Available online at http://scik.org J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2 (2012), No. 4, 793-809 ISSN: 1927-5307

PIECEWISE DEFINED RECURSIVE SEQUENCES WITH APPLICATION IN MATRIX THEORY

SALEEM AL-ASHHAB,¹ AND JAMES GUYKER^{2,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Al-albayt University, P. O. Box 130040, Mafraq, Jordan ²Department of Mathematics, Buffalo State College, 1300 Elmwood Avenue, Buffalo, New York

Abstract. In this paper we determine periodicity and boundedness of orbits of a piecewise defined difference equation. A corollary is that the real eigenvalues of certain arbitrarily large, sparse matrices may be computed exactly.

Keywords: piecewise defined sequence; eventually periodic; strictly increasing; sparse matrix; eigenvalue.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 39A10; 40A05

1. Introduction

The geometric sequence $S_k = hS_{k-1}$ is a basic component of exponential growth models [2, 3, 5, 10]. In this note, we consider a limiting or harvesting condition on S_k and describe the resulting piecewise defined sequence. Precisely, we make the

Definition 1. Let h, v and w be natural numbers and let S_0 be an integer. Define for k > 0

$$S_{k} = \{ \begin{array}{ccc} h \ S_{k-1} & \text{if} \ S_{k-1} \le v \\ h \ S_{k-1} - w & \text{if} \ S_{k-1} > v \end{array}$$

^{*}Corresponding author

E-mail addresses: ahhab@aabu.edu.jo (S. Al-Ashhab), guykerj@buffalostate.edu (J. Guyker) Received December 18, 2011

SALEEM AL-ASHHAB,¹ AND JAMES GUYKER^{2,*}

If $S_r \leq 0$ for some r, then S_k is geometric for $k \geq r$. Hence we restrict our attention to positive initial values.

We begin with a characterization of S_k , then discuss feasibility when hypotheses fail. A central condition in the treatment is w = 2v + 1. For example, suppose that h = 2, v = 5, w = 11 and $S_0 = 1$. Then S_k is periodic and all integers from 1 to w - 1appear in the sequence:

$$1, 2, 4, 8, 5, 10, 9, 7, 3, 6, 1, 2, \ldots$$

However, if h = 2, v = 3, w = 7 and $S_0 = 1$, then S_k is again periodic but not all such integers appear: 1, 2, 4, 1, 2, On the other hand, if h = 3, v = 2, w = 5 and $S_0 = 2$, then S_k is strictly increasing (to infinity): 2, 6, 13, 34, 97, 286, 853, 2554, 7657,

2. The Main Result

In general we have the following.

Theorem 2.1. Let h, v, w and S_0 be positive integers.

1. If h = 1, then S_k reaches a point of equilibrium, i.e., there is a nonnegative integer r such that $S_k = S_0 - rw$ for all $k \ge r$.

- 2. Let $h \ge 2$.
 - a. If $S_0 = w > v$, then

$$S_k = \frac{h^k(h-2)+1}{h-1} S_0$$
 for all k.

b. If $S_0 > w \ge v$, then S_k is strictly increasing.

3. Let h = 2.

a. Suppose that w = 2v + u for some u = 0, 1 or 2, and $1 \le S_0 \le w - 1$. i. If u = 1, then S_k is periodic and $1 \le S_k \le w - 1$ for all k. ii. If u = 0, then S_k either reaches equilibrium at w or is eventually periodic such that $1 \le S_k \le w - 1$ for all k.

iii. If u = 2, then S_k either reaches equilibrium at 0 or is eventually periodic such that $1 \le S_k \le w - 1$ for all k.

b. If w > 2v + 2, then $S_0 \ge w$ (in which case, see 2) or S_k is either eventually nonpositive or eventually periodic.

c. If $w \leq v$, then S_k is strictly increasing.

4. If $h \ge 3$ and $w \le 2v + 1$, then S_k is strictly increasing.

Proofs. 1. Assume h = 1. There is a nonnegative integer r such that S_0 satisfies

$$0 < v < v + w < \dots < v + (r-1)w < S_0 \le v + rw$$

If r = 0, then $S_k = S_0$ for all k. And if r > 0, then

$$S_1 = S_0 - w > v, \quad \dots, \quad S_{r-1} = S_0 - (r-1)w > v,$$

but

$$S_r = S_0 - rw \le v$$

and it follows that $S_k = S_r$ for $k \ge r$.

- 2. Assume that $h \ge 2$.
 - a. If $S_0 = w > v$, then

$$S_1 = hS_0 - w = (h-1)w \ge w > v$$

$$S_2 = hS_1 - w = [h(h-1) - 1]w \ge w > v$$

$$S_3 = hS_2 - w = \{h[h(h-1) - 1] - 1\}w \ge w > v, \text{ etc.}$$

Therefore in general

$$S_k = (h^k - h^{k-1} - h^{k-2} - \dots - 1)w = (h^k - \frac{h^k - 1}{h-1})w = \frac{h^k(h-2) + 1}{h-1}w.$$

b. Assume $S_0 > w \ge v$. Then

$$S_1 = hS_0 - w = S_0 + [(h-1)S_0 - w] > S_0 > w \ge v$$

and similarly by induction

$$S_k = hS_{k-1} - w = S_{k-1} + [(h-1)S_{k-1} - w] > S_{k-1} > w \ge v$$

for every $k \ge 2$. Thus $S_{k+1} > S_k > v$ for all k.

3a. Let h = 2, $1 \le S_0 \le w - 1$, and w = 2v + u for some u = 0, 1 or 2. If $1 \le S_0 \le v$, then

$$2 \le S_1 = 2S_0 \le 2v = w - u. \tag{1}$$

On the other hand, if $v < S_0 \le w - 1$, then $2v + 2 \le 2S_0 \le 2w - 2$ and

$$2 - u \le S_1 = 2S_0 - w \le w - 2 < w - 1.$$
(2)

i. Suppose that u = 1. By (1) and (2), it follows that $1 \leq S_1 \leq w - 1$, and by induction, $1 \leq S_k \leq w - 1$ for all k. Since S_k is a sequence of natural numbers, we have that some term S_r must repeat. We show that S_0 repeats: Suppose that r > 0 is the least integer such that $S_r = S_{r+s}$ for some s > 0. If S_r is even, then $S_r = 2S_{r-1}$, since the other possibility $2S_{r-1} - w$ is odd; and since $S_r = S_{r+s}$, it follows that $S_{r+s} = 2S_{r+s-1}$ so that $S_{r-1} = S_{r+s-1}$ in this case. If S_r is odd, then similarly $S_{r-1} = S_{r+s-1}$. Therefore $S_{r-1} = S_{r+s-1}$ in either case, which contradicts the minimality of r. Hence S_k is periodic when u = 1.

ii. Assume u = 0. If $S_N = w$ for some N, then since h = 2 and w > v, we have that $S_k = w$ for all $k \ge N$. Thus suppose that $S_k \ne w$ for all k. Hence by (1) and (2), $1 \le S_1 \le w - 1$, and an induction argument shows that $1 \le S_k \le w - 1$ for all k. As above, some term S_r must repeat so S_k is eventually periodic.

iii. Similar to (ii).

3b. Suppose that h = 2, w > 2v + 2, and $S_N \ge w$ for some N. Assume that N > 0. If $S_{N-1} \le v$, then

$$S_N = 2S_{N-1} \le 2v < w$$

796

which is impossible. Hence $S_{N-1} > v$ and $S_N = 2S_{N-1} - w \ge w$ so $S_{N-1} \ge w$. Continuing by induction, we have that N = 0 is the only possibility if $S_N \ge w$.

Therefore, if $S_0 \leq w - 1$, then $S_k \leq w - 1$ for all k. Hence either $S_N \leq 0$ for some N or $1 \leq S_k \leq w - 1$ for all k. It follows that either $S_k \leq 0$ for all $k \geq N$ or S_k is eventually periodic as in the proof of (3a).

3c. Assume h = 2 and $w \le v$. Let l be the least integer such that $2^l S_0 > v$. Then $S_l > S_{l-1} > \cdots > S_0$, $S_l = 2^l S_0$, and

$$S_{l+1} = 2^{l+1}S_0 - w \ge 2^{l+1}S_0 - v > 2^l S_0 = S_l$$

since $2^{l}(2-1)S_{0} > v$. Hence

$$S_{l+1} > S_l > v.$$

By induction

$$S_{k+1} > S_k > v$$
 for all $k \ge l$

and thus S_k $(k \ge 0)$ is strictly increasing.

4. Assume $h \ge 3$ and $w \le 2v + 1$. We show $S_{k+1} > S_k$ for all k. Let l denote the least integer such that

$$S_l = h^l S_0 > v.$$

Then $S_l > S_{l-1} > \cdots > S_0$, and

$$S_{l+1} = hS_l - w \ge 3S_l - w > S_l.$$

The last inequality follows since

$$S_l \ge v+1$$
 and $2S_l \ge (2v+1)+1 \ge w+1 > w$.

Hence

$$S_{l+1} > S_l > v$$

and S_k is strictly increasing as in (3c).

Corollary 2.2. Let v be a natural number, h = 2, w = 2v + 1, and let R be the relation defined on the set $\{1, 2, ..., n = 2v\}$ by: xRy if and only if there exist terms S_0 and S_k such that $x = S_0$ and $y = S_k$ for some k > 0. Then R is an equivalence relation on $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$.

Proof. By (3ai) of Theorem 2.1, for any given S_0 in $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ there exists a unique integer $p = p(S_0) > 0$ such that the sequence S_k is

$$S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_{p-1}, S_p = S_0, S_{p+1} = S_1, \ldots$$
 (3)

and $S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_{p-1}$ are distinct.

(i) Reflexive: Let $x = S_0$. By (3), $x = S_p$ so xRx.

(ii) Symmetric: Suppose xRy. Thus $x = S_0$ and $y = S_k$ where by (3) we may assume $1 \le k < p$. Redefine $y = S'_0$ (another starting value). Then by (3), $x = S'_{p-k}$ where p - k > 0 so yRx.

(iii) *Transitive*: Assume xRy and yRz. As above, by the definition of the sequence S_k ,

$$x = S_0, \quad y = S_k = S'_0, \quad z = S'_l = S_{k+l}$$

for some positive integers k and l. Therefore $x = S_0$ and $z = S_{k+l}$ where k+l > 0, thus xRz. \Box

The following is a fundamental result from Algebra [8, 9]:

Let R be an equivalence relation on a set S. For any s in S, the equivalence class of s under R, denoted [s], is the subset of S consisting of all elements t of Ssuch that tRs. Then every element of S is in exactly one equivalence class under R. That is, the equivalence classes partition S into a family of mutually disjoint nonempty subsets.

The equivalence classes of Corollary 2.2 are, moreover, ordered sets

$$[S_0] = \{S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_{p-1}\}$$

and we have for example

$$\{1, 2, \dots, 14\} = [1] \cup [3] \cup [5] \cup [7],$$

$$\{1, 2, \dots, 16\} = [1] \cup [3],$$

$$\{1, 2, \dots, 18\} = [1],$$

$$\{1, 2, \dots, 20\} = [1] \cup [3] \cup [5] \cup [7] \cup [9].$$

An interesting problem in this algebraic context is to determine all even values n such that $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\} = [1]$.

Example 1. The following table illustrates possible situations in (3a) and (3b) of Theorem 2.1 where h = 2:

S_0	v	w	sequence
1	3	8	$1, 2, 4, 0, 0, 0, \ldots$
3	10	20	$3, 6, 12, 4, 8, 16, 12, 4, \dots$
5	10	20	$5, 10, 20, 20, 20, \ldots$
8	2	7	$8, 9, 11, 15, 23, 39, 71, 135, \ldots$
1	10	24	$1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 8, 16, 8, \dots$
1	9	22	$1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 10, -2, -4, \ldots$

3. Pathology

Theorem 2.1 describes piecewise defined recursive sequences when either $w \leq v$, w = 2v or w = 2v + 1 for any h and S_0 . The specific cases not covered are

1. h = 2 and v < w < 2v

2. $h \ge 3$ and w > 2v + 1.

In each case, w > v, so by the reasoning in the proofs of (2) and (3) of Theorem 2.1, one of the following situations must hold for some N:

i.
$$S_N = w$$
 (and $S_{N+k} = \frac{h^k(h-2)+1}{h-1}w$ for every k)
ii. $S_N > w$ (and S_k is strictly increasing for $k \ge N$)
iii. $1 \le S_k \le w - 1$ for all $k \ge N$ (and S_k is eventually periodic)
iv. $S_N \le 0$ (and $S_{N+k} = h^k S_N$ for every k)

We illustrate these possibilities as follows.

Example 2. (h = 2 and v < w < 2v) In this case, we show that $S_k \ge 2$ for all $k \ge 1$ so (iv) is not feasible: Let l be the least natural number such that $S_l = h^l S_0 > v$. Then

$$S_{l+1} = h^{l+1}S_0 - w > h^{l+1}S_0 - 2v = 2(h^l S_0 - v) \ge 2.$$

Let l' be the least natural number such that $S_{l+l'} = h^{l'-1}(h^{l+1}S_0 - w) > v$. Then

$$S_{l+l'+1} = hS_{l+l'} - w > 2(S_{l+l'} - v) \ge 2.$$

Continuing similarly by induction, the result follows.

The other situations are possible: with $S_0 = 1$, we have

$$v w S_k$$
 type
9 16 i
7 13 ii
11 20 iii

For case 2, any of (i) - (iv) are feasible:

Example 3. (h = 3 and w > 2v + 1) Choosing $S_0 = 1$ again, we calculate the table

v	w	S_k type
11	27	i
7	19	ii
16	72	iii
7	18	iii
7	30	iv
2	9	iv

It is easy to generate periodic S_k with arbitrary initial values from known examples. If S_k is periodic and α is a positive integer, the piecewise defined sequence S'_k with h' = h, $v' = \alpha v$ and $w' = \alpha w$ is periodic and $S'_0 = \alpha S_0$. For h = 2, periodic sequences S_k with $S_0 = 1$ are given by the theorem (3a) where w = 2v + u (u = 0, 1, 2). If

800

 $\alpha > 2$ and u > 0, then S'_k is periodic and satisfies (3b). We can similarly modify the following results.

Example 4. For $h \ge 2$, there are general choices of v and w such that w > 2v+1, $S_0 = 1$, and S_k is either periodic, strictly increasing or reaches an equilibrium point:

a. For any positive integers r and s, let t = (r+1)s and define

$$v = \frac{(h-1)(h^{r+t}-h^r)}{h^{r+1}-1}$$
 and $w = hv + h - 1$.

We begin by showing $h^{t-1} < v < h^t$. The right inequality is equivalent to

$$h^r + h^t < h^{r+1} + h^{r+t}$$

which is trivial. The left inequality is equivalent to

$$h^{t-1}(h^{r+1}-1) \le h^r(h^t-1)(h-1)$$

which clearly holds when s = 1 since t = (r+1)s and $h \ge 2$. Thus assume that $s \ge 2$. Then the inequality becomes

$$2 h^{r+t} + h^{r+1} \le h^{r+t+1} + h^r + h^{t-1}$$

where $2 h^{r+t} \leq h h^{r+t}$ since $h \geq 2$, and $h^{r+1} \leq h^r + h^{t-1}$ (or $h \leq 1 + h^{t-(r+1)}$) since $t = (r+1)s \geq 2(r+1)$ and $r \geq 0$. Thus $h^{t-1} < v < h^t$ and straightforward calculations show that

$$w = \frac{(h-1)(h^{r+t+1}-1)}{h^{r+1}-1}$$
 and $h^{t+1}-w > v$.

More generally, for $1 \le k < r$,

$$h^{t+k} - \frac{h^k - 1}{h - 1}w = h^{t+k} - \frac{(h^k - 1)(h^{r+t+1} - 1)}{h^{r+1} - 1} > v$$

if and only if

$$h^{r+t} + h^{r+1} + h^k > h^{k+t} + h^r + 1,$$

which holds since $h \ge 2$.

It follows that since $S_0 = 1$,

$$S_{t+k} = h^{t+k} - \frac{h^k - 1}{h-1}w$$
 $(k = 1, \dots, r).$

Since $S_{t+r} = v + 1$, we have that $S_{t+r+1} = h(v+1) - (hv + h - 1) = 1$ and S_k is periodic of period t + r + 1 = (r+1)(s+1).

For example, if h = 3, r = 1 and s = 3, then v = 546, w = 1640 and the sequence is

$$1, 3, 9, 27, 81, 243, 729, 547, 1, \ldots$$

b. Let t be a positive integer, $v = h^t$ and $w = h^{t+2} - h^{t+1} + h - 1$ (which satisfy (3ai) of Theorem 2.1 if h = 2). The sequence S_k is then computed as follows:

1,
$$h$$
, ..., h^{t+1} , $h^{t+1} - h + 1$, $h^{t+1} - h^2 + 1$, ..., $h^{t+1} - h^t + 1$, 1, ...

For example, if h = 4, v = 64 and w = 771, then the sequence is

$$1, 4, 16, 64, 256, 253, 241, 193, 1, \ldots$$

c. Let t and v be any positive integers that satisfy

$$h^{t-1} < v \le h^t - h^{t-1} - 1,$$

and let w = hv + h - 1 as above. Then $S_k = h^k$ $(0 \le k \le t)$, $S_t > v$, and

$$S_{t+1} = hS_t - w = h^{t+1} - hv - h + 1 \ge h^t + 1 > S_t > v.$$

By induction,

$$S_{t+k+1} > S_{t+k} > v$$

for all k and thus S_{t+k} , and therefore S_k , are strictly increasing.

d. Let t and v be positive integers such that

$$h^{t-1} \le v < h^t,$$

802

and let $w = h^{t+1} - h^t$. Then $S_k = h^k$ for $k \le t$ and $S_{t+1} = h^{t+1} - w = h^t$. Thus $S_k = h^t$ for all $k \ge t$.

4. Application in Matrix Theory

The following sparse matrices arose in [1] while considering certain vector spaces of magic squares.

Definition 2. The C-matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ is the square matrix of order n such that its nonzero elements are defined as follows where either n = 2k or n = 2k + 1:

1 for
$$j = 2i$$
 when $1 \le i \le k$
 $a_{ij} = \{ 1 \text{ for } j = 2i - (n+1) \text{ when } n-k < i \le n$
 $-2 \text{ for } j = i$

The ones appear as the moves of the knight on a chessboard. Odd ordered C-matrices are distinguished from even ones by a middle row without ones.

According to Gerschgorin's Disk Theorem (see [7]), the eigenvalues of C-matrices lie in the unit circle with centre (-2, 0) in the complex plane. We show that the real bounds -1 and -3 of the circle will indeed be eigenvalues in many cases. Moreover we note that 0 is not contained in the Gerschgorin disk so C-matrices are invertible. (This also follows since they are strictly diagonally dominant.)

For any C-matrix of odd order we note that -2 is an eigenvalue of A since the matrix A + 2I has the zero row as its middle row. We conjecture that -2 is the only eigenvalue when the order of A is $n = 2^{l} - 1$. This is illustrated in the following

Example 5. Let A be the C-matrix of order 15. Suppose by way of contradiction that $\beta \neq -2$ is a (real or complex) eigenvalue of A and let $\alpha = \beta + 2$. Since $\alpha \neq 0$, by the definition of C-matrix, if $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_{15})^t$ is a nonzero vector in the kernel

of $A - \beta I$, then $x_8 = 0$ and $x_i = x_{i+8}$ $(1 \le i \le 7)$. Moreover, if $x_{2i} = 0$ for some i = 1, 2, ..., 7, then $x_i = 0$. It follows in order that

 $0 = x_4 = x_2 = x_1 = x_{4+8} = x_{2+8} = x_{1+8} = x_6 = x_3 = x_5 = x_{6+8} = x_{3+8} = x_{5+8} = x_7 = x_{7+8}.$

Thus, x is the zero vector, a contradiction. Therefore, -2 is the only eigenvalue of A.

Another possible eigenvalue of odd ordered C-matrices is -1:

Proposition 4.1. Let A be a C-matrix of order n = 4l + 1. Then -1 is an eigenvalue of A.

Proof. Let n = 4l + 1. For each row of the matrix A + I except the middle row we have one entry 1, one entry -1, and the other entries 0. The ones occur in even numbered cells. Column 2l + 1 is the middle column so it contains no ones. If we sum the columns of A + I except the middle column, then we obtain the zero vector. Hence, the determinant of A + I is zero. \Box

We now turn to the eigenvalues of C-matrices of even order. The following is similar to the above result.

Proposition 4.2. Let A be a C-matrix of order n = 6l + 2. Then -3 is an eigenvalue of A.

Proof. Let n = 6l + 2. We show in this case that rows 4l + 2 and 2l + 1 of A + 3I are identical. By the definition of C-matrix, the main diagonal of A + 3I consists of ones, and row 2l + 1 has one in the entries (2l + 1, 2l + 1) and (2l + 1, 2(2l + 1)) since 2l + 1 < 3l + 1. On the other hand, row 4l + 2 has one in the entries (4l + 2, 4l + 2) and (4l + 2, 2(4l + 2) - (n + 1))) = (4l + 2, 2l + 1). \Box

We can extend the idea behind the above proof for other C-matrices of even order. We consider matrices where the sum of several rows of A + 3I is identical to the sum of another set of rows. For example, let A be the C-matrix of order 4. We obtain

$$A + 3I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The sum of the first and fourth rows is the same as the sum of the second and third rows. Thus -3 is an eigenvalue of A. In general, we have the following procedure.

Theorem 4.3. Let A be a C-matrix of even order n = 2k. Then -1 is an eigenvalue of A.

Conversely, if λ is a real eigenvalue of A, then $\lambda = -1$ or $\lambda = -3$.

Define the sequence Q_l of positive integers as follows: let $Q_0 = 1$ and for $l \ge 0$ let $[Q_l, Q_{l+1}]$ denote the positions in row Q_l of the ones in the matrix A + 3I. Then the sequence Q_l is periodic. If the period of Q_l is even, then -3 is an eigenvalue of A.

Proof. Let A be a C-matrix of order n = 2k. Then each column of A + I has exactly one entry 1, one entry -1 and the remaining entries 0. Hence, the sum of all rows of A + I is the zero row so |A + I| = 0.

Let λ be a real eigenvalue of A. We argue indirectly. Assume that $|\lambda + 2| < 1$ by Gerschgorin's theorem. We rearrange the columns C_i of $A - \lambda I$ in the order

$$C_2, C_4, ..., C_n, C_1, C_3, ..., C_{n-1}.$$

The resulting matrix is strictly diagonally dominant, and is therefore invertible with nonzero determinant, a contradiction.

Let Q_l be given as above. Note that rows with ones in positions $[Q_l, Q_{l+1}]$ and $[Q_{l+1}, Q_{l+2}]$ have a one in the same position Q_{l+1} . Thus, for $l \ge 1$, row Q_l also

shares a one with row Q_{l-1} . By the definition of C-matrix of order 2k, with $Q_0 = 1$, for $l \ge 1$,

$$Q_{l} = \begin{cases} 2 \ Q_{l-1} & \text{if } Q_{l-1} \le k \\ 2 \ Q_{l-1} - (2k+1) & \text{if } Q_{l-1} > k \end{cases}$$

By (3ai) of Theorem 2.1, Q_l is periodic. Hence if the period of Q_l is p, then $Q_p = 1$ and row 1 with ones in $[Q_0, Q_1]$ and row Q_{p-1} with ones in $[Q_{p-1}, Q_p]$ have position $Q_p = Q_0$ in common. Since $Q_0, Q_1, \ldots, Q_{p-1}$ are distinct, we have that if p is even, then the sum of the rows $Q_0, Q_2, \ldots, Q_{p-2}$ coincides with the sum of the rows $Q_1, Q_3, \ldots, Q_{p-1}$ and hence the determinant of A + 3I is zero. \Box

Example 6. We can readily list the sequences Q_l . Two of the first eleven even ordered C-matrices have sequences Q_l with odd periods:

n	Q_l
2	$1,2,1,\ldots$
4	$1, 2, 4, 3, 1, \dots$
6	$1,2,4,1,\ldots$
8	$1, 2, 4, 8, 7, 5, 1, \dots$
10	$1, 2, 4, 8, 5, 10, 9, 7, 3, 6, 1, \dots$
12	$1, 2, 4, 8, 3, 6, 12, 11, 9, 5, 10, 7, 1, \ldots$
14	$1, 2, 4, 8, 1, \dots$
16	$1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 15, 13, 9, 1, \ldots$
18	$1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 13, 7, 14, 9, 18, 17, 15, 11, 3, 6, 12, 5, 10, 1, \dots$
20	$1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 11, 1, \ldots$
22	$1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 9, 18, 13, 3, 6, 12, 1, \ldots$

For example, the period of Q_l is even for n = 16 and by Theorem 4.3, the sum of the rows 1, 4, 16 and 13 of A + 3I is identical to the sum of the rows 2, 8, 15 and 9.

We can compute the period of Q_l in some general cases:

Corollary 4.4. Let $l \ge 2$ be an even integer and let A be a C-matrix of order $n = 2^l - 2$. Then -3 is an eigenvalue of A.

Proof. We have $Q_0 = 1, Q_1 = 2, Q_2 = 4, ..., Q_{l-1} = 2^{l-1}$ since $2^{l-2} \le \frac{n}{2}$. But $2^{l-1} = \frac{n}{2} + 1 > \frac{n}{2}$ so $Q_l = 2(\frac{n}{2} + 1) - (n+1) = 1$. \Box

Corollary 4.5. Let A be a C-matrix of order $n = 2^l$ where $l \ge 2$. Then -3 is an eigenvalue of A.

Proof. Assume that A is a C-matrix of order $n = 2^l$ where $l \ge 2$. Then $Q_0 = 1$, $Q_1 = 2, Q_2 = 4, \ldots, Q_l = 2^l$. We prove by induction that

$$Q_{l+i} = Q_l - 2^i + 1 > 2^{l-1} = \frac{n}{2}$$

for i = 0, 1, ..., l - 1. The initialization i = 0 is clear. Assume the statement holds for some i < l - 1. Then

$$Q_{l+i+1} = 2(Q_l - 2^i + 1) - (n+1) = Q_l - 2^{i+1} + 1 > 2^{l-1}$$

since $i+1 \le l-1$ and $2^{l-1}+1 > 2^{i+1}$.

In particular,

$$Q_{2l-1} = Q_{l+(l-1)} = Q_l - 2^{l-1} + 1 = \frac{n}{2} + 1 > \frac{n}{2}$$

so $Q_{2l} = 2(\frac{n}{2}+1) - (n+1) = 1$. Therefore the period of Q_l is even. \Box

If the period of Q_l is odd, then we can not deduce any information about the value -3. For example, the period of Q_l is three for the C-matrix A of order six and its eigenvalues are

$$-\frac{5}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i, -\frac{5}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i, -1, -1.$$

On the other hand, -3 is an eigenvalue of the C-matrix of order 366 although Q_l has period 183.

We computed the eigenvalues of the C-matrices of even orders up to order 4780 and found the following orders for which -3 is not an eigenvalue:

6, 22, 30, 46, 48, 70, 72, 78, 88, 102, 126, 150, 160, 166, 190, 198, 216, 222, 232, 238, 262, 270, 310, 328, 336, 342, 358, 430, 438, 496, 510, 552, 600, 622, 630, 712, 720, 880, 888, 910, 918, 936, 960, 1056, 1102, 1288, 1392, 1432, 1456, 1518, 1560, 1678, 1800, 1896, 2046, 2088, 2142, 2200, 2262, 2350, 2358, 2592, 2686, 2758, 2920, 3016, 3190, 3390, 3478, 3472, 3576, 3936, 4056, 4176, 4206, 4512, 4576, 4680.

References

- A. Al-Zahawi, S. Al Ashhab, Linear systems resulting from pandiagonal magic squares, Almanara journal, Al-Albayt University, Vol. 13, No. 6, pp 179-199, 2007.
- [2] P. Blanchard, R. L. Devaney, and G. R. Hall, Differential Equations, Brooks/Cole, Pacific Grove, California, 2002.
- [3] C. Clark, Mathematical Bioeconomics: The Optimal Management of Renewable Resources, Wiley, New York, 1976.
- [4] G. J. Etgen and W. L. Morris, An Introduction to Ordinary Differential Equations with Difference Equations, Numerical Methods and Applications, Harper & Row, New York, 1977.
- [5] E. G. Hutchinson, An Introduction to Population Ecology, Yale University Press, New Haven, Connecticut, 1978.
- [6] A. Katok and B. Hasselblatt, Introduction to the Modern Theory of Dynamical Systems, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1995.
- [7] E. Kresyzig, Advanced Engineering Mathematics, 7th ed., John Wiley & sons, 1993.
- [8] S. MacLane and G. Birkhoff, Algebra, Macmillan, New York, 1968.
- [9] D. Saracino, Abstract Algebra: A First Course, Waveland Press, Prospect Heights, Illinois, 1992.
- [10] S. Wiggins, Introduction to Applied Nonlinear Dynamical Systems and Chaos, Springer Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [11] T. Yi and Z. Zhou, Periodic solutions of difference equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 286 (2003), pp. 220-229.

[12] L. Zhang, G. Zhang, and H. Liu, Periodicity and attractivity for a rational recursive sequence, J. Appl. Math. Comput. 19 (2005), pp. 191-201.