

Available online at http://scik.org J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2022, 12:76 https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/7073 ISSN: 1927-5307

WELL-POSEDNESS OF RIEMANN-LIOUVILLE FRACTIONAL DEGENERATE EQUATIONS WITH FINITE DELAY IN BANACH SPACES

BAHLOUL RACHID*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty Polydisciplinary, Beni Mellal, Morocco

Copyright © 2022 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. We study the Existence and uniqueness of solutions of the Riemann-Liouville fractional integrodifferential degenerate equations

 $\frac{d}{dt}(B\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\int_{-\infty}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}x(s)ds) = Ax(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{t}a(t-s)x(s)ds + L(x_t) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}\int_{-\infty}^{t}(t-s)^{\beta-1}x(s)ds + f(t).$ where *A* and *B* are a linear closed operators in a Banach space.

Keywords: Riemann-Liouville fractional; integro-differential equations; *L^p*-multipliers; UMD-spaces. **2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 45N05, 45D05, 43A15.

1. INTRODUCTION

Differential equations play an important role in describing many real-world processes. For many years the models are successfully used to study a number of physical, biological. A particular interest is in differential equations with many variables such as partial differential equations and/or integral differential equations in the case when one of the variables is times. In this work, we study the existence of periodic solutions for the following Riemann-Liouville fractional integro-differential degenerate equations.

^{*}Corresponding author

E-mail address: rachid.bahloul@usms.ac.ma

Received November 14, 2021

(1.1)
$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(B \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^{t} (t-s)^{-\alpha} x(s) ds \right) = Ax(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{t} a(t-s) x(s) ds + L(x_t) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{-\infty}^{t} (t-s)^{\beta-1} x(s) ds + f(t); \quad 0 \le t \le 2\pi$$

where $\Gamma(.)$ is the Euler gamma function, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+, 0 \le \beta \le \alpha$ and $A: D(A) \subseteq X \to X$ and B are a linear closed operators on Banach space $(X, \|.\|)$ such that $D(A) \subset D(B), f \in L^p([-r_{2\pi}, 0], X)$ for all $p \ge 1$ and $r_{2\pi} := 2\pi N$ (some $N \in \mathbb{N}$), $a \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$, L is a linear operator and x_t is an element of $L^p([-r_{2\pi}, 0], X)$ which is defined as follows

$$x_t(\theta) = x(t+\theta)$$
 for $\theta \in [-r_{2\pi}, 0]$.

The operator-valued Fourier multiplier Theorems 2.8 have been used by Keyantuo and Lizama in [19] to establish maximal regularity results for an integro-differential equation in Banach space. The authors consider the following problem

$$x'(t) = Ax(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{t} a(t-s)Ax(s)ds + f(t); \ x(0) = x(2\pi)$$

Maximal regularity for the evolution problem in L^p was treated earlier by Weis [30, 31] (see also [12] for a different proof of the operator-valued Mikhlin multiplier theorem using a transference principle). The study in the L^p framework (when 1) was made possible thanksto the introduction of the concept of randomized boundedness (hereafter*R*-boundedness, alsoknown as Riesz-boundedness or Rademacher-boundedness). With this, necessary conditions foroperator-valued Fourier multipliers were found in this context. In addition, the space*X*musthave the*UMD*property. This was done initially by L. Weis [30, 31] for the evolutionary problem and then by Arendt-Bu [2] for periodic boundary conditions. For non-degenerate integrodifferential equations both in the periodic and non periodic cases, operator-valued Fourier multipliers have been used by various authors to obtain well-posedness in various scales of functionspaces: [7, 9, 10, 19, 25, 20, 21, 27] and the corresponding references. The well-posednessor maximal regularity results are important in that they allow for the treatment of nonlinearproblems. Earlier results on the application of operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorems toevolutionary integral equations can be found in [12]. More recent examples of second order integro-differential equations with frictional damping and memory terms have been studied in the paper [11]

In [8] Bu et al studied the well-posedness of thethird-order integro-differential equations

$$\alpha u^{\prime\prime\prime}(t) + u^{\prime\prime}(t) = \beta A u(t) + \beta \int_{-\infty}^{t} a(t-s) A x(s) ds + \gamma B u^{\prime}(t) + f(t),$$

with periodic boundary conditions $u(0) = u(2\pi), u'(0) = u'(2\pi), u''(0) = u''(2\pi)$.

In [22], S.Koumla, Kh.Ezzinbi, R.Bahloul established mild solutions for some partial functional integrodifferential equations with finite delay

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = Ax(t) + \int_0^t B(t-s)x(s)ds + f(t,x_t) + h(t,x_t)$$

where $A : D(A)X \to X$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on a Banach space X, for $t \geq 0, B(t)$ is a closed linear operator with domain $D(B) \supset D(A)$.

This work is organized as follows : In Section 2 we collect some preliminary results and definitions. In section 3, we study the existence and uniqueness of strong L^p -solution of the Eq. (1.1) solely in terms of a property of R-boundedness for the sequence of operators $(ik)^{\alpha}((ik)^{\alpha}I - A - L_k - \tilde{a}(ik) - (ik)^{-\beta}I)^{-1}$. We optain that the following assertion are equivalent in UMD space :

- (1): $((ik)^{\alpha}B A L_k \tilde{a}(ik) (ik)^{-\beta}I)$ is invertible and $\{((ik)^{\alpha}((ik)^{\alpha}B - A - L_k - \tilde{a}(ik) - (ik)^{-\beta}I)^{-1}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is R-bounded.
- (2): For every $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T};X)$ there exist a unique function $u \in H^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{T};X)$ such that $u \in D(A)$ and equation (1.1) holds for a.e $t \in [0, 2\pi]$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we collect some results and definitions that will be used in the sequel. Let *X* be a complex Banach space. We denote as usual by $L^1(0, 2\pi, X)$ the space of Bochner integrable functions with values in *X*. For a function $f \in L^1(0, 2\pi; X)$, we denote by $\hat{f}(k), k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the *k*th Fourier coefficient of *f*:

$$\hat{f}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e_{-k}(t) f(t) dt,$$

where $e_k(t) = e^{ikt}, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 2.1. [24]

Let $L: L^p(\mathbb{T}, X) \to X$ be a bounded linear operateur. Then

$$\widehat{L}(u_{\cdot})(k) = L(e_k \widehat{u}(k)) := L_k \widehat{u}(k) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $a \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. We consider the function

$$F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} a(t-s)u(s)ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since

(2.1)
$$F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} a(t-s)u(s)ds = \int_{0}^{\infty} a(s)u(t-s)ds,$$

we have $||F||_{L^1} \le ||a||_1 ||u||_{L^1} = ||a||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} ||u||_{L^1(0,2\pi;X)}$ and *F* is periodic of period $T = 2\pi$ as *u*. Now using Fubini's theorem and (2.1) we obtain, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, that

(2.2)
$$\hat{F}(k) = \tilde{a}(ik)\hat{u}(k), k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where $\tilde{a}(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} a(t) dt$ denotes the Laplace transform of *a*. This identity plays a crucial role in the paper.

Let *X*, *Y* be Banach spaces. We denote by $\mathscr{L}(X, Y)$ the set of all bounded linear operators from *X* to *Y*. When *X* = *Y*, we write simply $\mathscr{L}(X)$.

Proposition 2.2 ([2, Fejer's Theorem]). Let $f \in L^p(0, 2\pi; X)$), then one has

$$f = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{k=-m}^{m} e_k \hat{f}(k)$$

with convergence in $L^p(0, 2\pi; Y)$.

R-boundedness-UMD space, L^p -multiplier and Riemann-Liouville fractional integral. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by r_j the *j*-th Rademacher function on [0,1], i.e. $r_j(t) = sgn(\sin(2^j \pi t))$. For $x \in X$ we denote by $r_j \otimes x$ the vector valued function $t \to r_j(t)x$.

The important concept of *R*-bounded for a given family of bounded linear operators is defined as follows.

Definition 2.3. A family $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathscr{L}(X,Y)$ is called *R*-bounded if there exists $c_q \ge 0$ such that

(2.3)
$$\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{j} \otimes T_{j} x_{j}\|_{L^{q}(0,1;X)} \leq c_{q} \|\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{j} \otimes x_{j}\|_{L^{q}(0,1;X)}$$

for all $T_1, \ldots, T_n \in \mathbf{T}, x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $1 \le q < \infty$. We denote by $R_q(\mathbf{T})$ the smallest constant c_q such that (2.3) holds.

Definition 2.4. Let $\varepsilon \in [0,1[$ and $1 . Define the operator <math>H_{\varepsilon}$ by: for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R};X)$

$$(H_{\varepsilon}f)(t) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\varepsilon < |s| < \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \frac{f(t-s)}{s} ds$$

if $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} H_{\varepsilon} f := H f$ exists in $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}; X)$ Then H f is called the Hilbert transform of f on $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Definition 2.5. A Banach space *X* is said to be UMD space if the Hilbert transform is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$ for all 1 .

Definition 2.6. For $1 \le p < \infty$, a sequence $\{M_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$ is said to be an L^p -multiplier if for each $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}, X)$, there exists $u \in L^p(\mathbb{T}, Y)$ such that $\hat{u}(k) = M_k \hat{f}(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 2.7. Let *X* be a Banach space and $\{M_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be an L^p -multiplier, where $1 \le p < \infty$. Then the set $\{M_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is *R*-bounded.

Theorem 2.8. (Marcinkiewicz operator-valued multiplier Theorem).

Let X, Y be UMD spaces and $\{M_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset B(X,Y)$. If the sets $\{M_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{k(M_{k+1}-M_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ are *R*-bounded, then $\{M_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an L^p -multiplier for 1 .

Definition 2.9. The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator of order $\alpha > 0$ is defined by

$$\mathscr{I}^{\alpha}_{-\infty}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds$$

where $\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t} t^{\alpha-1} dt$, is the Euler gamma function.

Definition 2.10. The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral derivative operator of order $\alpha > 0$ is defined by

$$\mathscr{D}^{\alpha}_{-\infty}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{t} (t-s)^{-\alpha}f(s)ds\right)$$

Those familiar with the Fourier transform know that the Fourier transform of a derivative can be expressed by the following:

$$\widehat{\frac{dx}{dt}}(k) = ik\hat{x}(k), \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and more generally,

$$\frac{\widehat{d^n x}}{dt^n}(k) = (ik)^n \widehat{x}(k), \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

A similar identity holds for anti-derivatives

 $\widehat{\mathscr{I}_{-\infty}^s f}(k) = (ik)^{-s} \widehat{x}(k), \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ $\widehat{\mathscr{D}_{-\infty}^s f}(k) = (ik)^s \widehat{x}(k), \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$

Remark 2.11. If we set $u(x) = e^{ikx}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

1)
$$\mathscr{D}^{\alpha}_{-\infty}u(t) = (ik)^{\alpha}e^{ikx}$$

2) $\mathscr{I}^{\alpha}_{-\infty}u(t) = (ik)^{-\alpha}e^{ikx}$

3. PERIODIC SOLUTIONS IN UMD SPACE

For $a \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$, we denote by a * x the function

$$(a*x)(t) := \int_{-\infty}^{t} a(t-s)x(s)ds$$

with this notation we may rewrite Eq. (1.1) in the following was:

(3.1)
$$\mathscr{D}^{\alpha}_{-\infty}Bx(t) = Ax(t) + L(x_t) + (a * x)(t) + \mathscr{I}^{\beta}_{-\infty}x(t) + f(t) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

we have $\widehat{a * x}(k) = \tilde{a}(ik)\hat{x}(k)$. We define

$$\Delta_k = ((ik)^{\alpha}B - A - L_k - \tilde{a}(ik)I - (ik)^{-\beta}I)$$

and

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Delta) = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : \Delta_k \text{ is not bijective}\}$$

the periodic vector-valued space is defined by

$$H^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{T};X) = \{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{T},X) : \exists v \in L^p(\mathbb{T},X), \hat{v}(k) = (ik)^{\alpha} \hat{u}(k) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$$

Definition 3.1. For $1 \le p < \infty$, we say that a sequence $\{M_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbf{B}(X,Y)$ is an $(L^p, H^{1,p})$ multiplier, if for each $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}, X)$ there exists $u \in H^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, Y)$ such that

$$\hat{u}(k) = M_k \hat{f}(k)$$
 for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $1 \le p < \infty$ and $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbf{B}(X)$ ($\mathbf{B}(X)$ is the set of all bounded linear operators from X to X). Then the following assertions are equivalent: (i) $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an $(L^p, H^{\alpha, p})$ -multiplier. (ii) $((ik)^{\alpha}M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an (L^p, L^p) -multiplier.

We begin by establishing our concept of strong solution for Eq. (3.1)

Definition 3.3. Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T};X)$. A function $x \in H^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{T};X)$ is said to be a 2π -periodic strong L^p -solution of Eq.(3.1) if $x(t) \in D(A)$ for all $t \ge 0$ and Eq. (3.1) holds almost every where.

Proposition 3.4. Let A be a closed linear operator defined on an UMD space X. Suppose that $\sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Delta) = \phi$. Then the following assertions are equivalent :

(i):
$$\left((ik)^{\alpha}((ik)^{\alpha}B - A - L_k - \tilde{a}(ik)I - (ik)^{-\beta}I)^{-1}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$$
 is an L^p -multiplier for $1 (ii): $\left((ik)^{\alpha}((ik)^{\alpha}B - A - L_k - \tilde{a}(ik)I - (ik)^{-\beta}I)^{-1}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is *R*-bounded.$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) As a consequence of Proposition (2.7) (ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $a_{s,k} = (ik)^{-s}, s \in \mathbb{R}, k \neq 0$ Define $M_k = (ik)^{\alpha} (C_k - A)^{-1}$, where $C_k := (ik)^{\alpha} B - L_k - \tilde{a}(ik)I - (ik)^{-\beta}I$. By Theorem (2.8) it is sufficient to prove that the set $\{k(M_{k+1} - M_k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is *R*-bounded. Since

$$k[M_{k+1} - M_k]$$

$$= k[(i(k+1))^{\alpha}(C_{k+1} - A)^{-1} - (ik)^{\alpha}(C_k - A)^{-1}]$$

$$= k(C_{k+1} - A)^{-1}[(i(k+1))^{\alpha}(C_k - A) - (ik)^{\alpha}(C_{k+1} - A)](C_k - A)^{-1}$$

$$= kM_{k+1}[a_{\alpha,k}(C_k - A) - a_{\alpha,k+1}(C_{k+1} - A)]M_k$$

$$= kM_{k+1}[a_{\alpha,k}C_k - a_{\alpha,k+1}C_{k+1} + (a_{\alpha,k+1} - a_{\alpha,k})A]M_k$$

$$= ka_{\alpha,k}M_{k+1}C_{k}M_{k} - ka_{\alpha,k+1}M_{k+1}C_{k+1}M_{k} + k(a_{\alpha,k+1} - a_{\alpha,k})M_{k+1}AM_{k}$$

= $ka_{\alpha,k}M_{k+1}C_{k}M_{k} - ka_{\alpha,k+1}M_{k+1}C_{k+1}M_{k}$
+ $k(\frac{a_{\alpha,k+1} - a_{\alpha,k}}{a_{\alpha,k}})M_{k+1}(a_{\alpha,k}M_{k}C_{k} - I).$

Observe that for $\alpha > 0$ we have that $|(i(k+1))^{\alpha} - (ik)^{\alpha}|$ can be estimated by $(ik)^{\alpha-1}$ uniformly in *k* according to the definition of $|(ik)^{\alpha}|$ and the mean value theorem. This implies that $\frac{k(a_{\alpha,k+1}-a_{\alpha,k})}{a_{\alpha,k}}$ is bounded sequence. Since $ka_{\alpha,k}$ also is bounded for $\alpha > 0$. Since products and sums of *R*-bounded sequences is *R*-bounded [24, Remark 2.2]. Then the proof is complete. \Box

Lemma 3.5. Let $1 \le p < \infty$. Suppose that $\sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Delta) = \phi$ and that for every $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T};X)$ there exists a 2π -periodic strong L^p -solution x of Eq. (3.1). Then x is the unique 2π -periodic strong L^p -solution.

Proof. Suppose that x_1 and x_2 two strong L^p -solution of Eq. (3.1) then $x = x_1 - x_2$ is a strong L^p -solution of Eq. (3.1) corresponding to f = 0. Taking Fourier transform in (3.1), we obtain that

$$(ik)^{\alpha}B\hat{x}(k) = A\hat{x}(k) + L_k\hat{x}(k) + \tilde{a}(ik)\hat{x}(k) + (ik)^{-\beta}\hat{x}(k), k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then

$$((ik)^{\alpha}B - A - L_k - \tilde{a}(ik)I - (ik)^{-\beta}I)\hat{x}(k) = 0$$

It follows that $\hat{x}(k) = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and therefore x = 0. Then $x_1 = x_2$.

Theorem 3.6. Let X be a Banach space. Suppose that for every $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T};X)$ there exists a unique strong solution of Eq. (3.1) for $1 \le p < \infty$. Then

- (1) for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the operator $\Delta_k = ((ik)^{\alpha}B A L_k \tilde{a}(ik)I (ik)^{-\beta}I)$ has bounded inverse
- (2) $\{(ik)^{\alpha}\Delta_k^{-1}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is *R*-bounded.

Before to give the proof of Theorem 3.6, we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.7. if $((ik)^{\alpha}B - A - L_k - \tilde{a}(ik)I - (ik)^{-\beta}I)(x) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $u(t) = e^{ikt}x$ is a 2π -periodic strong L^p -solution of the following equation

$$\mathscr{D}^{\alpha}_{-\infty}(Bu)(t) = Au(t) + (a * u)(t) + \mathscr{I}^{\beta}_{-\infty}(u)(t).$$

Proof. We have $((ik)^{\alpha}B - A - L_k - \tilde{a}(ik)I - (ik)^{-\beta}I)x = 0$. Then

$$(ik)^{\alpha}Bx = Ax + L_kx + \tilde{a}(ik)x + (ik)^{-\beta}x$$

We have $u(t) = e^{ikt}x$. In fact, since $u_t(\theta) = e^{ik\theta}u(t)$ we obtain $u_t = e_ku(t)$. By Remark 2.11 (2),

$$\mathcal{D}_{-\infty}^{\alpha}(Bu)(t) = (ik)^{\alpha}Be^{ikt}x = e^{ikt}((ik)^{\alpha}Bx)$$
$$= e^{ikt}[Ax + L_kx + \tilde{a}(ik)x + (ik)^{-\beta}x]$$
$$= Ae^{ikt}x + L_k(e^{ikt}x) + \tilde{a}(ik)e^{ikt}x + (ik)^{-\beta}e^{ikt}x]$$
$$= Au(t) + L(e_ku(t)) + \tilde{a}(ik)u(t) + (ik)^{-\beta}u(t)]$$
$$= Au(t) + L(u_t) + (a * u)(t) + \mathcal{I}_{-\infty}^{\alpha}u(t)$$

Proof of Theorem 3.6: 1) Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y \in X$. Then for $f(t) = e^{ikt}y$, there exists $x \in H^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{T};X)$ such that:

$$\mathscr{D}^{\alpha}_{-\infty}(Bx)(t) = Ax(t) + L(x_t) + (a * x)(t) + \mathscr{I}^{\beta}_{-\infty}(x)(t) + f(t)$$

Taking Fourier transform. We have $\widehat{\mathscr{D}_{-\infty}^{\alpha}Bx}(k) = (ik)^{\alpha}B\hat{x}(k)$ and $\widehat{\mathscr{I}_{-\infty}^{\beta}x}(k) = (ik)^{-\beta}\hat{x}(k)$ Consequently, we have

$$(ik)^{\alpha}B\hat{x}(k) = A\hat{x}(k) + L_k\hat{x}(k) + \tilde{a}(ik)\hat{x}(k) + (ik)^{-\beta}\hat{x}(k) + \hat{f}(k)$$

 $[(ik)^{\alpha}B - A - L_k - \tilde{a}(ik) - (ik)^{-\beta}]\hat{x}(k) = \hat{f}(k) = y \Rightarrow ((ik)^{\alpha}B - A - L_k - \tilde{a}(ik) - (ik)^{-\beta})$ is surjective.

if $((ik)^{\alpha}B - A - L_k - \tilde{a}(ik) - (ik)^{-\beta})(u) = 0$, then by Lemma 3.7, $x(t) = e^{ikt}u$ is a 2π -periodic strong L^p -solution of Eq.(3.1) corresponding to the function f(t) = 0 Hence x(t) = 0 and u = 0 then $((ik)^{\alpha}B - A - L_k - \tilde{a}(ik) - (ik)^{-\beta})$ is injective.

2) Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T};X)$. By hypothesis, there exists a unique $x \in H^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{T},X)$ such that the Eq. (3.1) is valid. Taking Fourier transforms, we deduce that

$$\hat{x}(k) = ((ik)^{\alpha}B - A - L_k - \tilde{a}(ik) - (ik)^{-\beta})^{-1}\hat{f}(k) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence

$$(ik)^{\alpha}\hat{x}(k) = (ik)^{\alpha}((ik)^{\alpha}B - A - L_k - \tilde{a}(ik) - (ik)^{-\beta})^{-1}\hat{f}(k)$$
 for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

Since $x \in H^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{T};X)$, then there exists $v \in L^p(\mathbb{T};X)$ such that

$$\hat{v}(k) = (ik)^{\alpha} \hat{x}(k) = (ik)^{\alpha} ((ik)^{\alpha} B - A - L_k - \tilde{a}(ik) - (ik)^{-\beta})^{-1} \hat{f}(k).$$

Then $\{(ik)^{\alpha}\Delta_k^{-1}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an L^p -multiplier and $\{(ik)^{\alpha}\Delta_k^{-1}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is *R*-bounded.

4. MAIN RESULT

Our main result in this work is to establish that the converse of Theorem 3.6, are true, provided X is an UMD space.

Theorem 4.1. Let X be an UMD space and $A : D(A) \subset X \to X$ be an closed linear operator. Then the following assertions are equivalent for 1 .

- (1): for every $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T};X)$ there exists a unique 2π -periodic strong L^p -solution of Eq. (3.1).
- (2): $\sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Delta) = \phi$ and $\{(ik)^{\alpha} \Delta_k^{-1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is *R*-bounded.

Lemma 4.2. [2]. Let $f, g \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$. If $\hat{f}(k) \in D(A)$ and $A\hat{f}(k) = \hat{g}(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ Then

$$f(t) \in D(A)$$
 and $Af(t) = g(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 2\pi]$.

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2) see Theorem 3.6

1) \Leftarrow 2) Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}; X)$. Define

$$\Delta_k = ((ik)^{\alpha}B - A - L_k - \tilde{a}(ik)I - (ik)^{-\beta}I)$$

By Lemma 3.2, the family $\{(ik)^{\alpha}\Delta_k^{-1}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an L^p -multiplier it is equivalent to the family $\{\Delta_k^{-1}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an L^p -multiplier that maps $L^p(\mathbb{T};X)$ into $H^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{T};X)$, namely there exists $x \in H^{1,p}(\mathbb{T},X)$ such that

(4.1)
$$\hat{x}(k) = \Delta_k^{-1} \hat{f}(k) = ((ik)^{\alpha} B - A - L_k - \tilde{a}(ik)I - (ik)^{-\beta}I)^{-1} \hat{f}(k)$$

In particular, $x \in L^p(\mathbb{T};X)$ and there exists $v \in L^p(\mathbb{T};X)$ such that $\hat{v}(k) = (ik)^{\alpha} \hat{x}(k)$

(4.2)
$$\widehat{\mathscr{D}_{-\infty}^{\alpha}Bx}(k) := \hat{v}(k) = (ik)^{\alpha}B\hat{x}(k)$$

Using now (4.1) and (4.2) we have:

$$\widehat{\mathscr{D}_{-\infty}^{\alpha}Bx}(k) = (ik)^{\alpha}B\hat{x}(k) = A\hat{x}(k) + \widehat{L(x)}(k) + \widehat{a * x}(k) + \widehat{\mathscr{I}_{-\infty}^{\beta}x}(k) + \hat{f}(k)$$

WELL-POSEDNESS OF RIEMANN-LIOUVILLE FRACTIONAL for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since <i>A</i> is closed, then $x(t) \in D(A)$ [Lemma 4.2]	11
and from the uniqueness theorem of Fourier coefficients, that Eq. (3.1) is valid.	

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- W. Arendt, Semigroups and evolution equations: functional calculus, regularity and kernel estimates, evolutionary equations. Vol. I, 1–85, Handb. Differ. Equ., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2004.
- [2] W. Arendt, S. Bu, The operator-valued Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem and maximal regularity, Math. Z. 240 (2002), 311-343.
- [3] W. Arendt, S. Bu, Operator-valued Fourier multipliers on periodic Besov spaces and applications, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 47 (2) (2004), 15-33.
- [4] R. Aparicio, V. Keyantuo, Well-posedness of degenerate integro-differential equations in function spaces, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. 2018 (2018), No. 79, pp. 1-31.
- [5] J. Bourgain, Vector-valued singular integrals and the H^1 BMO duality, In: Burkholder (ed.), Probability Theory and Harmonic Analysis, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1986.
- [6] J. Bourgain, Vector-valued Hausdorff-Young inequalities and applications, in: J. Lindenstrauss, V.D. Milman (Eds.), Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1988: pp. 239–249.
- [7] S. Bu, Maximal regularity for integral equations in Banach spaces, Taiwan. J. Math. 15 (2011), 229-240.
- [8] S. Bu, G. Cai, Periodic solutions of third-order integro-differential equations vector-valued functional spaces, J. Evol. Equ. 17 (2017), 749–780
- [9] S. Bu, F. Fang, Periodic solutions for second order integro-differential equations with infinite delay in Banach spaces, Stud. Math. 184 (2) (2008), 103-119.
- [10] G. Cai, S. Bu, Well-posedness of second order degenerate integro-differential equations with infinite delay in vector-valued function spaces, Math. Nachr. 289 (2016), 436-451.
- [11] M. M. Cavalcanti, V. N. Domingos Cavalcanti, A. Guesmia, Weak stability for coupled wave and/or Petrovsky systems with complementary frictional damping and infinite memory, J. Differ. Equ. 259 (2015), 7540-7577.
- [12] Ph. Clément, G. Da Prato, Existence and regularity results for an integral equation with infinite delay in a Banach space, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory, 11 (1988), 480-500.
- [13] Ph. Clément, B. de Pagter, F. A. Sukochev, M. Witvliet, Schauder decomposition and multiplier theorems. Stud. Math. 138 (2000), 135-163.

- [14] Ph. Clément, J. Prüss, An operator-valued transference principle and maximal regularity on vector-valued *Lp*-spaces. Evolution equations and their applications in physical and life sciences (Bad Herrenalb, 1998), 67-87, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 215, Dekker, New York, 2001.
- [15] R. Denk, M. Hieber, J. Pruss, R-boundedness, Fourier multipliers and problems of elliptic and parabolic type, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 166 (2003), no. 788.
- [16] G. Da Prato, A. Lunardi, Periodic solutions for linear integrodifferential equations with infinite delay in Banach spaces. Differential Equations in Banach spaces, Lecture Notes in Math. 1223 (1985), 49-60.
- [17] M. Girardi, L. Weis, Operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorems on Besov spaces, Math. Nachr. 251 (2003), 34-51.
- [18] M. Girardi, L. Weis, Operator-valued Fourier multipliers and the geometry of Banach spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 204 (2) (2003), 320-354.
- [19] V. Keyantuo, C. Lizama, Fourier multipliers and integro-differential equations in Banach spaces, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 69 (3) (2004), 737-750.
- [20] V. Keyantuo, C. Lizama, Periodic solutions of second order differential equations in Banach spaces, Math. Z. 253 (2006), 489-514.
- [21] V. Keyantuo, C. Lizama, V. Poblete, Periodic solutions of integro-differential equations in vector-valued function spaces, J. Differ. Equ. 246 (2009), 1007-1037.
- [22] S. Koumla, K. Ezzinbi, R. Bahloul, Mild solutions for some partial functional integrodifferential equations with finite delay in Fréchet spaces, SeMA. 74 (2017), 489–501.
- [23] P. C. Kunstmann, L. Weis, Maximal L_p -regularity for parabolic equations, Fourier multiplier theorems and H^{∞} -functional calculus, Functional analytic methods for evolution equations, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 1855, Springer, Berlin, 2004, 65-311.
- [24] C. Lizama, Fourier multipliers and periodic solutions of delay equations in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 324 (1) (2006), 921-933.
- [25] C. Lizama, V. Poblete, Periodic solutions of fractional differential equations with delay, J. Evol. Equ. 11 (2011), 57-70
- [26] B. de Pagter, H. Witvliet; Unconditional decompositions and UMD spaces, Publ. Math. Besançon, Fasc. 16 (1998), 79-111.
- [27] V. Poblete, Solutions of second-order integro-differental equations on periodic Besov space, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 50 (2007), 477-492.
- [28] V. Keyanto, C. Lizama, Fourier multipliers and integro-differential equations in Banach space, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 69 (2004), 737–750.
- [29] P. S. Kumar, K. Balachandran, N. Annapoorani, Controllability of nonlinear fractional Langevin delay systems, Nonlinear Anal.: Model. Control, 23 (2018), 321–340.

- [30] L. Weis, Operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorems and maximal L_p -regularity, Math. Ann. 319 (2001), 735-758.
- [31] L. Weis, A new approach to maximal L_p-regularity, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. 215, Marcel Dekker, New York, (2001), 195-214.