



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2022, 12:189

<https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/7221>

ISSN: 1927-5307

MORE CHARACTERIZATIONS ON P_p -COMPACT SPACES USING GRILLS

R.A. RASHWAN¹, ABD EL FATTAH EL ATIK², ATEF HUSSIEN^{3,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Assuit University, Assuit, Egypt

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt

³Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, New Valley University, Kharga, Egypt

Copyright © 2022 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a new class of compactness with grill such as $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ -compact, \mathcal{G} - strongly compact, $\mathcal{G} - \theta$ compact and $\mathcal{G} - P_S$ -compact spaces. Some of their properties and characterizations are obtained. Also, we define and study the concept of $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ -compactness spaces under continuous functions.

Keywords: $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ compact space; $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ -compact subspaces.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 54A10, 54C10, 54D30.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Recently, the characteristics of compactness play a big role in the various applications of topology in various fields Mashhour et al.[4] show and present sets and precontinuous functions. In 2014, Khalaf and Mershkhan [2] inserted P_p -open sets, which are more comprehensive preopen sets, for the purpose of create a profile for P_p -continuous functions. Jafari [16] present the imagine for θ -compact spaces. Mashhour et al. [5] give the imagine for comprehensive compact spaces. Category P_p -compact spaces strictly falls between categories of heavily compact space and θ -compact space, but not balance the compact space. A (Ω, τ) and (\mathfrak{Y}, σ)

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: atef_hussien1975@yahoo.com

Received February 02, 2022

represent topological spaces \mathcal{TS} s without separation axioms are presumably unless otherwise. $\mu \subseteq \Omega$ is called preopen [4] (resp., semi-open [13] and α -open [15]) if $\mu \subseteq \text{Int}(Cl(\mu))$ (resp., $\mu \subseteq Cl(\text{Int}(\mu))$ and $\mu \subseteq \text{Int}(Cl(\text{Int}(\mu)))$). Supplements for these groups are found in these references. $\mu \subseteq \Omega$ is preclopen[3]. Moreover $\mu \subseteq \Omega$ is θ -open [14] if $\forall \epsilon \in \mu, \exists$ an open set $\mu : \epsilon \in \mu \subseteq Cl(\mu) \subseteq \mu$. A preopen subset μ of Ω is P_p -open [2] (resp., P_S -open [1]) if $\forall \epsilon \in \mu, \exists$ a preclosed (resp., semi-closed) set $\eta : \epsilon \in \eta \subseteq \mu$. The supplementing to of a P_p -open set is a P_p -closed. A $\mu \subseteq \Omega$ is pre-regularopen [9] if $\mu = p\text{Int}(pCl(\mu))$. The comprehensive set of all preopen (resp., pre-regularopen, θ -open, P_p -open and P_S -open) of Ω referred to.

In this paper, the main purpose is to present new types of compactness with grill such as $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ compact, \mathcal{G} - strongly compact, $\mathcal{G} - \theta$ compact and $\mathcal{G} - P_S$ compact spaces and some of their characterizations are obtained. Also, the concept of $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ compactness spaces under continuous functions are discussed.

Definition 1.1. [18] Let $\mathbb{U} \subseteq \Omega$ and $\ell \in \Omega$. Then, \mathbb{U} is called a pre-neighbourhood (pre-*nb*d, for short) of ℓ in Ω if there exists $\mu \in PO(\Omega)$ such that $\ell \in \mu \subseteq \mathbb{U}$.

Definition 1.2. [10] A nonempty subcollection \mathcal{G} of \mathcal{S} which carries a topology τ is called a grill on \mathcal{S} if the following are satisfied:

- (1) $\phi \notin \mathcal{G}$,
- (2) If $\xi \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\xi \subseteq v \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, then $v \in \mathcal{G}$,
- (3) If $\xi \cup v \in \mathcal{G}$ for $\xi, v \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, then $\xi \in \mathcal{G}$ or $v \in \mathcal{G}$.

Grill depends on the two functions Φ and Ψ which are generated a unique a grill topological structure (briefly, \mathcal{GTS}) that is finer than τ on \mathcal{S} . It is denoted by $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}$ and is discussed in [7, 8].

Definition 1.3. [7] Let (Ω, \mathfrak{I}) be a \mathcal{TS} and $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \Omega$. A function $\Phi : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, where $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is the power set of Ω , is defined by $\Phi(\mu) = \Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(\mu, \mathfrak{I}) = \{\ell \in \Omega : \mu \cap \mathbb{U} \in \mathcal{G}\} \forall \mathbb{U} \in \mathfrak{I}(\Omega)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. Φ is called the operator associated with \mathcal{G} and \mathfrak{I} .

Definition 1.4. [11] Let \mathcal{G} be define on a $\mathcal{TS} (\Omega, \mathfrak{I})$. $\exists \mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ on Ω is given by $\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{G}} = \{\mathbb{U} \subseteq \Omega : \Psi(\Omega \setminus \mathbb{U}) = \Omega \setminus \mathbb{U}\}, \forall \mu \subseteq \Omega, \Psi(\mu) = \mu \cup \Phi(\mu)$.

Theorem 1.5. [7] Let \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 be two grills on (Ω, Γ) . Then,

- (1) If $\mathcal{G}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{G}_2$, then $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}_1} \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathcal{G}_2}$.
- (2) If $\mathcal{G} \subseteq (\Omega, \Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{B} \notin \mathcal{G}$, then \mathcal{B} is closed in $(\Omega, \Gamma_{\mathcal{G}})$.
- (3) For any subset $\mathcal{A} \subseteq (\Omega, \Gamma)$ and any \mathcal{G} on Ω , then $\Phi(\mathcal{A})$ is $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ -closed.

Remark 1.6. [11] Let (Θ, Γ) be a \mathcal{TS} . Then, $\beta(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma) = \{\mathbb{U} \setminus \mathcal{A} : \mathbb{U} \in \Gamma \text{ and } \mathcal{A} \notin \mathcal{G}\}$ is obviously an open base for $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$.

Corollary 1.7. [11] For any grill \mathcal{G} on a \mathcal{TS} (Θ, \mathfrak{I}) , $\mathfrak{I} \subseteq \beta(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{I}) \subseteq \mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$.

Definition 1.8 ([8, 12, 17]). A subset ζ of a space Ω which carries topology τ with grill \mathcal{G} is said to be:

- (1) \mathcal{G} -open or Φ -open, if $\zeta \subseteq \text{int}(\Phi(\zeta))$,
- (2) \mathcal{G} -regular if $\text{Int}(\Psi(\zeta)) = \zeta$,
- (3) \mathcal{G} -regular open if $\text{Int}(\Psi(\zeta)) = \text{Int}(\zeta)$,
- (4) $\mathcal{G} - \alpha$ -open, if $\zeta \subseteq \text{int}(\Psi(\text{int}(\zeta)))$,
- (5) \mathcal{G} -preopen, if $\zeta \subseteq \text{int}(\Psi(\zeta))$,
- (6) \mathcal{G} -semiopen, if $\zeta \subseteq \Psi(\text{int}(\zeta))$,
- (7) $\mathcal{G} - \beta$ -open, if $\zeta \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\Psi(\zeta)))$.

The family of all \mathcal{G} -open (resp. $\mathcal{G} - \alpha$ -open, \mathcal{G} -preopen, \mathcal{G} -semiopen, $\mathcal{G} - \beta$ -open) sets in a \mathcal{GTS} $(\Omega, \tau, \mathcal{G})$ is denoted by $\mathcal{GO}(\Omega)$ (rep. $\mathcal{G}\alpha\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{GPO}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{GSO}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{G}\beta\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$).

Proposition 1.9. [8] Every \mathcal{G} -open or Φ -open set \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{G} -preopen.

Definition 1.10. [6] Let \mathcal{G} on a (X, τ) be a cover $\{\zeta_\gamma : \gamma \in \Delta\}$ of X . Then X is said to be a \mathcal{G} -cover if \exists a finite subset $\Delta_0 : \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$, $X \setminus \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Delta_0} \zeta_\gamma \notin \mathcal{G}$. A cover which is not a \mathcal{G} -cover of X is named a \mathcal{G}^* -cover.

Definition 1.11. [6] A \mathcal{GTS} $(\Omega, \Gamma, \mathcal{G})$ is \mathcal{G} -compact if \forall open cover of Ω is a \mathcal{G} -cover

2. $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ COMPACT SPACE AND SOME TYPES OF \mathcal{G} -COMPACTS

Definition 2.1. Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G})$ be \mathcal{GTS} and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Omega$. Then, \mathcal{A} is called:

- (1) \mathcal{G} -pre-neighbourhood (\mathcal{G} -pre-nbd for short) of x in Ω if $\exists \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{GPO}(\Omega) : x \in \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.
- (2) \mathcal{G} -pre regularopen if $\mathcal{A} = \text{Pint}(P\Psi(\mathcal{A}))$, such that $P\Psi(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcap \{\mu \supseteq \mathcal{A} : \mu \supseteq \Psi(\text{int}(\mu))\}$.

- (3) $\mathcal{G} - \theta$ open if $\forall x \in \mathcal{A}, \exists$ an \mathcal{G} -open set $\mu : x \in \mu \subseteq \Psi(\mu) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.
- (4) $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ open if $\forall x \in \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{G}PO(\Omega) \exists$ a \mathcal{G} -preclosed set λ in Ω such that $x \in \lambda \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. The complement of a $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ open set is a $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ closed.
- (5) $\mathcal{G} - P_S$ open if $\forall x \in \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{G}PO(\Omega) \exists$ \mathcal{G} -semiclosed set λ in Ω such that $x \in \lambda \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.
- (6) \mathcal{G} -preclopen if \mathcal{A} is both \mathcal{G} -preopen and \mathcal{G} -preclosed. The class of all \mathcal{G} -preopen (resp., \mathcal{G} -pre-regularopen, $\mathcal{G} - \theta$ open, $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ open, $\mathcal{G} - P_S$ open, \mathcal{G} -semiclosed and \mathcal{G} -preclosed of Ω is denoted by $\mathcal{G}PO(\Omega)$ (resp., $\mathcal{G}PRO(\Omega), \mathcal{G}\theta O(\Omega), \mathcal{G}P_p O(\Omega), \mathcal{G}P_S O(\Omega), \mathcal{G}SC(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{G}PC(\Omega)$).

Remark 2.2.

- (1) Each \mathcal{G} -regularopen set is $\mathcal{G} - P_S$ open.
- (2) Each $\mathcal{G} - \theta$ open set is $\mathcal{G} - P_S$ open.

From Definition 2.1 and Remark 2.2 we have the following implication diagram holds, where no other implication than those displayed, is true in general.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{G} - P_S O(\Omega) & \Leftarrow & \mathcal{G} - \theta O(\Omega) \implies \mathcal{G} - P_p O(\Omega) \\
 & & \Downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \Downarrow \\
 & & \mathcal{G} - O(\Omega) \implies \mathcal{G} - PO(\Omega)
 \end{array}$$

The reverses of the above implication are not verified, in general. These can be shown in the following examples.

Example 2.3. Let $\Omega = \{i, j, \ell\}$ with $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{i\}, \{\ell\}, \{i, j\}, \{i, \ell\}\}$. If \mathcal{G} is grill on Ω such that $\mathcal{G} = \{\Omega, \{i\}, \{i, j\}\}$. Then, $\mathcal{G}O(\Omega) = \{\Omega, \phi, \{i\}, \{i, j\}\}$, $\mathcal{G}PO(\Omega) = \{\Omega, \phi, \{i\}, \{\ell\}, \{i, j\}, \{i, \ell\}\}$, $\mathcal{G}PC(\Omega) = \{\Omega, \phi, \{\ell\}, \{j\}, \{i, j\}, \{j, \ell\}, \{i, \ell\}\}$ and $\mathcal{G}P_p O(\Omega) = \{\Omega, \phi, \{\ell\}, \{i, j\}, \{i, \ell\}\}$. Then $\{i\} \in \mathcal{G}PO(\Omega)$, but $\{i\} \notin \mathcal{G}P_p O(\Omega)$. Also $\{\ell\}, \{i, \ell\} \in \mathcal{G}PO(\Omega)$ but $\{\ell\}, \{i, \ell\} \notin \mathcal{G}O(\Omega)$.

Example 2.4. From Example 2.3. $\mathcal{G}O(\Omega) = \{\Omega, \phi, \{i\}, \{i, j\}\}$, then $\mathcal{G}\theta O(\Omega) = \{\Omega, \phi, \{i, j\}\}$, also $\mathcal{G}P_p O(\Omega) = \{\Omega, \phi, \{\ell\}, \{i, j\}, \{i, \ell\}\}$. Hence $\{\ell\}, \{i, \ell\} \in \mathcal{G}P_p O(\Omega)$ but $\{\ell\}, \{i, \ell\} \notin \mathcal{G}\theta O(\Omega)$. Also $\{i\} \in \mathcal{G}O(\Omega)$ but $\{i\} \notin \mathcal{G}\theta O(\Omega)$.

Example 2.5. From Example 2.3. $\mathcal{G}SC(\Omega) = P(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{G}PO(\Omega) = \{\Omega, \phi, \{i\}, \{\ell\}, \{i, j\}, \{i, \ell\}\}$, implies that $\mathcal{G}PSO(\Omega) = \{\Omega, \phi, \{i\}, \{\ell\}, \{i, j\}, \{i, \ell\}\}$ and $\mathcal{G}\theta O(\Omega) = \{\Omega, \phi, \{i, j\}\}$. Hence $\{i\}, \{\ell\}, \{i, \ell\} \in \mathcal{G}PSO(\Omega)$ but $\{i\}, \{\ell\}, \{i, \ell\} \notin \mathcal{G}\theta O(\Omega)$.

Definition 2.6. Let $(\Omega, \Gamma, \mathcal{G})$ be $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}$. Then, a space Ω is called:

- (1) Grill locally indiscrete space ($\mathcal{G}LID$ space, for short) if $\forall \mathcal{G}$ -open subset of Ω is \mathcal{G} -closed.
- (2) Pre- $\mathcal{G}T_1$ space if $\forall x \neq y \in \Omega, \exists$ two \mathcal{G} -preopen sets $\eta, \rho : x \in \eta, y \notin \eta$ and $y \in \rho, x \notin \rho$.
- (3) Grill preregular space ($\mathcal{G}PR$ space for short) if $\forall \mathcal{G}$ -preclosed ω and $\forall x \notin \omega, \exists$ disjoint \mathcal{G} -preopen sets η, ρ and $\eta \cap \rho = \phi : x \in \eta$ and $\omega \subseteq \rho$.

Lemma 2.7. A $(X, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G})$ is $\mathcal{G}PR$ space iff $\forall x \in X$ and $\forall \mu \in \mathcal{G}PO(X) \exists \eta \in \mathcal{G}PO(X)$ such that $x \in \eta \subseteq P\Psi(\eta) \subseteq \mu$.

Proof. From Definition 2.6(3). □

Theorem 2.8. Let $(\Omega, \tau, \mathcal{G})$ be $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}$. Then, a space Ω is Pre- $\mathcal{G}T_1$, iff the singleton set $\{\ell\}$ is \mathcal{G} -preclosed $\forall \ell \in \Omega$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) : Let a $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}$ $(\Omega, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G})$ be Pre- $\mathcal{G}T_1$ and $\{\ell\}$ be \mathcal{G} -preclosed set, $\forall \ell \in \Omega$ implies that $\Omega \setminus \{\ell\}$ is a \mathcal{G} -pre-nbd of each of its points, $y \in \Omega \setminus \{\ell\}$ and by Definition 2.6(2) for each $\ell \neq y \in \Omega \exists$ a \mathcal{G} -preopen set $\mu : y \in \mu$ and $\ell \notin \mu$, then $y \in \mu \subseteq \Omega \setminus \{\ell\}$, this leads us to $\Omega \setminus \{\ell\}$ is a \mathcal{G} -pre-nbd of y , it follows that $\Omega \setminus \{\ell\}$ is \mathcal{G} -preopen set in Ω and hence $\{\ell\}$ is preclosed.

(\Leftarrow) : Let $\{\ell\}$ be \mathcal{G} -preclosed set, for each $\ell \in \Omega, y \neq z \in \Omega$. Then, $\{y\}$ is \mathcal{G} -preclosed set also in Ω it follows that $\Omega \setminus \{y\}$ is \mathcal{G} -preopen set and which contains z but not y . Also $\{z\}$ is \mathcal{G} -preclosed set in Ω and $\Omega \setminus \{z\}$ is \mathcal{G} -preopen set in Ω which contains y but not z . This implies that the space Ω is Pre- $\mathcal{G}T_1$. □

Proposition 2.9. If $(\Omega, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G})$ is $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}$, then the following statements are correct if Ω is

- (1) Pre- $\mathcal{G}T_1$ space, then $\mathcal{G}PO(\Omega) = \mathcal{G}PPO(\Omega)$.
- (2) $\mathcal{G}PR$ space, then $\mathcal{G}O(\Omega) \subseteq \mathcal{G}PPO(\Omega)$.

Proof.

- (1) Since Ω is Pre- $\mathcal{G}T_1$, then by Theorem 2.8 every singleton $\{x\}$ is \mathcal{G} -preclosed set. Also for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$, $\forall \mathcal{G}$ -preopen set \mathcal{A} in Ω , implies $x \in \{x\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{G}P_pO(\Omega)$. Then $\mathcal{G}PO(\Omega) = \mathcal{G}P_pO(\Omega)$.
- (2) Let μ be \mathcal{G} -open subset of a space Ω . Then, μ is \mathcal{G} -preopen. If Ω is $\mathcal{G}PR$ space, then by Lemma 2.7, $\forall x \in \mu \subseteq \Omega$, \exists a \mathcal{G} -preopen set η such that $x \in \eta \subseteq P\psi(\eta) \subseteq \mu$. Hence $\mathcal{G}O(\Omega) \subseteq \mathcal{G}P_pO(\Omega)$. \square

Lemma 2.10. *A $(\Omega, \tau, \mathcal{G})$ is $\mathcal{G}TS$ and $\mu \subseteq \xi \subseteq \Omega$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{G}P_pO(\xi)$ and ξ is \mathcal{G} -preclopen or $\xi \in \mathcal{G}PRO(\Omega)$, then $\mu \in \mathcal{G}P_pO(\Omega)$.*

Proof. If $\mu \in \mathcal{G}P_pO(\xi)$, then $\mu \in \mathcal{G}PO(\xi)$, since ξ is \mathcal{G} -preclopen then $\xi \in \mathcal{G}PO(\Omega)$ implies $\mu \in \mathcal{G}PO(\Omega)$, $\forall x \in \mu$, \exists a \mathcal{G} -preclosed set λ in $\xi : x \in \lambda \subseteq \mu$ implies $\mu \in \mathcal{G}P_pO(\Omega)$. On other hand since $\forall x \in \mu$, \exists a \mathcal{G} -preclosed set λ in ξ such that $x \in \lambda \subseteq \mu$ and ξ is \mathcal{G} -preclopen implies ξ is \mathcal{G} -preclosed set in Ω . Since λ is \mathcal{G} -preclosed set in ξ , λ is \mathcal{G} -preclosed set in Ω . Hence $\mu \in \mathcal{G}P_pO(\Omega)$. \square

Definition 2.11. *If $(\Omega, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G})$ is $\mathcal{G}TS$ then Ω is called:*

- (1) $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ compact ($\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP, for short) if $\forall P_p$ open cover $\{\mathbb{V}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of Ω , \exists a finite subset $\Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta : \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} \mathbb{V}_\alpha \notin \mathcal{G}$.
- (2) $\mathcal{G} - \theta$ compact ($\mathcal{G} - \theta$ CMP, for short) if $\forall \theta$ open cover $\{\mathbb{V}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of \mathcal{X} , has $\Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta : \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} \mathbb{V}_\alpha \notin \mathcal{G}$.
- (3) $\mathcal{G} - P_S$ compact ($\mathcal{G} - P_S$ CMP, for short) if $\forall P_S$ open cover $\{\mathbb{V}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of Ω , $\exists \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta : \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} \mathbb{V}_\alpha \notin \mathcal{G}$.
- (4) $\mathcal{G} - p$ closed if \forall preopen cover $\{\mathbb{U}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$, $\exists \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta : \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} \{pcl(\mathbb{U}_\alpha)\} \notin \mathcal{G}$.

Lemma 2.12. *Each P_p -CMP space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G})$ is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP $\forall \mathcal{G}$ on Ω .*

Proof. Let $\{\mathbb{V}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be any P_p open cover of Ω of an P_p CMP space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G})$, then \exists a finite subcover $\{\mathbb{V}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$ of Ω . Since $\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} \mathbb{V}_\alpha \notin \mathcal{G}$, then $(\Omega, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G})$ is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP. \square

Proposition 2.13. *Let $\mathcal{G} = P(\Omega) \setminus \phi$ be a grill on a (Ω, \mathfrak{I}) and space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{G}})$ be $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP. Then, $(\Omega, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G})$ is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP.*

Proof. Let $\{\zeta_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be any $\mathfrak{I} - P_p$ open cover of Ω . Since $\mathfrak{I} \subseteq \mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$, then $\{\zeta_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is $\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{G}} - P_p$ open cover of Ω . Since $(\Omega, \mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{G}})$ is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP, then $\exists \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$ such that $\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} \zeta_\alpha \notin \mathcal{G}$, but $\mathcal{G} = P(\Omega) \setminus \phi$ then $\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} \zeta_\alpha = \phi$. Hence $(\Omega, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G})$ is P_p CMP and by Lemma 2.12, $(\Omega, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G})$ is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP. \square

Theorem 2.14. A \mathcal{GTS} $(\Theta, \Gamma, \mathcal{G})$ is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP iff $(\Theta, \Gamma_{\mathcal{G}})$ is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP.

Proof. (\Rightarrow): If $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ it follows that $(\Theta, \Gamma, \mathcal{G})$ is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP if $(\Theta, \Gamma_{\mathcal{G}})$ is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP.

(\Leftarrow): let $(\Theta, \Gamma, \mathcal{G})$ be $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP and $\{\xi_J : J \in \Delta\}$ be a P_p open cover of Θ . Then $\forall J \in \Delta, \xi_J = \mathbb{U}_J \setminus \mathcal{B}_J$ where $\mathbb{U}_J \in P_p O(\Theta)$ and $\mathcal{B}_J \notin \mathcal{G}$. Then, $\{\mathbb{U}_J : J \in \Delta\}$ is a P_p -open cover of Θ . Hence by \mathcal{G} -CMP of $(\Theta, \Gamma, \mathcal{G})$, $\exists \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$ such that $\Theta \setminus \bigcup_{J \in \Delta_0} \mathbb{U}_J \notin \mathcal{G}$. But, $\Theta \setminus \bigcup_{J \in \Delta_0} \xi_J = \Theta \setminus \bigcup_{J \in \Delta_0} (\mathbb{U}_J \setminus \mathcal{B}_J) \subseteq (\Theta \setminus \bigcup_{J \in \Delta_0} \mathbb{U}_J) \cup (\Theta \setminus \bigcup_{J \in \Delta_0} \mathcal{B}_J) \notin \mathcal{G} \forall \mathcal{B}_J \notin \mathcal{G}, J \in \Delta_0$. Then $(\Theta, \Gamma_{\mathcal{G}})$ is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP. \square

From Lemma 2.12, Theorem 2.14 we have the following implication diagram holds.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Omega, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G}) \text{ is } P_p\text{-CMP} & \implies & (\Omega, \mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{G}}) \text{ is } P_p\text{-CMP} \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ (\Omega, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G}) \text{ is } \mathcal{G} - P_p\text{CMP} & \iff & (\Omega, \mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{G}}) \text{ is } \mathcal{G} - P_p\text{CMP} \end{array}$$

Proposition 2.15. If preclosed cover $\{\mathbb{V}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of a space Ω has a finite subcover $\{\mathbb{V}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\} : \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$, then $\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} \mathbb{V}_\alpha \notin \mathcal{G}$ and Ω is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP.

Proof. If \mathbb{U} is P_p open, then $\forall x \in \Omega, \exists$ preclosed set $\mathbb{V} : x \in \mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{U}$ and $\forall \alpha \in \Delta$ so $x_\alpha \in \mathbb{V}_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{U}_\alpha$ and $x_\alpha \in \{\mathbb{V}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \subseteq \{\mathbb{U}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$. Since $\{\mathbb{V}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is preclosed cover of a space Ω . Then $\exists \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$ is $\alpha \in \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$ and $x \in \mathbb{V}_{\alpha(x)}, \Omega = \{\mathbb{V}_{\alpha(x_i)} : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\} \subseteq \{\mathbb{U}_{\alpha(x_i)} : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$. Hence, $\Omega = \{\mathbb{U}_{\alpha(x_i)} : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ is P_p cover of Ω and Ω is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP. \square

Definition 2.16. Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G})$ be \mathcal{GTS} . Then, $(\Omega, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G})$ is \mathcal{G} -strongly compact ($\mathcal{G} - SCMP$, for short) if each cover of Ω by preopen sets has a finite subcover $\Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta : \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} \mathbb{V}_\alpha \notin \mathcal{G}$.

Lemma 2.17.

(1) Each Ω is \mathcal{G} – SCMP is $\mathcal{G} – P_p$ CMP.

(2) Each $\mathcal{G} – SCMP$ is \mathcal{G} –CMP.

Proof. It is clearly because each $\mathcal{G} – P_p$ open set is \mathcal{G} –preopen and each \mathcal{G} –open set is \mathcal{G} –preopen □

Lemma 2.18. Every $\mathcal{G} – P_p$ CMP space is $\mathcal{G} – \theta$ CMP.

Proof. Clear because each $\mathcal{G} – \theta$ open set is $\mathcal{G} – P_p$ open. □

From Lemma 2.17 and Lemma 2.18 is established in the below diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G} – SCMP & \implies & \mathcal{G} – P_p \text{CMP} \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ \mathcal{G} – \text{CMP} & \implies & \mathcal{G} – \theta \text{CMP} \end{array}$$

The reverses of the above implication are not verified, in general.

Lemma 2.19. Let Ω be a \mathcal{G} PR space. If Ω is $\mathcal{G} – P_p$ CMP, then Ω is \mathcal{G} –CMP.

Proof. It is clearly from Proposition 2.9(2). □

Theorem 2.20. A $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}$ $(\Upsilon, \mathfrak{J}, \mathcal{G})$ hence every Pre- $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{G} – P_p$ CMP space is $\mathcal{G} – SCMP$.

Proof. Let Υ be a Pre- $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{T}_1$, $\mathcal{G} – P_p$ CMP space and $\{\mathbb{V}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be any preopen cover of Υ . Hence, $\forall \ell \in \Upsilon, \exists \alpha(\ell) \in \Delta : \ell \in \mathbb{V}_{\alpha(\ell)}$. Since Υ is Pre- $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{T}_1$ and by Proposition 2.9(1), the family $\{\mathbb{V}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a P_p open cover of Υ . Since Υ is $\mathcal{G} – P_p$ CMP, then \exists a $\Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$ of $\Upsilon : \Upsilon \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} \mathbb{V}_\alpha \notin \mathcal{G}$. Thus, Υ is $\mathcal{G} – SCMP$. □

Proposition 2.21. A $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}$ $(\Theta, \Gamma, \mathcal{G})$ is a \mathcal{G} PR space and $\mathcal{G} – P$ closed space, then Θ is $\mathcal{G} – P_p$ CMP.

Proof. Let $\{\mathbb{V}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a $\mathcal{G} – P_p$ open cover of Θ , \mathbb{V}_α is \mathcal{G} –preopen $\forall \alpha \in \Delta$. Since Θ , is a \mathcal{G} PR space, by Lemma 2.7, $\forall J \in \Theta$ and $\mathbb{V}_{\alpha(J)} \exists$ a \mathcal{G} –preopen set $\mu_J : J \in \mu_J \subseteq P\Psi(\mu_J) \subseteq \mathbb{V}_{\alpha(J)}$. Hence $\{\mu_J : J \in \Theta\}$ is a \mathcal{G} –preopen cover of Θ . Since Θ is a $\mathcal{G} – P$ closed space, then

\exists a subfamily $\{\mu_{J_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} : \Theta = \cup_{i=1}^n pcl(\mu_{J_i}) \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n \mathbb{V}_\alpha(J_i)$. Thus Θ is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP. \square

Theorem 2.22. *Let $(\Theta, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G})$ be \mathcal{GTS} . Then, the following conditions are identical:*

- (1) Θ is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP,
- (2) Each P_p cover $\{\mathbb{V}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of Θ , $\exists \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta : \Theta \setminus (\cup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} \mathbb{V}_\alpha) \notin \mathcal{G}$,
- (3) \forall family $\{\lambda_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ closed subsets of $\Theta : \cap\{\lambda_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} = \phi, \exists \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$ of $\Theta : \Theta = \cap\{\lambda_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) from Definition 2.11(1)

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $\{\mathbb{V}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a P_p open cover of Θ . Then, $\{\Theta \setminus \mathbb{V}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a family of P_p closed subsets of $\Theta : \cap\{\Theta \setminus \mathbb{V}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} = \phi$. Since $\exists \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$ such that $\Theta \setminus (\cup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} \mathbb{V}_\alpha) \notin \mathcal{G}$, then $\Theta = \cup\{\mathbb{V}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$. This shows that Θ is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP. \square

3. $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ COMPACT SUBSPACES

Definition 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{GTS} (\Omega, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G})$ and $\varphi \subseteq \Omega$. Then, φ is said to be:*

$\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP subspace of φ if for each cover $\{\mu_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of φ by P_p open subset of φ has a finite subcover $\Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$ such that $\varphi \setminus \{\cup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} \mu_\alpha\} \notin \mathcal{G}$.

Lemma 3.2. *If $(\Omega, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G})$ is \mathcal{GTS} and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Omega$, then \mathcal{A} is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP subspace iff each P_p open cover $\{\mu_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of \mathcal{A} has a finite subcover $\Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$ such that $\mathcal{A} \setminus \{\cup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} \mu_\alpha\} \notin \mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. Clearly from Definition 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.3. *A $(\Theta, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G})$ is \mathcal{GTS} and $\eta \subseteq (\Theta, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G})$, hence every cover of η by \mathcal{G} -preclosed subsets of η has $\Delta_0 : \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$, then η is a $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP subspace.*

Proof. It is similar to Proposition 2.15. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let $(\Theta, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G})$ be \mathcal{GTS} . Then, these conditions are identical:*

- (1) v is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP subspace,
- (2) $\forall \{\lambda_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ closed subsets of Θ , such that $(\cap\{\lambda_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}) \cap v = \phi, \exists \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$ such that $(\cap\{\lambda_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}) \cap v = \phi$.

Proof. It is similar to Theorem 2.22 □

Theorem 3.5. *If a $\mathcal{GTS} (\Omega, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G})$ is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP and μ is both \mathcal{G} -preclopen and $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ closed subset of Ω , then μ is a $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP subspace.*

Proof. Since μ is \mathcal{G} -preclopen, then by Lemma 2.10, $\mu_\alpha \in \mathcal{G}P_pO(\Omega) \forall \alpha \in \Delta$ also μ is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ closed subset of Ω , then $\Omega \setminus \mu \in \mathcal{G}P_pO(\Omega)$, if $\{v_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is cover of μ implies $\Omega = \mu_\alpha \cup \Omega \setminus \mu = \{v_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \cup \Omega \setminus \mu$ is P_p -cover of Ω . Since Ω is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ compact, $\exists \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$ such that $\Omega = \cup\{v_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\} \cup \Omega \setminus \mu$. Hence, $\mu = \cup\{v_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$ and μ is a $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP subspace. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let a $\mathcal{GTS} (\Upsilon, \Gamma, \mathcal{G})$ be $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP and ϱ be both \mathcal{G} -pre regularopen and $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ closed subset of Υ . Then, ϱ is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP subspace.*

Proof. Clear from Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 2.10. □

Corollary 3.7. *Let $(\Omega, \Gamma, \mathcal{G})$ be a \mathcal{GTS} . Then, the condition is hold:*

The finite union of a $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP subspace of Ω is a $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP subspace.

Proof. Let \mathcal{A}_i is $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP subspace of Ω by $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ open sets of $\mathcal{A}_i \forall i \in \Delta$. Then each cover $\{\mu_{\alpha i} : \alpha i \in \Delta\}$ of \mathcal{A}_i by $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ open subset of \mathcal{A}_i , $\exists \Delta_{0i} \subseteq \Delta : \mathcal{A}_i \setminus \cup_{\alpha i \in \Delta_{0i}} \{\mu_{\alpha i}\} \notin \mathcal{G}$. Therefore $\cup \mathcal{A}_i$ is has cover $\{\cup \mu_{\alpha i} : \alpha i \in \Delta\}$ of $\cup \mathcal{A}_i$ by $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ open subset of $\cup \mathcal{A}_i$, $\exists \Delta_{0i} \subseteq \Delta : \cup \mathcal{A}_i \setminus \cup(\cup_{\alpha i \in \Delta_{0i}} \{\mu_{\alpha i}\}) = \cup \mathcal{A}_i \setminus \cup_{\alpha i \in \Delta_{0i}} \{\mu_{\alpha i}\} \notin \mathcal{G}$. Hence $\cup \mathcal{A}_i$ of a $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP subspace of $\cup \mathcal{A}_i$ is a $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ CMP subspace. □

4. $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ COMPACTNESS SPACES UNDER CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

Definition 4.1. *Let two $(\Omega, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G}_1)$ and $(\xi, \sigma, \mathcal{G}_2)$ be \mathcal{GTS} s. Then a function $f : (\Omega, \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{G}_1) \rightarrow (\xi, \sigma, \mathcal{G}_2)$ is called:*

- (1) *\mathcal{G} -pre-continuous at a point $x \in \Omega$ if $\forall \mathcal{G}$ -open set λ of ξ , $f(x) \in \lambda \exists$ a \mathcal{G} -preopen set μ of Ω , $x \in \mu$ such that $f(\mu) \subseteq \lambda$.*
- (2) *$\mathcal{G} - P_p$ continuous at a point $x \in \Omega$ if $\forall \mathcal{G}$ -open set λ of ξ , $f(x) \in \lambda \exists$ a $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ open set μ of Ω , $x \in \mu$ such that $f(\mu) \subseteq \lambda$.*

(3) Almost \mathcal{G} -pre-continuous at a point $x \in \Omega$ if $\forall \mathcal{G}$ -open set λ of ξ , $f(x) \in \lambda \exists$ a \mathcal{G} -preopen set μ of Ω , $x \in \mu$ such that $f(\mu) \subseteq \text{Int}(Cl(\lambda))$.

(4) Almost $\mathcal{G} - P_P$ continuous at a point $x \in \Omega$ if $\forall \mathcal{G}$ -open set λ of ξ , $f(x) \in \lambda \exists$ a $\mathcal{G} - P_P$ open set μ of Ω , $x \in \mu$ such that $f(\mu) \subseteq \text{Int}(Cl(\lambda))$.

Theorem 4.2. *If $g : (\Omega, \mathfrak{J}, \mathcal{G}_1) \rightarrow (\xi, \sigma, \mathcal{G}_2)$, g is a grill continuous, open function and λ is a $\mathcal{G} - P_P$ open set of ξ , then $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a $\mathcal{G} - P_P$ open set of Ω .*

Proof. Let λ be a $\mathcal{G} - P_P$ open set of ξ . Then λ is a \mathcal{G} -preopen set of ξ , $\forall y \in \lambda$, then $\exists \mathcal{G}$ -open η in ξ such that $\lambda \subseteq \eta \subseteq \Psi(\lambda)$. Hence $g^{-1}(\lambda) \subseteq g^{-1}(\eta) \subseteq g^{-1}(\Psi(\lambda)) \subseteq \Psi(g^{-1}(\lambda))$, this implies $g^{-1}(\lambda)$ is \mathcal{G} -preopen set of Ω and let $x \in g^{-1}(\lambda)$, then $g(x) \in \lambda$. So \exists a \mathcal{G} -preclosed set ρ_x of $\Omega : g(\rho_x) \subseteq \lambda$, implies $x \in \rho_x \subseteq g^{-1}(\lambda)$. Hence $g^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a $\mathcal{G} - P_P$ open set of Ω . \square

Corollary 4.3. *If $g : (\Omega, \mathfrak{J}, \mathcal{G}_1) \rightarrow (\zeta, \sigma, \mathcal{G}_2)$ is $\mathcal{G} - P_P$ continuous surjection function and Ω is a $\mathcal{G} - P_P$ CMP, then ζ is \mathcal{G} -CMP.*

Proof. Let $\{\mathbb{U}_i : i \in \Delta\}$ be any cover of $g(\mu)$ by $\mathcal{G} - P_P$ open sets of $\zeta \forall x \in \mu$, $\exists i(x) \in \Delta : g(x) \in \mathbb{U}_{i(x)}$. Since g is $\mathcal{G} - P_P$ continuous \exists a $\mathcal{G} - P_P$ open set \mathbb{V}_x of Ω containing x such that $g(\mathbb{V}_x) \subseteq \mathbb{U}_{i(x)}$. Then $\{\mathbb{V}_{i(x)} : x \in \mu\}$ is a $\mathcal{G} - P_P$ open cover of $\mu \exists$ finite subset μ_0 of μ , then $\mu \subseteq \cup\{\mathbb{V}_{i(x)} : x \in \mu_0\}$ implies $g(\mu) \subseteq \cup\{\mathbb{U}_{i(x)} : x \in \mu_0\}$. Then, $g(\mu)$ is \mathcal{G} -CMP relative to ζ . \square

Proposition 4.4. *If $f : (\Theta, \Gamma, \mathcal{G}_1) \rightarrow (\xi, \sigma, \mathcal{G}_2)$ is a \mathcal{G} -pre-continuous surjection function, Θ is a pre- $\mathcal{G}T_1$ and $\mathcal{G} - P_P$ CMP space, then ξ is \mathcal{G} -CMP.*

Proof. By use Corollary 4.3, 2.20, and Lemma 2.12 \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let function $g : (\Omega, \mathfrak{J}, \mathcal{G}_1) \rightarrow (\xi, \sigma, \mathcal{G}_2)$ be a \mathcal{G} -continuous surjection and Ω is a $\mathcal{G}PR$ space and $\mathcal{G} - P_P$ CMP space, then ξ is \mathcal{G} -CMP.*

Proof. Clear in Corollary 4.3 and Lemma 2.19. \square

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This paper aims to introduce some new types of compactness in terms of grill theory. In future, $\mathcal{G} - P_p$ compact, \mathcal{G} -strongly compact, $\mathcal{G} - \theta$ compact and $\mathcal{G} - P_S$ compact spaces can be applied in many directions and solve some real life problems as in [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26].

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. B. Khalaf and B. A. Asaad, P_S -open sets and P_S -continuity in topological spaces, *J. Duhok Univ.* 12(2) (2009), 183-192.
- [2] A. B. Khalaf and S. M. Mershkhan, P_p -open sets and P_p -continuous functions, *Gen. Math. Notes*, 20 (2014), 34-51.
- [3] A. Kar and P. Bhattacharyya, Some weak separation axioms, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.* 82 (1990), 415-422
- [4] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and S. N. El-Deeb, On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings, *Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt*, 53 (1982), 47-53.
- [5] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and S. N. El-Deeb, Strongly compact spaces, *Delta J. Sci.* 8(1) (1984), 30-46.
- [6] B. Roy and M. N. Mukherjee, On a type of compactness via grills, *Math. Recher.* 59 (2007), 113-120.
- [7] B. Roy and M. N. Mukherjee, On a typical topology induced by a grill, *Soochow J. Math.* 33(4) (2007), 771-786.
- [8] E. Hatir and S. Jafari, On some new classes of sets and a new decomposition of continuity via grills, *J. Adv. Math. Stud.* 3(1) (2010), 33-41.
- [9] G. B. Navalagi, Pre-neighbourhoods, *The Mathematics Education*, 32(4) (1998), 201-206.
- [10] G. Choquet, Sur les notions de filter et grill, *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris*, 224 (1947), 171-173.
- [11] K. Belaid, O. Echi, and S. Lazaar, $T(\alpha, \beta)$ -spaces and the Wallman compactification, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 68 (2004), 3717-3735.
- [12] K. Kuratowski, *Topologies I*, Warszawa (1933).
- [13] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, *Amer. Math. Mon.* 70(1) (1963), 36-41.
- [14] O. Njåstad, On some classes of nearly open sets, *Pacific J. Math.* 15(3) (1965), 961-970.
- [15] N. V. Velicko, H-closed topological spaces, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* 78(2) (1968), 103-118.
- [16] S. Jafari, Some properties of quasi θ -continuous functions, *Far East J. Math. Sci.* 6(5)(1998), 689-696.
- [17] T. Al-Hawary, On generalized preopen sets, *Proyecciones (Antofagasta)*. 32 (2013), 47-60.

- [18] V. Popa, Characterizations of H-almost continuous functions, *Glasnik Mat.* 22(42) (1987), 157-161.
- [19] A.A. El Atik and A.S. Wahba, Topological approaches of graphs and their applications by neighborhood systems and rough sets, *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.* 39(5) (2020), 6979–6992.
- [20] A.A. El Atik and A.A. Nasef, Some topological structures of fractals and their related graphs, *Filomat*, 34(1) (2020), 1–24.
- [21] A.A. El Atik and H.Z. Hassan, Some nano topological structures via ideals and graphs, *J. Egypt. Math. Soc.* 28(41) (2020), 1–21.
- [22] A.M.Kozae, A.A.El Atik, A.Elrokh and M.Atef, New types of graphs induced by topological spaces, *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.* 36(6) (2019), 5125–5134.
- [23] A.S. Nawar and A.A. El Atik, A model of a human heart via graph nano topological spaces, *Int. J. Biomath.* 12(1) (2019), 1950006.
- [24] A.A. El Atik, On some types of faint continuity, *Thai J. Math.* 9(1) (2011), 83–93.
- [25] A.A. El Atik, Approximation of self similar fractals by α topological spaces, *J. Comput. Theor. Nanosci.* 13(11) (2016), 8776–8780.
- [26] A.A. El Atik, I.K. Halfa and A. Azzam, Modelling pollution of radiation via topological minimal structures, *Trans. A. Razmadze Math. Inst.* 175(1) (2021), 33–41.