



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 11 (2021), No. 4, 4436-4453

<https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/5886>

ISSN: 1927-5307

(a, d) -TOTAL EDGE IRREGULARITY STRENGTH OF GRAPHS

K. MUTHUGURUPACKIAM*, R. PADMAPRIYA

Department of Mathematics, Rajah Serfoji Government College (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University),
Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu, India

Copyright © 2021 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. A new graph characteristic, (a, d) -total edge irregularity strength of graphs is introduced. (a, d) -edge irregular evaluations of some families of graphs has been made, upper and lower bounds of the above parameter are determined.

Keywords: irregular labeling; (a, d) -irregular labeling; irregularity strength.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 05C78.

1. INTRODUCTION

A graph *labeling* is a mapping $\sigma : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, h\}$ subject to certain conditions, if the domain \mathcal{D} is the set of vertices (or edges), then σ is called a *vertex labeling* (or an *edge labeling*). If \mathcal{D} is the set of vertices and edges, then σ is called a *total labeling*. For an edge h -labeling $\phi : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, h\}$, the associated weight of a vertex $x \in V(G)$ is $w_\phi(x) = \sum \phi(xy)$, where the sum is taken over all vertices y adjacent to x .

In 1988, Chartrand *et al.* [6] introduced edge h -labeling ϕ of a graph G such that $w_\phi(x) \neq w_\phi(y)$ for all vertices $x, y \in V(G)$ with $x \neq y$. Such labelings were called irregular assignments and the irregularity strength $s(G)$ of a graph G is known as the minimum h for which G has an

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: gurupackiam@yahoo.com.

Received April 18, 2021

irregular assignment using labels atmost h . Many authors were much attracted by this parameter and investigated the bounds of $s(G)$ [1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8]. Baca *et al.* [4] modified this irregularity strength and introduced the concept of total edge irregularity strength for a graph G . A total h -labeling $\psi : V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, h\}$ of a graph G is said to be an edge irregular total h -labeling if for each two distinct edges xy and $x'y'$ their weights $\psi(x) + \psi(xy) + \psi(y)$ and $\psi(x') + \psi(x'y') + \psi(y')$ are distinct. The minimum h for which the graph G has an edge irregular total h -labeling is called the total edge irregularity strength of G , denoted by $tes(G)$.

In [4], they have given the bounds of the total edge irregularity strength for all graphs and the result is as follows:

$$\left\lceil \frac{|E|+2}{3} \right\rceil \geq tes(G) \geq |E|,$$

where $|E|$ is the cardinality of the edgeset of a graph G . Ivanko and Jendrol [10] proved that

$$tes(T) = \max\left\{\left\lceil \frac{|E(T)|+2}{3} \right\rceil, \frac{\Delta(T)+1}{2}\right\}, \text{ where } T \text{ is a tree.}$$

Motivated by this parameter, Indra Rajasingh and Teresa Arockiamary Santiago were investigated and determined the exact value of this parameter for uniform theta graph in [9] and F. Salama determined the same for polar grid graph in [13]. Recently, Lucia Ratnasari *et al.* [11] found that the exact value of tes of an odd arithmetic book graph $B_n(C_{3,5,7,\dots,2n+1})$ of n sheets is equal to $\left\lceil \frac{n^2+n+3}{3} \right\rceil$ and tes of an even arithmetic book graph $B_n(C_{4,6,8,\dots,2n+2})$ is equal to $\left\lceil \frac{n^2+2n+3}{3} \right\rceil$. Also, Yeni Susanti *et al.* [14] determined the exact values of tes of staircase graphs and its related graphs.

Due to the involvement on the total irregularity strength of graphs, we introduce a new parameter, namely (a, d) -total edge irregularity strength of graphs.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph of order n and size m . A total h -labeling $\psi : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, h\}$ is called (a, d) -edge irregular labeling if there exists a bijective function $\sigma : E(G) \rightarrow \{a, a+d, a+2d, \dots, a+(m-1)d\}$ defined by $\sigma(xy) = \psi(x) + \psi(y) + \psi(xy)$ called arithmetic progression edge weight of the edge xy , where $a \geq 3, d > 1$.

We define the (a, d) -total edge irregularity strength of a graph G , denoted by $(a, d) - tes(G)$, as the minimum h for which G has a (a, d) -edge irregular h -labeling. Also, we define another parameter called (a, d) -total vertex irregularity strength of a graph G , denoted by $(a, d) - tvs(G)$ in [12]

The main aim of this paper is to show the bounds of the (a, d) -total edge irregularity strength and to determine the precise value of this parameter for some families of graphs.

2. (a, d) -EDGE IRREGULAR LABELING OF GRAPHS

The following theorem provides the upper and lower bounds of $(a, d) - tes(G)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph of order n and size q . For integers $a \geq 3$ and $d \geq 2$,*

$$\left\lceil \frac{a+(m-1)d}{3} \right\rceil \leq (a, d) - tes(G) \leq a - 2 + (m - 1)d.$$

Proof. The upper bound of $(a, d) - tes(G)$ can be obtained by assigning label 1 to all the vertices of G , further assign labels $a - 2, a - 2 + d, a - 2 + 2d, \dots, a - 2 + (m - 1)d$ to the edges of G at random.

Assume that the graph G has $(a, d) - edge$ irregular labeling τ . Thus the edge weights are $a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + (m - 1)d$. Since the heaviest weight $a + (m - 1)d$ is the sum of three labels, $(a, d) - tes(G) \geq \left\lceil \frac{a+(m-1)d}{3} \right\rceil$. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let P_n be a path of order $n \geq 3$. Then $(3, 2) - tes(P_n) = \left\lceil \frac{2n-1}{3} \right\rceil$.*

Proof. Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be the consecutive vertices of P_n . Define total labeling

$\tau_1 : V(P_n) \cup E(P_n) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, \left\lceil \frac{2n-1}{3} \right\rceil\}$ as follows:

$$\tau_1(v_{3i+1}) = 2i + 1, \quad \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{3} \right\rfloor,$$

$$\tau_1(v_{3i+2}) = 2i + 1, \quad \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{3} \right\rfloor,$$

$$\tau_1(v_{3i}) = 2i, \quad \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor,$$

$$\tau_1(v_{3i+1}v_{3i+2}) = 2i + 1, \quad \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{3} \right\rfloor,$$

$$\tau_1(v_{3i+2}v_{3i+3}) = 2i + 2, \quad \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \right\rfloor,$$

$$\tau_1(v_{3i+3}v_{3i+4}) = 2i + 2, \quad \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-4}{3} \right\rfloor.$$

Under the labeling τ_1 the edge weights are as follows:

$$w_{\tau_1}(v_i v_{i+1}) = 2i + 1, \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq n - 1.$$

The weights of the edges of P_n forms an arithmetic progression with common difference 2 and hence $(3,2) - tes(P_n) \leq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{3} \rceil$. Lemma 2.1 shows that $(3,2) - tes(P_n) \geq \lceil \frac{2n-1}{3} \rceil$, this concludes the proof. \square

Definition 2.3. The corona product $G_1 \odot G_2$ of two graphs G_1 and G_2 is a graph G obtained by taking one copy G_1 which has n vertices and n copies of G_2 and then joining i^{th} vertex of G_1 to every vertex in the i^{th} copy of G_2 .

Definition 2.4. A special type of graph $C(n,t)$ is defined by the corona product of the path P_n by tK_1 i.e., $C(n,t) = P_n \odot tK_1$.

Theorem 2.5. Let P_n be the path on n vertices, then $(3,2) - tes(C(n,t)) = \lceil \frac{n(2t+2)-1}{3} \rceil, n \geq 2$.

Proof. Let $C(n,t) = P_n \odot tK_1$ be the corona product of path P_n by tK_1 . Let $V(C(n,t)) = \{v_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{u_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq t\}$ and $E(C(n,t)) = \{v_i v_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\} \cup \{v_i u_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq t\}$ be the vertex set and edge set of $C(n,t)$. Define total labeling $\tau_2 : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \frac{n(2t+2)-1}{3} \rceil\}$ as follows:

$$\tau_2(v_i) = 1 + (i - 1)t, \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$\tau_2(v_i v_{i+1}) = t + 2i - 1, \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq n - 1.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, 1 \leq j \leq t,$

$$\tau_2(v_{2i} u_{2i,j}) = \begin{cases} \frac{t+3}{2} + (i - 1)(t + 2) + (j - 1), & \text{if } t \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{t+4}{2} + (i - 1)(t + 2) + (j - 1), & \text{if } t \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil, 1 \leq j \leq t,$

$$\tau_2(v_{2i-1} u_{2i-1,j}) = 1 + (i - 1)(t + 2) + (j - 1).$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq t$,

$$\tau_2(u_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} \tau_2(v_i u_{i,j}), & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ \tau_2(v_i u_{i,j}) + 1, & \text{if } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

The labeling τ_2 induces edge weight function $\sigma : E(G) \rightarrow \{3, 5, \dots, n(2t+2) - 1\}$ is as follows:

$$\sigma(v_i v_{i+1}) = (2t+2)i + 1, \quad \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

$$\sigma(v_i u_{i,j}) = (i-1)(2t+2) + 2j + 1, \quad \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad 1 \leq j \leq t.$$

Thus weights of the edges of $C(n,t)$ forms an arithmetic progression sequence and hence $(3,2) - tes(C(n,t)) \leq \left\lceil \frac{n(2t+2)-1}{3} \right\rceil$. Lemma 2.1 shows that $(3,2) - tes(C(n,t)) \geq \left\lceil \frac{n(2t+2)-1}{3} \right\rceil$. Hence the proof. \square

Definition 2.6. A friendship graph F_n is a graph which consists of n triangles sharing a common vertex.

Theorem 2.7. If F_n is a friendship graph of order $2n+1$, then $(3,2) - tes(F_n) = \left\lceil \frac{6n+1}{3} \right\rceil, n \geq 3$.

Proof. Let F_n be a friendship graph of $2n+1$ vertices and $3n$ edges. Let $V(F_n) = \{u, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq 2n\}$ and $E(F_n) = \{v_{2i-1}v_{2i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{uv_i : 1 \leq i \leq 2n\}$ be the vertex set and edge set of F_n . Define total labeling $\tau_3 : V(F_n) \cup E(F_n) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, \left\lceil \frac{6n+1}{3} \right\rceil\}$ as follows:

$$\tau_3(v_{2i-1}v_{2i}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i=1, \\ \left\lceil \frac{6n+1}{3} \right\rceil, & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_3(u) = 3$$

For $1 \leq i \leq 2n$,

$$\tau_3(v_i) = \begin{cases} i, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ 1, & \text{if } i=2, \\ \left\lceil \frac{6n+1}{3} \right\rceil, & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } i \neq 2. \end{cases}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq 2n$,

$$\tau_3(uv_i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ \lceil \frac{6n+1}{3} \rceil, & \text{if } i=2, \\ i-1, & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } i \neq 2. \end{cases}$$

Then the edge weight function $\sigma : E(F_n) \rightarrow \{3, 5, \dots, 6n + 1\}$ is as follows:

$$\sigma(v_{2i-1}v_{2i}) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } i=1, \\ 2 \lceil \frac{6n+1}{3} \rceil + 2i - 1, & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq 2n$

$$\sigma(uv_i) = \begin{cases} i+4, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ \lceil \frac{6n+1}{3} \rceil + i + 2, & \text{if } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Thus weights of the edges of F_n forms an arithmetic progression with common difference 2 and hence $(3, 2) - tes(F_n) \leq \lceil \frac{6n+1}{3} \rceil$. Lemma 2.1 shows that $(3, 2) - tes(F_n) \geq \lceil \frac{6n+1}{3} \rceil$. Hence the theorem. □

Theorem 2.8. *If $K_{1,n}$ is a star graph of order $n + 1$, then $(3, 2) - tes(K_{1,n}) = n, n \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let $V(K_{1,n}) = \{u, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $E(K_{1,n}) = \{uv_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be the vertex set and edge set of $K_{1,n}$ respectively. Define total labeling $\tau_4 : V(K_{1,n}) \cup E(K_{1,n}) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ as follows:

$$\tau_4(u) = 1.$$

$$\tau_4(v_i) = i, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$\tau_4(uv_i) = i, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Then the edge weight function $\sigma : E(K_{1,n}) \rightarrow \{3, 5, \dots, 2n + 1\}$ is as follows:

$$\sigma(uv_i) = 2i + 1, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Since $\tau_4(u) = 1$, the remaining labels of edges and vertices are from $\frac{3-1}{2}, \frac{5-1}{2}, \dots, \frac{2n}{2}$ and forms an arithmetic progression.

$\therefore (3,2) - tes(K_{1,n}) \leq n$. On the otherhand, to obtain the weight 3 it is essential to label the vertex u to 1. Thus, $(3,2) - tes(K_{1,n}) \leq n$. This concludes the theorem.

□

Theorem 2.9. *If L_n is a ladder graph of order $2n$, then $(3,2) - tes(L_n) = \lceil \frac{6n-3}{3} \rceil$, $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let $V(L_n) = \{u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $E(L_n) = \{u_i u_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\} \cup \{v_i v_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\} \cup \{u_i v_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be the vertex set and edge set of L_n respectively. Define total labeling $\tau_5 : V(L_n) \cup E(L_n) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \frac{6n-3}{3} \rceil\}$ as follows:

$$\tau_5(u_i) = \tau_5(v_i) = \tau_5(u_i v_i) = 2i - 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$\tau_5(u_i u_{i+1}) = 2i - 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n - 1.$$

$$\tau_5(v_i v_{i+1}) = 2i + 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n - 1.$$

Thus the edge weight function $\sigma : E(L_n) \rightarrow \{3, 5, \dots, 6n - 3\}$ is as follows:

$$\sigma(u_i v_i) = 6i - 3, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$\sigma(u_i u_{i+1}) = 6i - 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n - 1.$$

$$\sigma(v_i v_{i+1}) = 6i + 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n - 1.$$

Thus weights of the edges of L_n forms an arithmetic progression and hence $(3,2) - tes(L_n) \leq \lceil \frac{6n-3}{3} \rceil$. Lemma 2.1 shows that $(3,2) - tes(L_n) \geq \lceil \frac{6n-3}{3} \rceil$. □

Theorem 2.10. *If f_n is a fan graph of order $n + 1$, then $(3,2) - tes(f_n) = \lceil \frac{4n-1}{3} \rceil$, $n \geq 3$.*

Proof. Fan graph f_n is defined as $P_n + K_1$. Let $V(f_n) = \{u, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $E(f_n) = \{v_i v_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\} \cup \{u v_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be the vertex set and edge set of f_n respectively. Define total labeling $\tau_6 : V(f_n) \cup E(f_n) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \frac{4n-1}{3} \rceil\}$ as follows:

$$\tau_6(u) = \left\lfloor \frac{4n-1}{3} \right\rfloor.$$

$$\tau_6(v_i) = \begin{cases} i, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ i-1, & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \end{cases}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{4n-1}{6} \right\rfloor.$$

$$\tau_6(v_i) = \left\lceil \frac{4n-1}{3} \right\rceil, \quad \left\lceil \frac{4n-1}{6} \right\rceil + 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$\tau_6(v_i v_{i+1}) = 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \left\lceil \frac{4n-1}{6} \right\rceil - 1.$$

$$\tau_6(v_{\lceil \frac{4n-1}{6} \rceil} v_{\lceil \frac{4n-1}{6} \rceil + 1}) = \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil + 2, & n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil + 3, & n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_6(v_{n-i} v_{n+1-i}) = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{4n-1}{3} \rfloor - 2i, & n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \lfloor \frac{4n-1}{3} \rfloor - 2i - 1, & n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq i \leq \left\lceil \frac{n-5}{3} \right\rceil$$

$$\tau_6(uv_n) = \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{4n-1}{3} \rceil, & n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \lfloor \frac{4n-1}{3} \rfloor, & n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_6(uv_{\lceil \frac{4n-1}{6} \rceil + i}) = \begin{cases} 2i + 2, & n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ 2i + 3, & n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ 2i + 4, & n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq i \leq \left\lceil \frac{n-5}{3} \right\rceil.$$

For $n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}$

$$\tau_6(uv_i) = \begin{cases} i, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ i + 1, & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \end{cases}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \left\lceil \frac{4n-1}{6} \right\rceil.$$

For $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$

$$\tau_6(uv_i) = \begin{cases} i + 1, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ i + 2, & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \end{cases}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \left\lceil \frac{4n-1}{6} \right\rceil.$$

Then the edge weight function $\sigma : E(f_n) \rightarrow \{3, 5, \dots, 4n - 1\}$ is as follows:

$$\sigma(v_i v_{i+1}) = 2i + 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \left\lceil \frac{4n-1}{6} \right\rceil - 1.$$

$$\sigma(uv_i) = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{4n-1}{3} \rfloor + 2i, & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3} \\ \lfloor \frac{4n-1}{3} \rfloor + 2i + 1, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}, 1 \leq i \leq \left\lceil \frac{4n-1}{6} \right\rceil.$$

$$\sigma(v_{\lceil \frac{4n-1}{6} \rceil} v_{\lceil \frac{4n-1}{6} \rceil + 1}) = \begin{cases} 2 \lceil \frac{4n-1}{6} \rceil + 1, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ 2 \lceil \frac{4n-1}{6} \rceil + 3, & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}.$$

$$\sigma(uv_{\lceil \frac{4n-1}{6} \rceil + i}) = \begin{cases} 2 \lceil \frac{4n-1}{6} \rceil + 1 + 2i, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ 2 \lceil \frac{4n-1}{6} \rceil + 3 + 2i, & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}, 1 \leq i \leq \left\lceil \frac{n-5}{3} \right\rceil.$$

$$\sigma(v_{n-i} v_{n+1-i}) = 4n - 1 - 2i, 1 \leq i \leq \left\lceil \frac{n-5}{3} \right\rceil.$$

$$\sigma(uv_n) = 4n - 1.$$

Thus weights of the edges of the fan graph f_n are $3, 5, \dots, 4n - 1$, which forms an arithmetic progression and hence $(3, 2) - tes(f_n) \leq \lceil \frac{4n-1}{3} \rceil$. Lemma 2.1 shows that $(3, 2) - tes(f_n) \geq \lceil \frac{4n-1}{3} \rceil$, this concludes the proof. \square

Definition 2.11. Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be the consecutive vertices of P_n . Then the graph P_n^2 can be obtained by adding an edge from every i^{th} vertex to $(i+2)^{th}$ vertex.

Theorem 2.12. Let P_n be the path on n vertices, then $(3, 2) - tes(P_n^2) = \lfloor \frac{4n}{3} \rfloor - 1, n > 3$.

Proof. Let $V = \{v_i / 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be the vertex set and let $E = \{v_i v_{i+1} / 1 \leq i \leq n-1\} \cup \{v_i v_{i+2} / 1 \leq i \leq n-2\}$ be the edge set of $P_n^2, n > 3$.

Define total labeling $\tau_7 : V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{4n}{3} \rfloor - 1\}$ as follows:

For $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$\tau_7(v_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{4i-3}{3}, & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{4i-1}{3}, & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{4i-5}{3}, & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_7(v_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{4n-3}{3}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{4n-4}{3}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{4n-5}{3}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_7(v_2v_3) = 1$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n-2, i \neq 2$

$$\tau_7(v_iv_{i+1}) = \begin{cases} \frac{4i-3}{3}, & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{4i-1}{3}, & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{4i+1}{3}, & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_7(v_{n-1}v_n) = \lfloor \frac{4n}{3} \rfloor - 1 \text{ and } \tau_7(v_1v_3) = 3.$$

For $2 \leq i \leq n-3,$

$$\tau_7(v_iv_{i+2}) = \begin{cases} \frac{4i+3}{3}, & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{4i-1}{3}, & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{4i+1}{3}, & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_7(v_{n-2}v_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{4n-9}{3}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{4n-4}{3}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{4n-5}{3}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Under the labeling τ_7 , edge weights of P_n^2 are $3, 5, \dots, 2m + 1$ where $m = 2n - 3$, which are in arithmetic progression with $a = 3$ and $d = 2$. Thus, τ_7 is a $(3, 2)$ -labeling of P_n^2 and hence $(3, 2)$ -tes $(P_n^2) \leq \lfloor \frac{4n}{3} \rfloor - 1$. The lower bound of $(3, 2)$ -tes (P_n^2) can be obtained by the lemma 2.1 (ie) $(3, 2)$ -tes $(P_n^2) \geq \lceil \frac{4n-5}{3} \rceil = \lfloor \frac{4n}{3} \rfloor - 1$ and hence $(3, 2)$ -tes $(P_n^2) = \lfloor \frac{4n}{3} \rfloor - 1$. □

Theorem 2.13. *If $C_n \times K_2$ is the Cartesian product of the cycle C_n and K_2 , then $(3, 2)$ -tes $(C_n \times K_2) = \lceil \frac{6n+1}{3} \rceil, n \geq 3$.*

Proof. Let $V = \{u_i v_i / 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be the vertex set and let $E = \{u_i u_{i+1}, v_i v_{i+1}, u_i v_i / 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be the edge set of $C_n \times K_2$, where $n \geq 3$.

Define total labeling $\tau_8 : V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \frac{6n+1}{3} \rceil\}$ as follows:

For $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$,

$$\tau_8(u_i) = \begin{cases} 4 \lfloor \frac{i-1}{3} \rfloor + 1, & \text{if } i \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{4i-3}{3}, & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$,

$$\tau_8(u_{n-i+1}) = \begin{cases} 4 \lfloor \frac{i-1}{3} \rfloor + 3, & \text{if } i \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{4i+3}{3}, & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$,

$$\tau_8(v_i) = n + 2i.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$,

$$\tau_8(v_{n-i+1}) = n + 2(i + 1).$$

For $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$,

$$\tau_8(u_i u_{i+1}) = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{4i-1}{3} \rfloor, & \text{if } i \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \lceil \frac{4i-1}{3} \rceil, & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 2$,

$$\tau_8(u_{n-i+1} u_{n-i}) = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{4i-1}{3} \rfloor + 2, & \text{if } i \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \lceil \frac{4i-1}{3} \rceil + 2, & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

and $\tau_8(u_n u_1) = 1$.

For $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$,

$$\tau_8(v_i v_{i+1}) = 2n - 3.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$,

$$\tau_8(v_{n+1-i}v_{n-i}) = 2n - 1 \text{ and } \tau_8(v_nv_1) = 2n - 1.$$

$$\tau_8(u_1v_1) = \tau_8(u_nv_n) = n.$$

For $2 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$,

$$\tau_8(u_iv_i) = 2 \lceil \frac{i-1}{3} \rceil + n + 2.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 2$,

$$\tau_8(u_{n-i}v_{n-i}) = 2 \lceil \frac{i}{3} \rceil + n.$$

Then the edge weight function $\sigma : E(C_n \times K_2) \rightarrow \{3, 5, \dots, 6n + 1\}$ is as follows.

$$\sigma(u_iu_{i+1}) = 4i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$$

$$\sigma(u_{n+1-i}u_{n-i}) = 4i + 5, 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$$

$$\sigma(u_1u_n) = 5, \text{ for } n \geq 3$$

$$\sigma(u_1v_1) = 2n + 3,$$

$$\sigma(u_iv_i) = 2n + (4i - 3), 2 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$$

$$\sigma(u_{n-i+1}v_{n-i+1}) = 2n + (4i + 3), 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$$

$$\sigma(v_iv_{i+1}) = 4n + (4i - 1), 1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$$

$$\sigma(v_nv_{n-i}) = 4n + (8i + 1), 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$$

$$\sigma(v_1v_n) = 4n + 5, \text{ for } n \geq 3.$$

Thus, the weights of the edges of $C_n \times K_2$ forms an arithmetic progression and hence $(3, 2)$ -tes $(C_n \times K_2) \leq \lfloor \frac{6n+1}{3} \rfloor$. Lemma 2.1 shows that $(3, 2)$ -tes $(C_n \times K_2) \geq \lceil \frac{6n+1}{3} \rceil$, this concludes the proof. □

Theorem 2.14. $(3, 2)$ -tes $[CP_n(m)] = \lceil \frac{2nm+2n-1}{3} \rceil$.

Proof. A Caterpillar graph $CP_n(m)$ is a tree in which the removal of all pendant vertices results in a chordless path P_n . The m edges from each vertex of P_n to the pendant vertices are called leaves. Let $V[CP_n(m)] = \{u_i, v_{i,j} / 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ be the vertex set and let $E[CP_n(m)] = \{u_iu_{i+1} / 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\} \cup \{u_iv_{i,j} / 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ be the edge set of the caterpillar $CP_n(m)$ respectively.

Define total labeling $\tau_9 : V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \frac{2nm+2n-1}{3} \rceil\}$ as follows:

Case 1: For any $n \geq 2$ and $m = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$\tau_9(u_i) = \begin{cases} i + \lceil \frac{i-3}{3} \rceil, & i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ i + \lceil \frac{i-1}{3} \rceil, & i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ i + \lceil \frac{i}{3} \rceil, & i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_9(v_{1,1}) = 1 \text{ and } \tau_9(v_{i,1}) = i + \lceil \frac{i-2}{3} \rceil, 2 \leq i \leq n.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$\tau_9(u_i u_{i+1}) = \begin{cases} i + \lceil \frac{i+1}{3} \rceil, & i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ i + \lceil \frac{i-1}{3} \rceil, & i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ i + \lceil \frac{i}{3} \rceil, & i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_9(u_1 v_{1,1}) = 1 \text{ and } \tau_9(u_i v_{i,1}) = i + \lceil \frac{i-2}{3} \rceil, 2 \leq i \leq n.$$

Case 2: Suppose $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $n \geq 2$. Let $m = 3k$ for some integer $k > 0$, then define τ_9 as follows:

$$\tau_9(u_1) = 1.$$

For $2 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\tau_9(u_i) = \begin{cases} (6k+2) \lceil \frac{i}{3} \rceil - 1, & i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ (6k+2) \lceil \frac{i-1}{3} \rceil + (2k-1), & i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ (6k+2) \lceil \frac{i}{3} \rceil - (2k+1), & i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_9(u_1 u_2) = 2k+1.$$

For $2 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$\tau_9(u_i u_{i+1}) = \begin{cases} (6k+2) \lceil \frac{i}{3} \rceil - (2k-3), & i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ (6k+2) \lceil \frac{i-1}{3} \rceil + 3, & i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ (6k+2) \lceil \frac{i-2}{3} \rceil + (2k+3), & i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_9(v_{1,j}) = \tau_9(u_1 v_{1,j}) = j, 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

For $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$\tau_9(v_{i,j}) = \tau_9(u_i v_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} (6k+2) \lceil \frac{i}{3} \rceil - (3k+j), & i \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \forall j \\ (6k+2) \lceil \frac{i-1}{3} \rceil + j, & i \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \forall j \\ (6k+2) \lceil \frac{i}{3} \rceil - (5k+1) + j, & i \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \forall j. \end{cases}$$

Case 3: Suppose $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $m > 1$ and for any $n \geq 2$. Let $m = 3k + 1$ for some integer $k > 0$, then define τ_9 as follows:

$$\tau_9(u_1) = 1.$$

For $2 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\tau_9(u_i) = \begin{cases} (6k+4) \lceil \frac{i-1}{3} \rceil + (2k+1), & i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ (6k+4) \lceil \frac{i}{3} \rceil - (2k+3), & i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \\ (6k+4) \lceil \frac{i}{3} \rceil - 1, & i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_9(u_1 u_2) = 2k + 3.$$

For $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$,

$$\tau_9(u_i u_{i+1}) = \begin{cases} (6k+4) \lceil \frac{i}{3} \rceil - (2k-1), & i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ (6k+4) \lceil \frac{i-1}{3} \rceil + 3, & i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ (6k+4) \lceil \frac{i}{3} \rceil - (4k-1), & i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_9(v_{1,j}) = \tau_9(u_1 v_{1,j}) = j, 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

For $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$\tau_9(v_{i,j}) = \tau_9(u_i v_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} (6k+4) \lceil \frac{i}{3} \rceil - (3k+1) + j, & i \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \forall j \\ (6k+4) \lceil \frac{i-1}{3} \rceil - k + j, & i \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \forall j \\ (6k+4) \lceil \frac{i}{3} \rceil - (5k+2) + j, & i \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \forall j. \end{cases}$$

Case 4: Let $n \geq 2$ and $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Take $m = 3k + 2$, for some integer $k \geq 0$. Define

$\tau_9 : V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \frac{2nm+2n-1}{3} \rceil\}$ as follows:

$$\tau_9(u_1) = 1 \text{ and } \tau_9(u_i) = (2k+2)i - 1, 2 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$\tau_9(u_1 u_2) = (2k+3) \text{ and } \tau_9(u_i u_{i+1}) = (2k+2)i - (2k-1), 2 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

$$\tau_9(v_{1,j}) = \tau_9(u_1v_{1,j}) = j, 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

For $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$\tau_9(v_{i,j}) = \tau_9(u_iv_{i,j}) = (2k+2)i - (3k+2) + j.$$

Then the edge weight function $\sigma : E[CP_n(m)] \rightarrow \{3, 5, \dots, 2nm + 2n + 1\}$ is as follows.

$$\sigma(u_iu_{i+1}) = 2(m+1)i + 1, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$$

$$\sigma(u_iv_{i,j}) = 2(m+1)i - 2m + 2j - 1, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

The weights of the edges of $CP_n(m)$ forms an arithmetic progression and hence $(3, 2) - tesCP_n(m) \leq \lceil \frac{2nm+2n-1}{3} \rceil$. Lemma 2.1 shows that $(3, 2) - tesCP_n(m) \geq \lceil \frac{2nm+2n-1}{3} \rceil$, this concludes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.15. $(3, 2) - tes [CP_n(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)] = \lceil \frac{2(m_1+m_2+\dots+m_n)+2n-1}{3} \rceil$, $n \geq 2, m_i \neq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. The Caterpillar graph $CP_n(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$ is a tree in which m_i are the leaves on the i^{th} vertex of P_n , $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $V = \{u_i, v_{i,j} / 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m_n\}$ be the vertex set and $E = \{u_iu_{i+1} / 1 \leq i \leq n-1\} \cup \{u_iv_{i,j} / 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m_n\}$ be the edge set of the caterpillar $CP_n(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$ respectively.

Define total labeling $\tau_{10} : V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \frac{2(m_1+m_2+\dots+m_n)+2n-1}{3} \rceil\}$ is as follows:

$$\tau_{10}(u_1) = 1$$

$$\tau_{10}(u_i) = \lceil \frac{2(m_1+m_2+\dots+m_i)+2i-1}{3} \rceil, 2 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$\tau_{10}(v_{i,j}) = \lceil \frac{2(m_1+m_2+\dots+m_i)+2i-1}{3} \rceil - m_i + j, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m_i \text{ \& } m_i \neq 0.$$

$$\tau_{10}(u_1u_2) = 2m_1 + 2 - \lceil \frac{2(m_1+m_2)+3}{3} \rceil.$$

For $2 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$\tau_{10}(u_iu_{i+1}) = 2(m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_i) + 2i + 1 - \lceil \frac{2(m_1+m_2+\dots+m_i)+2i-1}{3} \rceil - \lceil \frac{2(m_1+m_2+\dots+m_{i+1})+2i+1}{3} \rceil.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m_i$ and $m_i \neq 0$,

$$\tau_{10}(u_iv_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{2(m_1+m_2+\dots+m_i)+2i-1}{3} \rceil - m_i + j, & \text{if } 2(m_1 + \dots + m_i) + 2i - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ \lceil \frac{2(m_1+m_2+\dots+m_i)+2i-1}{3} \rceil - m_i + j - 1, & \text{if } 2(m_1 + \dots + m_i) + 2i - 1 \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Then the edge weight function $\sigma : E[CP_n(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)] \rightarrow \{3, 5, \dots, 2(m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_n) + 2n - 1\}$ is as follows:

$$\sigma(u_i u_{i+1}) = 2(m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_i) + 2i + 1, 1 \leq i \leq n - 1$$

$$\sigma(u_i v_{i,j}) = 2(m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_i) + 2(i + j) - 2m_i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m_i \text{ and } m_i \neq 0.$$

The weights of the edges of $CP_n(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$ forms an arithmetic progression and hence $(3, 2) - tes[CP_n(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)] \leq \left\lceil \frac{2(m_1+m_2+\dots+m_n)+2n-1}{3} \right\rceil$. Lemma 2.1 shows that $(3, 2) - tes[CP_n(m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_n)] \geq \left\lceil \frac{2(m_1+m_2+\dots+m_n)+2n-1}{3} \right\rceil$, this concludes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.16. $(3, 2) - tes \{G(n, 2)\} = 2n + 1$, for $n \geq 5$.

Proof. The generalized Petersen graph on n vertices with skip 2, denoted by $G(n, 2)$ is defined to be a graph with $V = \{u_i, v_i / 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ as the vertex set and $E = \{u_i v_i, v_i v_{i+1}, u_i u_{i+2} / 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ as the edge set respectively. It has $2n$ vertices and $3n$ edges.

Define total labeling $\tau_{11} : V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 2n + 1\}$ as follows:

Case 1: When n is odd,

$$\tau_{11}(u_1) = \tau_{11}(u_3) = 1.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\tau_{11}(u_i) = \begin{cases} 3, & i \text{ is even,} \\ 5, & i \text{ is odd, } i \neq 1, 3. \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_{11}(v_i) = 2n + 1, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$\tau_{11}(v_i v_{i+1}) = 2i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$\tau_{11}(u_1 v_1) = \tau_{11}(u_2 v_2) = 1 \text{ and } \tau_{11}(u_3 v_3) = 5.$$

$$\tau_{11}(u_i v_i) = 4 \left\lceil \frac{i-3}{2} \right\rceil + 1, 4 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$\tau_{11}(u_{2i-1} u_{2i+1}) = 1 \text{ if } i = 1, 2 \text{ and } \tau_{11}(u_{n-1} u_1) = 1.$$

$$\tau_{11}(u_{2(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1)} u_2) = 4(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1) - 1$$

$$\tau_{11}(u_2 u_4) = 4(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1) - 1$$

$$\tau_{11}(u_{2i+3} u_{2i+5}) = 4i - 3, 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 2.$$

$$\tau_{11}(u_{n+1-2i} u_{n-1-2i}) = 4i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 2.$$

Case 2: When n is even,

$$\tau_{11}(u_1) = \tau_{11}(u_3) = 1.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\tau_{11}(u_i) = \begin{cases} 5, & i \text{ is even,} \\ 3, & i \text{ is odd, } i \neq 1, 3. \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_{11}(v_i) = 2n + 1, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$\tau_{11}(v_i v_{i+1}) = 2i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$\tau_{11}(u_1 v_1) = \tau_{11}(u_2 v_2) = 1 \text{ and}$$

$$\tau_{11}(u_3 v_3) = \begin{cases} 5, & \text{when } n = 6, \\ 3, & \text{when } n \neq 6. \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_{11}(u_i v_i) = 4 \lceil \frac{i-2}{2} \rceil - 1, 4 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$\tau_{11}(u_1 u_3) = \tau_{11}(u_{n-1} u_1) = 1 \text{ and } \tau_{11}(u_3 u_5) = \tau_{11}(u_{n-3} u_{n-1}) = 3.$$

$$\tau_{11}(u_{2i+3} u_{2i+5}) = 4i + 1, 1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - 2.$$

$$\tau_{11}(u_{n+1-2i} u_{n-1-2i}) = 4i - 1, 2 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - 1.$$

$$\tau_{11}(u_{2i} u_{2i+2}) = n + 4i - 11, 2 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil.$$

$$\tau_{11}(u_2 u_4) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 6, \\ n - 7, & n \neq 6. \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_{11}(u_{n+2-2i} u_{n+4-2i}) = n + 4i - 9, 2 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor.$$

$$\tau_{11}(u_n u_2) = \begin{cases} 3, & n = 6, \\ n - 5, & n \neq 6. \end{cases}$$

From the above labeling, the upper bound of $G(n, 2)$ is obtained.

$$(ie) \ (3, 2)\text{-tes } \{G(n, 2)\} \leq 2n + 1.$$

The lower bound of $G(n, 2)$ is obtained by using the lemma 2.1

$$(ie) \ (3, 2)\text{-tes } \{G(n, 2)\} \geq 2n + 1. \text{ Hence the proof.}$$

□

Open Problem 1. Determine the precise value for $(3, 2)$ -tes(P_n^n).

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aigner, E. Triesch, Irregular assignments of trees and forests, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 3 (1990), 439-449.
- [2] D. Amar, O. Togni, Irregularity strength of trees, *Discrete Math.* 190 (1998), 15-38.
- [3] M. Anholcer, C. Palmer, Irregular labelings of circulant graphs, *Discrete Math.* 312 (2012), 3461-3466.
- [4] M. Baca, S. Jendrol, M. Miller and J.Ryan, On irregular total labelings, *Discrete Math.* 307 (2007), 1378-1388.
- [5] T. Bohman, D. Kravitz, On the irregularity strength of trees, *J. Graph Theory* 45 (2004), 241-254.
- [6] G. Chartrand, M.S. Jacobson, J. Lehel, O.R. Oellermann, S. Ruiz, F. Saba, Irregular networks, *Congr. Numer.* 64 (1988), 187-192.
- [7] R.J. Faudree, J. Lehel, Bound on the irregularity strength of regular graphs. In *Combinatorics. Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai* (Vol. 52, pp. 247–256). Amsterdam: North Holland, (1987).
- [8] A. Frieze, R.J. Gould, M. Karonski, F. Pfender, On graph irregularity strength, *J. Graph Theory*, 41 (2002), 120-137.
- [9] I. Rajasingh, T.A. Santiago, Total edge irregularity strength of generalized uniform theta graph, *Int. J. Sci. Res.* 7 (2018), 41-43.
- [10] J. Ivanco, S. Jendrol, Total edge irregularity strength of trees, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* 26, (2006), 449-456.
- [11] L. Ratnasari, S. Wahyuni, Y. Susanti, D. Junia Eksi Palupi, B. Surodjo, Total edge irregularity strength of arithmetic book graphs, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 1306 (2019) 012032.
- [12] K. Muthugurupackiam, R. Padmapriya, (a, d) -Total Vertex Irregularity Strength of Graphs, (Communicated).
- [13] F. Salama, On total edge irregularity strength of polar grid graph, *J. Taibah Univ. Sci.* 13 (2019), 912-916.
- [14] Y. Susanthi, Y.I. Puspitasari, H. Khotimah, On total edge irregularity strength of Staircase graphs and related graphs, *Iran. J. Math. Sci. Inform.* 15 (2020), 1-13.