4a_1(x) + \vartheta b(x), \vartheta(g_2(x) + 4a_2(x)) \rangle$ , where  $b(x)$  is a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}_8[x]$  and for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $g_i(x), a_i(x)$  are the binary polynomials with  $a_i(x) \mid g_i(x) \mid (x^m - 1) \pmod{2}$ .*

(2) *If  $m$  is even then,*

(i): *If  $g_i(x) = a_i(x)$  then,  $\mathcal{C} = \langle g_1(x) + 4p_1(x) + \vartheta d_x, \vartheta(g_2(x) + 4p_2(x)) \rangle$ , where  $b(x)$  is a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}_8[x]$ , and for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $g_i(x), a_i(x)$  are the binary polynomial with  $g_i(x) \mid (x^m - 1) \pmod{2}$ , and  $g_i(x) \mid p_i(x) \frac{(x^m - 1)}{g_i(x)}$ .*

(ii):  *$\mathcal{C} = \langle g_1(x) + 4p_1(x) + \vartheta e_1(x), 4a_1(x) + \vartheta e_2(x), \vartheta g_2(x) + 4\vartheta p_2(x), 4a_2(x) \rangle$ , where  $g(x), a(x)$  and  $p(x)$  are the binary polynomials with  $a(x) \mid g(x) \mid (x^m - 1) \pmod{2}$ ,  $a(x) \mid p(x) \frac{(x^m - 1)}{g(x)}$  and  $deg(g(x)) > deg(a(x)) > deg(p(x))$ .*

### 3. GRAY MAPS

#### Gray images of $(1 + \vartheta)$ -constacyclic codes over $\mathcal{R}$

The gray map  $\rho_1$  from  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$  defined as

$$\rho_1(z) = (q + r, r, p + r, q + r),$$

where  $z = p + 2q + 4r$  with  $p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , is a distance preserving map from  $\mathbb{Z}_8^m$  (Lee distance) to  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{4m}$  (Hamming distance) and can be extended to  $\mathbb{Z}_8^m$  as:  $\rho_1 : \mathbb{Z}_8^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^{4m}$  as

$$\rho_1(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) = (q_0 + r_0, \dots, q_{m-1} + r_{m-1}, r_0, \dots, r_{m-1}, p_0 + r_0, \dots, p_{m-1} + r_{m-1}, \\ q_0 + r_0, \dots, q_{m-1} + r_{m-1}).$$

Now, defining a new gray map  $\rho_2$  from  $\mathcal{R}^m$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_8^{8n}$  as

$$\rho_2(c) = (b + 7a, b + 6a, b + 5a, b + 4a, b + 3a, b + 2a, b + a, b),$$

where  $c = a + ub$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_8$  and can also be extended from  $\mathcal{R}^m$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  as

$$\rho_2(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{m-1}) = (b_0 + 7a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 7a_{m-1}, b_0 + 6a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 6a_{m-1}, b_0 + 5a_0, \\ \dots, b_{m-1} + 5a_{m-1}, b_0 + 4a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 4a_{m-1}, b_0 + 3a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} \\ + 3a_{m-1}, b_0 + 2a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 2a_{m-1}, b_0 + a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + a_{m-1}, b_0, \\ \dots, b_{m-1})$$

where  $c_i = a_i + \vartheta b_i$  and  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_8$ .

It is well known that the homogeneous weight has many applications for codes over finite rings and provides a good metric for the underlying ring in constructing superior codes. Next, a homogeneous weight on  $\mathcal{R}$  is defined after defining of the homogeneous weight on arbitrary finite ring  $\mathcal{K}$ .

**Definition 3.1.** A real valued function  $w$  on the finite ring  $\mathcal{K}$  is called a left homogeneous weight if  $w(0) = 0$  and the following holds:

- (1) For all  $x, y \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}x = \mathcal{K}y$  implies  $w(x) = w(y)$ .

(2) There exists a real number  $\gamma$  such that

$$\sum_{y \in \mathcal{K}(x)} w(y) = \gamma|\mathcal{K}(x)| \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{K} \setminus \{0\}.$$

The Right homogeneous weight can be defined in a similar manner and if weight is both left homogeneous and right homogeneous, it is known as a homogeneous weight. For any element  $c = a + \vartheta b \in \mathcal{R}$ ; the homogeneous weight denoted by  $w_{hom}(c)$ , as  $w_L(b + 7a, b + 6a, \dots, b + a, b)$ . By simple calculations the weight of any element  $c = a + \vartheta b \in \mathcal{R}$  is:

$$w_{hom}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } c = 0, \\ 8 & \text{if } c = \vartheta, 7\vartheta, \\ 24 & \text{if } c = 3\vartheta, 5\vartheta, \\ 32 & \text{if } c = 4\vartheta, \\ 16 & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that, the above defined weight meets the conditions of the Definition 3.1, hence it is actually a homogeneous weight on  $\mathcal{R}$ . The homogeneous distance of a linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathcal{R}$ , denoted by  $d_{hom}(\mathcal{C})$ , is defined as the minimum homogeneous weight of the non-zero codewords of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

The map  $\rho_2$  is a distance preserving map from  $\mathcal{R}^m$ (homogeneous distance) to  $\mathbb{Z}_8^{8m}$ (Lee distance). Thus, we have the following three distance preserving maps:

$$\rho_1 : (\mathbb{Z}_8^m, \text{Lee Distance}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_2^{4m}, \text{Hamming Distance})$$

$$\rho_2 : (\mathcal{R}^m, \text{Homogeneous Distance}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_8^{8m}, \text{Lee Distance})$$

$$\rho = \rho_1\rho_2 : (\mathcal{R}^m, \text{Homogeneous Distance}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_2^{32m}, \text{Hamming Distance})$$

#### 4. (1 + ϑ)-CONSTACYCLIC CODES

The following theorem defined a result on the above defined map  $\rho_2$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $\zeta$  is a (1 + ϑ) - constacyclic shift on  $\mathcal{R}^m$ ,  $\varpi$  is a cyclic shift on  $\mathbb{Z}_8^{8m}$  and  $\rho_2$  be a map defined as above, then  $\rho_2\zeta = \varpi\rho_2$ .*

*Proof.* If  $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{m-1}) \in \mathcal{R}^m$  where  $c_i = a_i + \vartheta b_i$  and  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_8$  for  $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ .

The definition of the map  $\rho_2$ , implies

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_2(c) = & (b_0 + 7a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 7a_{m-1}, b_0 + 6a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 6a_{m-1}, b_0 + 5a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} \\ & + 5a_{m-1}, b_0 + 4a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 4a_{m-1}, b_0 + 3a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 3a_{m-1}, b_0 + 2a_0, \\ & \dots, b_{m-1} + 2a_{m-1}, b_0 + a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + a_{m-1}, b_0, \dots, b_{m-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega\rho_2(c) = & (b_{m-1}, b_0 + 7a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 7a_{m-1}, b_0 + 6a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 6a_{m-1}, b_0 + 5a_0, \dots, \\ & b_{m-1} + 5a_{m-1}, b_0 + 4a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 4a_{m-1}, b_0 + 3a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 3a_{m-1}, b_0 \\ & + 2a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 2a_{m-1}, b_0 + a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + a_{m-1}, b_0, \dots, b_{m-2}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(c) = & ((1 + \vartheta)c_{m-1}, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{m-2}) \\ = & ((1 + \vartheta)(a_{m-1} + \vartheta b_{m-1}), a_0 + \vartheta b_0, a_1 + \vartheta b_1, \dots, a_{m-2} + \vartheta b_{m-2}), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_2\zeta(c) = & (b_{m-1} + a_{m-1} + 7a_{m-1}, b_0 + 7a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 7a_{m-1}, b_0 + 6a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 6a_{m-1}, \\ & b_0 + 5a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 5a_{m-1}, b_0 + 4a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 4a_{m-1}, b_0 + 3a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} \\ & + 3a_{m-1}, b_0 + 2a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 2a_{m-1}, b_0 + a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + a_{m-1}, b_0, \dots, b_{m-2}) \\ = & (b_{m-1}, b_0 + 7a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 7a_{m-1}, b_0 + 6a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 6a_{m-1}, b_0 + 5a_0, \dots, \\ & b_{m-1} + 5a_{m-1}, b_0 + 4a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 4a_{m-1}, b_0 + 3a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + 3a_{m-1}, b_0 + 2a_0, \\ & \dots, b_{m-1} + 2a_{m-1}, b_0 + a_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + a_{m-1}, b_0, \dots, b_{m-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the result follows. □

**Theorem 4.2.** A linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  of length  $m$  over  $\mathcal{R}$  is a  $(1 + \vartheta)$ -constacyclic code if and only if  $\rho_2(\mathcal{C})$  is a cyclic code of length  $8m$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ .

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $(1 + \vartheta)$ -constacyclic code, then Theorem 4.1 implies

$$\omega(\rho_2(\mathcal{C})) = \rho_2(\zeta(\mathcal{C})) = \rho_2(\mathcal{C}).$$

Hence,  $\rho_2(\mathcal{C})$  is a cyclic code of length  $8m$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ . Further, if  $\rho_2(c)$  is a cyclic code of length  $8m$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ , then use Theorem 4.1 to obtain

$$\rho_2(\zeta(\mathcal{C})) = \varpi(\rho_2(\mathcal{C})) = \rho_2(\mathcal{C})$$

Since,  $\rho_2$  is an injective mapping, therefore  $\zeta(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$  and hence, the result holds. □

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of above theorem.

**Corollary 4.3.** *The image of  $(1 + \vartheta)$ -constacyclic code of length  $m$  over  $\mathcal{R}$  under the map  $\rho_2$  is a distance invariant cyclic code of length  $8m$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ .*

If  $\varpi$  is a cyclic shift, then for a positive integer  $s$ , the quasi-shift  $\varpi_s$  is given by

$$\varpi_s(a^{(1)}|a^{(2)}|\dots|a^{(s)}) = (\varpi(a^{(1)})|\varpi(a^{(2)})|\dots|\varpi(a^{(s)})),$$

where  $a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots, a^{(s)} \in F_2^{(2m)}$  and " | " represents the usual vector concatenation. A binary quasi-cyclic code  $\mathcal{C}$  of index  $s$  and length  $2ms$  is a subset of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2^{2m})^s$  such that  $\varpi_s(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *If  $\zeta$  is a  $(1 + \vartheta)$ -constacyclic shift on  $\mathcal{R}^m$  and  $\rho$  be a mapping defined as above, then  $\rho\zeta = \varpi_{16}\rho$ .*

*Proof.* For  $r = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{m-1}) \in \mathcal{R}^m$ , where  $r_i = a_i + 2b_i + 4c_i + \vartheta d_i + 2\vartheta e_i + 4\vartheta f_i$ ,  $a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i, e_i, f_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$ . Then,



$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(r) = & (c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, b_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 \\
& + b_0 + c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, \\
& a_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 \\
& + b_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + b_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, b_0 + c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, b_{m-1} \\
& + c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, e_0 + f_0, \dots, e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + b_0 + c_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} \\
& + b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + b_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + b_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + c_0 + f_0, \\
& \dots, a_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, b_0 + c_0 + f_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} \\
& + f_{m-1}, b_0 + f_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, c_0 + f_0, \dots, c_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}, b_0 + c_0 \\
& + d_0 + f_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + b_0 + d_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + b_{m-1} \\
& + d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, c_0 + d_0 + f_0, \dots, c_{m-1} + d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + d_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} \\
& + d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + b_0 + c_0 + d_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, \\
& b_0 + d_0 + f_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + c_0 + d_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + d_{m-1} \\
& + f_{m-1}, d_0 + f_0, \dots, d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, b_0 + e_0 \\
& + f_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + b_0 + c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} \\
& + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} \\
& + c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + b_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + b_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, b_0 \\
& + c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, e_0 + f_0, \dots, e_{m-1} + f_{m-1})
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\omega}_{16}\rho(r) = & (b_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, b_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, \\
& b_{m-2} + e_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, a_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + b_0 + c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} \\
& + b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-2} + e_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, a_{m-1} \\
& + b_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, \\
& a_0 + b_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-2} + b_{m-2} + e_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, b_0 + c_0 + e_0 \\
& + f_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, e_0 + f_0, \dots, e_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, a_{m-1} + b_{m-1} \\
& + f_{m-1}, a_0 + b_0 + c_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + b_0 + f_0, \dots, \\
& a_{m-2} + b_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, a_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + c_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, \\
& a_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, b_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, b_0 + c_0 + f_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, \\
& b_0 + f_0, \dots, b_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, f_{m-1}, c_0 + f_0, \dots, c_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, f_0, \dots, f_{m-2}, a_{m-1} \\
& + b_{m-1} + d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, b_0 + c_0 + d_0 + f_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, \\
& a_0 + b_0 + d_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-2} + b_{m-2} + d_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, a_{m-1} + d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, c_0 \\
& + d_0 + f_0, \dots, c_{m-1} + d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + d_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-2} + d_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, \\
& b_{m-1} + d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + b_0 + c_0 + d_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + d_{m-1} \\
& + f_{m-1}, b_0 + d_0 + f_0, \dots, b_{m-2} + d_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + c_0 + d_0 \\
& + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, d_0 + f_0, \dots, d_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, b_{m-1} + e_{m-1} \\
& + f_{m-1}, c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, b_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, b_{m-2} + e_{m-2} \\
& + f_{m-2}, a_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + b_0 + c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} \\
& + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-2} + e_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, a_{m-1} + b_{m-1} + e_{m-1} \\
& + f_{m-1}, a_0 + c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + b_0 + e_0 + f_0, \\
& \dots, a_{m-2} + b_{m-2} + e_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, b_0 + c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, b_{m-1} \\
& + c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, e_0 + f_0, \dots, e_{m-2} + f_{m-2})
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(r) &= ((1 + \vartheta)r_{m-1}, r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{m-2}) \\ &= ((1 + \vartheta)(a_{m-1} + 2b_{m-1} + 4c_{m-1} + \vartheta d_{m-1} + 2\vartheta e_{m-1} + 4\vartheta f_{m-1}), r_0, \dots, r_{m-2}) \\ &= (a_{m-1} + 2b_{m-1} + 4c_{m-1} + \vartheta(a_{m-1} + d_{m-1}) + 2\vartheta(b_{m-1} + e_{m-1}) + 4\vartheta(c_{m-1} \\ &\quad + f_{m-1}), r_0, \dots, r_{m-2})\end{aligned}$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(\zeta(r)) &= (b_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, b_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, \\ &\quad b_{m-2} + e_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, a_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + b_0 + c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} \\ &\quad + b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-2} + e_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, a_{m-1} \\ &\quad + b_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, \\ &\quad a_0 + b_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-2} + b_{m-2} + e_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, b_0 + c_0 + e_0 \\ &\quad + f_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, e_0 + f_0, \dots, e_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, a_{m-1} + b_{m-1} \\ &\quad + f_{m-1}, a_0 + b_0 + c_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + b_0 + f_0, \dots, \\ &\quad a_{m-2} + b_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, a_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + c_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 \\ &\quad + f_0, \dots, a_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, b_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, b_0 + c_0 + f_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, b_0 \\ &\quad + f_0, \dots, b_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, f_{m-1}, c_0 + f_0, \dots, c_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, f_0, \dots, f_{m-2}, a_{m-1} \\ &\quad + b_{m-1} + d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, b_0 + c_0 + d_0 + f_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, \\ &\quad a_0 + b_0 + d_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-2} + b_{m-2} + d_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, a_{m-1} + d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, c_0 \\ &\quad + d_0 + f_0, \dots, c_{m-1} + d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + d_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-2} + d_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, \\ &\quad b_{m-1} + d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + b_0 + c_0 + d_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + d_{m-1} \\ &\quad + f_{m-1}, b_0 + d_0 + f_0, \dots, b_{m-2} + d_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + c_0 + d_0 + f_0, \\ &\quad \dots, a_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + d_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, d_0 + f_0, \dots, d_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, b_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, \\ &\quad c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, b_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, b_{m-2} + e_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, \\ &\quad a_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + b_0 + c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} \\ &\quad + f_{m-1}, a_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-2} + e_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, a_{m-1} + b_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, \\ &\quad a_0 + c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-1} + c_{m-1} + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, a_0 + b_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, a_{m-2} \\ &\quad + b_{m-2} + e_{m-2} + f_{m-2}, e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, b_0 + c_0 + e_0 + f_0, \dots, b_{m-1} + c_{m-1} \\ &\quad + e_{m-1} + f_{m-1}, e_0 + f_0, \dots, e_{m-2} + f_{m-2}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence the result. □

**Theorem 4.5.** *A linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  of length  $m$  over  $\mathcal{R}$  is a  $(1 + \vartheta)$ -constacyclic code if and only if  $\rho(\mathcal{C})$  is a binary quasi-cyclic code of length  $32m$  with index 16.*

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $(1 + \vartheta)$ -constacyclic code, then use of Theorem 4.4 gives,

$$\varpi_{16}(\rho(\mathcal{C})) = \rho(\zeta(\mathcal{C})) = \rho(\mathcal{C}),$$

which implies  $\rho(\mathcal{C})$  is a binary quasi-cyclic code of length  $32m$  with index 16, and again applying Theorem 4.4 to obtain

$$\rho(\zeta(\mathcal{C})) = \varpi_{16}(\rho(\mathcal{C})) = \rho(\mathcal{C}).$$

Further,  $\rho$  is an injective mapping and therefore,  $\zeta(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$ . □

From Theorem 4.5 and the definition of the map  $\rho$ , the following result holds immediately.

**Corollary 4.6.** *The image of a  $(1 + \vartheta)$ -constacyclic code of length  $m$  over  $\mathcal{R}$  under the map  $\rho$  is a distance invariant binary quasi-cyclic code of length  $32m$  with index 16.*

## CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. Yildiz, S. Karadeniz, Linear codes over  $F_2 + uF_2 + vF_2 + uvF_2$ , *Designs Codes Cryptogr.* 54 (2010), 61-81.
- [2] B. Yildiz, S. Karadeniz, Self-dual codes over  $F_2 + uF_2 + vF_2 + uvF_2$ , *J. Franklin Inst.* 347 (2010), 1888-1894.
- [3] B. Yildiz, S. Karadeniz, Cyclic codes over  $F_2 + uF_2 + vF_2 + uvF_2$ , *Designs Codes Cryptogr.* 58 (2011), 221-234.
- [4] B. Yildiz, S. Karadeniz,  $(1 + v)$ -constacyclic codes over  $F_2 + uF_2 + vF_2 + uvF_2$ , *J. Franklin Inst.* 348 (2011), 2625-2632.
- [5] B. Yildiz, N. Aydin, On cyclic codes over  $Z_4 + uZ_4$  and their  $Z_4$ -images, *Int. J. Inform. Coding Theory*, 2 (2014), 226-237.
- [6] B. Yildiz, S. Karadeniz, Linear codes over  $Z_4 + uZ_4$ : MacWilliams identities, pro-jections, and formally self-dual codes, *Finite Fields Appl.* 27 (2014), 24-40.
- [7] H. Yu, Y. Wang, M. Shi,  $(1+u)$ -Constacyclic codes over  $Z_4 + uZ_4$ , *SpringerPlus*, 5 (2016), 1325.
- [8] M. Greferath, M.E. O'Sullivan. On bounds for codes over Frobenius rings under homogeneous weights. *Discr. Math.* 289 (2004), 11-24.

- [9] M. Shi, S. Yang, S. Zhu, Good p-ary quasic-cyclic codes from cyclic codes over  $F_p + vF_p$ , *J. Syst. Sci. Complex.* 25 (2012), 375-384.
- [10] O. Prakash, S. Patel, S. Yadav, Reversible cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_q + u\mathbb{F}_q$ , ArXiv:1910.06830 [Cs, Math]. (2019).
- [11] S.H.I. Minjia. Optimal p-ary codes from constacyclic codes over a non-chain ring R. *Chin. J. Electron.* 23 (2014), 773-777.
- [12] S.T. Dougherty, S. Karadeniz, B. Yildiz. Cyclic codes over  $R_k$ , *Designs Codes Cryptogr.* 63 (2012), 113-126.
- [13] S. Zhu, L. Wang, A class of constacyclic codes over  $F_p + vF_p$  and its Gray image, *Discr. Math.* 311 (2011), 2677-2682.
- [14] W.S. Li, M.T. Yue, Z.S. Huang, Z.T. Li. Linear codes over the ring  $Z_8 + uZ_8$ , *DEStech Trans. Computer Sci. Eng. (IECE 2018)*, 311-315.