



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 3 (2013), No. 1, 177-184

ISSN: 1927-5307

ON THE SET OF TRANSITIVE INDICES FOR REDUCIBLE TOURNAMENT MATRICES

CHEN XIAOGEN

School of Information Science and Technology, Zhanjiang Normal University,
Zhanjiang Guangdong 524048, PR China

Abstract. We obtain the transitive indices set of the reducible tournament matrices of order n .

Keywords: Boolean matrix, Reducible tournament matrix, Primitive exponent, transitive index.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 05C20, 15A18, 15B34, 15B48

1. Introduction

A Boolean matrix is a matrix over the binary Boolean algebra $\{0, 1\}$, where the (Boolean) addition and (Boolean) multiplication in $\{0, 1\}$ are defined as $a+b = \max\{a, b\}$, $ab = \min\{a, b\}$ (we assume $0 < 1$). Let \mathfrak{B}_n denote the set of all $n \times n$ matrices over the Boolean algebra $\{0, 1\}$.

For $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}_n$, if there is a permutation matrix P such that $PBP^T = A$, then we say B is permutation similar to A (written $B \sim A$).

A matrix $B \in \mathfrak{B}_n$ is reducible if $B \sim \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ C & B_2 \end{pmatrix}$, where B_1 and B_2 are square (non-vacuous), and B is irreducible if it is not reducible.

Received November 21, 2012

A matrix $B \in \mathfrak{B}_n$ is primitive if there is a nonnegative integer k such that $B^k = J$, the all-ones matrix. The least such k is called the exponent of B , denoted by $\gamma(B)$.

A matrix $B \in \mathfrak{B}_n$ is called transitive if $B^2 \leq B$. Denote by $t(B)$ the least integer $s \geq 1$ such that B^s is transitive, i.e. $B^{2s} \leq B^s$.

In 1970, Š. Schwarz [1] introduced a concept of the transitive index and gave some results.

A matrix $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathfrak{B}_n$ is called tournament matrix if $a_{ii} = 0 (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ and $a_{ij} + a_{ji} = 1 (1 \leq i < j \leq n)$. Let \mathfrak{T}_n denote the set of all $n \times n$ tournament matrices. Notice that a matrix $T_n \in \mathfrak{T}_n$ satisfies the equation

$$A_n + A_n^T = J_n - I_n$$

where J_n is the matrix of all 1's and I_n is the identity matrix.

Certain properties of tournament matrix have been investigated in [2,3,5,6].

2. Preliminaries

The notation and terminology used in this paper will basically follow those in [4]. For convenience of the reader, we will include here the necessary definitions and basic results in [5,6].

$$\text{Let } \bar{T}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n} \quad (n \geq 3), \quad \mathbb{T}_l = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{l \times l},$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{3m} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{T}_3 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ J & \bar{T}_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ J & \cdots & J & \bar{T}_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{I}_{3m} = \begin{pmatrix} I_3 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ J & I_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ J & \cdots & J & I_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where J is the matrix of all 1's, I_3 is the identity matrix of order 3.

Lemma 2.1 ([3]) Let $T_n \in \mathfrak{T}_n$. Then

$$T_n \sim \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ J & A_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ J & J & A_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ J & J & J & \cdots & A_k \end{pmatrix},$$

where all the blocks J below the diagonal are matrices of 1's, and the diagonal blocks A_1, \dots, A_k are irreducible components of T_n . Let A_i be $n_i \times n_i$ matrix, $1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq n_i \leq n$. Then k and n_i are uniquely determined by T_n .

Lemma 2.2 ([6]) If $T_n \in \mathfrak{T}_n$ and $n \geq 4$. Then T_n is primitive if and only if T_n is irreducible.

It is obvious that 3×3 tournament matrix is not primitive, the primitive exponent of 4×4 irreducible tournament matrix is 9. For $n > 4$, we have

Lemma 2.3 ([6]) If $T_n \in \mathfrak{T}_n$ and $n \geq 5$, then $\gamma(T_n) \leq n + 2$.

Lemma 2.4 ([5]) Let $n \geq 5$, then $\gamma(\bar{T}_n) = n + 2$.

Lemma 2.5 ([5]) If $n \geq 5, T_n \in \mathfrak{T}_n$ is irreducible. Then $\gamma(T_n) = n + 2$ if and only if T_n is isomorphic to \bar{T}_n .

Lemma 2.6 ([6]) If $3 \leq e \leq n + 2$ and $n \geq 6$, then there exists an irreducible $T_n \in \mathfrak{T}_n$ such that $\gamma(T_n) = e$.

3. Main results

It is evident that if $A \in \mathfrak{B}_n$ is primitive digraph, then $t(A) = \gamma(A)$. For primitive tournament matrix T_n , its primitive exponent is determined by Moon and Pullman in [6].

In this paper we obtain results on transitive index of reducible tournament matrices.

Theorem 3.1 Let $T_n \in \mathfrak{T}_n$ be reducible matrix and $n \geq 8$. Then there exists a positive integer $s \leq n + 1$ such that

$$T_n^s \sim A^* = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ J & B_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ J & J & B_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ J & J & J & \cdots & B_g \end{pmatrix}$$

where all the blocks J below the diagonal are matrices of 1's, and the diagonal blocks B_i is zero matrix of order l_i , or \mathcal{I}_{3q_i} , or matrix of 1's of order m_i ($4 \leq m_i < n$), $1 \leq i \leq g$. $0 \leq 3q_i, l_i \leq n$, and q_i, l_i, m_i, g are uniquely determined by T_n .

Proof. It is obvious that the irreducible tournament matrix of order 1 is zero matrix of order 1, the irreducible tournament matrix of order 2 is not exists, and the irreducible tournament matrix of order 3 is isomorphic to \bar{T}_3 . Hence, in Lemma 2.1, the diagonal blocks A_i is zero matrix of order 1, or \bar{T}_3 , or irreducible tournament matrix of order m_i ($4 \leq m_i < n$). Let $A_i \neq (0)_{1 \times 1}$ (if there exists), $A_{i+1} = A_{i+2} = \dots = A_{i+l_i} = (0)_{1 \times 1}$, $A_{i+l_i+1} \neq (0)_{1 \times 1}$ (if there exists). Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{i+1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ J & A_{i+2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ J & J & \cdots & A_{i+l_i} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{T}_{l_i} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{l_i \times l_i}$$

Let $A_j \neq \bar{T}_3$ (if there exists), $A_{j+1} = A_{j+2} = \dots = A_{j+q_i} = \bar{T}_3, A_{j+q_i+1} \neq \bar{T}_3$ (if there exists). Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{j+1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ J & A_{j+2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ J & J & \cdots & A_{j+q_i} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{T}_{3q_i} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{T}_3 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ J & \bar{T}_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ J & \cdots & J & \bar{T}_3 \end{pmatrix}_{3q_i \times 3q_i}$$

There exists that one among $n-1, n, n+1$ is multiple of 3, and set such number be s . Since T_n is Boolean matrix of reducible tournament with order $n (\geq 8)$, $\mathcal{T}_{3q_i}^s = \mathcal{I}_{3q_i}, \mathbb{T}_{l_i}^s = (0)_{l_i \times l_i}$. If A_i is irreducible tournament matrix of order $m_i (4 \leq m_i < n)$ in Lemma 2.1. Then $A_i^s = J$. By Lemma 2.3, the conclusion established and we complete the proof.

Note that $t(\mathbb{T}_n) = 1, t(\mathcal{T}_{3n}) = 3, n > 1$.

Let $T_n \in \mathfrak{T}_n$ be reducible matrix. Then Hence $T_2 \sim \mathbb{T}_2, T_3 \sim \mathbb{T}_3$. We have $t(T_2) = t(T_3) = 1$.

For T_4 . By Lemma 2.1, $T_4 \sim \mathbb{T}_4$ or $T_4 \sim \bar{A}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ J & \bar{T}_3 \end{pmatrix}$, or $T_4 \sim \tilde{A}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{T}_3 & 0 \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Since $t(\mathbb{T}_4) = 1, t(\bar{A}_4) = t(\tilde{A}_4) = 3$, hence $t(T_4) \leq 3$.

For T_5 . By Lemma 2.2, $T_5 \sim \mathbb{T}_5$, or $T_5 \sim \tilde{A}_5 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{T}_2 & 0 \\ J & \bar{T}_3 \end{pmatrix}$, or $T_5 \sim \hat{A}_5 =$

$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{T}_3 & 0 \\ J & \mathbb{T}_2 \end{pmatrix}$, or $T_5 \sim \hat{\hat{A}}_5 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{T}_1 & 0 \\ J & \bar{T}_3 & 0 \\ J & J & \mathbb{T}_1 \end{pmatrix}$, or $T_5 \sim \bar{A}_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ J & B_4 \end{pmatrix}$, or $T_5 \sim \check{A}_5 =$

$\begin{pmatrix} B_4 & 0 \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where B_4 is primitive tournament matrix of order 4. Clearly, $t(\mathbb{T}_5) = 1,$

$t(\tilde{A}_5) = t(\hat{A}_5) = t(\hat{\hat{A}}_5) = 3, t(\bar{A}_5) = t(\check{A}_5) = 9$. Hence $t(T_5) \leq 9$.

Similarly, $t(T_i) \leq 9, i = 6, 7$. Let $\bar{A}_6 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{T}_2 & 0 \\ J & B_4 \end{pmatrix}, \bar{A}_7 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{T}_3 & 0 \\ J & B_4 \end{pmatrix}$, where B_4 is primitive tournament matrix of order 4. It is easy to see that $t(\bar{T}_6) = t(\bar{T}_7) = 9$.

For $n \geq 8$, we have

Theorem 3.2 Let $T_n \in \mathfrak{T}_n$ be reducible matrix and $n \geq 8$. Then $t(T_n) \leq n + 1$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, there exists a positive integer $s \leq n + 1$ such that

$$T_n^s \sim A^* = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ J & B_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ J & J & B_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ J & J & J & \cdots & B_g \end{pmatrix}$$

where all the blocks J below the diagonal are matrices of 1's, and the diagonal blocks B_i is zero matrix of order l_i , or \mathcal{I}_{3q_i} , or matrices of 1's of order m_i ($4 \leq m_i < n$), $1 \leq i \leq g$. $0 \leq 3q_i, l_i \leq n$, and q_i, l_i, m_i, g are uniquely determined by T_n . Obviously, $(A^*)^2 \leq A^*$. A^* is transitive matrix. Hence $t(T_n) = t(A^*) \leq s \leq n + 1$. This completes the proof.

Let $T_n^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ J & \bar{T}_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$, $\tilde{T}_n^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{T}_{n-1} & 0 \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $T_n^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{T}_3 & 0 \\ J & \bar{T}_{n-3} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\tilde{T}_n^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{T}_{n-3} & 0 \\ J & \bar{T}_3 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $T_n^{(1)}, \tilde{T}_n^{(1)}, T_n^{(2)}, \tilde{T}_n^{(2)} \in \mathfrak{T}_n$. By Lemma 2.5, $t(\bar{T}_{n-1}) = n - 1 + 2 = n + 1$ ($n \geq 8$). Hence $t(T_n^{(1)}) = t(\tilde{T}_n^{(1)}) = t(\bar{T}_{n-1}) = n + 1$ ($n \geq 8$).

Theorem 3.3 Let $T_n \in \mathfrak{T}_n$ be reducible matrix and $n \geq 8$. Then

(1) If $n \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{3}$. Then $t(T_n) = n + 1$ if and only if T_n is isomorphic to $T_n^{(1)}$ or $\tilde{T}_n^{(1)}$.

(2) If $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Then $t(T_n) = n + 1$ if and only if T_n is isomorphic to $T_n^{(1)}$, or $\tilde{T}_n^{(1)}$, or $T_n^{(2)}$, or $\tilde{T}_n^{(2)}$.

Proof. (1) Suppose $n \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{3}$. If T_n is isomorphic to $T_n^{(1)}$ or $\tilde{T}_n^{(1)}$, by Theorem 3.3, $t(T_n) = t(T_n^{(1)}) = t(\tilde{T}_n^{(1)}) = n + 1$.

Conversely, suppose $t(T_n) = n + 1$. If there exists B_i that is \mathcal{I}_{3q_i} , $1 \leq i \leq g$, $1 \leq 3q_i$, then set $s = n$ if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $s = n - 1$, if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, in Theorem 3.1. Hence s is

multiple of 3. By Theorem 3.2, $s < n + 1$, and $t(T_n) \leq s < n + 1$. This is impossible. By Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 3.1, $T_n \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ J & A_0 \end{pmatrix}$, or $T_n \sim \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & 0 \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where J is matrices of 1's, A_0 is irreducible tournament matrix of order $n - 1$. By Lemma 2.5, we have that T_n is isomorphic to $T_n^{(1)}$ or $\tilde{T}_n^{(1)}$.

(2) Suppose $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. If T_n is isomorphic to $T_n^{(1)}$, or $\tilde{T}_n^{(1)}$, or $T_n^{(2)}$, or $\tilde{T}_n^{(2)}$, then $t(T_n) = t(T_n^{(1)}) = t(\tilde{T}_n^{(1)}) = n + 1$. It is easy to verify that $t(T_n) = t(T_n^{(2)}) = t(\tilde{T}_n^{(2)}) = n + 1$. Conversely, suppose $t(T_n) = n + 1$.

If there does not exist B_i that is \mathcal{I}_{3q_i} , $1 \leq i \leq g, 1 \leq 3q_i$, in Theorem 3.1. By Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 3.1, $T_n \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ J & A_0 \end{pmatrix}$, or $T_n \sim \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & 0 \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where J is matrices of 1's, A_0 is irreducible tournament matrix of order $n - 1$. By Lemma 2.5, we have that T_n is isomorphic to $T_n^{(1)}$ or $\tilde{T}_n^{(1)}$.

If there exists B_i that is \mathcal{I}_{3q_i} , $1 \leq i \leq g, 1 \leq 3q_i$, in Theorem 3.1. By Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 3.1, $T_n \sim \begin{pmatrix} \bar{T}_3 & 0 \\ J & A_0 \end{pmatrix}$, or $T_n \sim \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & 0 \\ J & \bar{T}_3 \end{pmatrix}$, where J is matrices of 1's, A_0 is irreducible tournament matrix of order $n - 3$. By Lemma 2.5, we have that T_n is isomorphic to $T_n^{(2)}$ or $\tilde{T}_n^{(2)}$. This completes the proof.

Let $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{M}_n$ denote the set of transitive indices of all reducible tournament matrices of order n . It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{M}_2 &= \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{M}_3 = \{1\}, \\ \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{M}_4 &= \{1, 3\}, \\ \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{M}_5 &= \{1, 3, 9\}, \\ \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{M}_6 &= \{1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9\}, \\ \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{M}_7 &= \{1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $n \geq 8$, we have

Theorem 3.4 $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{M}_n = \{1, 3, 4, \dots, n, n + 1\}$, where $n \geq 8$.

Proof. Obviously, $t(\mathbb{T}_n) = 1$. Let $T_n = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{T}_3 & 0 \\ J & \mathbb{T}_{n-3} \end{pmatrix}$. $t(T_n) = 3$, hence, $1, 3 \in \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{M}_n$.

By Lemma 2.6, there exists an irreducible tournament matrix \hat{T}_{n-1} of order $n - 1$ such that $\gamma(\hat{T}_{n-1}) = e$, where $4 \leq e \leq n + 1, n \geq 8$. Let $T_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ J & \hat{T}_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Then $T_n \in \mathfrak{T}_n$ and $t(T_n) = \gamma(\hat{T}_{n-1}) = e$. This completes the proof.

Acknowledgements. This work is supported by the National Science Foundation of Guangdong(No:S2012010009759).

REFERENCES

- [1] Schwarz S, On the semigroup of binary relations on a finite set, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal. 95 (1970), 632-670.
- [2] Bo Zhou and Jian Shen, On generalized exponents of tournaments, Taiwanese J. Math. 6(2002)565-572.
- [3] Richard A. Brualdi, Combinatorial matrix classes, First Edition, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2006.
- [4] Bolian Liu, Combinatorial matrix theory, Second Edition, Science Press, Beijing, 2006.
- [5] Xue-mei Ye, Characterization of the tournament with primitive exponent reaching its secondary value, Journal of Mathematical Research and Exposition. 4 (2007) 715-718.
- [6] J.W. Moon and N.J. Pullman, On the power of tournament matrices, Comb. Theory. 3 (1967) 1-9.