



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 11 (2021), No. 6, 7199-7208

<https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/6517>

ISSN: 1927-5307

UNIQUENESS OF DIFFERENTIAL DIFFERENCE POLYNOMIALS OF L -FUNCTIONS AND MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS SHARING A POLYNOMIAL

M. T. SOMALATHA^{1,*}, N. SHILPA², TOUQEER AHMED²

¹Department of Mathematics, Government Science College, Bangalore - 560 001, Karnataka, India

²Department of Mathematics, Presidency University, Itgalpura, Bangalore, 560 064, Karnataka, India

Copyright © 2021 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this article, we study the uniqueness of Differential difference polynomials of L -function and Differential difference polynomials of a meromorphic function concerning weighted sharing of a polynomial. Our result improves and generalizes results of Abhijit Banerjee, Saikat Bhattacharyya [1], N. Mandal, N. K. Datta [5].

Keywords: Nevanlinna theory; meromorphic function; L -function; differential difference polynomial; weighted sharing.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 30D35, 11M36.

1. INTRODUCTION

We presume that the reader is aware of Value Distribution of Nevanlinna theory [9, 10, 13]. It was Selberg who introduced the class called Selberg class. It is the set of all Dirichlet series. This class satisfies some axioms which leads to the definition of L -function. In this paper, we make use of the definition of L -function and we redirect the reader to refer [1] to see more about the definition of L -function.

We define $\psi = \{g_1 : g_1 \text{ is nonconstant meromorphic function}\}$, where nonconstant meromorphic functions are defined over \mathbb{C} . In 19th century, Lahiri posed the inquiry regarding the

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: somalatha71@gmail.com

Received July 15, 2021

relationship between f and g when two differential polynomials are expressed in terms of f and g sharing non-zero complex values, refer([3]).

As an affirmative answer, Liu-Li-Yi obtained the condition of the uniqueness results for $F = (f^n)^{(k)} - \alpha(z)$ and $L = (L^n)^{(k)} - \alpha(z)$ share $(0, \infty)$, refer ([4], Theorem A).

Recently, in 2018, to improve above theorem and to relax the nature of sharing the values, Sahoo and Halder used the concept of weighted sharing to prove uniqueness of F and L as defined earlier and corresponding conditions for l were obtained for different values of l , refer ([7], Theorem B).

In the same year, Hao-Chen obtained chain of theorems where differential polynomials are considered in more general way which highlights the uniqueness of g_1 and L for appropriate values of n and k sharing $(1, \infty)$, refer ([11], Theorem C, Theorem D) and also for sharing $(1, 0)$, refer ([11], Theorem E, Theorem F).

Inspired by these results, we prove the results as stated in section 3.

2. PRELIMINARIES

If L is an L -function, then

- (1) the relation between the characteristic function of L -function and the degree of L -function 'd' can be seen as follows

$$(2.1) \quad T(r, L) = \frac{d}{\pi} r \log r + O(r),$$

refer([8]).

- (2) The counting function for the poles of an L -function can be defined with the following relation

$$(2.2) \quad N(r, \infty, L) = S(r, L) = O(\log r),$$

refer([5]).

Also, if $g_1 \in \psi$,

- (1) the relation between g_1 and an L -function when they share $(\infty, 0)$ can be seen as

$$(2.3) \quad \bar{N}(r, \infty; g_1) = S(r, L) = O(\log r),$$

refer ([6]).

(2) For $k \geq Z^+$

$$(2.4) \quad T(r, g_1^{(k)}) \leq T(r, g_1) + k\bar{N}(r, \infty; g_1) + S(r, g_1),$$

refer ([9]).

(3) Let $a_0, a_1 \dots a_n$ be finite complex numbers such that $a_n \neq 0$, then

$$(2.5) \quad T(r, a_n g_1^n + a_{n-1} g_1^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 g_1 + a_0) = nT(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

refer ([10])

(4) For $\alpha (\neq 0, \infty)$ be a small function of g_1 then we have

$$(2.6) \quad T(r, g_1) \leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; g_1) + N(r, 0; g_1) + N(r, 0; g_1^{(k)} - \alpha) - N \left(r, 0; \left(\frac{g_1^{(k)}}{\alpha} \right)' \right) + S(r, f),$$

refer ([11]).

For h_1 being a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order then we have

$$(2.7) \quad T(r, h_1(z+c)) = T(r, h_1) + S(r, h_1),$$

refer ([2])

Suppose f and g be two transcendental meromorphic function and

(1) $H \neq 0$. We have

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] &\leq \left(\frac{k}{2} + 2 \right) [\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; g)] \\ &+ N_{k+2}(r, 0; f) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; g) - \left(l - \frac{3}{2} \right) \bar{N}_*(r, 1; F, G) \\ &+ S(r, f) + S(r, g), \end{aligned}$$

where $F = \frac{f^{(k)}}{Q}$ and $G = \frac{g^{(k)}}{Q}$, refer ([1])

(2) Either $f^{(k)} g^{(k)} \equiv Q^2$ or $f \equiv g$, whenever f and g satisfies one of the following conditions,

(i) $l \geq 2$ and

$$(2.9) \quad \Delta_1 = \left(\frac{k}{2} + 2\right) \{\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g)\} + \delta_{k+2}(0, f) + \delta_{k+2}(0, g) > k + 5.$$

(ii) $l = 1$ and

$$(2.10) \quad \Delta_2 = \left(\frac{3k}{4} + \frac{9}{4}\right) \{\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g)\} + \delta_{k+2}(0, f) + \delta_{k+2}(0, g) \\ + \frac{1}{4} \{\delta_{k+1}(0, f) + \delta_{k+1}(0, g)\} > \frac{3k}{2} + 6.$$

(ii) $l = 0$ and

$$(2.11) \quad \Delta_3 = \left(2k + \frac{7}{2}\right) \{\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g)\} + \delta_{k+2}(0, f) + \delta_{k+2}(0, g) \\ + \frac{3}{2} \{\delta_{k+1}(0, f) + \delta_{k+1}(0, g)\} > 4k + 11,$$

refer ([1]).

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. Let $g_1 \in \psi$, L be an L -function, m, d, k and v_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, d$) be nonnegative integers and c_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, d$) be distinct finite complex numbers. Suppose that $[g_1^n(f - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g_1(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)} - \eta^{(z)}$ and $[L^n(L - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d L(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)} - \eta^{(z)}$ share $(0, l)$. If $l \geq 2$ and

$$(m + n) > \frac{5k}{2} + 6 + 2m_2(k + 2) + 2m_1 + \sigma,$$

or $l = 1$ and

$$(m + n) > \frac{13k}{4} + \frac{27}{4} + \left(\frac{5k}{2} + \frac{9}{2}\right) m_2 + \frac{5}{2} m_1 + \frac{3}{2} \sigma,$$

or $l = 0$ and

$$(m + n) > 7k + \frac{21}{2} + (5k + 7)m_2 + 5m_1 + 4\sigma.$$

Then one of the following two cases holds

$$(i) [g_1^n(f - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g_1(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)} [L^n(L - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d L(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)} = \eta^2(z).$$

$$(ii) [g_1^n(f - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g_1(z + c_j)^{v_j}] = [L^n(L - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d L(z + c_j)^{v_j}],$$

or $g_1 = tL$ for a constant t satisfying $g_1^{m+n} = 1$.

Proof. We set the functions F_1 and G_1 as follows

$$F_1 = \frac{F^{(k)}}{\eta(z)}, \quad G_1 = \frac{G^{(k)}}{\eta(z)},$$

where,

$$F = \left[g_1^n (g_1 - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g_1(z + c_j)^{v_j} \right],$$

$$G = \left[L^n (L - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d L(z + c_j)^{v_j} \right].$$

Obviously as $F^{(k)} - \eta(z)$, $G^{(k)} - \eta(z)$ share $(0, l)$, therefore F_1, G_1 share $(1, l)$ and an L -function has at most one pole $z = 1$ in the complex plane, we deduce by (2.5), (2.6) and Valiron-Mokhonko's lemma (see [12]) that,

$$\begin{aligned} & (n + m + \sigma)T(r, L) + S(r, g_1) = T(r, G), \\ & \leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; G) + N(r, 0; G) + \bar{N}(r, 1; G_1) - N(r, 0; G'_1) + S(r, g_1), \\ & \leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; G) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; G) + \bar{N}(r, 1; G_1) - N_0(r, 0; G'_1) + S(r, g_1), \\ & \leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; L) + (k + 1)\bar{N}(r, 0; G) + \bar{N}(r, 1; G_1) + S(r, g_1), \\ & \leq (k + 1)(n + m + \sigma)T(r, L) + \bar{N}(r, 1; F_1) + S(r, g_1). \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.1) \quad -k(n + m + \sigma)T(r, L) \leq T(r, F^{(k)}) + S(r, g_1)$$

By (2.1), we see that L is a transcendental meromorphic function, combining this with (3.1), ([13], Theorem 1.5) and the assumption of the lower bound of $(m + n)$, we deduce that $F^{(k)}$ and so g_1 is a transcendental meromorphic function.

Using (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(\infty, F) &= 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}(r, \infty; F)}{T(r, F)}, \\ (3.2) \quad &= 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}(r, \infty; F)}{(m + n + \sigma)T(r, F) + O(1)}, \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{m + n + \sigma} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{k+2}(0, F) &= 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{k+2}(r, 0; F)}{T(r, F)}, \\
(3.3) \quad &\geq 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{k+2}(r, 0; g_1^n) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; (g_1 - 1)^m) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; \phi)}{(m+n+\sigma)T(r, F) + O(1)}, \\
&\geq 1 - \frac{(k+2) + m_2(k+2) + m_1 + \sigma}{m+n+\sigma}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(3.4) \quad \delta_{k+2}(0, G) \geq 1 - \frac{(k+2) + m_2(k+2) + m_1 + \sigma}{m+n+\sigma},$$

$$(3.5) \quad \delta_{k+1}(0, F) \geq 1 - \frac{(k+1) + m_2(k+1) + m_1 + \sigma}{m+n+\sigma},$$

$$(3.6) \quad \delta_{k+1}(0, G) \geq 1 - \frac{(k+1) + m_2(k+1) + m_1 + \sigma}{m+n+\sigma}.$$

Since an L -function has at most one pole at $z = 1$ in the complex plane, we have

$$N(r, L) \leq \log r + O(1).$$

So using (2.1) we deduce that

$$(3.7) \quad \Theta(\infty, G) = 1.$$

Case 1: Let $l \geq 2$

By using (2.9), (3.2)-(3.4) and (3.7), we obtain

$$(m+n) > \frac{5k}{2} + 6 + 2m_2(k+2) + 2m_1 + \sigma.$$

We have $\Delta_1 > k + 5$. Thus by (2.9), we get either $F^{(k)}G^{(k)} = \eta^2(z)$ or $F \equiv G$. Let $F \equiv G$, i.e.,

$$(3.8) \quad g_1^n(g_1 - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g_1(z + c_j)^{v_j} = L^n(L - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d L(z + c_j)^{v_j}.$$

Now, we set

$$(3.9) \quad H = \frac{g_1}{L}.$$

If H is a nonconstant meromorphic function, then we get (3.8). Suppose H is a constant i.e. $H = t = \frac{g_1}{L}$. Then from (3.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (tL)^n (tL - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d (tL)(z + c_j)^{v_j} &= L^n (L - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d L(z + c_j)^{v_j} \\ t^{n+\sigma} \left[t^m L^m + \binom{m}{1} (-1) t^{m-1} L^{m-1} + \binom{m}{2} (-1)^2 t^{m-2} L^{m-2} + \dots + (-1)^m \right] \\ &= \left[L^m + \binom{m}{1} (-1) L^{m-1} + \binom{m}{2} (-1)^2 L^{m-2} + \dots + (-1)^m \right], \\ t^{n+m+\sigma} &= t^{n+m-1+\sigma} = t^{n+m-2+\sigma} = \dots = t^{n+\sigma} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

So we know $t = 1$, then $g_1 = tL$ for a constant t satisfying $t^{n+m+\sigma} = 1$.

Case 2: Let $l = 1$.

By using (2.10), (3.2)-(3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2 &= \left(\frac{3k}{4} + \frac{9}{4} \right) \{ \Theta(\infty, F) + \Theta(\infty, G) \} + \delta_{k+2}(0, F), \\ &\quad + \delta_{k+2}(0, G) + \frac{1}{4} \{ \delta_{k+1}(0, F) + \delta_{k+1}(0, G) \}, \\ (3.10) \quad \Delta_2 &\geq \frac{3k}{4} + 7 - \frac{\left(\frac{3k}{4} + \frac{9}{4} \right) + \frac{5k}{2} + \frac{9}{2} + \left(\frac{5k}{2} + \frac{9}{2} \right) m_2 + \frac{5}{2} m_1 + \frac{5}{2} \sigma}{m + n + \sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.10) and the assumption

$$(m + n) > \frac{13k}{4} + \frac{27}{4} + \left(\frac{5k}{2} + \frac{9}{2} \right) m_2 + \frac{5}{2} m_1 + \frac{3}{2} \sigma.$$

We have $\Delta_2 > \frac{3k}{2} + 6$. Thus by (2.10) we get either $F^{(k)}G^{(k)} = \eta^2(z)$ or $F \equiv G$. Proceeding in the same manner as done in the case 1, we get conclusion.

Case 3: Let $l = 0$. By using (2.11), (3.2)-(3.7), we have

$$(3.11) \quad \Delta_3 \geq 4k + 12 - \frac{\left(2k + \frac{7}{2} \right) + 5k + 7 + (5k + 7)m_2 + 5m_1 + 5\sigma}{m + n + \sigma},$$

by (3.11) and the assumption

$$(m + n) > 7k + \frac{21}{2} + (5k + 7)m_2 + 5m_1 + 4\sigma.$$

We have $\Delta_3 > 4k + 11$. Thus by (2.11), we get either $F^{(k)}G^{(k)} = \eta^2(z)$ or $F \equiv G$. Proceeding in the same manner as done in case 1, we get conclusion. \square

For $n = 0$, we obtain following result.

Corollary 1. Let $g_1 \in \psi$, L be an L -function, m, d, k and v_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, d$) be nonnegative integers and c_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, d$) be distinct finite complex numbers. Suppose that $[(g_1 - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g_1(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)} - \eta^{(z)}$ and $[(L - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d L(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)} - \eta^{(z)}$ share $(0, l)$. If $l \geq 2$ and

$$m > \frac{k}{2} + 2 + 2m_2(k + 2) + 2m_1 + \sigma,$$

or $l = 1$ and

$$m > \frac{3k}{4} + \frac{9}{4} + \left(\frac{5k}{2} + \frac{9}{2}\right)m_2 + \frac{5}{2}m_1 + \frac{3}{2}\sigma,$$

or $l = 0$ and

$$m > 2k + \frac{7}{2} + (5k + 7)m_2 + 5m_1 + 4\sigma.$$

Then one of the following two cases holds

$$(i) [(g_1 - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g_1(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)} [(L - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d L(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)} = \eta^2(z).$$

$$(ii) [(g_1 - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d g_1(z + c_j)^{v_j}] = \left[(L - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^d L(z + c_j)^{v_j} \right].$$

or $g_1 = tL$ for a constant t satisfying $t^{m+\sigma} = 1$.

For $m = 0$, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2. Let $g_1 \in \psi$, L be an L -function, m, d, k and v_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, d$) be nonnegative integers and c_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, d$) be distinct finite complex numbers. Suppose that $[g_1^n \prod_{j=1}^d g_1(z + c_j)^{v_j}]^{(k)} - \eta^{(z)}$ and $[(L^n \prod_{j=1}^d L(z + c_j)^{v_j})^{(k)} - \eta^{(z)}$ share $(0, l)$. If $l \geq 2$ and

$$n > \frac{5k}{2} + 6 + \sigma,$$

or $l = 1$ and

$$n > \frac{13k}{4} + \frac{27}{4} + \frac{3}{2}\sigma,$$

or $l = 0$ and

$$n > 7k + \frac{21}{2} + 4\sigma.$$

Then one of the following two cases holds

$$(i) \left[g_1^n \prod_{j=1}^d g_1(z + c_j)^{v_j} \right]^{(k)} \left[L^n \prod_{j=1}^d L(z + c_j)^{v_j} \right]^{(k)} = \eta^2(z).$$

$$(ii) \left[g_1^n \prod_{j=1}^d g_1(z + c_j)^{v_j} \right] = \left[L^n \prod_{j=1}^d L(z + c_j)^{v_j} \right].$$

or $g_1 = tL$ for a constant t satisfying $t^{n+\sigma} = 1$.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharyya, Uniqueness of certain differential polynomial of L -functions and meromorphic functions sharing a polynomial, ArXiv:2008.09350 [Math]. (2020).
- [2] Y.M. Chiang, S.J. Feng, On the Nevanlinna characteristic of $f(z + \eta)$ and difference equations in the complex plane, *J. Ramanujan*, 16 (2008), 105–129.
- [3] I. Lahiri, Uniqueness of meromorphic functions as governed by their differential polynomials, *Yokohama Math. J.* 44 (1997), 147-156.
- [4] F. Liu, X.M. Li, H.X. Yi, Value distribution of L -functions concerning shared values and certain differential polynomials, *Proc. Japan. Acad. Ser. A*, 93 (2017), 41-46.
- [5] N. Mandal, N.K. Datta, Uniqueness of L -function and its certain differential monomial concerning small functions, *J. Math. Comput. Sci.* 10 (2020), 2155-2163.
- [6] N. Mandal, N.K. Datta, Small functions and uniqueness of Difference Differential polynomials of L -functions, *Int. J. Differ. Equ.* 10 (2020), 151-163.
- [7] P. Sahoo, S. Halder, Uniqueness results related to L -functions and certain differential polynomials, *Tbilisi Math. J.* 11 (2018), 67-78.
- [8] J. Steuding, *Value distribution of L -functions*, Springer, Berlin, (2007).
- [9] C.C. Yang, H.X. Yi, *Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic functions*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Science Press, Beijing, (1995).
- [10] C.C. Yang, On deficiencies of differential polynomials II, *Math. Z.* 125 (1972), 107–112.
- [11] J.W. Hao, J.F. Chen, Uniqueness of L -functions concerning certain differential polynomials, *Dis. Dyn. Nat. Soc.* 2018 (2018), Art ID 4673165.
- [12] A.Z. Mokhon'ko, The Nevanlinna characteristics of certain meromorphic functions, *Theory Funct. Annal. Appl.* 14 (1971), 83-87, .

- [13] C.C. Yang and H.X. Yi, Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht , (2003).