



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 6 (2016), No. 4, 588-596

ISSN: 1927-5307

ZWEIER I-CONVERGENT DIFFERENCE SEQUENCE SPACES DEFINED BY A SEQUENCE OF MODULI

KHALID EBADULLAH

College Of Science and Theoretical Studies, Saudi Electronic University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Copyright © 2016 Khalid Ebadullah. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the sequence spaces $\mathcal{Z}_0^I(F, \Delta)$ and $\mathcal{Z}_\infty^I(F, \Delta)$ for the sequence of moduli $F = (f_k)$ and study some inclusion relations that arise on the said spaces.

Keywords: difference sequence spaces, sequence of moduli, I-Convergence, Zweier sequences.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 40A05, 40A35, 40C05.

1. Introduction

Let N, R and C be the sets of all natural, real and complex numbers respectively.

We write

$$\omega = \{x = (x_k) : x_k \in R \text{ or } C\},$$

the space of all real or complex sequences.

Let ℓ_∞, c and c_0 be the linear spaces of bounded, convergent and null sequences respectively, normed by

$$\|x\|_\infty = \sup_k |x_k|, \text{ where } k \in N.$$

Received March 03, 2016

The approach of constructing new sequence spaces by means of the matrix domain of a particular limitation method have been recently employed by Altay, Başar and Mursaleen[1], Başar and Altay[2], Malkowsky[11], Ng and Lee[13], and Wang[15].

Şengönül[14] defined the sequence $y = (y_i)$ which is frequently used as the Z^p transform of the sequence $x = (x_i)$ i.e,

$$y_i = px_i + (1 - p)x_{i-1}$$

where $x_{-1} = 0, p \neq 1, 1 < p < \infty$ and Z^p denotes the matrix $Z^p = (z_{ik})$ defined by

$$z_{ik} = \begin{cases} p, & (i = k), \\ 1 - p, & (i - 1 = k), (i, k \in N) \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Following Başar and Altay[2], Şengönül[14] introduced the Zweier sequence spaces \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{Z}_0 as follows

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : Z^p x \in c\}$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_0 = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : Z^p x \in c_0\}.$$

The idea of difference sequence spaces was introduced by Kizmaz [10] as

$$\ell_\infty(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : (\Delta x_k) \in \ell_\infty\},$$

$$c(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : (\Delta x_k) \in c\},$$

and

$$c_0(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : (\Delta x_k) \in c_0\},$$

where $\Delta x = (x_k - x_{k+1})$ and $\Delta^0 x = (x_k)$.

These are Banach spaces with the norm

$$\|x\|_\Delta = |x_1| + \|\Delta x\|_\infty.$$

The idea of modulus was structured by Nakano[12].

A function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a modulus if

(1) $f(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$,

- (2) $f(t+u) \leq f(t) + f(u)$ for all $t, u \geq 0$,
 (3) f is increasing, and
 (4) f is continuous from the right at zero.

If X be a non- empty set, then a family of set $I \subset P(X)$ ($P(X)$ denoting the power set of X) is called an ideal in X if and only if

- (a) $\phi \in I$;
 (b) For each $A, B \in I$, we have $A \cup B \in I$;
 (c) For each $A \in I$ and $B \subset A$ we have $B \in I$.

If X be a non- empty set. A non- empty family of sets $F \subset P(X)$ ($P(X)$ denoting the power set of X) is called a filter on X if and only if

- (a) $\phi \notin F$;
 (b) For each $A, B \in F$, we have $A \cap B \in F$;
 (c) For each $A \in F$ and $A \subset B$ we have $B \in F$.

Recently Khan, Ebadullah and Yasmeen[9] introduced the following classes of sequences.

$$\mathcal{Z}_0^I = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : I - \lim Z^p x = 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^I = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : I - \lim Z^p x = L \text{ for some } L \in \mathbb{C}\},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_\infty^I = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : \sup_k |Z^p x| < \infty\}.$$

In [6] for a modulus function f

$$\mathcal{Z}_0^I(f) = \{(x_k) \in \omega : \text{for a given } \varepsilon > 0, \{k \in \mathbb{N} : f(|x_k'|) \geq \varepsilon\} \in I\},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^I(f) = \{(x_k) \in \omega : \exists L \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that for a given } \varepsilon > 0, \{k \in \mathbb{N} : f(|x_k' - L|) \geq \varepsilon\} \in I\},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_\infty^I(f) = \{(x_k) \in \omega : \{k \in \mathbb{N} : f(|x_k'|) \geq M\} \in I, \text{ for each fixed } M > 0\}.$$

where $(x'_k) = (Z^p x)$

In [8] for a sequence of moduli $F = (f_k)$

$$\mathcal{Z}_0^I(F) = \{(x_k) \in \omega : \{k \in \mathbb{N} : f_k(|x'_k|) \geq \varepsilon\} \in I\},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^I(F) = \{(x_k) \in \omega : \{k \in \mathbb{N} : f_k(|x'_k - L|) \geq \varepsilon, \text{ for some } L \in C\} \in I\},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_\infty^I(F) = \{(x_k) \in \omega : \{k \in \mathbb{N} : f_k(|x'_k|) \geq M, \text{ for each fixed } M > 0\} \in I\}.$$

We need the following results in order to establish some of the results of this article.

Lemma 1.1.[3, Lemma 1.2.] The condition $\sup_k f_k(t) < \infty, t > 0$ holds if and only if there is a point $t_0 > 0$ such that $\sup_k f_k(t_0) < \infty$.

Lemma 1.2.[3, Lemma 1.3.] The condition $\inf_k f_k(t) > 0$ holds if and only if there exists a point $t_0 > 0$ such that $\inf_k f_k(t_0) > 0$.

Theorem 1.3.[14, Theorem 2.2.] The sequence spaces \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{Z}_0 are linearly isomorphic to the spaces c and c_0 respectively, i.e $\mathcal{Z} \cong c$ and $\mathcal{Z}_0 \cong c_0$

Theorem 1.4.[14, Theorem 2.3.] The inclusions $\mathcal{Z}_0 \subset \mathcal{Z}$ strictly hold for $p \neq 1$.

c.f. ([3],[4],[5],[7],[9]).

2. MAIN RESULTS.

In this article we introduce the following classes of sequence spaces.

$$\mathcal{Z}_0^I(F, \Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : I\text{-}\lim f_k(|\Delta x'_k|) = 0\};$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_\infty^I(F, \Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : \sup_k f_k(|\Delta x'_k|) < \infty\}.$$

where $(x'_k) = (Z^p x)$

Theorem 2.1. For a sequence $F = (f_k)$ of moduli, the following statements are equivalent:

(a) $\mathcal{L}_\infty^I(\Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\infty^I(F, \Delta)$

(b) $\mathcal{L}_0^I(\Delta) \subset \mathcal{L}_\infty^I(F, \Delta)$

(c) $\sup_k f_k(t) < \infty, (t > 0)$

Proof. (a) implies (b) is obvious.

(b) implies (c). Let $\mathcal{L}_0^I(\Delta) \subset \mathcal{L}_\infty^I(F, \Delta)$.

Suppose that (c) is not true.

Then by Lemma 1.1 $\sup_k f_k(t) = \infty$ for all $t > 0$, and, therefore there is a sequence (k_i) of positive integers such that

$$f_{k_i}\left(\frac{1}{i}\right) > i \text{ for } i=1,2,3,\dots \quad [2.1]$$

Define $x = (x_k)$ as follows

$$x_k = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{i}, & \text{if } k = k_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $x \in \mathcal{L}_0^I(\Delta)$ but by [2.1], $x \notin \mathcal{L}_\infty^I(F, \Delta)$ which contradicts (b).

Hence (c) must hold.

(c) implies (a). Let (c) be satisfied and $x \in \mathcal{L}_\infty^I(\Delta)$.

If we suppose that $x \notin \mathcal{L}_\infty^I(F, \Delta)$ then

$$\sup_k f_k(|\Delta x_k|) = \infty \text{ for } \Delta x \in \mathcal{L}_\infty^I$$

If we take $t = |\Delta x|$ then $\sup_k f_k(t) = \infty$ which contradicts (c).

Hence $\mathcal{L}_\infty^I(\Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\infty^I(F, \Delta)$.

Theorem 2.2. If $F = (f_k)$ is a sequence of moduli, then the following statements are equivalent:

(a) $\mathcal{L}_0^I(F, \Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0^I(\Delta)$,

(b) $\mathcal{L}_0^I(F, \Delta) \subset \mathcal{L}_\infty^I(\Delta)$,

(c) $\inf_k f_k(t) > 0, (t > 0)$.

Proof. (a) implies (b) is obvious.

(b) implies (c). Let $\mathcal{L}_0^I(F, \Delta) \subset \mathcal{L}_\infty^I(\Delta)$.

Suppose that (c) does not hold.

Then, by lemma 1.2 ,

$$\inf_k f_k(t) = 0, (t > 0), \tag{2.2}$$

and therefore there is a sequence (k_i) of positive integers such that

$$f_{k_i}(i^2) < \frac{1}{i} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Define the sequence $x = (x_k)$ by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} i^2, & \text{if } k = k_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By [2.2] $x \in \mathcal{L}_0^I(F, \Delta)$ but $x \notin \mathcal{L}_\infty^I(\Delta)$ which contradicts (b).

Hence (c) must hold.

(c) implies (a). Let (c) holds and $x \in \mathcal{Z}_0^I(F, \Delta)$ that is

$$\lim_k f_k(|\Delta x_k|) = 0$$

Suppose that $x \notin \mathcal{Z}_0^I(\Delta)$.

Then for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and positive integer k_0 we have $|\Delta x_k| \leq \varepsilon_0$ for $k \geq k_0$.

Therefore $f_k(\varepsilon_0) \geq f_k(|\Delta x_k|)$ for $k \geq k_0$ and hence $\lim_k f_k(\varepsilon_0) > 0$ which contradicts $x \notin \mathcal{Z}_0^I(\Delta)$.

Thus $\mathcal{Z}_0^I(F, \Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_0^I(\Delta)$.

Theorem 2.3. The inclusion $\mathcal{Z}_\infty^I(F, \Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_0^I(\Delta)$ holds if and only if

$$\lim_k f_k(t) = \infty \text{ for } t > 0. \quad [2.3]$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Z}_\infty^I(F, \Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_0^I(\Delta)$ such that $\lim_k f_k(t) = \infty$ for $t > 0$ does not hold.

Then there is a number $t_0 > 0$ and a sequence (k_i) of positive integers such that

$$f_{k_i}(t_0) \leq M < \infty. \quad [2.4]$$

Define the sequence $x = (x_k)$ by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} t_0, & \text{if } k = k_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus $x \in \mathcal{Z}_\infty^I(F, \Delta)$, by [2.4].

But $x \notin \mathcal{Z}_0^I(\Delta)$, so that [2.3] must hold if $\mathcal{Z}_\infty^I(F, \Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_0^I(\Delta)$.

Conversely, let [2.3] hold.

If $x \in \mathcal{Z}_\infty^I(F, \Delta)$, then $f_k(|\Delta x_k|) \leq M < \infty$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Suppose that $x \notin \mathcal{Z}_0^I(\Delta)$.

Then for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and positive integer k_0 we have $|\Delta x_k| < \varepsilon_0$ for $k \geq k_0$. Therefore $f_k(\varepsilon_0) \leq f_k(|\Delta x_k|) \leq M$ for $k \geq k_0$ which contradicts [2.3].

Hence $x \in \mathcal{Z}_0^I(\Delta)$.

Theorem 2.4. The inclusion $\mathcal{Z}_\infty^I(\Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_0^I(F, \Delta)$ holds, if and only if

$$\lim_k f_k(t) = 0 \text{ for } t > 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{Z}_\infty^I(\Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_0^I(F, \Delta)$ but [2.5] does not hold.

Then

$$\lim_k f_k(t_0) = l \neq 0. \tag{2.6}$$

for some $t_0 > 0$.

Define the sequence $x = (x_k)$ by

$$x_k = t_0 \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} (-1)^v \begin{bmatrix} k-v \\ k-v \end{bmatrix}$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Then $x \notin \mathcal{Z}_0^I(F, \Delta)$, by [2.6].

Hence [2.5] must hold.

Conversly , let $x \in \mathcal{Z}_\infty^I(\Delta)$ and suppose that [2.5] holds.

Then $|\Delta x_k| \leq M < \infty$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Therefore $f_k(|\Delta x_k|) \leq f_k(M)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and

$$\lim_k f_k(|\Delta x_k|) \leq \lim_k f_k(M) = 0, \text{ by [2.5].}$$

Hence $x \in \mathcal{Z}_0^I(F, \Delta)$.

Conflict of Interests

The author declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

[1] B. Altay, F. Başar and Mursaleen. *On the Euler sequence space which include the spaces l_p and l_∞* . Inform. Sci. Vol 176, no.10, pp. 1450-1462,(2006).
 [2] F. Başar and B. Altay. *On the spaces of sequences of p -bounded variation and related matrix mappings*.Ukrainion Math.J.55.(2003).

- [3] C.A. Bektas, R.Colak. *Generalized difference sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli*. Soochow.J.Math.,29(2)(2003),215-220.
- [4] K. Ebadullah. *Zweier difference sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli*.J.Math. Comput. Sci.4(2014) No.4, 657-664.
- [5] A.K.Gaur and Mursaleen. *Difference sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli*. Demonstratio Mah.,31(1998),275-278.
- [6] V.A. Khan, K. Ebadullah, A. Esi and M. Shafiq. *On Zweier I-convergent sequence spaces defined by a modulus function*. Afrika Matematika. (2013) 1(1), 1-12.
- [7] V.A. Khan, and K. Ebadullah. *I-Convergent difference sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli*. J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2(2) (2012a), 265-273.
- [8] V.A. Khan, K. Ebadullah, A. Esi and Yasmeen. *Zweier I-convergent Sequence Spaces Defined by a Sequence of Moduli* Theory Appl. Math. Comput. Sci. (2014) Vol 4, No 2.211-220.
- [9] V.A. Khan, K. Ebadullah and Yasmeen. *On Zweier I-convergent sequence spaces*. Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics Vol. 33, No 3, pp. 259-276, (2014).
- [10] H. Kizmaz. *On certain sequence spaces*. Canadian Math.Bull., 24(1981),169-176.
- [11] E. Malkowsky. *Recent results in the theory of matrix transformation in sequence spaces*.Math.Vesnik.(49)187-196(1997).
- [12] H. Nakano. *Concave modulars*.J. Math Soc. Japan.5(1953)29-49.
- [13] P. N. Ng and P.Y. Lee. *Cesaro sequence spaces of non-absolute type*.Comment.Math. Pracc.Math.20(2)429-433(1978).
- [14] M. Şengönül. *On The Zweier Sequence Space*.*Demonstratio Mathematica* Vol.XL No.(1)181-196(2007).
- [15] C.S. Wang. *On Nörlund sequence spaces*.Tamkang J.Math.(9)269-274(1978).