



Available online at <http://scik.org>
J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2023, 13:6
<https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/7828>
ISSN: 1927-5307

ON Sg^*w -CLOSED SETS AND $ST_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$ SPACES IN WEAK STRUCTURES

H. S. AL-SAAD^{*}, N. S. AL-ZAHRANI

Mathematics Department, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Umm Al-Qura University, Makkah 21955, Saudi Arabia

Copyright © 2023 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In 2011, Császár introduced the concept of weak structure, in the present paper we introduce some new concepts of Strongly g^*w -closed (g^*w -closed, for short) sets in weak structures. Also, we find the relation between this class and the classes of w -closed, gw -closed, and gsw -closed sets in weak structures. We also characterize their basic properties via gw -closed. Finally, we introduce the concepts of $ST_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$ spaces by using the concepts of gw -closed and Sg^*w -closed sets.

Keywords: weak structures; gw -closed sets; strongly g^*w -closed sets; gsw -closed sets; $ST_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$ spaces.

2020 AMS Subject Classification: 54A05, 54C08, 54E55.

1. INTRODUCTION

Levine [8] introduced the concept of generalized closed sets in topological space Y (A subset U of Y is called generalized closed (g -closed, for short) set if $cl(U) \subseteq V$, whenever $U \subseteq V$ and V is an open).

Császár [5] studied certain ideas, including continuity and generalized open sets, and introduced the concept of generalized topology in 2002. Moreover, Császár [6] developed the idea of a weak structure.

Let Y be a non-empty set and $P(Y)$ its power set. A class $w \subset P(Y)$ is said to be a weak

^{*}Corresponding author

E-mail address: hssaadi@uqu.edu.sa

Received November 16, 2022

structure (WS , for short) on Y if and only if $\phi \in w$, a subset U is said to be w -open if $U \in w$ and its complement is called w -closed. He also defined two operations $i_w(U)$ and $c_w(U)$ in WS on Y as the union of all w -open subsets contained in U and the intersection of all w -closed sets containing U . Moreover, he gave some characteristics $c_w(U)$ and $i_w(U)$. The concept of gw -closed sets (in the sense of Al Omari and Noiri [1]) is a special case of gw -closed sets presented here.

In the present paper we introduce some new concepts of Strongly g^*w -closed (Sg^*w -closed, for short) sets in weak structures. Also, we find the relation between this class and the class of gw -closed sets and the class of gsw -closed sets in weak structures. We also characterize their basic properties via gw -closed. Finally, we introduce the concepts of $ST_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$ spaces by using the concepts of gw -closed and Sg^*w -closed sets.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let WS be a weak structure on a nonempty set Y . For a subset U of Y , $c_w(U)$ and $i_w(U)$ represent the closure of U with respect to w , the interior of U with respect to w respectively and the complement of U in Y is denoted by $(U)^c$.

Theorem 2.1. [7] *Let WS be a weak structure on a nonempty set Y and $U, V \subset Y$. Then the following hold.*

- (1) $c_w(U) \cup c_w(V) = c_w(U \cup V)$.
- (2) $i_w(U \cap V) = i_w(U) \cap i_w(V)$
- (3) $H \cap c_w(U) \subset c_w(H \cap U)$ for every $H \in w$ and $U \subset Y$.
- (4) $c_w(G \cap c_w(U)) = c_w(H \cap U)$ for every $G \in w$ and $U \subset Y$.
- (5) $c_w(H) = c_w(H \cap U)$ for every $H \in w$ and U is w -dense.

Theorem 2.2. [6] *Let WS be a weak structures on Y and $U, V \subseteq Y$. Then the following statements are true:*

- (1) $U \subseteq c_w(U)$
- (2) If $U \subseteq V$, then $c_w(U) \subset c_w(V)$,
- (3) If U is w -closed, then $U = c_w(U)$,
- (4) $c_w(c_w(U)) = c_w(U)$,

(5) $y \in c_w(U)$ if and only if $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for each w -open set V containing y .

Theorem 2.3. [6] *Let WS be a weak structures on Y and $U, V \subseteq Y$. Then the following statements are true:*

- (1) $U \supseteq i_w(U)$,
- (2) If $U \subset V$, then $i_w(U) \subset i_w(V)$,
- (3) $i_w(i_w(U)) = i_w(U)$,
- (4) If U is w -open, then $U = i_w(U)$,
- (5) $c_w(Y - U) = Y - i_w(U)$,
- (6) $i_w(Y - U) = Y - c_w(U)$,
- (7) $i_w(c_w(i_w(c_w(U)))) = i_w(c_w(U))$,
- (8) $c_w(i_w(c_w(i_w(U)))) = c_w(i_w(U))$,
- (9) $y \in i_w(U)$ if and only if there is a w -open set V where $y \in V \subset U$,

Definition 2.4. [10] *Let WS be a weak structures on Y . The set U is said to be generalized w -closed (gw-closed, for short) if $c_w(U) \subset G$, whenever $U \subset G$ and G is w -open.*

The complement of a generalized w -closed set is said to be generalized w -open (gw-open, for short). We will be denoted the family of all gw-closed (resp. gw-open) sets in a WS on Y by $gwC(Y)$ (resp. $gwO(Y)$).

Theorem 2.5. [10] *Let WS be a weak structures on Y . A subset U is gw-open if and only if $H \subset i_w(U)$, whenever $H \subset U$ and H is w -closed*

Definition 2.6. *Let WS be a weak structures on Y . A subset U is said to be g^*w -closed if $c_w(U) \subset G$, whenever $U \subset G$ and G is gw-open*

Definition 2.7. [7] *Let w be a weak structures on Y . a subset V is called:*

- (i) a semi- w -open (semi- w -closed) (sw-open (sw-closed), for short) set if $V \subseteq c_w(i_w(V))(i_w(c_w(V))) \subseteq V$.
- (ii) a regular w -open (regular w -closed) (rw-open (rw-closed), for short) set if $V = i_w(c_w(V))(V = c_w(i_w(V)))$.

Definition 2.8. [7] Let w be a weak structures on Y . The intersection of all sw -closed sets containing V is called the sw -closure of V and it is denoted by $sc_w(V)$.

3. STRONGLY g^*w -CLOSED AND STRONGLY g^*w -OPEN SETS

Definition 3.1. Let WS be a weak structures on Y . A subset U is said to be strongly g^*w -closed (Sg^*w -closed, for short) set if $c_w(i_w(U)) \subseteq G$ whenever $U \subseteq G$ and G is gw -open.

The complement of a Sg^*w -closed set is said to be Sg^*w -open.

Theorem 3.2. Every w -closed set is Sg^*w -closed set.

Proof. Let WS be a weak structures on Y . Suppose that U be w -closed subset and $U \subset G$ where G is gw -open set. Since U is w -closed, then $c_w(U) = U$ for every subset U of Y . Therefore, $c_w(i_w(U)) \subseteq G$ and hence U is Sg^*w -closed set. \square

Remark 3.3. The converse of the above theorem need not be true, we show that by the following example.

Example 3.4. Let $Y = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$, $w = \{\emptyset, \{r_1\}, \{r_1, r_3\}\}$, and $U = \{r_3\}$ we can see that U is a Sg^*w -closed set but not a w -closed set.

Theorem 3.5. Let WS be a weak structures on Y . If a subset U is g^*w -closed, then U is Sg^*w -closed.

Proof. Suppose that U is g^*w -closed and let G be w -open set containing U . Then G contains $c_w(U)$ and $G \supseteq c_w(U) \supseteq c_w(i_w(U))$. Thus U is Sg^*w -closed. \square

Remark 3.6. The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 3.7. Let $Y = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$, $w = \{\emptyset, \{r_1\}, \{r_1, r_2\}\}$. Then the set $U = \{r_2\}$ is Sg^*w -closed but not g^*w -closed set.

Theorem 3.8. Let WS be a weak structures on Y . If a subset U is w -open and Sg^* -closed, then it is w -closed.

Proof. Suppose that U be a subset of Y which is both w -open and Sg^*w -closed. Thus $U \supseteq c_w(i_w(U)) \supseteq c_w(U)$ and $U \supseteq c_w(U)$. Since $c_w(U) \supseteq U$, we have $U = c_w(U)$. Thus U is w -closed. \square

Theorem 3.9. *Let WS be a weak structures on Y . If a set U is Sg^*w -closed, then $c_w(i_w(U)) - U$ contains no non empty gw -closed set.*

Proof. Suppose that U is non empty Sg^*w -closed and H be gw -closed subset contained in $c_w(i_w(U)) - U$. Now $H \subseteq c_w(i_w(U)) - U$, this implies $H \subseteq c_w(i_w(U)) \cap U^c$. Since $c_w(i_w(U)) - U = c_w(i_w(U)) \cap U^c$. Thus $H \subseteq c_w(i_w(U))$. Now $U \subseteq H^c$, this implies $H \subseteq U^c$. Here H^c is gw -open and U is Sg^*w -closed, we have $c_w(i_w(U)) \subseteq H^c$. Thus $H \subseteq (c_w(i_w(U)))^c$. Hence $H \subseteq (c_w(i_w(U))) \cap (c_w(i_w(U)))^c = \phi$. Therefore $H = \phi$ implies $c_w(i_w(U)) - U$ contains no non empty gw -closed sets. \square

Remark 3.10. *The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.*

Example 3.11. *Let $Y = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$, $w = \{\phi, \{r_1\}, \{r_1, r_2\}\}$. Then the set $U = \{r_2\}$ is Sg^*w -closed but not g^*w -closed set.*

Theorem 3.12. *Let H is Sg^*w -closed set relative to V in weak structures WS and that both w -open and Sg^*w -closed subset of Y where $H \subseteq V \subseteq Y$, then H is Sg^*w -closed set relative to Y .*

Proof. . Let $H \subseteq G$ and G be a gw -open set. If $H \subseteq V \subseteq Y$, then $H \subseteq V$ and $H \subseteq G$. This implies $H \subseteq V \cap G$ and since H is Sg^*w -closed relative to V , $c_w(i_w(H)) \subseteq V \cap G$. This mean $V \cap c_w(i_w(H)) \subseteq V \cap G$ and this implies $V \cap (c_w(i_w(H))) \subseteq G$. Thus $(V \cap (c_w(i_w(H)))) \cup (c_w(i_w(H)))^c \subseteq G \cup (c_w(i_w(H)))^c$ implies $V \cup (c_w(i_w(H)))^c \subseteq G \cup (c_w(i_w(H)))^c$. Since V is Sg^*w -closed, we have $(c_w(i_w(V))) \subseteq G \cup (c_w(i_w(H)))^c$. Also $H \subseteq V$ implies $c_w(i_w(H)) \subseteq c_w(i_w(V))$. Thus $c_w(i_w(H)) \subseteq c_w(i_w(V)) \subseteq G \cup (c_w(i_w(H)))^c$. Therefore H is Sg^*w -closed set relative to Y . \square

Corrollary 3.13. *Let V be Sg^*w -closed and suppose that U is gw -closed then $V \cap U$ is Sg^*w -closed set.*

Proof. To show that $V \cap U$ is Sg^*w -closed, we have to show $c_w(i_w(V \cap U)) \subseteq G$ whenever $V \cap U \subseteq G$ and G is gw -open. $V \cap U$ is gw -closed in V and so Sg^*w -closed in V . By the above theorem $V \cap U$ is Sg^*w -closed in Y . Since $V \cap U \subseteq V \subseteq Y$. \square

Theorem 3.14. *If V is Sg^*w -closed and $V \subseteq H \subseteq c_w(i_w(V))$, then H is Sg^*w -closed.*

Proof. Suppose that G is gw -open such that $H \subseteq G$, then $V \subseteq G$. Since $V \subseteq G$ and V is Sg^*w -closed, then $c_w(i_w(V)) \subseteq G$. Now $H \subseteq c_w(i_w(V))$, and so $c_w(i_w(H)) \subseteq c_w(i_w(V)) \subseteq V$. Thus H is Sg^*w -closed set. \square

Definition 3.15. *Let WS be a weak structures on Y . A subset V is called a generalized semi- w -closed (gsw-closed, for short) set if $sc_w(V) \subseteq U$ whenever $V \subseteq U$ and U is w -open. The complement of gsw-closed set is gsw-open set.*

Theorem 3.16. *Let WS be a weak structures on Y . Every Sg^*w -closed set in Y is gsw-closed in Y .*

Proof. Let WS be a weak structures on Y and V be a Sg^*w -closed set in Y . Suppose that U be a w -open set, then $V \subseteq U$. Since V is Sg^*w -closed, and every w -open set is gw -open set, this implies $c_w(i_w(V)) \subseteq U$. Thus $V \cup c_w(i_w(V)) \subseteq V \cup U$, and so $sc_w(V) \subseteq U$. Hence V is gsw-closed set in Y . \square

Remark 3.17. *Let WS be a weak structures on Y , gsw-closed set is not always be Sg^*w -closed set in Y , as we can see in the following example.*

Example 3.18. *Let $Y = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$ with $w = \{\emptyset, \{r_2\}\}$. Then $U = \{r_2\}$ is gsw-closed sets but not Sg^*w -closed.*

Definition 3.19. *Let WS be a weak structures on Y . A subset V is called a regular generalized- w -closed (rgw-closed, for short) set if $c_w(V) \subseteq U$ whenever $V \subseteq U$ and U is rw -open. The complement of rgw-closed set is rgw-open set.*

Theorem 3.20. *Let WS be a weak structures on Y . Every Sg^*w -closed set in Y is rgw-closed in Y .*

Proof. The proof is obvious since every gw -closed set is rgw -closed set. \square

Remark 3.21. Let WS be a weak structures on Y , rgw -closed set is not always be Sg^*w -closed set in Y , as we can see in the following example.

Example 3.22. Let $Y = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$ with $w = \{\phi, \{r_1\}, \{r_2\}, \{r_1, r_2\}\}$. Then $U = \{r_2\}$ is rgw -closed set but it is not Sg^*w -closed.

Remark 3.23. Let WS be a weak structures on Y . The intersection of two Sg^*w -closed sets need not be Sg^*w -closed set as seen from the following example.

Example 3.24. Let $Y = \{r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4\}$ with $w = \{\phi, \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}, \{r_1, r_2, r_4\}, \{r_1, r_3, r_4\}, \{r_3, r_4\}\}$. Then $U = \{r_3\}$ and $V = \{r_1, r_2\}$ are Sg^*w -closed sets but $U \cup V = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$ it is not Sg^*w -closed.

Remark 3.25. Let WS be a weak structures on Y . The intersection of two Sg^*w -closed sets need not be Sg^*w -closed set as seen from the following example.

Example 3.26. Let $Y = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$ with $w = \{\phi, \{r_2\}, \{r_3\}, \{r_1, r_3\}, \{r_2, r_3\}\}$. Then $U = \{r_1, r_3\}$ and $V = \{r_2, r_3\}$ are Sg^*w -closed sets but $U \cap V = \{r_3\}$ it is not Sg^*w -closed.

Theorem 3.27. Let WS be a weak structures on Y . For each $y \in Y$, the singleton $\{y\}$ is gw -closed set or $\{y\}^c$ is Sg^*w -closed set.

Proof. Let WS be a weak structures on Y and $\{y\}$ is not gw -closed, then $\{y\}^c$ will not be gw -open. Then Y is the only gw -open set containing $\{y\}^c$ and $c_w(i_w(\{y\}^c)) \subseteq Y$. Therefore $\{y\}^c$ is Sg^*w -closed set and $\{y\}$ is gw -open set. \square

Theorem 3.28. Let WS be a weak structures on Y . If V is Sg^*w -closed set, then $c_w(y_i) \cap V \neq \phi$ for each $y_i \in c_w(i_w(V))$.

Proof. Let WS be a weak structures on Y . Suppose that $y_i \in c_w(i_w(V))$ and V is Sg^*w -closed set. If $c_w(\{y_i\}) \cap V = \phi$, then $V \subset Y - c_w(y_i)$ such that $Y - c_w(y_i)$ is gw -open set. Thus $y_i \in Y - c_w(y_i)$ which is contradiction. \square

Remark 3.29. Let WS be a weak structures on Y . The converse of Theorem 3. 26, is not true in general. If a subset $V = \{r_2\}$ in Example 4.11 is not Sg^*w -closed. However $c_w(y_i) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for each $y_i \in c_w(i_w(V))$.

4. SEPARATION AXIOMS ON WEAK STRUCTURES

Definition 4.1. Let WS be a weak structures on Y . A WS is said to be $w-ST_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$ if each Sg^*w -closed set V of Y , $c_w(i_w(V)) = V$.

Remark 4.2. Let WS be a weak structures on Y . If WS is $w-ST_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$, then there exists a singleton $\{y\} \in Y$ such that $\{y\}$ is neither gw -closed nor $\{y\} \neq c_w(i_w(\{y\}))$.

Example 4.3. Let WS be a weak structures on Y and let $Y = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$, $w = \{\emptyset, \{r_2\}, \{r_3\}, \{r_2, r_3\}\}$. Clear that each singleton is gw -open or gw -closed. But we have $V = \{r_1, r_2\}$ and V is Sg^*w -closed and $c_w(i_w(V)) = Y \neq V$. So, w is not $w-ST_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$.

Theorem 4.4. Let WS be a weak structures on Y . A WS on Y is $w-ST_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$ -space if and only if each singleton $\{y\}$ is either w -open or gw -closed.

Proof. Let WS be a weak structures on Y . Let $y \in Y$. Suppose that $\{y\}$ is not gw -closed. Then $\{y\}^c$ is not gw -open set. Thus $\{y\}^c$ is Sg^*w -closed, by Theorem 3.27. Since Y is $w-ST_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$ -space, $\{y\}^c$ is gw -closed set of Y , i.e $\{y\}$ is w -open set of Y .

Conversely, suppose that V be a Sg^*w -closed set of Y . Now, put $y \in c_w(i_w(V))$, then by the fact in the first side, $\{y\}$ is either w -open or gw -closed, so we have two cases:

case (i) Let $\{y\}$ be a w -open. Since $y \in c_w(i_w(V))$, $\{y\} \cap V \neq \emptyset$. This shows that $y \in V$.

case (ii) Let $\{y\}$ be a gw -open. Now, we suppose that $y \notin V$, then we would have $y \in c_w(i_w(V)) - V$, which is contradiction with Theorem 3.9. Hence $y \in V$. Therefore, in both cases we have that $\{y\}^c$ is w -closed. Hence Y is a $w-ST_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$ -space. \square

Definition 4.5. A weak structure WS on Y is said to be $gw-T_1$ if for any points $r_1, r_2 \in Y$ with $r_1 \neq r_2$, there exist two gw -open sets U and V such that $r_1 \in U, r_2 \notin U, r_1 \notin V$ and $r_2 \in V$.

Theorem 4.6. Let WS be a weak structures on Y and every gw -closed in Y is w -closed. A WS on Y is $gw-T_1$ if every singleton in Y is gw -closed.

Remark 4.7. *The converse of the above theorem need not be true in general, and the following example show that.*

Example 4.8. *Let $Y = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$, $w = \{\phi, \{r_1\}, \{r_2\}, \{r_3\}\}$. It is clear that: WS is $gw - T_1$, but the singleton $\{r_2\}$ is not gw -closed.*

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Al-Omari, T. Noiri, A unified theory of generalized closed sets in weak structure, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 135 (2012), 174–183.
- [2] H. AL-Saadi, Strongly g^* closed sets and Strongly $T_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$ spaces in bitopological spaces, *Hacettepe J. Math. Stat.* 47 (2018), 1–9.
- [3] S. Arya, T. Nour, Characterizations of s -normal spaces, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 21 (1990), 717-719.
- [4] P. Bhattacharya, B.K. Lahiri, Semi-generalized closed sets in topology, *Indian J. Math.* 29 (1987), 375-382.
- [5] A. Császár, Generalized topology, generalized continuity, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 96 (2002), 351–357.
- [6] A. Császár, Weak structure, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 131 (2011), 193-195.
- [7] E. Ekici, On weak structures due to Császár, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 134 (2012), 565-570.
- [8] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topological spaces, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, 19 (1970), 89-96.
- [9] M. Navaneethkrishnan, S. Thamaraiselvi, On weak structures due to Császár, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 137 (2012), 224-229.
- [10] A.M. Zahran , A.K. Mousa, A. Ghareeb, Generalized closed sets and some separation axioms on weak structure, *Hacettepe J. Math. Stat.* 44 (2015), 669-677.