



Available online at <http://scik.org>
J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2022, 12:77
<https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/7100>
ISSN: 1927-5307

COMMON COUPLED FIXED AND COINCIDENCE POINTS RESULTS FOR RATIONAL TYPE CONTRACTION MAPPINGS IN COMPLEX VALUED S_b -METRIC SPACES

N. PRIYOBARTA^{1,*}, THOUNAOJAM INDUBALA², KONTHOUJAM SANGITA DEVI³,
OINAM BUDHICHANDRA SINGH⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology Manipur, Imphal, 795004 India

²Department of Mathematics, D.M. College of Arts, Dhanamanjuri University, Imphal, 795004 India

³Department of Mathematics, D.M. College of Science, Dhanamanjuri University, Imphal, 795004 India

⁴Department of Mathematics, Thambal Marik College, Oinam, Manipur-795134 India

Copyright © 2022 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a new rational type contraction mapping in complex valued S_b -metric space and find some common coupled fixed and coincidence points. Some results are also given as corollaries.

Keywords: complex valued S_b -metric space; coupled common fixed point; coupled coincidence point; rational type contraction.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Azam et al. [1] introduced the concept of complex valued metric space and proved some fixed point results for a pair of mappings for contraction condition satisfying a rational expression. Moreover, Shin Min Kang et al. [2] introduced the notion of complex valued G -metric space and proved contraction principle in this space. In 2014, Nabil M. Mlaiki [3] introduced the

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: ningthoujampriyo9@gmail.com

Received December 19, 2021

complex valued S -metric space and proved the existence and the uniqueness of a common fixed point of two self mappings in this space. Recently, Priyobarta et al. [4] introduced the concept of complex valued S_b -metric space and some topological properties. They also proved some fixed point theorems. Some more results on complex valued can be seen in [5-6].

The concept of rational type contraction is one of the interest for researchers and these can be found in [7-15]. On the other hand, there are various forms of generalization of metric space in the literature. Some of them can be found in [16-24]. The concept of coupled fixed point was introduced by Guo and Lakshmikantham [25]. The concept is further used by various authors in [26-27].

In this paper, we prove some common coupled and coincidence points theorems for rational type contractive mappings in complex valued S_b -metric space.

Let \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Define a partial order \lesssim on \mathbb{C} as follows:

$$z_1 \lesssim z_2 \text{ if and only if } \operatorname{Re}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Re}(z_2) \text{ and } \operatorname{Im}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Im}(z_2).$$

It follows that $z_1 \lesssim z_2$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied :

- (C₁): $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$,
- (C₂): $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) < \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$,
- (C₃): $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) < \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$,
- (C₄): $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) < \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) < \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$.

Particularly, we write $z_1 \not\lesssim z_2$ if $z_1 \neq z_2$ and one (C₂), (C₃) and (C₄) is satisfied and we write $z_1 \prec z_2$ if only (C₄) is satisfied. The following statements hold:

- (1) If $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a \leq b$, then $az \prec bz$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.
- (2) If $0 \lesssim z_1 \not\lesssim z_2$, then $|z_1| < |z_2|$.
- (3) If $z_1 \lesssim z_2$ and $z_2 \prec z_3$, then $z_1 \prec z_3$.

Definition 1.1. [1] Let X be a nonempty set whereas \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers. Suppose that the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, satisfies the following conditions:

- (d₁): $0 \lesssim d(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (d₂): $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (d₃): $d(x, y) \lesssim d(x, z) + d(z, y)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called a complex valued metric on X , and (X, d) is called a complex valued metric space.

Example 1. [5] Let $X = \mathbb{C}$ be a set of complex number. Define $d : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, by

$$d(z_1, z_2) = |x_1 - x_2| + i|y_1 - y_2|$$

where $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$ and $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$. Then (X, d) is a complex valued metric space.

Example 2. [6] Let $X = \mathbb{C}$ be a set of complex number. Define $d : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, by

$$d(z_1, z_2) = e^{ik}|z_1 - z_2|$$

where $0 \leq k \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$ and $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$. Then (X, d) is a complex valued metric space.

Definition 1.2. [4] Let X be a nonempty set and $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. Suppose that a mapping $S : X^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies:

(CS_b1): $0 \prec S(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $x \neq y \neq z \neq x$,

(CS_b2): $S(x, y, z) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y = z$,

(CS_b3): $S(x, x, y) = S(y, y, x)$, for all $x, y \in X$,

(CS_b4): $S(x, y, z) \preceq s(S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a))$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$.

Then, S is called a complex valued S_b -metric and (X, S) is called a complex valued S_b -metric space.

Definition 1.3. [4] A complex valued S_b -metric space (X, S) is said to be symmetric if

$$S(x, x, y) = S(y, y, x).$$

Definition 1.4. [4] Let (X, S) be a complex valued S_b -metric space, let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X .

(i): $\{x_n\}$ is a complex valued S_b -convergent to x if for every $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 < a$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S(x_n, x_n, x) \prec a$ or $S(x, x, x_n) \prec a$ for all $n \geq k$ and denoted by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

(ii): A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called complex valued S_b -Cauchy if for every $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 < a$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S(x_n, x_n, x_m) \prec a$ for each $m, n \geq k$.

(iii): If every complex valued S_b -Cauchy sequence is complex valued S_b -convergent in (X, S) , then (X, S) is said to be complex valued S_b -complete.

Proposition 1.1. [4] Let (X, S) be a complex valued S_b -metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then $\{x_n\}$ is complex valued S_b -convergent to x if and only if $|S(x_n, x_n, x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ or $|S(x, x, x_n)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1.2. [4] Let (X, S) be a complex valued S_b -metric space, then for a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X and point $x \in X$, the following are equivalent

- (1): $\{x_n\}$ is a complex valued S_b -convergent to x .
- (2): $|S(x_n, x_n, x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1.3. [4] Let (X, S) be a complex valued S_b -metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then, $\{x_n\}$ is complex valued S_b -Cauchy sequence if and only if $|S(x_n, x_m, x_l)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 1.5. [25] An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a

- (1) coupled fixed point of a mapping $A : X \times X \rightarrow X$ if $x = A(x, y)$ and $y = A(y, x)$;
- (2) coupled common fixed point of two mappings $A, B : X \times X \rightarrow X$ if $x = A(x, y) = B(x, y)$ and $y = A(y, x) = B(y, x)$.

Definition 1.6. [25, 26] Let X be a nonempty set. An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called

- i) a coupled fixed point of the mapping $f : X \times X \rightarrow X$ if $x = f(x, y)$ and $y = f(y, x)$.
- ii) a coupled coincidence point of mappings $f : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ if $T(x) = f(x, y)$ and $T(y) = f(y, x)$.
- iii) a common coupled fixed point of mappings $f : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ if $x = T(x) = f(x, y)$ and $y = T(y) = f(y, x)$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Now we prove the following theorems

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X, S) be a complete complex valued symmetric S_b -metric space with parameter $s \geq 1$ and let the mappings $f, g : X^2 \rightarrow X$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(u, v)) &\lesssim a_1 \frac{S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)}{2} \\
 &+ a_2 \frac{S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(u, v))S(x, x, u)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} \\
 &+ a_3 \frac{S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(u, v))S(y, y, v)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} \\
 &+ a_4 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(x, x, u)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} \\
 &+ a_5 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(y, y, v)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} \\
 &+ a_6 \frac{S(u, u, g(u, v))S(x, x, u)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} \\
 &+ a_7 \frac{S(u, u, g(u, v))S(y, y, v)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1}$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ and $a_i \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^7 a_i < 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$ and $s < \frac{1-a_2-a_3-a_6-a_7}{a_1+a_4+a_5}$. Then f and g have a unique common coupled fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $x_0, y_0 \in X$ be arbitrary points.

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{2k+1} &= f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}) \quad , \quad y_{2k+1} = f(y_{2k}, x_{2k}) \\
 x_{2k+2} &= g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}) \quad , \quad y_{2k+2} = g(y_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) &= S(f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})) \\
 &\lesssim a_1 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2} \\
 &+ a_2 \frac{S(f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
 &+ a_3 \frac{S(f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +a_4 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}))S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
& +a_5 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}))S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
& +a_6 \frac{S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
& +a_7 \frac{S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
= & a_1 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2} \\
& +a_2 \frac{S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
& +a_3 \frac{S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
& +a_4 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
& +a_5 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
& +a_6 \frac{S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
& +a_7 \frac{S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
\lesssim & a_1 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2} \\
& + (a_2 + a_3)S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + (a_4 + a_5)S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \\
& + (a_6 + a_7)S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \\
\Rightarrow & (1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7)S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \lesssim \left(\frac{a_1}{2} + a_4 + a_5 \right) S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \\
& + \frac{a_1}{2} S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1}) \\
\Rightarrow & S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \lesssim \frac{\frac{a_1}{2} + a_4 + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7} S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \\
& + \frac{\frac{a_1}{2}}{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7} S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})
\end{aligned}$$

(2)

Proceeding similarly one can prove that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Rightarrow S(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}) &\lesssim \frac{\frac{a_1}{2} + a_4 + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7} S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1}) \\ &+ \frac{\frac{a_1}{2}}{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7} S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Adding (2) and (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + S(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}) \\ \lesssim \frac{a_1 + a_4 + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7} [S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + S(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}) \\ \lesssim h [S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})] \end{aligned}$$

where $h = \frac{a_1 + a_4 + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7} < 1$.

Also, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} S(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3}) + S(y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+3}) \\ \lesssim h [S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + S(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2})] \\ \lesssim h^2 [S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})] \end{aligned}$$

Continuing this way, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) \\ \lesssim h [S(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n) + S(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, y_n)] \\ \lesssim h^2 [S(x_{n-2}, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + S(y_{n-2}, y_{n-2}, y_{n-1})] \\ \lesssim \dots \lesssim h^n [S(x_0, x_0, x_1) + S(y_0, y_0, y_1)] \end{aligned}$$

If $S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) = S_n$, then

$$S_n \lesssim h S_{n-1} \lesssim h^2 S_{n-2} \lesssim \dots \lesssim h^n S_0$$

So for $m > n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}(x_n, x_n, x_m) + \mathcal{S}(y_n, y_n, y_m) &\lesssim s[2\mathcal{S}(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + \mathcal{S}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_m) \\
&\quad + 2\mathcal{S}(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) + \mathcal{S}(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_m)] \\
&= 2s[\mathcal{S}(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + \mathcal{S}(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
&\quad + s[\mathcal{S}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_m) + \mathcal{S}(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_m)] \\
&\lesssim 2s[\mathcal{S}(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + \mathcal{S}(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
&\quad + s^2[2\mathcal{S}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \mathcal{S}(x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}, x_m) \\
&\quad + 2\mathcal{S}(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \mathcal{S}(y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, y_m)] \\
&= 2s[\mathcal{S}(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + \mathcal{S}(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
&\quad + 2s^2[\mathcal{S}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \mathcal{S}(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] \\
&\quad + s^2[\mathcal{S}(x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}, x_m) + \mathcal{S}(y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, y_m)] \\
&\lesssim 2s[\mathcal{S}(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + \mathcal{S}(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
&\quad + 2s^2[\mathcal{S}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \mathcal{S}(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] \\
&\quad + \dots + 2s^{m-n-1}[\mathcal{S}(x_{m-2}, x_{m-2}, x_{m-1}) + \mathcal{S}(y_{m-2}, y_{m-2}, y_{m-1})] \\
&\quad + s^{m-n}[\mathcal{S}(x_{m-1}, x_{m-1}, x_m) + \mathcal{S}(y_{m-1}, y_{m-1}, y_m)] \\
&\lesssim 2s[\mathcal{S}(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + \mathcal{S}(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
&\quad + 2s^2[\mathcal{S}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \mathcal{S}(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] \\
&\quad + 2s^3[\mathcal{S}(x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) + \mathcal{S}(y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+3})] \\
&\quad + \dots + 2s^{m-n-1}[\mathcal{S}(x_{m-2}, x_{m-2}, x_{m-1}) + \mathcal{S}(y_{m-2}, y_{m-2}, y_{m-1})] \\
&\quad + 2s^{m-n}[\mathcal{S}(x_{m-1}, x_{m-1}, x_m) + \mathcal{S}(y_{m-1}, y_{m-1}, y_m)] \\
&\lesssim 2\{sh^n + s^2h^{n+1} + s^3h^{n+2} + \dots + s^{m-n}h^{m-1}\}S_0 \\
&< 2sh^n[1 + sh + (sh)^2 + \dots]S_0 \\
&= \frac{2sh^n}{1 - sh}S_0 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in X . As X is complete S_b -metric space, so there exists $x, y \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we will prove that $x = f(x, y)$ and $y = f(y, x)$. On the contrary suppose that $x \neq f(x, y)$ and $y \neq f(y, x)$. Then $S(x, x, f(x, y)) = l_1 > 0$ and $S(y, y, f(y, x)) = l_2 > 0$.

Using inequality (1)

$$\begin{aligned}
l_1 &= S(x, x, f(x, y)) \\
&\lesssim s[2S(x, x, x_{n+1}) + S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, f(x, y))] \\
&= s[2S(x, x, x_{n+1}) + S(f(x_n, y_n), f(x_n, y_n), f(x, y))] \\
&\lesssim 2sS(x, x, x_{n+1}) + s \left[a_1 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)}{2} \right. \\
&\quad + a_2 \frac{S(f(x_n, y_n), f(x_n, y_n), f(x, y))S(x_n, x_n, x)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} \\
&\quad + a_3 \frac{S(f(x_n, y_n), f(x_n, y_n), f(x, y))S(y_n, y_n, y)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} \\
&\quad + a_4 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, f(x_n, y_n))S(x_n, x_n, x)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} + a_5 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, f(x_n, y_n))S(y_n, y_n, y)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} \\
&\quad \left. + a_6 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(x_n, x_n, x)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} + a_7 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(y_n, y_n, y)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are convergent to x and y , therefore by taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get $l_1 \leq 0$, which is a contradiction, so $|S(x, x, f(x, y))| = 0$ which gives $x = f(x, y)$.

Similarly, we can prove that $y = f(y, x)$. Also, we can prove that $x = g(x, y)$ and $y = g(y, x)$. Hence (x, y) is a common coupled fixed point of f and g .

In order to prove the uniqueness of the coupled fixed point, if possible let (p, q) be the second common coupled fixed point of f and g .

Then by using inequality (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S(x, x, p) &= S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(p, q)) \\
&\lesssim \frac{a_1}{2} \{S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)\} \\
&\quad + a_2 \frac{S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(p, q))S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} + a_3 \frac{S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(p, q))S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} \\
&\quad + a_4 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} + a_5 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +a_6 \frac{S(p, p, g(p, q))S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} + a_7 \frac{S(p, p, g(p, q))S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} \\
= & \frac{a_1}{2} \{S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)\} + a_2 \frac{S(x, x, p)S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} \\
& + a_3 \frac{S(x, x, p)S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} + a_4 \frac{S(x, x, x)S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} \\
& + a_5 \frac{S(x, x, x)S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} + a_6 \frac{S(p, p, p)S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} \\
& + a_7 \frac{S(p, p, p)S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow S(x, x, p) \lesssim \frac{a_1}{2} \{S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)\} + a_2 S(x, x, p) + a_3 S(x, x, p)$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 - a_2 - a_3)S(x, x, p) \lesssim \frac{a_1}{2} S(x, x, p) + \frac{a_1}{2} S(y, y, q)$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 - \frac{a_1}{2} - a_2 - a_3)S(x, x, p) \lesssim \frac{a_1}{2} S(y, y, q)$$

$$(4) \quad \Rightarrow S(x, x, p) \lesssim \frac{a_1}{2 - a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3} S(y, y, q)$$

Similarly,

$$(5) \quad S(y, y, q) \lesssim \frac{a_1}{2 - a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3} S(x, x, p)$$

Adding (4) and (5) we have

$$S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q) \lesssim \frac{a_1}{2 - a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3} [S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)]$$

$$\Rightarrow [1 - \frac{a_1}{2 - a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3}] [S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)] \lesssim 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2(1 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3)}{2 - a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3} [S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)] \lesssim 0$$

Since $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 < 1$, $\frac{2(1 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3)}{2 - a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3} > 0$.

Hence $|S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)| = 0$,

which implies that $x = p$ and $y = q \Rightarrow (x, y) = (p, q)$.

Thus f and g have unique coupled common fixed point. This completes the proof.

□

Corollary 2.1. *Let (X, S) be a complete complex valued symmetric S_b -metric space with parameter $s \geq 1$ and let the mapping $f : X^2 \rightarrow X$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} S(f(x, y), f(x, y), f(u, v)) &\lesssim a_1 \frac{S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)}{2} \\ &+ a_2 \frac{S(f(x, y), f(x, y), f(u, v))S(x, x, u)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} \\ &+ a_3 \frac{S(f(x, y), f(x, y), f(u, v))S(y, y, v)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} \\ &+ a_4 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(x, x, u)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} + a_5 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(y, y, v)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} \\ &+ a_6 \frac{S(u, u, f(u, v))S(x, x, u)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} + a_7 \frac{S(u, u, f(u, v))S(y, y, v)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ and $a_i \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^7 a_i < 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$. Then f has a unique coupled fixed point in X .

Theorem 2.2. *Let (X, S) be a complete complex valued symmetric S_b -metric space with parameter $s \geq 1$ and let the mappings $f, g : X^2 \rightarrow X$ satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(u, v)) &\lesssim \beta_1 \frac{S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)}{2} \\ &+ \beta_2 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(u, u, g(u, v))}{1 + s[S(x, x, g(x, y)) + S(u, u, f(u, v)) + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)]} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ and β_1, β_2 are non-negative real numbers with $\beta_1 + \beta_2 < 1$ and $s < \frac{1-\beta_2}{\beta_1}$.

Then f and g have unique common coupled fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0, y_0 be arbitrary points. Define

$$\begin{aligned} x_{2k+1} &= f(x_{2k}, x_{2k}) \quad , \quad y_{2k+1} = f(y_{2k}, x_{2k}) \\ x_{2k+2} &= g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}) \quad , \quad y_{2k+2} = g(y_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \\ &= S(f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lesssim \beta_1 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2} \\
& + \beta_2 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}))S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))}{1 + s[S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})) + S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, f(x_{2k}, y_{2k})) + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})]} \\
& = \beta_1 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2} \\
& + \beta_2 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})}{1 + s[S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+2}) + S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}) + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})]} \\
& \lesssim \frac{\beta_1}{2} \{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})\} + \beta_2 S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \\
& \Rightarrow (1 - \beta_2)S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \lesssim \frac{\beta_1}{2} [S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})] \\
(7) \quad & \Rightarrow S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \lesssim \frac{\beta_1}{2(1 - \beta_2)} [S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})]
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that

$$(8) \quad S(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}) \lesssim \frac{\beta_1}{2(1 - \beta_2)} [S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})]$$

Adding (7) and (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + S(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}) \\
& \lesssim \frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_2} [S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})] \\
& = k[S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})]
\end{aligned}$$

where $k = \frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_2}$.

Similarly, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
& S(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3}) + S(y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+3}) \\
& \lesssim k[S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + S(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2})] \\
& \lesssim k^2[S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})]
\end{aligned}$$

Now, if $S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) = S_n$ then

$$S_n \lesssim kS_{n-1} \lesssim k^2S_{n-2} \lesssim \cdots \lesssim k^n S_0$$

So, for $m > n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}(x_n, x_n, x_m) + \mathcal{S}(y_n, y_n, y_m) &\lesssim s[2\mathcal{S}(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + \mathcal{S}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_m) \\
&\quad + 2\mathcal{S}(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) + \mathcal{S}(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_m)] \\
&= s[2\mathcal{S}(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + 2\mathcal{S}(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
&\quad + s[\mathcal{S}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_m) + \mathcal{S}(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_m)] \\
&\lesssim 2s[\mathcal{S}(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + \mathcal{S}(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
&\quad + s^2[2\mathcal{S}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \mathcal{S}(x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}, x_m) \\
&\quad + 2\mathcal{S}(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \mathcal{S}(y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, y_m)] \\
&= 2s[\mathcal{S}(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + \mathcal{S}(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
&\quad + 2s^2[\mathcal{S}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \mathcal{S}(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] \\
&\quad + s[\mathcal{S}(x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}, x_m) + \mathcal{S}(y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, y_m)] \\
&\lesssim 2s[\mathcal{S}(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + \mathcal{S}(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
&\quad + 2s^2[\mathcal{S}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \mathcal{S}(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] \\
&\quad + \dots + 2s^{m-n-1}[\mathcal{S}(x_{m-2}, x_{m-2}, x_{m-1}) + \mathcal{S}(y_{m-2}, y_{m-2}, y_{m-1})] \\
&\quad + s^{m-n}[\mathcal{S}(x_{m-1}, x_{m-1}, x_m) + \mathcal{S}(y_{m-1}, y_{m-1}, y_m)] \\
&\lesssim 2s[\mathcal{S}(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + \mathcal{S}(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
&\quad + 2s^2[\mathcal{S}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \mathcal{S}(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] \\
&\quad + \dots + 2s^{m-n-1}[\mathcal{S}(x_{m-2}, x_{m-2}, x_{m-1}) + \mathcal{S}(y_{m-2}, y_{m-2}, y_{m-1})] \\
&\quad + 2s^{m-n}[\mathcal{S}(x_{m-1}, x_{m-1}, x_m) + \mathcal{S}(y_{m-1}, y_{m-1}, y_m)] \\
&\lesssim 2\{sk^n + s^2k^{n+1} + \dots + s^{m-n}k^{m-1}\}S_0 \\
&< 2sk^n[1 + sk + (sk)^2 + \dots]S_0 \\
&= \frac{2sk^n}{1 - sk}S_0 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in X . Since X is complete S_b -metric space, there exist $x, y \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, we will show that $x = f(x, y)$ and $y = f(y, x)$. Suppose on contrary that $x \neq f(x, y)$ and $y \neq f(y, x)$, so that $S(x, x, f(x, y)) = l_1 > 0$ and $S(y, y, f(y, x)) = l_2 > 0$. Consider the following and using inequality (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
l_1 &= S(x, x, f(x, y)) \\
&\lesssim s[2S(x, x, x_{n+1}) + S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, f(x, y))] \\
&= sS(x, x, x_{n+1}) + sS(f(x_n, y_n), f(x_n, y_n), f(x, y)) \\
&\lesssim sS(x, x, x_{n+1}) + s \left[\beta_1 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)}{2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \beta_2 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, f(x_n, y_n))S(x, x, f(x, y))}{1 + s[S(x_n, x_n, f(x, y)) + S(x, x, f(x_n, y_n)) + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)]} \right] \\
&= sS(x, x, x_{n+1}) + \frac{s\beta_1}{2} [S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)] \\
&\quad + \beta_2 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})S(x, x, f(x, y))}{1 + s[S(x_n, x_n, f(x, y)) + S(x, x, x_{n+1}) + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)]}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$S(x, x, f(x, y)) \leq 0$$

Therefore

$$S(x, x, f(x, y)) = 0$$

which implies that $x = f(x, y)$. Similarly, we can prove that $y = f(y, x)$. Also, we can prove that $x = g(x, y)$ and $y = g(y, x)$. Hence, (x, y) is a common coupled fixed point of f and g .

In order to prove the uniqueness of the common coupled fixed point of f and g , if possible let (p, q) be the second common coupled fixed point of f and g .

Then by using inequality (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S(x, x, p) &= S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(p, q)) \\
&\lesssim \frac{\beta_1}{2} \{S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)\} \\
&\quad + \beta_2 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(p, p, g(p, q))}{1 + s[S(x, x, g(p, q)) + S(p, p, f(x, y)) + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)]}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow S(x, x, p) \lesssim \frac{\beta_1}{2} \{S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)\} \\
& \Rightarrow (1 - \frac{\beta_1}{2})S(x, x, p) \lesssim \frac{\beta_1}{2}S(y, y, q) \\
(9) \quad & \Rightarrow S(x, x, p) \lesssim \frac{\beta_1}{2 - \beta_1}S(y, y, q)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$(10) \quad S(y, y, q) \lesssim \frac{\beta_1}{2 - \beta_1}S(x, x, p)$$

Adding (9) and (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q) \lesssim \frac{\beta_1}{2 - \beta_1} [S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)] \\
& \Rightarrow (1 - \frac{\beta_1}{2 - \beta_1}) |S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)| \leq 0 \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{2(1 - \beta_1)}{2 - \beta_1} |S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)| \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

But $\frac{2(1 - \beta_1)}{2 - \beta_1} > 0$. Therefore $|S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)| = 0$. Which implies that $x = p$ and $y = q$
 $\Rightarrow (x, y) = (p, q)$. Thus f and g have a unique common coupled fixed point. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let (X, S) be a complete complex valued symmetric S_b -metric space with parameter $s \geq 1$ and let the mapping $f : X^2 \rightarrow X$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}
S(f(x, y), f(x, y), f(u, v)) \lesssim & \beta_1 \frac{S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)}{2} \\
& + \beta_2 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(u, u, f(u, v))}{1 + s[S(x, x, f(u, v)) + S(u, u, f(x, y)) + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)]}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ and β_1, β_2 are non-negative real numbers with $\beta_1 + \beta_2 < 1$. Then f has a unique coupled fixed point.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Azam, B. Fisher, M. Khan, Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 32 (3) (2011), 243-253.
- [2] S.M. Kang, B. Singh, V. Gupta, S. Kumar, Contraction principle in complex valued G -metric spaces, *Int. J. Math. Anal.* 7 (2013), 2549-2556.
- [3] N.M. Mlaiki, Common fixed points in complex valued S -metric space, *Adv. Fixed Points Theory*, 4 (2014), 509-524.
- [4] N. Priyobarta, Y. Rohen, N. Mlaiki, Complex valued S_b -metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal.* 8 (2017), 13-24.
- [5] F. Rouzkard, M. Imdad, Some common fixed point theorems on complex valued metric space, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 64 (2012), 1866-1874.
- [6] S. Chandok, D. Kumar, Some common fixed point results for rational type contraction mappings in complex valued metric spaces, *J. Oper.* 2013 (2013), Article ID 813707.
- [7] M.S. Khan, M. Priyobarta, Y. Rohen, Fixed points of generalised rational α^* - ψ -Geraghty contraction for multivalued mappings, *J. Adv. Stud.* 12 (2019), 156-169.
- [8] L. Shanjit, Y. Rohen, Best proximity point theorems in b -metric space satisfying rational contraction, *J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* 2019 (2019), 12-22.
- [9] M.B. Devi, N. Priyobarta, Y. Rohen, Fixed point theorems for (α, β) - (ϕ, ψ) -rational contractive type mappings, *J. Math. Comput. Sci.* 11 (2021), 955-969.
- [10] M.B. Devi, B. Khomdram, Y. Rohen, Fixed point theorems of generalised α -rational contractive mappings on rectangular b -metric spaces, *J. Math. Comput. Sci.* 11 (2021), 991-1010.
- [11] B. Khomdram, N. Priyobarta, Y. Rohen, T. Indubala, Remarks on (α, β) -admissible mappings and fixed points under Z -contraction mappings, *J. Math.* 2021 (2021), Article ID 6697739.
- [12] N. Priyobarta, B. Khomdram, Y. Rohen, N. Saleem, On Generalized Rational α -Geraghty Contraction Mappings in G -Metric Spaces, *J. Math.* 2021 (2021), Article ID 6661045.
- [13] S. Poddar, Y. Rohen, Generalised rational α_s -Meir-Keeler contraction mapping in S -metric spaces, *Amer. J. Appl. Math. Stat.* 9 (2021), 48-52.
- [14] T. Stephen, Y. Rohen, N. Mlaiki, M. Bina, N. Hussain, D. Rizk, On fixed points of rational contractions in generalized parametric metric and fuzzy metrics spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2021 (2021), 125.
- [15] T. Stephen, Y. Rohen, Fixed points of generalized rational (α, β, Z) -contraction mappings under simulation functions, *J. Math. Computer Sci.* 24 (2022), 345-357.
- [16] M. Fréchet, La notion d'écart et le calcul fonctionnel, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 140 (1905), 772-774.
- [17] I.A. Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces, *Funct. Anal.* 30 (1989), 26-37.
- [18] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, A new approach to generalised metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 7 (2006), 289-297.

- [19] T. Stephen, Y. Rohen, N. Saleem, M.B. Devi, K.A. Singh, Fixed points of generalized α -Meir-Keeler contraction mappings in S_b -metric spaces, *J. Funct. Spaces*, 2021 (2021), Article ID 4684290.
- [20] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, A. Aliouche, A generalization of fixed point theorems in S -metric spaces, *Mat. Vesnik*, 64 (2012), 258-266.
- [21] N. Souayah, N. Mlaiki, A fixed point theorem in S_b -metric spaces, *J. Math. Computer Sci.* 16 (2016), 131-139.
- [22] Y. Rohen, T. Džsenović, S. Radenović, A note on the paper “a fixed point theorems in S_b -metric spaces”, *Filomat*, 31 (2017), 3335–3346.
- [23] N. Mlaiki, A. Mukheimer, Y. Rohen, N. Souayah, T. Abdeljawad, Fixed point theorems for α -contractive mapping in S_b -metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal.* 8 (2017), 40-46.
- [24] Y. Rohen, N. Priyobarta, N. Mlaiki, N. Souayah, Coupled fixed point in A_b -metric space satisfying rational inequality, *J. Inequal. Spec. Funct.* 9 (2018), 41-56.
- [25] D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham, Coupled fixed points of nonlinear operators with applications, *Nonlinear Anal., TMA.* 11 (1987), 623-632.
- [26] T.G. Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered cone metric spaces and applications, *Nonlinear Anal., TMA.* 65 (2006), 825-832.
- [27] M. Abbas, M. Alikhan, S. Radenovic, Common coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces for W -compatible mappings, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 217 (2010), 195-202.