



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 11 (2021), No. 6, 7453-7458

<https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/6561>

ISSN: 1927-5307

## COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR TWO SELFMAPS OF A G-METRIC SPACE

K. RAJANI DEVI<sup>1</sup>, V. KIRAN<sup>2,\*</sup>, J. NIRANJAN GOUD<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, K.V.R(Women) Government Degree College, Kurnool, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Osmania University, Hyderabad, India, 500007

Copyright © 2021 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract.** In this paper we prove a common fixed point theorem for two compatible self maps of a  $G$ -metric space.

**Keywords:**  $G$ -metric space; compatible mappings; fixed point; associated sequence of a point relative to two self maps; contractive modulus.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 47H10, 54H25.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Sessa[9] introduced the notion of weakly commuting maps as a generalization of commuting maps. Later G.Jungck[4, 5] proposed compatibility as a further generalization of weakly commuting maps.

Among all generalizations[1,2,3,8] of metric spaces,  $G$ - metric spaces initiated by Zead Mustafa and Brailey Sims[6, 7] are noteworthy, as several results are established by many researchers on these.

The purpose of this paper is to prove a common fixed point theorem for two compatible self maps of a  $G$ -metric space.

---

\*Corresponding author

E-mail address: [kiranmathou@gmail.com](mailto:kiranmathou@gmail.com)

Received July 26, 2021

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a non empty set and  $G : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function satisfying

$$(G1) \quad G(x, y, z) = 0 \text{ if } x = y = z$$

$$(G2) \quad 0 < G(x, x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ with } x \neq y$$

$$(G3) \quad G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X \text{ with } z \neq y$$

$$(G4) \quad G(x, y, z) = G(\sigma(x, y, z)) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X \text{ where } \sigma(x, y, z) \text{ is a permutation of the set } \{x, y, z\} \text{ and}$$

$$(G5) \quad G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, w, w) + G(w, y, z) \text{ for all } x, y, z, w \in X$$

Then  $G$  is called a  $G$ -metric on  $X$  and the pair  $(X, G)$  is called a  $G$ - metric space.

**Definition 2.2.** [7]: Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric Space. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be  $G$ -convergent if there is a  $x_0 \in X$  such that to each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a natural number  $N$  for which  $G(x_n, x_n, x_0) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [7]: Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric Space. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be  $G$ -Cauchy if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists is a natural number  $N$  such that  $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n, m, l \geq N$ .

Note that every  $G$ -convergent sequence in a  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  is  $G$ -Cauchy.

**Definition 2.4.** [7]: A  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  is said to be  $G$ -complete if every  $G$ -Cauchy sequence in  $(X, G)$  is  $G$ -convergent in  $(X, G)$

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two self maps of a  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(fgx_n, gfx_n, gfx_n) = 0$  for every sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = t$  for some  $t \in X$ , then the functions  $f$  and  $g$  are said to be compatible.

Clearly commuting pairs of selfmaps are compatible but not conversely.

**Definition 2.6.** A function  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to be a contractive modulus if  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi(t) < t$  for  $t > 0$

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be self maps of a non-empty set  $X$  and let  $x_0 \in X$ , if we can find a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  satisfying that  $fx_n = gx_{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 0$  then  $\{x_n\}$  is called an associated sequence of  $x_0$  relative to the self maps  $f$  and  $g$ .

### 3. MAIN RESULT

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose  $f$  is continuous selfmap of a G-metric space  $(X, G)$ , then  $f$  has a fixed point in  $X$  if and only if there is a contractive modulus  $\phi$  and a continuous selfmap  $g$  of  $X$  such that*

(i)  *$f$  and  $g$  are compatible*

(ii)  *$G(gx, gy, gy) \leq \phi(M(x, y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$*

*where  $M(x, y) = \max\{G(fx, fy, fy), G(gx, fy, fy), G(fx, gy, gy)\}$*

*and*

(iii) *there is a point  $x_0 \in X$  and an associated sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of  $x_0$  relative to the selfmaps  $f$  and  $g$  such that the sequence  $\{fx_n\}$  converges to some point  $t$  of  $X$ . Further  $gt$  is the unique common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$*

*Proof.* To prove the necessary part, suppose that  $f$  has a fixed point, say 'a',  $a \in X$ , then  $fa = a$ . Define  $g : X \rightarrow X$  by  $gx = a$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Now for any  $x \in X$ , we have  $(gf)x = g(fx) = a$  and  $(fg)x = fgx = fa = a$ , giving that  $fg = gf$ , so that  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible. Now let  $\phi$  be a contractive modulus, then  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi(t) < t$  for  $t > 0$  and for any  $x, y \in X$

$$G(gx, gy, gy) = G(a, a, a) = 0 \leq \phi(G(fx, fy, fy)).$$

Further an associated sequence of  $x_0 = a$  relative to the selfmaps  $f$  and  $g$  is given by  $x_n = a$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots$ , and since the sequence  $\{fx_n\}$  is a constant sequence converging to  $a$ , which is a point in  $X$ . Thus the condition (i) (ii) and (iii) of the theorem are satisfied.

Conversely, suppose that there is contractive modulus  $\phi$  and a continuous selfmap  $g$  on  $X$  satisfying the conditions (i),(ii) and (iii) of the theorem.

From the condition (iii) of the theorem, there is an associated sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of  $x_0$  such that  $fx_n = gx_{n-1}$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$  it follows that  $gx_n = fx_{n+1} \rightarrow t$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

From the condition (i) of the theorem and since  $fx_n \rightarrow t, gx_n \rightarrow t$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\text{we have } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(fgx_n, gfx_n, gfx_n) = 0$$

Using the continuity of  $G, f$  and  $g$ , we get  $G(ft, gt, gt) = 0$  gives  $ft = gt$ .

To show that  $fgt = gft$ , take  $z_n = t$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$  so that  $fx_n \rightarrow ft$  and  $gz_n \rightarrow gt$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since  $ft = gt$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible, we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(fgz_n, ggz_n, ggz_n) = 0$ .

Using the continuity of  $G$ ,  $f$  and  $g$ , we obtain  $G(fgt, ggt, ggt) = 0$  and hence  $fgt = ggt$

Consequently

$$(1) \quad fgt = ggt = ggt = ggt$$

If possible suppose that  $gt \neq ggt$ , then  $G(gt, ggt, ggt) > 0$  and hence

$$(2) \quad \phi(G(gt, ggt, ggt)) < G(gt, ggt, ggt)$$

But from (ii) of the theorem and (1) we get

$$G(gt, ggt, ggt) \leq \phi(M(t, gt))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(t, gt) &= \max\{G(ft, fgt, fgt), G(gt, fgt, fgt), G(ft, ggt, ggt)\} \\ &= \max\{G(gt, ggt, ggt), G(gt, ggt, ggt), G(gt, ggt, ggt)\} \\ &= G(gt, ggt, ggt) \end{aligned}$$

That is  $G(gt, ggt, ggt) \leq \phi(G(gt, ggt, ggt))$

which contradicts (2), hence  $gt = ggt$ .

Showing that  $gt$  is a common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

**Uniqueness:** Suppose that  $u = fu = gu$  and  $v = fv = gv$  for some  $u, v \in X$ .

if possible suppose that  $u \neq v$ , then  $G(u, v, v) \neq 0$  so that

$$(3) \quad \phi(G(u, v, v)) < G(u, v, v)$$

from the condition (ii) of the theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(u, v, v) &= G(gu, gv, gv) \\ &\leq \phi(M(u, v)) \\ &= \phi(\max\{G(fu, fv, fv), G(gu, fv, fv), G(fu, gv, gv)\}) \\ &= \phi(\max\{G(u, v, v), G(u, v, v), G(u, v, v)\}) \\ &= \phi(G(u, v, v)) \end{aligned}$$

implies  $G(u, v, v) \leq \phi(G(u, v, v))$  which contradicts (3), hence  $u = v$ , proving the theorem completely.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Suppose  $f$  and  $g$  are selfmaps of a  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$ , if there is a contractive modulus  $\phi$  and a positive integer  $k$  such that*

$$(i) fg = gf$$

$$(ii) G(g^kx, g^ky, g^ky) \leq \phi(M(x, y)) \text{ for all } x, y \in X$$

$$\text{where } M(x, y) = \max\{G(fx, fy, fy), G(gx, fy, fy), G(fx, gy, gy)\}$$

and

(iii) *there is a point  $x_0 \in X$  and an associated sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of  $x_0$  relative to the selfmaps  $f$  and  $g^k$  such that the sequence  $\{fx_n\}$  converges to some point  $t$  of  $X$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  have unique common fixed point in  $X$*

*Proof.* From the condition (i) of the corollary, we get  $fg^k = g^kf$ . Thus  $f$  and  $g^k$  are commuting and hence satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1, and therefore  $f$  and  $g^k$  have a unique common fixed point say  $b$ , then  $g^kb = b = fb$ .

Now  $g^k gb = g^{k+1}b = gg^kb = gb$  and  $fgb = gfb = gb$

this shows that  $gb$  is a common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g^k$ . The uniqueness of  $b$  implies that  $gb = b$  since  $fb = b$ ,  $b$  is a common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

To prove that  $f$  and  $g$  have unique common fixed point, suppose that  $u = fu = gu$

and  $v = fv = gv$  for some  $u, v \in X$ , so that  $g^ku = u$  and  $g^kv = v$ .

This shows that  $u, v$  are common fixed points of  $f$  and  $g^k$ . The uniqueness of common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g^k$  implies  $u = v$   $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Suppose  $f$  is continuous selfmap of a  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$ , then  $f$  has a fixed point in  $X$  if and only if there is a contractive modulus  $\phi$  and a selfmap  $g$  of  $X$  such that*

$$(i) fg = gf$$

$$(ii) G(gx, gy, gy) \leq \phi(M(x, y)) \text{ for all } x, y \in X$$

$$\text{where } M(x, y) = \max\{G(fx, fy, fy), G(gx, fy, fy), G(fx, gy, gy)\}$$

and

(iii) *there is a point  $x_0 \in X$  and an associated sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of  $x_0$  relative to the selfmaps  $f$  and  $g$  such that the sequence  $\{fx_n\}$  converges to some point  $t$  of  $X$ . Further  $gt$  is the unique common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$*

*Proof.* From the fact that the commutativity implies the compatibility of a pair of selfmaps, proof of the corollary follows from the Theorem 3.1 □

### CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

### REFERENCES

- [1] B.C. Dhage, Generalized metric space and mapping with fixed point, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 84 (1992), 329-336.
- [2] S. Gahler, 2-metrische Raume and ihre topologische struktur, Math. Nachr. 26 (1963), 115-148.
- [3] S. Gahler, Zur geometric 2-metriche raume, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. 11 (1966), 665-667.
- [4] G. Jungck, Common fixed points for non-continuous nonselfmaps on non metricspaces, Far East J. Math. Sci. 4 (1996), 199-215.
- [5] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and Common fixed points, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 9 (1986), 771-779.
- [6] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, Some remarks concerning D-metric spaces, Proc. Int. Conf. Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2003), 189-198.
- [7] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims A new approach to genaralized metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 7 (2006), 289-297.
- [8] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, H. Zhou, A Common fixed point theorem in  $D^*$ -metric spaces, Fixed point Theory Appl. 2007 (2007), Article ID 27906.
- [9] S. Sessa, On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations, Publ. Inst. Math. Debre. 62 (1982), 149-153.